

An investigation of
morpho-anatomical

Genetta (Carnivora. 1

SCHLAWÉ, 1981; CRAWFORD-CABRAL, 1981a and b; CRAWFORD-CABRAL and PACHECO, 1992; CRAWFORD-CABRAL, 1993; WOZENCRAFT, 1993; KINGDON, 1997), often due to a lack of diagnostic characters in their initial descriptions. As a consequence, the current Viverrinae classifications fail to propose similar taxa sets, both from quantitative or qualitative viewpoints (GRZIMEK, 1990; NOWAK, 1991; WOZENCRAFT, 1993; KINGDON, 1997).

We can easily imagine the headache that the Viverrinae –and especially the genets– may represent for people concerned by their identification and classification, that is to say naturalists and/or collection curators. With the aim to clarify interspecific limits within the genus *Genetta*, we undertook an exhaustive examination of morpho-anatomical characters. Although previous identification keys related to the genets do already exist (COETZEE, 1971; ROSEVEAR, 1974; CRAWFORD-CABRAL, 1981b), diagnostic characters which are proposed (craniometrical measures, coat colours, spots pattern, etc.) generally seem not easily applicable to species differentiation. Thus, two identification keys (one based on cranial characters and the other one based on coat characters) are here presented for the genus *Genetta*.

Material and methods









Most of the specimens used in the present study belong to the Paris Natural History Museum (MNHN) collections (Laboratoire de Zoologie: Mammifères et Oiseaux, and Laboratoire d'Anatomie comparée), but the Musée Royal de l'Afrique centrale of Tervuren (MRAC) and the London Natural History Museum (NHML) also allowed us to complete our taxonomic set.


Characters taken into account to discriminate each taxon (skull and coat) were at first determined on non-ambiguously delimited species (the so-called « genet-like » species as *Osbornictis piscivora*, *Prionodon linsang* and *Prionodon pardicolor*, and also some genet species as *Genetta johnstoni*, *Genetta victoriae* and *Genetta thierryi*), and then applied to the other taxa.

Results






Identification keys

Cranial characters

1. *Foramen magnum*: – compressed.  *G. johnstoni*
 – not compressed  2
2. *Fronto-nasal suture*: – bilobate  *G. thierryi*
 – unilobate  3
3. *Sagittal crest*: – absent 4
 – present 6
4. *Postorbital process*: – strong.  5
 – weak  8
5. *Postero-accessory cusp (P₂)*: – present *G. abyssinica*
 – absent *G. tigrina*
6. *Entotympanic bone*: – not inflated  *G. "rubiginosa"*
 – inflated  7
7. *Premaxillo-frontal contact*: – present *G. pardina*
 – absent *G. angolensis*
8. *Fronto-nasal suture*: – subsequent to the upper border
 of the lachrymal bone *G. genetta*
 – at the level of the upper border
 of the lachrymal bone 9
9. *Maxillo-palatine suture*: – subsequent
 to the P³ main cusp *G. victoriae*
 – aligned with the P³ main cusp *G. servalina*



Coat characters

1. *Mid-dorsal line*: – clear in the middle and dark-bordered2
 – discontinuous3
 – continuous and down stroke4
2. *Spots of the first latero-dorsal row*:
 – merged in a continuous line *G. abyssinica*
 – merged only in the back part *G. thierryi*
3. *Pair of wide median nuchal stripes*: – absent*G. servalina*
 – present *G. victoriae*
4. *Tip of the tail*: – clear*G. genetta*
 – dark5
5. *Upper labial spots*: – small and not very contrasting ...*G. johnstoni*
 – wide and very contrasting6
6. *Upper part of the hind leg*: – poorly spotted*G. tigrina*
 – extensively spotted7
7. *Width of the spots of the first latero-dorsal row*:
 – wider than the mid-dorsal line*G. "ruginosa"*
 – equal to the mid-dorsal line8
8. *Spots of the first latero-dorsal row*:
 – not merged *G. pardina*
 – merged only in the back part *G. angolensis*

Reconsideration of Osbornictis piscivora (Allen, 1919) *plantar pads description*

Our exhaustive examination of morpho-anatomical characters (applied to a large set of Viverrinae) allowed us to reconsider the plantar pads description of *Osbornictis piscivora*, as ALLEN (1924) did not mention the presence of the metatarsal pads (nomenclature from POCOCK, 1915) in his type-specimen illustration. The examination of the specimen 87-68-M1 (MRAC) permits to determine distinct metatarsal pads, even if they seem merged with the hairless plantar structure (fig.1).




Figure 1
Allen illustration (left) (Allen, 1924 : by courtesy of the American Museum of Natural History) and new illustration (right) of the *Osbornictis piscivora* plantar pads.

Discussion

Regarding the genet “species” that occur in the identification keys, we must clarify some taxonomic points. Indeed, the observation of diverse characters led us to make associations and discriminations within some still status-debated taxa:

– no distinction between *Genetta genetta* (Linné, 1758), *Genetta felina* (Thunberg, 1811) and *Genetta senegalensis* (Fischer, 1829) was allowed on the basis of the observed qualitative characters (skull, teeth and skin). The same can be said in the case of *Genetta angolensis* Bocage, 1882 and *Genetta mossambica* Matschie, 1902. These conclusions disagree with those of several recent authors. *Genetta felina* and *Genetta genetta* are considered as distinct species by SCHLAWÉ (1981), as well as *Genetta genetta* and *Genetta senegalensis* by ROSEVEAR (1974), but the phenotypic differentiations on which these distinctions are based (colour variations and measurements) are

far less sufficient to definitely diagnose them as valid species, like it was suggested by the authors themselves. *Genetta mossambica* has been previously considered as a valid species, and COETZEE (1971) included it in his identification key of the genus *Genetta*. However, the characters used to discriminate *Genetta mossambica* from *Genetta angolensis* are obviously incorrect (for instance, respectively “spots small” and “large spots”; see SCHLAWÉ’s photographs (1981) for illustrated arguments) and probably due to the scarcity of *Genetta mossambica* specimens in Museum collections. Their distinction is also suggested in the identification key of CRAWFORD-CABRAL (1981b), even if the author noticed the great similarity between the habitus of the two “forms”.

– on the other hand, the case of *Genetta servalina* is inconclusive as no specimens of *Genetta cristata* Hayman, 1940 were included in this study.

– the distinction between *Genetta pardina* I. Geoffroy Saint Hilaire, 1832 and *Genetta “rubiginosa”* Pucheran, 1855 (see CRAWFORD-CABRAL, 1981a and b; CRAWFORD-CABRAL and PACHECO, 1992), both grouped in the single species *Genetta maculata* (Gray, 1830) by WOZENCRAFT (1993), is confirmed by an important divergence related to cranial characters (GAUBERT *et al.*, in prep.). These considerations, complicated by the fact that *Genetta rubiginosa* is the senior synonym of *Genetta thierryi* (SCHLAWÉ, 1981; CRAWFORD-CABRAL and FERNANDES, 1999), should involve further nomenclatural modifications (GAUBERT *et al.*, in prep.). But in order to keep the discussion clear, we have chosen to refer to the most commonly accepted nomenclature of the genus (CRAWFORD-CABRAL, 1981b; WOZENCRAFT, 1993).

Secondly, we must specify that some juvenile character states - even present in post-juvenile specimens- can interfere with the identification keys utilisation:

– (1) the sagittal crest is absent, that is to say temporal muscles insertion crests form quite a large area (a similar observation was noticed for the weasel (*Mustela nivalis*) by KING (1980)).

– (2) the curvature of the maxillo-palatine suture’s anterior extension is elongated ahead, which can influence the alignment with the P³ main cusp.

– (3) the coat is generally more densely spotted. Besides, the spots of the first two latero-dorsal rows are often merged so that they constitute two continuous stripes (observations on stillborn specimens of *Genetta servalina* (1996.318 and 319 - NHML)).

Furthermore, this type of observation permits to point out the morpho-anatomical divergence of *Genetta johnstoni* compared to the other genet's characteristics, as its adult morphotype presents some juvenile character states like – among others – (1) and (3).

shows that morphological observations are still of interest, especially in the Viverrinae subfamily, for which some accurate structure descriptions remain impossible on the basis of current Museum collections (perineal glands, rhinarium, claws recantation system, etc.). Moreover, the presence of distinct metatarsal pads in *Osbornictis* constitutes an additional evidence of its supposed terrestrial way of life (HART and TIMM, 1978; COLYN and GEVAERTS, 1986; VAN ROMPAEY, 1988; KINGDON, 1997), as the organisation and the morphology of its pads are very close to the structural plan of the genet-like taxa (VERON, 1999) and have suffered no important transformations caused by a hypothetical adaptation to aquatic life in river streams. The bare palms

Moreover, the intrageneric delimitation question within the genus *Genetta* will have to be dealt using coupled methods like genetic divergence, caryology, new determination of morpho-anatomical characters and ecological studies.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Paula Jenkins (Natural History Museum of London) and Wim Van Neer (Musée royal d'Afrique centrale in Tervuren) for giving us access to their viverrine collections. Thanks are due to two anonymous reviewers for useful comments on earlier version of the manuscript. This is a contribution of E.A. 2586 "Systématique et évolution des vertébrés tétrapodes".

References

- ALLEN J. A., 1924 —
Carnivora collected by the American Museum Congo Expedition. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.*, 47: 73-281.
- COLYN M. and GEVAERTS H., 1986 —
Osbornictis piscivora Allen, 1919, deux nouvelles stations de récolte dans la Sous-Région de la Tshopo (Haut-Zaïre). *Bull. Inst. r. Sci. nat. Belg. Biologie* 56: 9-11.
- CRAWFORD-CABRAL J., 1981a —
Análise de dados craniométricos no género *Genetta*. *Mem. Junta Invest. Cient. Ultram.*, 62 (2^a sér.): 1-329.
- CRAWFORD-CABRAL J., 1981b —
The classification of the genets (Carnivora, Viverridae, genus *Genetta*). *Bolm. Soc. Port. Ciênc. Nat.*, 20: 97-114.
- CRAWFORD-CABRAL J., 1982 —
A revision of the genera and species of Viverrine animals (Viverridae) founded on the collection in the British Museum. *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.*, 1864: 502-579.
- CRAWFORD-CABRAL J. and PACHECO A. P., 1992 —
Are the large-spotted and the rusty-spotted genets separate species? *Garc. de Ort. sér. Zool.*, 16(1-2): 7-17.
- CRAWFORD-CABRAL J. and FERNANDES C., 1999 —
A comment on the nomenclature of the Rusty-spotted genet. *Sm. Carn. Cons.*, 21: 12.
- DEKEYSER P. L., 1955 —
Les Mammifères de l'Afrique noire française. Dakar, Institut d'Afrique noire, 2nd édit., 426 p.
- GRAY J. E., 1864 —
A revision of the genera and species of Viverrine animals (Viverridae) founded on the collection in the British Museum. *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.*, 1864: 502-579.

