

# Organic carbon associated with eroded sediments from micro-plots under natural rainfall from cultivated pastures on a clayey Ferralsol in the Cerrados (Brazil)

Didier BRUNET<sup>a\*</sup>, Michel BROSSARD<sup>a</sup>, Maria Inês LOPES de OLIVEIRA<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>IRD, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier cedex 05, France

<sup>b</sup>EMBRAPA Cerrados, CP 08223, 73301-970 Planaltina DF, Brazil

\*Corresponding author: e-mail : [brunet@mpl.ird.fr](mailto:brunet@mpl.ird.fr)

## RÉSUMÉ

Au cours d'un essai au champ, le ruissellement a été évalué sous pâturages, et pour la première fois dans la région du Cerrado, la quantité de carbone organique (C) dans les sédiments a été estimée. Les mesures ont été réalisées sur six micro-parcelles de 1 m<sup>2</sup> d'un Ferralsol argileux du Plateau Central Brésilien, sous pluies naturelles. Deux pâturages réhabilités et un pâturage témoin de faible productivité ont été comparés, ainsi que le sol nu du témoin. Les résultats montrent que le renouvellement des pâturages, par comparaison au témoin, réduisent le ruissellement et les masses de sédiments recueillis. Toutefois, le ruissellement et les pertes en terre sont faibles sous pâturages, et celles-ci sont 17 fois plus élevées sur sol nu. Les pertes en C organique varient de 1.0 à 3.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup> an<sup>-1</sup> sous pâturages. Sur sol nu, elles sont, en moyenne, de 62 g C m<sup>-2</sup> an<sup>-1</sup>. Elles correspondent à 0.5 % et 12 % de la masse en C de l'horizon de surface (0-0.02 m), respectivement sous pâturages et sur sol nu.

## MOTS-CLES

Carbone érodé, indice de sélectivité du C, stocks de C, ruissellement, pâturages, *Brachiaria brizantha*, *Stylosanthes guianensis*, Cerrado, Brazil.

## ABSTRACT

Soil erosion is one of the most important factors of soil degradation in the tropics. Runoff, soil erosion and eroded carbon (C) were evaluated under pastures, for the Brazilian Cerrado region. Measurements were made on nine 1-m<sup>2</sup> micro-plots under natural rainfall, on a clayey Ferralsol in the Brazilian central Plateau. Treatments comprised of: two renewed pastures, B (21-month-old *Brachiaria brizantha*) and BSG (21-month-old *Brachiaria brizantha* with *Stylosanthes guianensis*), a control pasture with low productivity (10-year-old *Brachiaria brizantha*) and a bare soil under control pasture. Runoff and soil losses were low under pastures. Soil losses were 17 times more on bare soil than under pasture. Eroded soil C ranged from 1.0 to 3.1 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> under pasture, and averaged 62 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> on bare soil. These losses corresponded to 0.5% and 12% of the soil C stock in the 0-0.02 m depth under pasture and bare soil, respectively. The plant cover growing on the restored pastures was very efficient in reducing runoff and sediment concentration in most rainfall events compared to a low productivity *Brachiaria brizantha* pasture.

## KEY WORDS

Eroded carbon, CER, soil carbon stocks, runoff, pastures, *Brachiaria brizantha*, *Stylosanthes guianensis*, Cerrado, Brazil.

## 1 - INTRODUCTION

Transformations in land use since the 1950's have affected large areas of the tropics. Conversion of native vegetation to cropping and pastures has been widespread in all biomes of the tropics (humid forests, savannas, volcanic areas, etc.). Amongst these conversions, monocultures of cultivated pastures with exotic species cover large areas, especially in tropical South America, where pastures cover more than 120 million hectares (Mha). The introduction of pastures has two main objectives: to provide resources for extensive cattle production, and to secure ownership of the land.

In Brazil, the Cerrado region (the savanna biome) covers 22% of the territory, and cultivated pastures with exotic grass species represent 49.5 Mha (Sano et al., 2000). A high proportion of these plant-soil systems is relatively unproductive or in decline. Low productivity pastures are characterized by low liveweight gains during the wet season (from 1000 to 1200 mm) and liveweight losses during the dry season (4-6 months) (Rolón and Primo, 1979). Decrease in productivity is due to inadequate cattle and pasture management, and soil factors (Balbino et al., 2002). Soil carbon content (‰) and total carbon stocks are among the main factors that integrate the effects of management when vegetation and tillage are changed. Hence soil carbon is one of the most important indicators affecting soil quality (Doran et al., 1994). Since the 1970's, many experiments on major tropical soil types have indicated that yield decline is caused by soil loss due to erosion (Stocking, 2003). Conversely, Gitz and Ciais (2003) have shown, by modelling, that changes in land use can cause emission of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere. Reduction in pasture productivity is generally caused by chemical alterations in the soil (Gijssman and Thomas, 1996), and by the adverse effects of animal trampling on soil physical properties (McCalla et al., 1984; Holt et al., 1996) and especially soil compaction (Willatt and Pullar, 1983; Chanasyk and Naeth, 1995; Greenwood et al., 1997).


The Ferralsols (Latosolos, according to the Brazilian classification) represent 46% of the Cerrado area. The top few centimetres of soil of low productivity pastures in these soils have extremely low porosity. Soil structure is a strong platy from the surface to 3 cm depth, followed by a combination of compact clods of 1 to 5 cm in size, and clods organized in very porous agglomerated micro-aggregates (Balbino et al., 2002). In addition to research on conservation tillage and cropping systems, there is a need for information on soil loss under a wide range of soil-plant systems. One of the hypotheses concerning pasture decline processes relates to the loss of water and soil caused by runoff, and consequently, loss of organic carbon (C). The infiltration capacity of pasture is, in general, higher than that of arable land. Experimental data on runoff and soil losses exist in the region at scales ranging from 10-m<sup>2</sup> plots (Dedecek et al., 1986; Leprun, 1994; Santos et al., 1998) to a whole watershed (Silva and Oliveira, 1999). However, losses of organic carbon associated with water erosion have rarely been assessed in this region.

This paper presents the results of an experiment carried out under natural rainfall on 1-m<sup>2</sup> erosion micro-plots on a clayey Ferralsol in the Brazilian central Plateau, in order to assess runoff, soil losses and carbon losses under *Brachiaria* pastures. The short-term effects of renewed pastures on runoff, erosion and eroded C are also discussed.

## 2 - MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experimental site was located on a farm at 1000 m a.s.l. on the Brazilian central Plateau (15°13'S, 47°41'W) in an EMBRAPA Cerrados-IRD-Fazenda Rio de Janeiro experimental (Goiás State). The soil is a homogeneous dark red, clayey Ferralsol with 55 to 65% clay in the upper layers (< 35 cm) and more than 70% in the lower layers (> 55 cm; Table 1). The topsoil layer (0-0.02 m) has a mean bulk density of 0.9 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and a mean C content of 24.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup>.

Figure 1 – Experimental site EMBRAPA Cerrados – IRD – Fazenda Rio de Janeiro (Goiás, Brazil)



The mean annual rainfall is 1200 mm, and the rainy season lasts seven months from the end of September to the beginning of April. The mean annual temperature is 22°C and those of the coldest and warmest month are 20°C (July) and 23 °C (October), respectively.

Three treatments compared during the first rainy season (Figure 1) included:

- Control: a 10-year-old pasture of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv Marandu, characterized by a low productivity,
- treatment B: a 21-month-old pasture of the same *Brachiaria*, and restored,
- treatment BSG: a 21-month-old pasture involving the same *Brachiaria* along with a legume, *Stylosanthes guianensis* var. *vulgaris*, cv Mineirão.

The last two treatments, restored pastures, applied to the same pasture as the control, were established in 1999. Soil tillage included disking to 15-cm depth (twice at right angles) to improve germination of *Brachiaria* seeds present in the soil, sowing of the legume, and spreading fertilizer. The fertilizer application was at 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of phosphorus as triple superphosphate and 74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of sulphur as flower of sulphur. Due to the large plot size (5 ha) and the relative homogeneity of soil and pastures, treatments were not replicated.

Each plot was 400- to 500-m long and had a 3.5% slope. In March 1999, three 1-m<sup>2</sup> erosion micro-plots were demarcated within each plot, at low, middle, and upper positions. These micro-plots were delineated by a frame buried approximately 5 cm into the soil. Runoff generated within the frame border was routed through a pipe into below-ground collection tanks. At this 1-m<sup>2</sup> scale, the beginning of runoff process can be observed. Moreover, under the pastures cover, any gully erosion was determined by the nature of non aggressive rain falls. However, slope runoff coefficients extrapolated to the watershed scale overestimated watershed runoff (Harms and Chanasyk, 2000). The research on the scale effect on runoff has shown that the sheet flow decreases with an increase in surface area for a given rainfall amount (Molinier et al., 1989). The biomass in micro-plots was cut regularly when it reached 30 cm height.

During 2000-2001, all three treatments (B, BSG and Control) were managed uniformly so that their effects could be compared. During 2001-2002, only two treatments (B and BSG) could be compared because the control was managed differently: complete cutting (but without disturbing the soil surface and the roots), and leaving soil surface bare throughout the rainy season in order to evaluate the effects of the absence of plant cover (bare soil under control).

Runoff and sediments were collected twice a week between October and May during two successive rainy seasons, from 2000 to 2002. After filtration through 0.2 µm membranes, the sediments were dried at 65°C and weighed. In addition, topsoil in micro-plots was sampled from 0-0.20 m in January 2001 and from 0-0.02 m at the end of the rainy season of the same year. Particle size distribution was done on the soil of these two layers and on the sediments from the bare soil, but not on the sediments from the pastures due to their small weight. It was measured after dispersion using NaOH, following routine procedures for Ferralsols in Brazil (EMBRAPA, 1997).

Soil and sediment carbon contents were determined by the wet oxidation method (Walkley and Black, 1934, modified by EMBRAPA, 1997). When a runoff event did not produce enough sediment for a C analysis, we assumed that sediment C content was similar to that of the preceding event. The rainfall was recorded weekly on the site by a recording rain-gauge.

Statistical analysis was done by Student unpaired *t*-tests where differences in mean runoff coefficient (%), soil losses (g m<sup>-2</sup>), sediment C (mg C g<sup>-1</sup>), soil organic carbon (SOC) content, (mg C g<sup>-1</sup>), SOC stock of the layer 0-0.02 m (g C m<sup>-2</sup>) and SOC losses (g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) between plots were tested. No assumptions were made on normality and variance equality (Dagnélie, 1975).

Table 1. Particle size distribution, bulk density and organic carbon content (SOC) of the Ferralsol under study

Depth m	Clay g 100 g <sup>-1</sup>		Coarse sand g 100 g <sup>-1</sup>		Fine sand g 100 g <sup>-1</sup>		Silt g 100 g <sup>-1</sup>		Bulk density g cm <sup>-3</sup>		SOC mg g <sup>-1</sup>	
	mean	SD*	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD
0-0.02	53.4	3.7	3.7	0.8	25.2	5.4	13.4	4.9	0.90	0.09	24.2	3.99
0.05-0.15	62.1	4.3	3.5	1.0	13.9	3.4	14.8	3.3	1.13	0.09	19.3	2.7
0.25-0.35	65.8	4.9	3.8	0.8	14.2	3.6	11.8	4.4	1.13	0.15	12.7	2.1
0.55-0.65	70.7	4.1	2.6	0.5	13.3	3.0	9.7	3.1	1.10	0.15	8.4	1.6
0.85-0.95	71.3	2.9	2.6	1.2	14.1	3.8	8.8	3.4	1.06	0.14	6.7	1.1
1.15-1.25	71.0	3.3	2.1	1.0	14.6	2.9	9.2	3.1	1.02	0.09	5.4	0.8

\* SD: standard deviation

n = 9 for particle size distribution, for bulk density n = 54 for the 0.0-0.02 m layer, and n = 9 for the others layers

253

Table 2. Runoff coefficient (RC) in % and soil losses in g m<sup>-2</sup> on 1 m<sup>2</sup> plots

Plot	2000-2001				2001-2002			
	RC		Soil losses		RC		Soil losses	
	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD
B	0,1 a	0.0	43 a	6	0.3 a	0.3	125 a	40
BS	0.2 a	0.2	72 b	63	0.2 a	0.2	124 a	74
Control	1.3 b	0.6	118 c	92	<b>9.0 b</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>2073 b</b>	<b>475</b>

In bold, data on bare soil

Data followed by the same letter are not significantly different

### 3 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Rainfall, runoff and soil losses

Total rainfall was 1101 mm during 2000-2001 and 1304 mm during 2001-2002 (Figure 2). Compared to other tropical areas, rainfall was not very erosive, since only six daily rainfalls exceeded 50 mm during these two seasons, and the intensity of only seven rains exceeded  $50 \text{ mm h}^{-1}$  in 30 minutes.

For a given treatment and landscape position, during the first season, the annual runoff coefficient (RC: annual runoff / annual rainfall, in %) ranged between 0.1 and 0.5% in B and BSG and between 0.8 and 1.9% in the control (Table 2). The significant difference between the control and B and BSG may be explained by the ground cover, which was 70-80% for B and BSG and 50-55% for the control. During the second season, the RC in B and BSG ranged between approximately the same values (0.1 to 0.6%) with slight variations among the micro-plots. The RC was 9% on bare soil micro-plots in the absence of grass cover. Averaged over the two seasons, the RC, which ranged from 0.1 to 0.3%, was not significantly different among the two restored treatments.

Annual soil losses ranged between  $43$  and  $119 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  under pasture during the first rainy season (with 1100-mm annual rainfall), but did not differ significantly among the three treatments though they tended to be more in the control (Table 2). During the following season (with 1300-mm annual rainfall), soil losses in B and BSG were similar, but higher than the first season. They were often maximum on the low and minimum on the middle position of the slope (except B). No significant difference was observed between the B and BS treatments. Soil losses were  $2073 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  on bare soil.

At this scale, under pasture, few data exist on runoff and soil losses for this region. However Castro et al. (1999) observed a RC of 6%, and  $20 \text{ g m}^{-2}$  of soil loss on no-till and mulched  $1\text{-m}^2$  plots, under natural rainfall on a clayey Ferralsol in southern Brazil. At another scale, Dedecek et al. (1986) measured soil losses up to  $53 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , in  $77\text{-m}^2$  bare soil plots of a Ferralsol in the same region. Thus, the present data confirmed the major effect of plant cover, with the two kinds of pasture rehabilitation, on runoff generation on these Ferralsols, which are clearly sensitive to erosion as shown by the control bare soil. The present results also indicated that legume introduction in the renewed pasture did not significantly affect soil erosion.

#### 3.2. Organic carbon concentration in sediment


For a given treatment, landscape position and year, sediment C content ranged between 21 and  $27 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ , except in the middle slope position of the control plot where it was  $31 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$  during 2000-2001 (under pasture) and  $40 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$  in 2001-2002 (bare soil). But the treatment means, which ranged between 23 and  $30 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ , did not differ significantly (Table 3). In comparison, for small pastured watersheds, Owens et al. (2002) observed values ranging from 52 to  $72 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ .

However, sediment C content tended to be somewhat more in 2001-2002 than in 2000-2001 (+5% on average). This may be explained by the fact that there was an incomplete amount of litter/waste during the first season, part of it having been accidentally removed during the first samplings. The SOC content in the micro-plot topsoil (0-0.02 m) ranged from 21 to  $29 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ , and was thus similar to sediment C contents. Correlation between topsoil and sediment C contents was stronger in 2001-2002 ( $r^2 = 0.64$ ,  $n = 9$ ) than in 2000-2001 ( $r^2 = 0.47$ ).

#### 3.3. Organic carbon mass associated with sediments and in the topsoil

Soil carbon losses depended strictly on soil losses because C content in the topsoil (0-0.02 m) varied little. Considering each runoff event under pasture during these two rainy seasons, the

Figure 2 - Daily rainfall (mm) during the two rainy seasons from 2000 to 2002



mass of C associated with the sediment ( $\text{g C m}^{-2}$ ) was strongly correlated with the mass of sediment ( $\text{g soil m}^{-2}$ ) ( $r^2 = 0.86$ ,  $n = 194$ ; Figure 3).

Two years after pasture restoration, in May 2001, the mean SOC stock in the 0-0.02 m layer was 384, 432 and 499  $\text{g C m}^{-2}$  in B, BS and the control, respectively (Table 4). Although the control had a C stock 30% and 15% higher than B and BSG, respectively, these differences were not significant. Nevertheless, differences may be explained partly by the lower SOC content in B and BSG treatment. The disk plowing in 1999 to 15 cm depth in B and BS treatments may have accentuated mineralization of organic matter, a dilution of the surface organic matter by the mechanical effect of disking, and also on the soil bulk density, which was lower in treatment B. Additionally, the SOC stock at 0-0.02 m depth was 13% more in B than in BSG, and this difference was significant (due to small standard deviations).

Considering the 0-0.20 m layer, these differences were smaller or non-existent, the C stocks being 3.9, 4.6 and 4.5  $\text{kg C m}^{-2}$  in B, BS and control, respectively (data not shown). Under 12-year-old pasture on Brazilian Ferralsols of the same type, Chapuis-Lardy et al. (2002) observed a C stock of 5.4  $\text{kg C m}^{-2}$  for the 0-0.2 m layer.

For a given treatment and year, C losses ranged between 1.0 and 3.1  $\text{g eroded C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  under pastures, and were more in 2001-2002 than in 2000-2001 in B and BSG treatments (Table 4). In contrast, on bare soil, C losses were twenty times greater (61.8  $\text{g eroded C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) than under pasture during the second rainy season (Table 4). Moreover, if we consider separately the runoff events on bare soil for lower and upper slope positions, where mean sediment C content was 25.8  $\text{mg C g}^{-1}$ , C losses were strongly correlated with soil losses ( $r^2 = 0.99$ ,  $n = 86$ ). For the middle slope position, where sediment C content was 39.6  $\text{mg C g}^{-1}$  in bare soil, C losses were also strongly correlated with soil losses ( $r^2 = 0.995$ ,  $n = 42$ ). On this last landscape position, soil losses were the lowest and sediment C content was the highest of all measurements.

Finally, on the 1- $\text{m}^2$  scale, mean annual C losses under pastures represented 0.5% of the SOC stock in the 0-0.02 m soil layer, whereas under bare soil they represented 12% of the SOC stock. These data provide some new insights into C fluxes in managed tropical grassland. Representing relatively small quantities of the SOC, the C associated with the eroded sediment may be of some importance in the organic matter redistribution over the landscape down slope. For example, at another scale, on small watersheds in Ohio ( $< 0.8 \text{ ha}$ ), Owens et al. (2002) reported mean eroded C losses of 12.7 to 24.0  $\text{kg eroded C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  depending on tillage practices (13.8  $\text{kg eroded C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for no-tillage). On 100- $\text{m}^2$  runoff plots from tropical and Mediterranean regions, Roose (2004) reported C losses ranging from 0.1 to 50  $\text{kg eroded C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in well covered plots (forest, savanna, etc.), 50 to 350  $\text{kg eroded C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  under row crops, and up to 3000  $\text{kg eroded C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for bare fallows on steep slopes in very humid regions. In Kenya, Zöbisch et al. (1995) arrived at similar conclusions; on 23 - $\text{m}^2$  plots, they observed during a rainy season 773 and 53  $\text{kg eroded C ha}^{-1}$  lost on bare fallow and maize-beans rotation, respectively.

### 3.4. The enrichment ratio

The enrichment ratio is defined as the ratio of the concentration of any given component in the eroded materials to that in the contributing soils. It is greater than 1 when the sedimentary materials are enriched. For the two rainy seasons under study, the organic carbon enrichment ratio was more than 1 for all treatments, considering the 0-0.10 m soil depth layer as a reference (Table 3). Then, it ranged between 1.1 and 1.3 under pastures, and was 1.4 the in control bare soil. Considering the 0-0.02 m soil depth, the enrichment ratio was close to 1. In the control bare soil, the particle size distribution showed that the amounts of clay and silt in sediments ranged from 500 to 690 and 120 to 230  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ , respectively, depending on the micro-plot considered, whereas they were 560 and 110  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$  soil in the 0-0.02 m layer and



Figure 3 - Relationship between the soil carbon mass associated to sediments and soil losses under pastures (2000-2002)




Table 3. Organic carbon contents in the sediments ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ ) and the soil layers (SOC) 0-0.02 m and 0-0.20 m, and carbon enrichment ratio

Plot	C Sediments				SOC 0-0.20 m		Enrichment ratio layer 0-0.20 m		SOC 0-0.02 m		Enrichment ratio layer 0-0.02 m	
	2000-2001		2001-2002		mean	SD	2000-2001	2001-2002	mean	SD	2000-2001	2001-2002
	mean	SD	mean	SD								
B	23.6 a	0.9	24.1 a	2.7	17.2 a	3.3	1.4	1.4	22.1 a	0.8	1.1	1.1
BS	23.0 a	1.8	24.7 a	2.1	20.2 a	2.4	1.1	1.2	23.6 a	1.6	1.0	1.0
Control	25.4 a	5.0	30.4 a	8.1	20.5 a	1.3	1.2	1.5	26.9 a	3.1	0.9	1.1

Data followed by the same letter are not significantly different

Table 4. Soil organic carbon (SOC) losses of micro-plots and SOC stock of layer 0-0.02 m ( $\text{g m}^{-2}$ )

	SOC stock 0-0.02 m		SOC losses			
	mean	SD	2000-2001		2001-2002	
			mean	SD	mean	SD
B	384 a	19	1.0 a	0.2	3.0 a	1.1
BS	432 a	19	1.6 b	1.5	3.2 a	2.1
Control	499 a	97	2.7 c	2.0	<b>61.8 b</b>	<b>9.4</b>

In bold, data on bare soil

Data followed by the same letter are not significantly different

630 and 160 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in the 0-0.10 m layer (data not shown). The small depletion of clay particles in this Ferralsol upper layer was a consequence of sheet erosion over time. De Jong and Kachanovski (1988) reported that about 50% of SOC losses in Canadian grassland sites were due to erosion. But in other cases, for well-managed pastures, the SOC content is generally conserved. For example, Fisher et al. (1994) observed an increase in soil C content to 1-m depth under *Brachiaria humidicola* on a "Llanos" soil in Colombia. Chapuis-Lardy et al. (2002) reported that pastures increased the storage of C in the topsoil of Ferralsols compared to the native Cerrado ecosystems. But under low productivity cultivated pastures, C storage may be lower than in native fields (Da Silva et al., 2004).

#### 4 - CONCLUSION

At the 1-m<sup>2</sup> scale of this study, runoff under pasture was small, and was significantly smaller in the restored pastures than in the degraded control pasture. Similarly, soil and carbon losses under pasture were small, and were smaller in the restored pastures than in the control, but the differences were not significant. In contrast, runoff, soil and carbon losses were much greater in control bare soil than under pasture. Considering events individually, eroded C was strongly correlated with soil losses. The C enrichment ratio was about 1 considering the 0-0.02 m soil depth layer, and ranged between 1.1 and 1.4 considering the 0-0.10 m soil layer. However, there was no significant differences in C stock between restored and control pastures in the 0.02 m soil layer. The level of eroded C by sheet erosion under experimental pasture conditions was small (0.5% of the initial C stock in the 0.02 m soil layer), but was larger (12%) in the bare soil.

The plant cover in the restored pastures was very efficient in reducing runoff and sediment loss for most rainfall events compared to the control, a 10-year-old *Brachiaria brizantha* pasture. However, differences were small and generally not significant among both renewed pastures with regard to runoff, soil losses and eroded C.

The erosion of C was a selective process because it was limited to the top soil. There were no rills. The eroded C may be of some importance in the redistribution of soil organic matter over the landscape. However, this process is probably not the main factor responsible for pasture decline in this region.

The data presented allows the assessment of the effect of the pasture restoration on runoff and carbon associated with sediment losses under natural rainfall and runoff conditions. Further investigations are needed to study the sustainability of the restored pastures beyond two successive rainy seasons for numerous runoff and erosion events.

#### Acknowledgement

Financial and logistic support was received from EMBRAPA Cerrados (Brazil) and IRD (France) during the Pastures/Soil Project 1997-2002.

#### REFERENCES

- Balbino, L.C., M. Brossard, J.C. Leprun, and A. Bruand. 2002. Mise en valeur des Ferralsols de la région du Cerrado (Brésil) et évolution de leurs propriétés physiques : une étude bibliographique. *Etude et Gestion des Sols* 9: 83-104.
- Castro, N.M. dos R., A.V. Auzet, P. Chevallier, and J.C. Leprun. 1999. Land use change effects on runoff and erosion from plot to catchment scale on the basaltic plateau of southern Brazil. *Hydrological Processes* 13: 1621-1628.
- Chanasyk, D.S. and M.A. Naeth. 1995. Grazing impacts on bulk density and penetrometer resistance in the foothills fescue grasslands of Alberta, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 75: 551-557.

- Chapuis Lardy, L., M. Brossard, M.L. Lopes Assad, and J.Y. Laurent. 2002. Carbon and phosphorus stocks of clayey Ferralsols in Cerrado native and agroecosystems, Brazil. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 92: 147-158.
- Da Silva, J.E., D.V.S. Resck, E.J. Corazza, and L. Vivaldi. 2004. Carbon storage in clayey Oxisol cultivated pastures in the "Cerrado" region, Brazil. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 103: 357-363.
- Dagnélie, P. 1975. Théorie et méthodes statistiques. Applications agronomiques, 2nd ed. Presses Agronomiques de Gembloux. Belgium.
- Dedecek, R.A., D.V.S. Resck, and E. de Freitas Jr. 1986. Perdas de solo, água e nutrientes por erosão em latossolo vermelho-escuro dos cerrados em diferentes cultivos sob chuva natural. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo* 10: 265-272.
- De Jong, E. and R.G. Kachanoski. 1988. The importance of erosion in the carbon balance of prairies soils. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 68: 111-119.
- Doran, J.W., D.C. Coleman, D.F. Bezdicsek, and B.A. Stewart (eds.). 1994. *Defining Soil Quality for a Sustainable Environment*. Soil Science Society of America Journal Special Publication 35, Madison, WI, USA.
- EMBRAPA. 1997. *Manual de Métodos de Análise de Solo*. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Rio de Janeiro.
- Fisher, M.J., I.M. Rao, M.A. Ayarza, C.E. Lascano, J.I. Sanz, R.J. Thomas, and R.R. Vera. 1994. Carbon storage by introduced deep-rooted grasses in the South American savannas. *Nature* 371: 236-238.
- Gijsman, A.J. and R.J. Thomas. 1996. Evaluation of some physical properties of an Oxisol after conversion of native savanna into legume-based or pure grass pastures. *Tropical Grasslands* 30: 237-248.
- Gitz, V. and P. Ciais. 2003. Amplification effect of changes in land use and concentration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience* 335: 1179-1198.
- Greenwood, K.L., D.A. McLeod, and K.J. Hutchinson. 1997. Long-term stocking rate effects on soil physical properties. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 37: 413-419.
- Harms, T.E. and D.S. Chanasyk. 2000. Plot and small-watershed scale runoff from two reclaimed surface-mined watersheds in Alberta, *Hydrological processes* 14: 1327-1339.
- Holt, J.A., K.L. Bristow, and J.G. McIvor. 1996. The effects of grazing pressure on soil animals and hydraulic properties of two soils in semi-arid tropical Queensland. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 34: 69-79.
- Leprun, J.C. 1994. Effets de la mise en valeur sur la dégradation physique des sols. Bilan du ruissellement et de l'érosion de quelques grands écosystèmes brésiliens. *Étude et Gestion des Sols* 1: 45-65.
- McCalla, G.R., W.H. Blackburn, and L.B. Merrill. 1984. Effects of livestock grazing on infiltration rates, Edwards Plateau of Texas. *Journal of Range Management* 37: 265-269.
- Molinier, M., P. Audry, P., J.C. Desconnets, and J.C. Leprun. 1989. Dynamique de l'eau et des matières dans un écosystème représentatif du Nordeste brésilien. Conditions d'extrapolation spatiale à l'échelle régionale. *Rapport final ATP-PIREN*, multigr. ORSTOM, Recife, 1989.
- Owens, L.B., R.W. Malone, D.L. Hothem, G.C. Starr, and R. Lal. 2002. Sediment carbon concentration and transport from small watersheds under various conservation tillage practices. *Soil and Tillage Research* 67: 65-73.
- Rolón, J.D. and A.T. Primo. 1979. Experiences in regional demonstration trials in Brazil. p. 417-430. In: P.A. Sanchez and L.E. Tergas (eds.), *Pasture Production in Acid Soils of the Tropics*. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical, Cali, Colombia.
- Roose, E.J. 2004. Carbon losses by erosion and enrichment ratio: influence of green cover, soils and erosion processes on slopes of tropical countries. Proceedings of the International

Colloquium Land Use, Erosion and Carbon Sequestration, 23-28 September 2002, Montpellier, France.

- Sano, E.E., A. de O. Barcellos, and H.S. Bezerra. 2000. Assessing the spatial distribution of cultivated pastures in the Brazilian savanna. *Pasturas Tropicales* 23: 2-15.
- Santos, D., N. Curi, M.M. Ferreira, A.R. Evangelista, A.B. da Cruz Filho, and W.G. Teixeira. 1998. Perdas de solo e produtividade de pastagens nativas melhoradas sob diferentes práticas de manejo. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* 33: 183-189.
- Silva, C.L. and C.A.S. Oliveira. 1999. Runoff measurement and prediction for a watershed under natural vegetation in central Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo* 23: 695-701.
- Stocking, M. A. 2003. Tropical soils and food security: the next 50 years. *Science* 302: 1356-1359.
- Walkley, A. and I.A. Black. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* 37: 29-38.
- Willatt, S.T. and D.M. Pullar. 1983. Changes in soil physical properties under grazed pastures. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 22: 343-348.
- Zöbisch, M.A., C. Richter, B. Heiligtag, and R. Schlott. 1995. Nutrient losses from cropland in the Central Highlands of Kenya due to surface runoff and soil erosion. *Soil and Tillage Research* 33: 109-116.