Influence of termites on ecosystem functioning. Ecosystem services provided by termites
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ABSTRACT

As soil engineers, termites play a key role in the functioning of many tropical and subtropical ecosystems. This review assesses advances in our knowledge of the beneficial influences of termites on ecosystem functioning and services. Termites are amongst the main macroinvertebrate decomposers in arid and semi-arid environments, and exert additional impacts through the creation of biostructures (mounds, galleries, sheetings, etc...) with different soil physical and chemical properties. They influence the distribution of natural resources such as water and nutrients in the landscape and consequently the diversity of soil microbes, plants and animals. Surprisingly, considering the wide range of ecosystem services provided by termites, few researches have been reported on the utilization of termite activity for the management of soil fertility or for the rehabilitation of degraded soils. In our final section, we discuss the main obstacles hampering the development of such approaches and we suggest that ecosystems services provided by termites are not sufficiently appreciated, especially in the context of long-term processes and possible biotechnologies derived from a detailed knowledge of their biology.

© 2011 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

With the intensification of agriculture over recent decades and the social and environmental imperative to develop sustainable agricultural practices, there is now a sharp focus on the influence of cultural systems on soil biodiversity and the role of soil biodiversity in mediating the main ecological functions of the system [80,107]. Amongst the below-ground biota, soil ecosystem engineers play a key role by regulating the fluxes of energy and materials across different spatial and temporal scales [69,71,77,79]. The primary concept in this engineering process is the ability of a key subset of the organisms to create soil biogenic structures with biological, physical and chemical properties different from those of the surrounding soil system [69,77].

In the tropics, termites (Isoptera) are arguably the most important soil ecosystem engineers [16]. Their functional domain (physical sphere of influence at the point scale) is designated the termitosphere [34,69,77,78]. In most lowland tropical habitats, where termites are especially abundant, the termitosphere comprises a large part of the soil column, challenged only by the functional domain of earthworms (the drilosphere). Termites have the abilities to forage over long distances (metres to tens of metres) and to partially control their own living environments through the creation of nest structures where the humidity and temperature remain constant throughout all seasons. This gives them a striking ability to remain active in harsh environments, or during severe seasons, where most other soil macro-invertebrates are diminished or eliminated. For instance in arid and semi-arid tropical savannas, during the dry season termites remain living environments through the creation of nest structures where the humidity and temperature remain constant throughout all seasons. This gives them a striking ability to remain active in harsh environments, or during severe seasons, where most other soil macro-invertebrates are diminished or eliminated. For instance in arid and semi-arid tropical savannas, during the dry season termites remain virtually the only active group of invertebrate detritivores and bioturbators, consequently dominating the decomposition processes [31,131] and the provision of essential ecosystem services [80]. Subjective assessments of the importance of termites, based on observations of their very high population densities are now supported by a number of thorough studies which suggest they may represent 40–65% of the overall soil macrofaunal biomass in some biotopes [131]. Live biomass densities have been estimated to be from 70 to 110 kg ha−1 and from 510 to 1150 g of live weight in the largest nests [12,131]. Abundances (all genera) can reach up to 15,000 ind m−2 (rarely, but densities between 2000 and 7000 ind m−2 are quite commonly reported), and individual nests can contain anything from
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a handful of individuals to many millions ([14,61] and references therein). These values are comparable to the biomass of ungulates and megaherbivores in African savannas [11,88] and thus strongly justify both discussion of the importance of termites in the functioning of tropical ecosystems and their inclusion in all models of processes.

To demonstrate the several impacts of termites, it is instructive to consider the functional-group classification based on the types and the variety of food materials used and the locations of their nesting and feeding sites. In soil, and at a first approximation, two main feeding groups of termites can be recognized: the soil- and litter-feeders (including grass foragers). Across all environments inhabited by termites (the savannas being much greater in extent than the remaining humid forests), the litter-feeders can be proposed as the most important ecologically because of their consistent presence and (commonly but not exclusively) their numerical preponderance in terms of both species and individuals. Natural or lightly disturbed semi-natural humid forests, however, support a high diversity of soil-feeding forms, often with predominant biomass [14]. Soil-feeding may comprise more than one digestive strategy and process, with several evolutions or divergent clades [38,85]. Soil- and litter-feeding termites consume organic matter (humus, ingested with variable straining in the last decade and a contemporary dedicated review demonstrating the in several syntheses have been published in the last 40 years on the role of termites in soil systems [18,81,86,131], one of the most recent being the work of Holt and Lepage in 2000 [56]. However, a significant number of new articles have been published in the last decade and a contemporary dedicated review demonstrating the influence of termites on soil ecosystem functioning and updating the growing literature is now appropriate. In this paper we first review advances in our knowledge of how termites influence the soil system, plant growth and species diversity in tropical ecosystems. We then give examples of the utilization of termite activity for the promotion of ecosystem services in agricultural lands and identify four obstacles hampering further research on this topic. Finally, faced with accelerating land-use changes in the tropics, we briefly consider whether the active manipulation of termite activity can save or promote ecosystem services in the future.

2. Influence of termites on ecosystem functioning

2.1. Litter decomposition

In purely metabolic terms and in a global context, the decomposition of plant material is carried out primarily by free-living fungi and bacteria, but in many tropical habitats termites also contribute to the consumption and mineralization of a significant part of litter by processing large quantities of plant material [14,50,132]. This impact is especially large in more arid regions such as deserts and dry savannas where the short duration of the rainy season impedes litter and cattle-dung degradation by flies, beetles and bacterial and fungal populations [14,119]. This ecological niche is therefore occupied by termites, which are able to maintain a humid atmosphere in their own colony centres, and can therefore forage for and process large amounts of litter independently of ambient climate [30,31,54,129]. A wide range of termite species typically feed on dead plant material such as wood, bark and straw, being able to digest woody fibres with the assistance of the gut microbiota, supplementing endogenous enzymes [17]. Even animal products, such as mammalian hooves and dungs, can also be consumed though spatially and temporally variable [47–50,104]. Like shredder organisms, termites can mechanically chop up plant material with their mandibles and grind it with their gizzard, thereby increasing the surface area accessible to soil microorganisms, as well as their own intestinal symbionts and speeding up net decay by protist, bacterial and fungal agents. One consequence of such termite activity is a return of organic matter into the soil, via faeces, the biomass of termite bodies and within their biogenic structures, which would otherwise be lost to the periodic fires characteristic of drier savannas and scrublands.

2.2. Bioturbation and soil formation

One of the major effects of termites in ecosystems is their role in soil loosening (reduction of bulk density) and both vertical and horizontal transport through bioturbation, and subsequent erosions of their constructions. Large amounts of soil are transported from various depths of the profile to the soil surface during mound-, gallery- and sheeting constructions. This is especially true with termites of the subfamily Macrotermiteinae [56] although it has also been assessed and documented in the genus Trinervitermes [25]. Using rare earth element and trace element concentrations, Sako et al. [117] confirmed that the nests of Macrotermes sp. are produced through the accumulation of highly weathered soil originating from deeper layers. The magnitude and route of soil translocation resulting from termite activity is directly related to their specific dietary habits and the properties of the soil they use [5,25,62]. The mounds of humivorous termites are built with materials coming mainly from the surface horizon and recycled at this level by erosion. By contrast, the fungus-growing Macrotermiteinae can retrieve their material (wet soil particles) very deep in the profile, even down to the water table (which might be as low as 50 m in places such as the Sahel zone of Senegal) [56]. Over time these effects of termites on soil translocation will have strong consequences on the profile, making termites agents of pedogenesis as well as responsible for the distribution of resources in the ecosystem [22,42,71]. Soil transported by termites generally contains higher proportions of finer sized particles, and therefore typically demonstrates different clay mineral compositions than those predominating at the original surface [4,20,21,39,40,66,90–92]. In addition, termites have also been considered as weathering agents due to their ability to transform minerals chemically [62,117]. This process might be indirect, through the exposure of clays from deeper soil layers to the atmosphere and the weathering action of rain water, or direct through soil rehandling by termites. Using laboratory experiments, Jouquet et al. [62] showed that such rehandling can lead to an increase of the expandable layers of the silicate clay minerals. However, the effect depends on the handling intensity required (or available) for individual constructions [62,63,70]. The exact mechanisms by which termites influence clay mineralogical properties are unknown, however, it can be proposed that the grinding of soil particles by termite mandibles in the saliva-rich environment of the buccal cavity increases the surface area exposed to the surrounding solution, and then a release of interlayer K and the adsorption of hydrated or polar ions between the layers. If proven to apply in large areas of the world’s savannas, this cumulated action over decades and centuries could be an ultimate determinant of soil fertility in environments dominated by low activity clays, such as kaolinite. Such a role could be expected across the subfamily Macrotermiteinae,
but Boyer [20,21] suggested neoformation is less common in the soil-feeding termites.

2.3. Soil organic matter and nutrient cycling

Termite nests and biomass have been characterized as “sinks” as they withdraw large quantities of litter and soil organic matter (SOM) from the “normal” decomposition pathways [129]. The consumption of organic matter by termites is used in the production of termite biomass and in the building of nest-constructions. The return input of organic matter and mineral nutrients to the soil environment occurs via faeces, salivary secretions, corpses and predators. Mortality, particularly from ant predation, and mound erosion are important contributors to the turnover and redistribution of the organic matter and mineral nutrients in the ecosystem. Except in the case of fungus-growing termites, the SOM content is usually higher in termite mounds and in the surrounding soil than in the soil unaffected by termites (considered as a control) [5,23,56]. The level of this enrichment is directly related to the specific dietary habits of termites and to the building materials used for nest making [25]. Enrichment becomes significant in poor sandy soils, such as those of savanna ecosystems, whereas smaller enrichments or none at all take place in more clayey soils and in organic rich humid forest soils [23,25]. In the nest structures of fungus-growing termite species, the quantity and quality of SOM are very variable depending on the species concerned and on the soil properties. The SOM content in fungus-growing termite-built structures can be similar [42], higher [1,8,64], or lower [9,23,33,43,51,66] than the surrounding control soil, depending on the initial soil properties.

Termite mound soils have higher levels of total N, greater cationic exchange capacity and more mineral nutrients (Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, K^{+}) than surrounding soils [56,100], due to both the concentration in, and subsequent decomposition of, organic matter within the mounds and to the creation of stable biogenic aggregates which protect SOM from microbial decomposition for long periods, provided the structures are maintained [3,34]. Several studies have shown that the mineral N levels in termite-constructions (galleries, sheetings, fungus-comb chambers and mounds) are much higher than those of the nearby soils, even without visible activity of termites [101,102]. This may be explained by the higher SOM mineralization in the biострукture [1], the higher retention of nutrients or protection of SOM in the soil aggregates [43,52], the fixation of atmospheric N by symbiotic hindgut bacteria of termites [31,133] or by the inhibition of nitrification [101]. Denitrification can also be higher in termitaria than in the surrounding soil [2]. Fewer studies have been done on the effect of termites on soil P, although this nutrient is often deficient in tropical soils, and contradictory results can be found in the literature. The influence of termites on the total and available P fractions seem to vary according to the functional group, the part of the termite nest sampled and also probably to the initial pedological properties. For instance, nests of soil and litter feeders display greater amounts of available P while fungus-growing termites tend to increase P sorption [87,92,115].

Unlike other termite feeding-guilds, which mineralise the OM ingested at high efficiency (up to 90% for cellulose and hemi-cellulose), it is suggested that the primary contribution of the soil-feeding termites is a positive influence on the overall OM dynamics. They mediate an intense humification process which begins during the gut transit, where a re-organization and re-integration of SOM with the mineral soil fraction occurs. This process leads to the formation of stable clay–humic complexes which are further incorporated as micro-aggregates in termite-structures, protecting the SOM from mineralisation [22]. More recently, it has emerged that in the Cubitermes clade (sensu [85]) of soil-feeding Termitinae, the digestive process first releases and then degrades peptide components of SOM, while polyaromatic substances remain less significantly changed [27]. The significance of this process in the overall scheme of humification is unclear, but an immediate consequence is the mineralization of organic N as ammonia [59] and the emission of CH4 and NO2 [26,103]. Model humic peptides labelled with 14C were about 30% mineralized as CO2 on passage through the soil-feeder gut [26,103].

At the landscape scale, termite activity plays a primary role in the distribution of resources. By feeding on organic litter and SOM in areas surrounding colony centres, termites reduce the inputs that would otherwise flow to the soil from other organisms. By contrast, the accumulation of resources in the termite nests lead to the creation of nutrient patches which contrast with the highly weathered tropical soils between them. The longest lasting of the mounds, which persist as inhabited and eroded biogenic structures, remains on scales of years to decades for some species. These structures seem likely the main factor generating the patch mosaics that characterize the soils and vegetation of many tropical landscapes, but more especially savannas [69,71].

2.4. Hydraulic properties and soil erosion

The role of termites in water infiltration and runoff is closely related to the importance, structure and arrangement of their subterranean biogenic structures, which comprise foraging and storage galleries, feeding chambers and communication channels, in addition to chambered colony centres (in wholly underground species) and the foundations of epigeal mounds. Quantitative information on the effects of termites on water infiltration into the soil is sparse. Many observations and a number of exclusion experiments demonstrate that the harvesting activity of termites creates a dense network of underground galleries that are connected to the soil surface by foraging holes through which water can penetrate and which constitute preferential flow paths [41,55,82,83,125]. Termitic biostreams act therefore as a network of horizontal and vertical macropores influencing bulk density, aeration, water infiltration and runoff, then capturing overland flow and (potentially) determining the hydrological characteristics of watersheds [35,41,128]. The sizes, types of wall construction, depth distributions and extent of termite gallery systems differ markedly, depending on the ecological strategies of the species involved and the characteristics of the soil present [76]. Termite mounds can also influence water infiltration and nutrient leaching, although this effect is likely to depend on the age and whether the mounds are inhabited by termites or abandoned. For instance, in Brazil Rückamp et al. [114] found leaching from mounds built and inhabited by Coptotermes silvestrii was not different than from soil, but higher losses of nitrate and lower leaching of dissolved organic carbon occurred when mounds built by C. silvestrii were subsequently occupied by inquiline species. When colonies are present (especially the constructors), epigeal mound surfaces are hardened, leading to rainsplash and channelled runoff, but little erosion [56].

Termite mound soils of the tropical forests and woodlands are an important source of nutrients, providing the structure for the nutrient patches in the landscape. They also act as microhabitats for a wide range of other organisms, including fungi, bacteria, and other invertebrates. The termite mounds are important for the maintenance of soil fertility, and for the conservation of biodiversity. The role of termites in the ecosystem is therefore critical for the functioning of tropical ecosystems.
whether these structures are re-colonized by other termite species or colonies. Processes of nutrient redistribution can be very fast, on the scale of a few days in the case of the erosion and redistribution of nutrients within galleries or sheetings but longer in the case of the redistribution of nutrients contained in mounds [32]. Termites use different construction strategies depending on whether the structure to be built is a lasting (a mound-nest) or a temporary one (sheetings, runways). Temporary structures built by Macrotermesinae (notably the rough sheetings placed over the surface of the ground and potential food items) can be created from the immediately adjacent soil and relatively little organic matter is supplied as a binding agent, whereas in the case of nests termites gather components deeper in soil and incorporate more organic matter [62,64].

As observed with Trinervitermes sp., fine-textured dispersive material can be deposited close to the mounds to form surface seals that may continue to modify local infiltration and drainage patterns until disrupted through the activity of soil fauna or plants [58]. On sloping surfaces, downhill transport and sorting of materials can be hastened by the actions of termites. Where prevalent, termites therefore may contribute materially to the formation of catenas [130]. Lepage [84] estimated that an 8 m³ mound would take 20–25 years to erode. Erosion is very rapid in the first years after abandonment but the rate then subsides gradually until it is more or less negligible due to the stabilization effect of vegetation growth on the remains of the structure. Temporal scale is important: some termite mounds persist in the landscape for periods of a decade or more, and their individual influence on soil profile development during that time may be negligible. However, over 100s or 1000s of years, the importance of termites in the turnovers of both mineral materials and organic matter in the landscape and in the whole soil profiles may be much greater, such that long-term pedogenesis is mediated by their activity [29,58,130].

2.5. Vegetation growth and diversity

The concentration of SOM and nutrients in termite nest structures exaggerates resource patchiness and the structures can be considered as “fertile islands” or “sinks for nutrients” [5,46,57,60,69,71], which are beneficial resources for plants. There are also some evidences that termite nests serve as foci for nutrient redistribution in some landscapes and lateral flows have been shown to occur from these islands to the surrounding environments [29,56,71,105]. In modifying the soil structure, and especially in increasing the content of clay, termites may also have strong impacts on the availability of soil water for plants, added to the accumulation of available nutrients around the nests from erosion. As a consequence, plant growth on or in the vicinity of termite mounds is increased [68,75,121,122,124,129] with an attendant higher capacity to tolerate herbivory [24]. Most studies show that large mounds built by fungus-growing termites support different vegetations than the surrounding landscape, with some species exclusive to the mound sites [65,120,124], and therefore both generate diversity and affect the overall productivity of the plant community. Vegetated mounds also enhance spatial heterogeneity in the landscape [124], and as elevated sites, the larger termite-built structures may also give plants protection from fire and from land flooding [29], as well as improved drainage, greater soil depth, higher soil moisture and improved fertility status [75]. The weathering of termite mounds and the resulting enhancement of nutrients in their immediate vicinity may be partially exploited by the roots of adjacent plants and their associated mycorrhizal fungi [40,121]. Thus the perimeter zones may be preferential areas for the recruitment of tree seedlings and may therefore be qualified as ecosystem regeneration hot spots [124]. However, the hardness and imperviousness of living termitaria can also discourage and impede the growth of plants [5,53,81].

2.6. Soil animal and microbial biodiversity

The heterogeneity created in soils by the demarcation of the termite functional domain is a major generator and regulator of soil biodiversity and promotes ecological stability [6]. As ecosystem engineers, termites modulate the availability of resources for other species, such as soil macro- and microfauna and microorganisms, and create habitats that can be used by a remarkable number of organisms for nesting, roosting or accommodating stages of their life cycles [69,127].

Many studies suggest that termite mounds and sheetings could constitute sites of microbial diversity, with a different assemblage structure from the parent soil [13,17,23,37,44,45,67,109]. At the same time, increasing evidences prove that termites are able to control microorganism numbers and probably diversity in selected parts of their mounds [111], such that the higher substrate availability, nutrient content, and moisture levels available promote the growth of a selected and possibly specialised community of commensal bacteria and fungi [1,66,118,122].

In addition to constituting a food resource for a wide range of animals, termite mound nests serve as refuge for a wide range of animals (inquilines), from other termite species and soil macrofauna [5,28,108] to small animals, such as birds, reptiles and mammals during unfavourable times, which species might otherwise face local extinction in unproductive environments [34,54,108,123]. The greater vegetation production and quality on and around the termite mounds therefore provides a template for distribution of prey and predator communities [108] and also contributes to increased grazing pressure by ungulate mammals and megarhivores [54,98,99]. Earth-eating from termite mounds may also represent important nutritional resources for a variety of mammals, such as chimpanzees [72,90,91], elephants [116] and humans [57,89,128]. This behaviour has been explained by mineral deficiencies in mammal diets, and by the consequences of a temporary lack of fibre which leads to a rumen acidosis in herbivore ungulates. In such cases, termite-mound soils are nutritional supplements acting as a detoxifier due to their high content of clay and associated exchangeable cations [74].

3. Utilization of termites for the promotion of ecosystem services in agro-ecosystems

Although their regulatory role in natural habitats is widely recognized, few studies have been made to test whether termite activity can be manipulated for the promotion of ecosystem processes and therefore the provision of goods and services in agro-ecosystems. Certainly, the higher nutrient content in termite mounds has led to their use as soil amendments in many traditional agricultural practices, resulting in better crop yields [19]. Moreover, termite mounds can foster the development of mycorrhizal fungi [40] and even assist in the control of crop pests, such as Striga hermonthica, an obligate root hemiparasite which causes serious yield losses in cereals in Africa [7]. However, these interventions are small on the scale of the crises that face contemporary tropical agro-ecosystems [73,107]. Further, the exploitation of termite mound soils is limited by the slow rate of nest population renewal, and has only limited sustainability [25].

The ability of termites to develop in harsh environments and to promote water infiltration in crusted soils as a part of soil rehabilitation and vegetation cover regeneration has been strikingly demonstrated in Africa and Asia [93,94,106,108]. In these studies, the application of mulch or organic matter on or into the soil, as in the case of the agricultural and forestry “zai” systems [110], triggered the activity of termites which then created burrows opened
through the sealed surface of the soil. This results in an increase of soil hydraulic conductivity and water retention, and a reduction of the bulk soil density. The change of soil characteristics due to termite activity is enough to create the conditions necessary for natural vegetation development and then crop production on previously degraded bare soils.

Compared with the many studies linking earthworm engineering activity and ecosystem services and consequent experimental manipulations of earthworm populations, the utilization of termite activity for the promotion of ecosystem services is clearly underexplored. However, the proposition faces several obstacles, and research has therefore been limited. First, termites are obligate social insects: in many cases their breeding in culture (or on a semi-industrial scale) is therefore difficult, slow or impossible (notably with soil-feeders and the larger mound-building Macrotermiteinae). It is also problematic to bring them directly to the field (as it can be done much more easily with earthworms) in sufficient numbers to be effective and with the colony structure and any associated symbiotic relationships intact. It is also likely that translocated termites would be extremely vulnerable to predation by ants while they were establishing their foraging galleries and defenses. Secondly, the development of indigenous termite activity in degraded land, mainly targeted as fungus-growing species, can only be achieved by the drilling of organic residues into the soil column (i.e., the zaï system in Burkina Faso) or placement on the soil surface as mulch [95–97,112]. There is therefore a significant capital and labour cost before any advantageous result can be expected, and there may be the additional task of overcoming the farmers’ perceptions that all termites are pests. Nevertheless, some pilot work with termites has been reported, for example the transplantation of soil monoliths from fertile to degraded (especially compacted) plots [10], and many observations link the preservation of termite communities in agricultural soils to the choice of cover and forage crops [36,47]. Third, termite activities affect the distribution of resources in ecosystems through the concentration of nutrients into their nest structures [71]. This influence of termites on the resource patchiness increases the complexity of land management. Finally, the utilization of termite activity for the promotion of ecosystem services also competes with less sustainable but more immediately attractive approaches. For instance, the utilization of chemical fertilizers and pesticides in agro-ecosystems, when affordable, are widely used by farmers with large and immediate marginal gains of crop yield, despite concomitant reductions in termite activity and diversity. This can be illustrated anecdotally: in North-East Thailand, the average abundance of termite mounds has dropped from 9 to 2 mounds ha$^{-1}$ in less than 20 years [29]. This decreasing density is mainly explained by the destruction of termite mounds by farmers who do not see any reason to preserve termites in their fields, yet the mounds provided several ecosystem services including maintaining a reservoir of animal and plant diversity which was usable as food, medicine or natural pesticides [28,29]. Vegetables (shallot, garlic, chili, tobacco…) were also cultivated on the fringes of termite mounds [113] and soil from the termite mounds was used as natural fertilizer, as still observed in Cambodia (Jouquet, pers. obs.). However such services, once self-generated in diverse agro-ecosystems, are nowadays in effect provided by affordable inputs as farmers gain access to chemical fertilizers, hospitals and markets.

4. Conclusion

Increasing knowledge about the importance of termites for the maintenance of the integrity and functioning of ecosystems has not prevented them being seen only as pests of crops, trees and wood. As a consequence, environmentally unfriendly methods to eliminate pest species are often damaging to beneficial ones. Of approximately 2800 described species, only 185 are known pests [126]. Thus, the main global impact of termites, outweighing their pest status, is clearly to provide the ecosystem services listed above. This role is however under-appreciated and more research is needed to better evaluate the importance of termite activity and diversity in tropical ecosystems. For instance, more studies must be performed on the impact of termite diversity on clay properties and nutrient cycling, and on the distribution and availability of these resources in the ecosystems. These researches, together with those dealing with the effect of termites on biodiversity (above- and below-ground organisms), are important to favour the development of field management schemes which will promote the recovery or enhancement of termite-mediated ecosystem services, especially in ecosystems subject to disturbance, degradation or agricultural intensification. For instance, in North-East Thailand, if the destruction of termite mounds is economically justifiable in the short term, the strategy is not necessarily sensible further into the future, and the adoption of cultural practices consistent with the preservation of natural termite communities may still be the best bet for the long-term preservation of ecosystem services (reviewed in [15]). In a changing world with a depletion of global petroleum resources and an increasing demand for less chemical inputs into agricultural ecosystems, external inputs may eventually become both uneconomic and unsustainable. In this scenario it is more likely that the self-renewing ecosystem services provided by termites will re-emerge as a relevant option in tropical agro-ecosystems.
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