

**Title:**

**The Need for Eco-efficient Landscapes to Prevent Irreversible Degradation of Agroecosystems in Deforested Amazonia**

**Authors & affiliations:**

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>P. Lavelle\*, <sup>1</sup>V. Gond, <sup>12</sup>J. Oszwald, <sup>10</sup>S. Doledec, <sup>4</sup>I. Veiga, <sup>5</sup>B. Ramirez, <sup>4</sup>W. Santos, <sup>6</sup>X. Arnauld de Sartre, <sup>8</sup>T. Decaëns, <sup>2</sup>M. Grimaldi, <sup>9</sup>B. Hubert, <sup>11</sup>M. Martins, <sup>13</sup>P. Lena, <sup>13</sup>P. de Robert, <sup>14</sup>A. Feijoo, <sup>3</sup>M. P. Hurtado, <sup>5</sup>G. Rodriguez, <sup>15</sup>D. Mitja, <sup>16</sup>I. Miranda, <sup>5</sup>E. Gordillo, <sup>17</sup>E. Velasquez, <sup>17</sup>T. Otero, <sup>5</sup>A. Velasquez, <sup>2</sup>J.M. Thiollay, <sup>4</sup>S. de Sousa, L.E. Moreno, <sup>18</sup>G. Brown, <sup>1, 2</sup>R. Marichal, <sup>19</sup>P. Chacon, <sup>19</sup>C. Sanabria, <sup>2</sup>T. Desjardins, <sup>16</sup>T. Santana Lima, <sup>4</sup>F. Michelotti, <sup>5</sup>O. Villanueva, <sup>5</sup>J. Velasquez, <sup>3</sup>E. Guevarra, <sup>3</sup>S. Fonte

<sup>1</sup> Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 6, France ; <sup>2</sup> Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, UMR211 Bioemco, Bondy, France ; <sup>3</sup> Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical, TSBF Institute, Cali, Colombia ; <sup>4</sup> Universidade Federal do Pará, Belem, Brazil ; <sup>5</sup> Universidad de la Amazonia, Florencia, Caqueta, Colombia ; <sup>6</sup> Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, UMR CNRS 5603 SET, Pau, France ; <sup>7</sup> CIRAD, UR36 Ressources forestières et politiques publiques, Montpellier, France ; <sup>8</sup> Université de Rouen, UPRES-EA1293 ECODIV, Mont Saint-Aignan, France ; <sup>9</sup> Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Avignon, France ; <sup>10</sup> Université Lyon 1, UMR CNRS 5023, Villeurbanne, France ; <sup>11</sup> Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Belém, Brazil ; <sup>12</sup> Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France ; <sup>13</sup> Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Paris, France ; <sup>14</sup> Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira, Facultad de Ciencias Ambientales, Pereira, Colombia ; <sup>15</sup> Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Montpellier, France ; <sup>16</sup> Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Belém, Brazil ; <sup>17</sup> Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Palmira, Colombia ; <sup>18</sup> EMBRAPA, Curitiba, Brazil ; <sup>19</sup> Universidad del Valle, Cali, Colombia

**Abstract:** (Your abstract must use **Normal style** and must fit in this box. Your abstract should be no longer than 300 words. The box will 'expand' over 2 pages as you add text/diagrams into it.)

Considerable effort has been devoted to the conservation of primary forest in the Amazon, but less so to design and implement ecoefficient uses of cleared land for meeting the economic, social and environmental challenges faced in the region.

We conducted a multidisciplinary diagnostic of ecoefficiency in 51 farms from six regions of the Brazilian and Colombian Amazon cleared between 15 to 60 years ago, with diverse colonization histories. Land-use intensity was assessed with an indicator based on present landscape composition and structure and the historical land-use change. Indicators of soil ecosystem services (ES: chemical fertility, hydric functions and C storage) and biodiversity of plants and 5 soil and above ground invertebrate groups were assessed.

Intensity of land-use increased with time elapsed since deforestation along with production efficiency (farm incomes per ha and per labor unit) and social wellbeing. Meanwhile, an indicator of biodiversity continued unchanged as land-use intensity increased until a well-marked tipping point, beyond which biodiversity fell sharply. A composite indicator of soil ES decreased regularly with land-use intensity. An ecoefficiency index, that combines indicators of social wellbeing, productivity, biodiversity and ES, also exhibited a sudden decrease when land-use intensity exceeded a critical threshold value. This tipping point corresponds with a shift from predominantly forested to open agropastoral landscapes, when the last forest patches become fragmented before disappearing. At that point, only 20% of the original primary forest is left on average. Landscapes dominated by agroforestry production systems had much higher ecoefficiency, with a higher productivity than extensive livestock breeding systems and greater conservation of biodiversity and ES.

Our study indicates the need for reconstructing landscapes in deforested Amazonia, by identifying the best spatial combination of productive systems that sustain livelihoods and natural ecosystems that act as buffers to prevent degradation of ES and biodiversity.