



Original Research Paper

Acute toxicity tests of two herbicides diuron and atrazine on the beetle *Crenitis sp* in Volta Basin, Burkina Faso

Accepted 30 June, 2014

**SANOGO Souleymane¹,
KABRE Tinkoudjou Jean
André^{1*}
and
CECCHI Philippe²**

¹Laboratoire de Recherche et de Formation en Pêche et Faune (LaRFPF/ IDR), Université de Bobo-Dioulasso, BP. 1091 Bobo 01, Burkina Faso.

²Institut de Recherche et Développement, France.

*Corresponding Author
E-mail: ankab226@yahoo.fr
Tel.: +22670231734.

Acute toxicity tests were performed on *Crenitis spp* (Coleoptera; Hydrophilidae) using two herbicides, atrazine and diuron in the laboratory. The experiment was to investigate the effect of high doses of these pollutants in individually and together for 12 h each on *Crenitis spp* a species that abounds during the dry period in the shallow hydro-agricultural waters reservoirs of the Volta Basin. Individual macroinvertebrates were collected from puddle areas of the shoreline of Bama Reservoir in the Volta basin. The dry period is the period of rest for agricultural activities at the reservoir. Tests have shown that the toxic effects of the two herbicides on the species of beetles can be enhanced when the both products act synergistically. For diuron, the effective concentration that immobilizes 50% (EC₅₀) of the insects is 44.96 g/l only, but drops to 11.72 g/l in the mixture; while in the same order, atrazine shows 11.75 g/l only and then drops to 7.33 g/l in synergy. It is concluded from this study that works on ecotoxicology should consider the additive or synergistic effects of herbicides to define the bio-ecological traits of macroinvertebrate species living in frequently polluted hydro-agricultural systems.

Key words: Herbicides, atrazine, diuron, acute toxicity, *Crenitis sp*, Bama reservoir, Volta Basin, Burkina Faso.

INTRODUCTION

In a recent study on the state of the benthic fauna of hydro-systems under the impact of agricultural pollutants Sanogo et al. (2014) reported that pollution-sensitive and – resistant macroinvertebrates can be considered as potential bioindicators of water quality in the Volta Basin. Indeed, these ecosystems are facing diverse assaults caused by chemical agents due to intensification of agricultural activities (Leight et al., 2010; Sass et al., 2010; Venot and Cecchi, 2011). However single and synergist effects of these agents on aquatic organisms and their resilience time remain questions to highlight.

FAO (2010) indicates that the use of diuron-based herbicides are authorized by the Sahelian Pesticides Committee (CSP); however famers often use other types of prohibited herbicides in areas of intensified agricultural activities. This is the case of herbicides containing atrazine for which the direct toxicity to humans is well established

(Toé et al., 2013). In addition, Kurt (2005) believes that environmental risks caused by these pesticides are accentuated by the additive effects of certain pollutants. Such additive effects can be demonstrated on pollution-resistant beetles according to the findings of Barbour et al. (1999) that confirmed that aquatic benthic macroinvertebrates are the most suitable for the bio-indication studies of water quality. Gnohossou (2006) and Foto et al. (2011) reported that investigations on the impact of pesticides should be carried on *in situ* organisms because levels of differential sensitivities can be observed between different continents (for example Africa compared to Europe or America). Soleri (2013) has shown the presence of atrazine and diuron in the hydro-agricultural dams in the Volta Basin using passive sensors for chemical agents screening. The same author emphasized that atrazine and diuron were among the most commonly used




Figure 1. Sitting of the Bama area where the Bama Reservoir is located.

chemical agents in agricultural areas. Sanogo et al. (2014) found the proliferation of certain species of macroinvertebrates at these sites including *Crenetis spp* which is a pollution-resistant beetle of the Hydrophilidae family as well as *Hydrocanthus ferruginicollis* (Noteridae). They further stated that pollution-resistance could be used as a bioindicator of water quality in the Volta Basin.

This bioassay study was conducted on *Crenetis spp* in order to provide useful data on the single and combined effects (additive or synergist) of the two pollutants on one hand and their resilience times in pure water environments on the other hand. The *Crenetis* genus has been described by Bilton (2013) as a well-known panzootic genus in Africa, Europe and America.

METHODOLOGY

Study environment

Macroinvertebrates were collected from the Bama Reservoir (11° 23'N; 4° 24' W) located 30 km from the city of Bobo-Dioulasso (Figure 1). It is located in the heart of irrigation facilities covering a total area of nearly 3000 ha

where an irrigated rice scheme was established in 1972 in 1,260 ha. Countless plots are also cultivated (bananas, corn, papaya, cabbage and vegetables) in the dry season. Covering an area of about 50 ha, Bama reservoir is the result of technical problems and lack of maintenance of hydraulic infrastructures. It is centrally located thus many plots drain their used outflow waters into it. Genetic mutations have favored the adaptation of mosquitoes to insecticides in the area and have been reported by Dabiré et al., (2008) attesting to the high contamination levels.

Materials and collection of sample

A total of 3,690 *Crenetis spp* were collected at the Bama Reservoir; precisely from the puddles still present in the perimeters of crops during the dormant period of agricultural activities in order to minimize the effects of herbicides. It is well known that in testing chemical effect it is required that the organisms used in the test be previously raised in a laboratory (ISO 6341, 1996). However, this experiment was inspired by that of Fossati et al. (1992) who used crustacean macroinvertebrates captured directly from natural waters. A kick net of 30 cm diameter and 1 mm² mesh size aided in this cropping of

Table 1. Mineral chemical composition of the water used to dilute the two herbicides.

| Chemical composition | Concentration (mg/l) |
|---|----------------------|
| Calcium Ca ⁺⁺ | 2 |
| Magnésium Mg ⁺⁺ | 0.70 |
| Potassium K ⁺ | 0.24 |
| Sodium Na ⁺ | 1 |
| Bicarbonate HCO ₃ ⁻ | 12 |
| Sulfate SO ₄ ⁻ | 1 |
| Silice SiO ₂ ⁻ | 15.4 |

Table 2. Different concentrations of atrazine (Atrazila 500g/l) that were used in the experiment

| Label | Concentration (g/l) | Atrazila (ml) | Water (ml) |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|------------|
| A ₁₀ | 500 | 100 | 0 |
| A ₉ | 250 | 50 | 50 |
| A ₈ | 125 | 25 | 75 |
| A ₇ | 62.5 | 12.5 | 87.5 |
| A ₆ | 31.5 | 6.2 | 93.7 |
| A ₅ | 15.6 | 3.1 | 96.8 |
| A ₄ | 7.8 | 1.5 | 98.4 |
| A ₃ | 3.9 | 0.7 | 99.2 |
| A ₂ | 1.9 | 0.3 | 99.6 |
| A ₁ | 0.9 | 0.19 | 99.8 |

macroinvertebrates; sorting on the field after harvest was done through the 1 mm² mesh sieve. Macroinvertebrates were immediately transported to the laboratory in containers filled with water. Acute toxicity tests were performed in the laboratory in 41 300 ml capacity-jars filled with pure water used to dilute the pollutants.

Chemical agents used in this experiment are two herbicides atrazine (trade name Atrazila 500 g/l in concentrated lotion) and diuron (trade name ACTION 80, 800 g/kg). Mineral water (Lafi, Onea) was used for dilution as shown in Table 1. The chemical agent atrazine (Atrazila 500 SC) is a product of Shenzhen Baocheng Industry Co., Ltd. China, while Diuron is manufactured by SCPA Sivex International Paris, France.

Experimental design

The 3690 macroinvertebrates were transported to the laboratory and divided into groups of 30 and then placed in previously prepared solutions as shown in Tables 2, 3, 4a and 4b. Tables 2 and 3 represent different concentrations of atrazine and diuron respectively. From the starting concentration of 500 g/l for atrazine, 10 new concentrations were prepared with the dilution factor 0.5 (Table 2). For diuron, we considered the concentration mass/ mass of 800 g/kg of the trade product and diluted in

mineral water to obtain a concentration of 800 g/l. From this initial concentration, 10 new levels with a dilution factor 0.5 were prepared (Table 3). Mixtures of the two products were developed by combining the first two lower concentrations (Table 4a) and second by combining the highest concentrations of atrazine and low concentrations of diuron and vice versa (Table 4b).

In addition to these preparations, 30 macroinvertebrates were placed in 41 jars with mineral water as control treatment. Every 30 min, the jars containing insects + diluted herbicide were then emptied into the sieve and motionless beetles were counted; the operation lasted 12 h and was repeated three times. After each test, mobile living macroinvertebrates were re-introduced into the solutions (after stirring to prevent it from settling and maintaining a homogenous environment). For each of the three sets of experimental tests, the cropping of new macroinvertebrates was necessary; the temperature of the solution was maintained each time at 30°C (average temperature of the water in Bama reservoir where insects were harvested) via a stabilizing ambient laboratory temperature. In addition to harvesting macroinvertebrates, measures of water temperature, oxygen, conductivity and pH were reported to characterize the living environment of macroinvertebrates. These measurements were carried out using a multiparameter probe WTW 3430 MULTI-type

Table 3. Different concentrations of diuron (Action 80, wettable granules 800g/kg) that were used in the experiment

| Label | Concentration (g/l) | Action 80 (g) | Water (ml) |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|------------|
| D ₁₀ | 800 | 100 | 100 |
| D ₉ | 400 | 50 | 100 |
| D ₈ | 200 | 25 | 100 |
| D ₇ | 100 | 12.5 | 100 |
| D ₆ | 50 | 6.2 | 100 |
| D ₅ | 25 | 3.1 | 100 |
| D ₄ | 12.5 | 1.5 | 100 |
| D ₃ | 6.2 | 0.7 | 100 |
| D ₂ | 3.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| D ₁ | 15 | 0.19 | 100 |

Table 4a. Mixtures of different concentrations of atrazine and diuron (Mixture 1) ranking from the highest to the lowest concentrations values

| Label | Concentration | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | [Atrazine (g/l) + Diuron (g/l)] | Atrazila (ml) | Action 80 (g) | Water (ml) |
| A ₁₀ /D ₁₀ | 500+800 | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| A ₉ /D ₉ | 250+400 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| A ₈ /D ₈ | 125+200 | 25 | 25 | 75 |
| A ₇ /D ₇ | 62.5+100 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 87.5 |
| A ₆ /D ₆ | 31.5+50 | 6.25 | 6.2 | 93.7 |
| A ₅ /D ₅ | 15.6+25 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 96.8 |
| A ₄ /D ₄ | 7.8+12.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 98.4 |
| A ₃ /D ₃ | 3.9+6.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 99.2 |
| A ₂ /D ₂ | 1.9+3.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 99.6 |
| A ₁ /D ₁ | 0.9+1.5 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 99.8 |

Table 4b. Mixtures of different concentrations of atrazine and diuron (**Mixture 2**) ranking from the highest to the lowest for atrazine and from the lowest to the highest (for diuron) concentrations values

| Label | Concentration | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | [Atrazine (g/l) + Diuron (g/l)] | Atrazila (ml) | Action 80 (g) | Water (ml) |
| A ₁₀ /D ₁ | 500+1.5 | 100 | 0.19 | 0 |
| A ₉ /D ₂ | 250+3.1 | 50 | 0.3 | 50 |
| A ₈ /D ₃ | 125+6.2 | 25 | 0.7 | 75 |
| A ₇ /D ₄ | 62.5+12.5 | 12.5 | 1.5 | 87.5 |
| A ₆ /D ₅ | 31.5+25 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 93 |
| A ₅ /D ₆ | 15.6+50 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 96.8 |
| A ₄ /D ₇ | 7.8+100 | 1.5 | 12.5 | 98.4 |
| A ₃ /D ₈ | 3.9+200 | 0.7 | 25 | 99.2 |
| A ₂ /D ₉ | 1.9+400 | 0.3 | 50 | 99.6 |
| A ₁ /D ₁₀ | 0.9+800 | 0.19 | 100 | 99.8 |

(Enterprise ZEISS, Germany) and taken in 5 stations at the puddle area and 5 others inside the deep water of the reservoir.

Data analysis

These tests being of short durations were analyzed using SigmaPlot 10.0 software to determine the effective concentration EC₅₀ which is defined as the concentration of

a toxicant that cause a 50% effect compared to the control (Bessi and El Alami, 2009). This value was investigated graphically for each herbicide; singly and synergistically.

RESULTS

Physico-chemical variables

The results of individual measurements of physico-

chemical variables are shown in Table 5 indicating that temperature, conductivity, pH and oxygen are not limiting factors: their values are in the range of average productivity in water environments (Ministry of Environment of Quebec, DENV, 2001).

Results of the different tests

After 12 h of exposure with 30 min interval, mineral water (control test) had no effect on *Crenitis sp* and all organisms survived. Tables 6, 7 respectively indicate the survival of the individuals introduced into different concentrations of atrazine and diuron. Tables 8a and b depicts the survival of individual organisms when the various mixtures of the two herbicides

were used. The triplicates were pooled to calculate the overall EC₅₀.

Graphical assessment of EC₅₀ of each herbicide

The effective concentration (EC₅₀) for atrazine alone was 11.75 g / l; in Mixture 1 (equal mixture of diuron and atrazine), it was 7.33 g/l (Table 8a). For diuron, the EC₅₀ value was 44.96 g/l and only 11.72 g/l in Mixture 1. In Mixture 2 (Table 8b), the EC₅₀ was not obtained for atrazine (Figure 2) nor for diuron (Figure 3).

In both cases (diuron and atrazine), the mixture was more toxic (less EC₅₀) than the toxicity of each contaminants considered separately; especially Mixture 2 which induced massive immobility except for intermediate concentrations (between 10 to 100 g/l) for which there were few survivors after 12 h.

For atrazine the addition of diuron in Mixture 1 had a limited effect (Figure 2); that is, the EC₅₀ calculated for atrazine alone and in Mixture 1 was not statistically different (Figure 4) with changes in toxicity of the synergistic mixture equalling zero. In contrast, the results were not the same for Mixture 2: the induced effects were attributable to doses of diuron associated with low concentrations of atrazine.

The situation was not the same for diuron (Figure 3) with an observed steady decrease in the effective concentration when atrazine was added at high concentrations as well as low concentrations. In other words, diuron added to atrazine results in a very toxic mixture.

EC₅₀ comparison of the two products alone and in mixture (Mixture 1)

EC₅₀ were calculated graphically for each bio-essay (Table 9). There were thus three EC₅₀ values for each treatment and a comparison among these triplicates with ANOVA (one factor) was performed. Probabilities were shown and the

difference was significant only in the case of diuron (Figure 4; Table 9).

DISCUSSION

Harvesting of macroinvertebrates in puddles was to have a number of individuals needed for statistical analyzes. The physico-chemical variables are not limiting factors in Bama reservoir so it can be concluded that macroinvertebrates in puddles can be used instead of those of the deep water in the reservoir for these bioassays.

In this study, the effective concentration at which 50% of beetles was immobilized within 12 h of exposure to atrazine is lower than that of diuron (11.75 g/l against 44.96 g/l, respectively). This lower value denotes the high toxicity of atrazine compared to diuron whose use is endorsed by the FAO (2010) as a good herbicide in areas of agricultural production in the Sahel. Samuel and St. Lawrence (2001) suggest that exposure to chemical agents may modify the toxic effects. Also, Price et al (2002) showed that the toxicity of a chemical mixture is proportional to the sum of the toxicity of each individual contaminant. In this study, the mixture of the two herbicides generated a higher toxicity. When searching the additive effect of these herbicides by the addition of higher doses and following the decreased gradient towards the lower value (Table 4a), the EC₅₀ of atrazine reduced from 11.75 g/l to 7.33 g/l and that of diuron from 44.96 g/l to 11.72 g/l. The difference between the two values for each product is only significant in the case of diuron (Figure 4). The toxicity of diuron was thus reinforced by the presence of highly toxic atrazine on the surroundings (Robert et al., 1986). Indeed, the survival of individuals was observed only with the mixture during the fourth and fifth dilutions (Figures 2 and 3) and mass motionless individuals were observed for extreme high concentrations of the both products combined compared to low concentrations each one, individually; consequently the curves obtained do not allow a graphic determination of EC₅₀ values.

The results in these tests were obtained using very high concentrations of contaminants to pinpoint the expected effects of exposure in 12 h; an exposure time of within 24 to 48 h is recommended for acute toxicity tests (Bessi and El Alami, 2009). The bioassay model used in this study allowed for the revelation of evidence of pollution-resistance for *Crenitis sp* as this beetle can be used as a bioindicator of water quality.

CONCLUSION

Diuron is an herbicide whose toxicity to *Crenitis sp* (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae) is increased when combined with the herbicide atrazine; the two products act synergistically to induce an acute toxicity. Indeed, the effective concentration that immobilize 50% (EC₅₀)

Table 5. Measured chemical parameters at Bama reservoir and its puddles area where the beetles *Crenitis sp* were collected.

| N | Bama reservoir | | | | Puddles areas for collection of <i>Crenitis sp</i> | | | |
|---------|-------------------|----------------------|------|---------------|--|----------------------|------|---------------|
| | Temperature (° C) | conductivity (µS/cm) | pH | Oxygen (mg/l) | Temperature (° C) | conductivity (µS/cm) | pH | Oxygen (mg/l) |
| 1 | 34.8 | 139.6 | 8.22 | 8.12 | 35.1 | 165.5 | 8.81 | 7.81 |
| 2 | 34.5 | 155.5 | 7.13 | 7.7 | 34.3 | 146.4 | 7.42 | 8.92 |
| 3 | 33.2 | 154.7 | 6.33 | 5.75 | 34.5 | 146.8 | 7.15 | 7.53 |
| 4 | 31.8 | 161.2 | 8.01 | 5.47 | 34.8 | 155.2 | 7.27 | 6.62 |
| 5 | 33.6 | 159.1 | 7.59 | 6.31 | 33.4 | 163.2 | 6.75 | 6.99 |
| Moyenne | 33.58 | 154.02 | 7.45 | 6.67 | 34.42 | 155.42 | 7.48 | 7.57 |

Table 6. Number of *Crenitis sp* motionless when exposed to different concentrations of atrazine

| Exposure time | Number of motionless (test 1) | | | | | | | | | | Number of motionless (test 2) | | | | | | | | | | Number of motionless (test 3) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | A ₁₀ | A ₉ | A ₈ | A ₇ | A ₆ | A ₅ | A ₄ | A ₃ | A ₂ | A ₁ | A ₁₀ | A ₉ | A ₈ | A ₇ | A ₆ | A ₅ | A ₄ | A ₃ | A ₂ | A ₁ | A ₁₀ | A ₉ | A ₈ | A ₇ | A ₆ | A ₅ | A ₄ | A ₃ | A ₂ | A ₁ |
| 0 mn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 mn | 30 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1h | | 30 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 23 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1h30 | | | 18 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 30 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2h | | | 18 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | | | 17 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | | | 13 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2h30 | | | 18 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | | | 18 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | | 14 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 3h | | | 18 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | | | 18 | 17 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | | 21 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 3h30 | | | 18 | 17 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 6 | | | 19 | 24 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | | 26 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 4h | | | 20 | 21 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 6 | | | 19 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | | 26 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 4h30 | | | 20 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 6 | | | 19 | 26 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | | 30 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 5h | | | 28 | 21 | 17 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 6 | | | 27 | 26 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | | 20 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 5h30 | | | 28 | 25 | 17 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 6 | | | 28 | 26 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 1 | | | 20 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 6h | | | 30 | 26 | 17 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 6 | | | 28 | 26 | 17 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 1 | | | 26 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 6h30 | | | | 26 | 17 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 6 | | | 28 | 26 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 1 | | | 26 | 19 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 7h | | | | 26 | 17 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 6 | | | 28 | 26 | 19 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 1 | | | 26 | 19 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 7h30 | | | | 26 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 6 | | | 30 | 26 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 1 | | | 26 | 19 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| 8h | | | | 28 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 6 | | | | 28 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 1 | | | 29 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| 8h30 | | | | 28 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 6 | | | | 29 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 1 | | | 29 | 28 | 11 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| 9h | | | | 28 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 6 | | | | 29 | 23 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 1 | | | 29 | 28 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| 9h30 | | | | 28 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 6 | | | | 30 | 23 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 1 | | | 29 | 28 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| 10h | | | | 28 | 18 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 6 | | | | | 24 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 1 | | | 30 | 28 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| 10h30 | | | | 28 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 6 | | | | | 24 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 1 | | | | 28 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 3 |
| 11h | | | | 29 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 6 | | | | | 24 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 1 | | | | 28 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 3 |
| 11h30 | | | | 30 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 6 | | | | | 24 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 1 | | | | 28 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 3 |
| 12h | | | | | 19 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 6 | | | | | 24 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 1 | | | | 30 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 3 |

Table 8a. Number of *Crenetis sp* motionless in a mixture of different concentrations of atrazine and diuron (called **mixture 1**).

| Exposure time | No. of motionless (test 1) | | | | | | | | | | No. of motionless (test 2) | | | | | | | | | | No. of motionless (test 3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | A ₁₀ /D ₁₀ | A ₉ /D ₉ | A ₈ /D ₈ | A ₇ /D ₇ | A ₆ /D ₆ | A ₅ /D ₅ | A ₄ /D ₄ | A ₃ /D ₃ | A ₂ /D ₂ | A ₁ /D ₁ | A ₁₀ /D ₁₀ | A ₉ /D ₉ | A ₈ /D ₈ | A ₇ /D ₇ | A ₆ /D ₆ | A ₅ /D ₅ | A ₄ /D ₄ | A ₃ /D ₃ | A ₂ /D ₂ | A ₁ /D ₁ | A ₁₀ /D ₁₀ | A ₉ /D ₉ | A ₈ /D ₈ | A ₇ /D ₇ | A ₆ /D ₆ | A ₅ /D ₅ | A ₄ /D ₄ | A ₃ /D ₃ | A ₂ /D ₂ | A ₁ /D ₁ | | | | | |
| 0 m | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 30 m | 30 | 30 | 30 | 22 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 1h | | | | 22 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | | | 22 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | | 30 | 22 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 1h30m | | | | 25 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | | | 25 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | | | 25 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 2h | | | | 30 | 26 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | | | 30 | 24 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | | | 25 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 2h30m | | | | | 29 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | | | 29 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | | | 25 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 3h | | | | | 29 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | | | 29 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | | 25 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 3h30m | | | | | 29 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | | | | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | | 25 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 4h | | | | | 29 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | | | 30 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | 30 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 4h30m | | | | | 29 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | | | | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | 19 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5h | | | | | 30 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | | | | 19 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | 19 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5h30m | | | | | | 20 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 19 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | 24 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 6h | | | | | | 20 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 19 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | 30 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 6h30m | | | | | | 20 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 24 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7h | | | | | | 27 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 24 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 13 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7h30m | | | | | | 27 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 25 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8h | | | | | | 30 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 25 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8h30m | | | | | | | 15 | 6 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 26 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9h | | | | | | | 15 | 7 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 27 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9h30m | | | | | | | 15 | 7 | 3 | 5 | | | | | 30 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10h | | | | | | | 15 | 7 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | 14 | 8 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10h30m | | | | | | | 15 | 7 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | 14 | 9 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11h | | | | | | | 15 | 7 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | 15 | 9 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11h30m | | | | | | | 16 | 7 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | 15 | 9 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12h | | | | | | | 16 | 7 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | 15 | 9 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | 17 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




Figure 3. Graphical assessment of the effective concentration (EC₅₀) for diuron toxic to *Crenetis sp*

Table 8b. Number of *Crenetis sp* motionless in a mixture of different concentrations of atrazine and diuron (called **mixture 2**).

| Exposure time | Number of motionless (test 1) | | | | | | | | | | Number of motionless (test 2) | | | | | | | | | | Number of motionless (test 3) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | A ₁₀ /D ₁ | A ₉ /D ₂ | A ₈ /D ₃ | A ₇ /D ₄ | A ₆ /D ₅ | A ₅ /D ₆ | A ₄ /D ₇ | A ₃ /D ₈ | A ₂ /D ₉ | A ₁ /D ₁₀ | A ₁₀ /D ₁ | A ₉ /D ₂ | A ₈ /D ₃ | A ₇ /D ₄ | A ₆ /D ₅ | A ₅ /D ₆ | A ₄ /D ₇ | A ₃ /D ₈ | A ₂ /D ₉ | A ₁ /D ₁₀ | A ₁₀ /D ₁ | A ₉ /D ₂ | A ₈ /D ₃ | A ₇ /D ₄ | A ₆ /D ₅ | A ₅ /D ₆ | A ₄ /D ₇ | A ₃ /D ₈ | A ₂ /D ₉ | A ₁ /D ₁₀ |
| 0 mn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 mn | 30 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 22 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 1h | | | | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 | | | | | | | 23 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 17 | 26 | | | | 21 | 0 | 0 | 19 | | | | |
| 1h30 | | | | 11 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | 23 | 3 | 1 | 26 | 30 | 30 | | | | 28 | 0 | 5 | 22 | | | | |
| 2h | | | | 23 | 7 | 0 | | | | | | | | 23 | 5 | 1 | 26 | | | | | | 28 | 1 | 12 | 30 | | | | |
| 2h30 | | | | 30 | 7 | 0 | | | | | | | | 27 | 5 | 2 | 26 | | | | | | 28 | 2 | 12 | | | | | |
| 3h | | | | | 14 | 0 | | | | | | | | 27 | 5 | 3 | 26 | | | | | | 29 | 9 | 12 | | | | | |
| 3h30 | | | | | 14 | 0 | | | | | | | | 27 | 11 | 7 | 30 | | | | | | 30 | 15 | 15 | | | | | |
| 4h | | | | | 14 | 3 | | | | | | | | 30 | 11 | 7 | | | | | | | 15 | 19 | | | | | | |
| 4h30 | | | | | 14 | 3 | | | | | | | | | 11 | 7 | | | | | | | 15 | 19 | | | | | | |
| 5h | | | | | 14 | 3 | | | | | | | | | 19 | 7 | | | | | | | 15 | 19 | | | | | | |
| 5h30 | | | | | 14 | 5 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 10 | | | | | | | 17 | 19 | | | | | | |
| 6h | | | | | 14 | 6 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 11 | | | | | | | 17 | 19 | | | | | | |
| 6h30 | | | | | 17 | 6 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 11 | | | | | | | 17 | 24 | | | | | | |
| 7h | | | | | 25 | 6 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 11 | | | | | | | 23 | 26 | | | | | | |
| 7h30 | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 11 | | | | | | | 23 | 29 | | | | | | |
| 8h | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 11 | | | | | | | 26 | 29 | | | | | | |
| 8h30 | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 13 | | | | | | | 26 | 29 | | | | | | |
| 9h | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 13 | | | | | | | 26 | 30 | | | | | | |
| 9h30 | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 13 | | | | | | | 29 | | | | | | | |
| 10h | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 13 | | | | | | | 29 | | | | | | | |
| 10h30 | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 13 | | | | | | | 29 | | | | | | | |
| 11h | | | | | 25 | 19 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 13 | | | | | | | 29 | | | | | | | |
| 11h30 | | | | | 25 | 20 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 15 | | | | | | | 29 | | | | | | | |
| 12h | | | | | 25 | 20 | | | | | | | | | 21 | 15 | | | | | | | 29 | | | | | | | |




Figure 4 : Graphical comparison of EC₅₀ values between atrazine and diuron each product alone (in read) and in synergy or mixture (in blue) used for the toxicity tests on the species *Crenetis sp*.

Table 9 : Statistical comparison of EC50 values between atrazine and diuron(each product alone and in synergy) used for the toxicity tests on the species *Crenitis sp*

| | | Atrazine | | Diuron | |
|--------------------|---|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Alone | Mixture | Alone | Mixture |
| Different | 1 | 18 | 7.75 | 66.32 | 12.4 |
| bioessays | 2 | 11.57 | 7.2 | 36 | 11.5 |
| | 3 | 8.78 | 6.09 | 43.8 | 9.75 |
| Mean | | 12.78 | 7.01 | 48.70 | 11.21 |
| Standard deviation | | 4.72 | 0.84 | 15.74 | 1.34 |

macroinvertebrates using a single diuron is 44.96 g/l only, but drops to 11.72 g/l in the mixture; while the reduction for atrazine is 11.75 g/l single to 7.33 g/l in the mixture. The difference is significant in the case of diuron which becomes hazardous when used in combination with atrazine; such mixture of herbicides may jeopardize the water quality in hydro-agricultural environment. It is concluded that studies of ecotoxicology should consider these synergistic effects of herbicides to better describe the bioecological traits related to macroinvertebrates species in aquatic environments. These results serve to improve the development of bioindicators index for the constantly polluted hydro-agricultural systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Challenge Program on Water and Food (CPWF)/ Ecology of Small Impoundments in the Volta Basin, which is funded by the UK Department for International Development (DFID), the European Commission (EC), the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), and the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC). The authors thus acknowledge all these sponsors.

REFERENCES

- Barbour MT, Gerristen J, Snyder BD, Stribling JB (1999). Rapid bioassessment protocols for use in streams and wadeable rivers: Periphyton, benthic macroinvertebrates and fish, 2e ed., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of water, Washington D.C., EPA 841-B-99-002.
- Bessi H, El Alami M (2009). Les bio-essais dans l'évaluation d'impact des polluants sur les écosystèmes dulcicoles. Article de synthèse. LES TECHNIQUES DE LABORATOIRE - N°15 Mai-Juin 2009. 16-22
- Bilton DT, (2013). *Crenitis bicolor sp. n.* from thse Kamiesberg of South Africa (Coleoptera: Hydrophilidae). Zootaxa. 3626 (4): 589-592
- Dabiré KR, Diabaté A, Djogbenou L, Ouari A, N'Guessan R, Ouédraogo JB, Hougard JM, Chandre F, Baldet T (2008). Dynamics of multiple insecticide resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* in a rice growing area in South-Western Burkina Faso. Malaria Journal 2008, 7 :188 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-7-188. Article available from : <http://www.malariajournal.com/content/7/1/188>
- FAO (2010). Étude pilote des intoxications dues aux pesticides agricoles au Burkina Faso. Rapport final. En collaboration avec Designated National Authorities (DNA) Agriculture et Environnement de la Convention de Rotterdam du Burkina Faso. P 94.
- Fossati O, Danigo AH, Sechan Y, Guillet P (1992). Tests de toxicité sur *Macrobrachium spp.* (Crustacés, Décapodes) ; Première étude avec Temephos. I.T.R.M.L.M. : 12/92/ITRM/DOC.ENT
- Foto MS, Zebaze TSH, Nyamsi TNL, Ajeegah GA, Njiné T (2011). Evolution spatiale de la diversité des peuplements de macroinvertébrés benthiques dans un cours d'eau anthropisé en milieu tropical (Cameroun). European Journal of Scientific Research, 55(2): 291-300.
- Gnohossou PM (2006). La faune benthique d'une lagune Ouest Africaine (le lac Nokoe au Bénin), diversité, abondance, variations temporelles et spatiales, place dans la chaîne trophique, Thèse Doctorat, l'Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse, France, P 169.
- ISO 6341(1996). Qualité de l'eau. Détermination de l'inhibition de la mobilité de *Daphnia magna* Strauss.
- Kurt AG (2005). Ecotoxicology metal-hydrocarbon mixtures in benthic invertebrates. A Dissertation Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of the Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. P 142 .
- Leigh C, Burford MA, Roberts DT, Udy JW (2010). Predicting the vulnerability of reservoirs to poor water quality and cyanobacterial blooms. Water Research 44: 4487-4496.
- MENV (2001). Critères de qualité de l'eau de surface au Québec. Direction du suivi de l'état de l'environnement, Ministère de l'Environnement, Québec, Canada. P 430 .
- Price B, Borgert CJ, Wells CS, Simon GS (2002). Assessing toxicity of mixtures: the search for economical study designs. Human Ecol Risk Assess 8:305-326
- Robert R, His E, Maurer D (1986). Toxicité d'un désherbant, l'atrazine-simazine, sur les jeunes stades larvaires de *Crassostrea gigas* et sur deux algues fourrages,

- Isochrysisaff-galbana* et *Chaetoceroscalcitrans*. *Haliotis*, 15 :319-325.
- Samuel O, St-Laurent L (2001). Guide de prévention pour les utilisateurs de pesticides en agriculture maraîchère. Institut de Recherche en Santé et en Sécurité (IRSS). Juin, 2001. RG 273. Québec, Canada. P 92
- Sanogo S, Kabré JAT, Cecchi P (2014). Inventaire et distribution spatio-temporelle des macroinvertébrés bioindicateurs de trois plans d'eau du bassin de la Volta au Burkina Faso. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.*. Accepted, In press, June 2014.
- Sass LL, Bozek MA, Hauxwell JA, Wagner K, Knight S (2010). Response of aquatic macrophytes to human land use perturbations in the watersheds of Wisconsin lakes, U.S.A. *Aquatic Botany* 93: 1-8.
- Soleri R (2013). Etude de la pression phytosanitaire exercée sur différents lacs du Burkina Faso par méthode d'échantillonnage passif. Mémoire de Master II. Université de Montpellier 2. 50p.
- Toé AM, Ouédraogo M, Ouédraogo R, Ilboudo S, Guissou IP (2013). Pilot study on agricultural pesticide poisoning in Burkina Faso. *InterdiscipToxicol.* 6(4) : 185-191.
- Venot JP, Cecchi P (2011). Valeurs d'usage ou performances technologiques : comment apprécier le rôle des petits barrages en Afrique subsaharienne ? *Cahiers Agriculture* 20(1-2): 112-117.