


Predator Crown-of-Thorns Starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) Outbreak, Mass Mortality of Corals, and Cascading Effects on Reef Fish and Benthic Communities

Mohsen Kayal^{1,2*}, Julie Vercelloni^{1,3,4}, Thierry Lison de Loma¹, Pauline Bosserelle¹, Yannick Chancerelle¹,
Sylvie Geoffroy¹, Céline Stievenart¹, François Michonneau^{1,5}, Lucie Penin^{1,6}, Serge Planes¹,
Mehdi Adjeroud²

1



are increasingly documented [11,14], yet these reports have mostly been restricted to short-term, sporadic observations (e.g., [5,8,15]). Until now, no study has quantitatively described the entire progression of an *Acanthaster* outbreak, including spatio-temporal dynamics of predator population and resultant impacts to the biological reef community. As a result, relatively little is known about the origins, development, or processes that influence the outcome of this disturbance [3,7,12,16–26].

Here we describe an *Acanthaster* outbreak that occurred during the last decade in French Polynesia (South Pacific), a region where these disturbances occur with a periodicity of ~20 years and, along with bleaching events and cyclones, are the major drivers of community dynamics on coral reefs [27]. Our study has specifically focused on the island of Moorea, where we tracked the distribution of *Acanthaster* aggregations and quantified their impacts on corals, other sessile communities, and resident coral-feeding fishes. In contrast to prior studies that were mostly restricted to reporting *a posteriori* observations of the consequences of *Acanthaster* on reefs, we quantitatively describe the processes leading to the community changes resulting from *Acanthaster* outbreaks.

Methods

This study was approved and conducted as part of ongoing research of the Centre de Recherches Insulaires et Observatoire de l'Environnement (CRIOBE, USR 3278 CNRS-EPHE, LABEX "CORAIL").




Figure 1. Photographs illustrating the outbreaking seastar *Acanthaster* and its feeding-scars as found on colonies preyed upon. (A) An *Acanthaster planci* observed on a living tabular coral from the genus *Acropora*. (B) A partially-killed coral from the genus *Acropora* bearing feeding-scars left by successive predation events by *Acanthaster*: 1) live portion of the colony bearing the pigmented coral tissue, 2) freshly killed portion of the colony deprived of its pigmented living tissue (<1 day post-predation), 3) recently killed portion of the colony covered by early colonizing algae and cyanobacteria (~10 days post-predation), 4) dead portion of the colony killed long ago and covered by turf algae (>3 weeks post-predation). © Photos Mohsen Kayal. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047363.g001




Figure 2. A portion of Moorean outer-reef (6 m-depth on the site Vaipahu) is shown through time. (A) Corals dominate the healthy reef (coral cover >40%). (B) Algae have colonized dead coral skeletons following severe predation by the seastar *Acanthaster* (~10% coral cover). (C) Mostly dead and weakened coral skeletons were swept away by a cyclone occurring at the end of the seastar outbreak (Lison de Loma et al. unpublished data) and colonizing algae once again dominate the devastated reef (~5% coral cover). © Photos Mohsen Kayal. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047363.g002

Spatio-temporal scope of the study

Starting in 2002, unusually elevated densities of *Acanthaster* were progressively reported from the different high volcanic islands of the Society Archipelago (Tahiti, Moorea, Huahine, Raiatea, Tahaa, Bora Bora, Maupiti) and then from the Australes (Rurutu) in French Polynesia. These islands are scattered over a broad geographical scale spreading 675 km north-south and 330 km east-west. Our study was conducted in Moorea (17°30' S, 149°50' W, see Figure 3), where long-term reef monitoring sites have been sampled for ~40 years, and where the first *Acanthaster* aggregation was observed in 2003. Two complementary sampling approaches were used to quantify the dynamics of this outbreak and its consequences on reef communities. The first sampling approach was a periodic survey of various key functional assemblages among benthic and fish communities. These surveys

were conducted at a small scale covering few hundred square meters of reef at nine reference reef locations, consisting of three water depths (6, 12, 18 m) at each of three sites (Vaipahu, Tiahura, Haapiti). The second sampling approach consisted of a yearly survey of seastar aggregations conducted at a large scale all around Moorea and its ~100 km perimeter of reefs. The small-scale surveys were initiated between 2003 and 2005 depending on the different assemblages sampled (see Sampling section below), whereas the large-scale survey of seastars started in 2006, once *Acanthaster* aggregations were observed to spread to multiple sides of the island. Surveys were conducted until 2010, as long as remaining aggregations were observed. All the sampling was conducted using SCUBA on the outer reef slopes where, in Moorea as in other islands in French Polynesia, the highest coral biomass and the most diverse reef communities are concentrated [28]. This is also where *Acanthaster* aggregations were systematically first observed (refer to results of this study). Around Moorea, the outer reef habitat typically extends from the water surface at the crest of the barrier-reef where oceanic waves break, down to a depth of ~35 m where sand plains begin. A peak in diversity and coral coverage is typically observed at the 10–20 m depth range [28,29]. These outer reef habitats are exposed to the open ocean, undergo relatively little direct human pressure, and experience maximum exposure to natural disturbances [27]. By the end of the *Acanthaster* outbreak, Moorean reefs underwent the additional impacts of the tropical cyclone *Oli* (Lison de Loma et al. *unpublished data*) whose immediate effects were partially captured by the present study.

Sampling strategy

Nine reef locations were surveyed on Moorean outer reefs in order to quantify community dynamics during the *Acanthaster* outbreak (see above). The composition of the sessile communities (i.e., relative coverage of coral populations and of other benthic components) was sampled in $n = 10$ random quadrats by recording the type of substrate beneath 81 points defined by a grid of 10 cm-mesh within the 1 m^2 areas. As major reef corallivores, populations of the outbreaking seastar *Acanthaster* and resident coral-feeding butterflyfishes were surveyed in $n = 3$ replicate belt-transects ($50 \times 4 \text{ m}$) placed randomly along constant depth contours. These fishes belong to the genus *Chaetodon* which encompasses several specialized coral-feeding species whose populations are tightly associated with corals [1,11]. The corallivorous representatives commonly encountered on Moorean outer reefs are *C. ornaticornis*, *C. reticulatus*, *C. trifascialis*, *C. lunulatus*, *C. auriga*, *C. lunula*, *C. pelevensis*, *C. ulietensis*, *C. unimaculatus*, *C. quadrimaculatus* [10,30,31]. To track the distributions and densities of *Acanthaster* aggregations at an island-scale around Moorea, we developed the SCUBA-tow technique, an adaptation of the manta-tow [8,32] conducted using SCUBA [33]. The observer was positioned at 8 m-depth in the water column, ~5 m above the reef substrate at a constant depth of ~13 m, and towed by a boat all around the island at a speed of 4 knots (7.4 km h^{-1}). In clear oceanic waters surrounding Moorea, this position of the observer allowed for a survey of the reef substrate in an ~30 m-wide band in the 10–30 m depth range. Due to the cryptic character of *Acanthaster* [6], the density of seastars was estimated here by



Figure 3. Distributions and densities of *Acanthaster* feeding-scars as observed around Moorea through time. The dimensions of the circles are proportional to the abundance of scars (n scars per 2 min-towing section), and a color code is used to distinguish different abundance classes (refer to the legend). A mean relation of 8.6 ± 1.7 SE scars per individual seastar was estimated during the outbreak (see Table S1). The positions of the three reference sites where reef communities were surveyed are also displayed on the graphs: Haapiti (H), Tiahura (T), Vaipahu (V). Original satellite image from © Google Earth. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047363.g003




Figure 4. Dynamics of various communities surveyed at the reference reef locations. These nine locations consist of three sites (Haapiti: H, Tiahura: T, Vaipahu: V) \times three water depths (6, 12, 18 m). Y-axes on the left indicate cover values (mean \pm SE) of the sessile communities: reef-building corals and other benthic components. Y-axes on the right indicate densities (mean \pm SE) of coral-predators: populations of the outbreaking seastar *Acanthaster* and butterflyfish assemblages. Arrows on the x-axes indicate the occurrence of the tropical cyclone *Oli*. Refer to Figure 5 for correlations between the dynamics of different communities. See Figure 2 for an illustration of the changes observed on reefs. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047363.g004

counting the number of characteristic feeding-scars found on colonies that were recently preyed upon (Figure 1). These white scars are denuded portions of the coral skeleton recently deprived of their pigmented living tissues, and can be used for tracking recent predation events [21,34]. In the oligotrophic oceanic waters of French Polynesia, *Acanthaster* feeding-scars remain clearly visible for \sim 3 weeks before being covered by colonising algae and other sessile organisms (see Figure 1). Counts were conducted in sections of 2 min of towing each covering a portion of \sim 7,500 m² of reef, and GPS coordinates were simultaneously recorded. The corre-

spondence between the number of scars and the density of seastars was established by subsequent counts performed in transects on a restricted number of sites throughout the process of the outbreak (see Table S1).

Statistical analysis

Variability in the coverage of benthic communities and in the density of butterflyfish assemblages was tested using three-way nested ANOVAs in which *Time* was nested within *Depth*, and *Depth* nested within *Site*. When significant differences were detected by

ANOVA, Fisher's Least Significant Differences (LSD) *post-hoc* test was used to compare data among groups. Linear Mixed Models (LMMs) were used to test for correlations between the dynamics of the different reef communities: *Acanthaster*, corals, other sessile communities, and coral-feeding butterflyfishes. LMMs have the advantage of taking into account correlated observations, and were also used to examine how populations of different coral genera were affected during the decline of coral communities facing *Acanthaster*. This was performed by drawing a linear regression between the coverage of each coral genus (dependant variable *Genus cover*) and the coverage of the overall coral community (explicative covariable *Coral cover*) as quantified at our nine reference reef locations on four different years during the process of the outbreak (2005, 2008, 2009, 2010). For these regressions, autocorrelations were tested for the fixed effects of the covariable *Coral cover*, the random effects of the grouping factor *Reef location* (as the result of the interaction *Site* × *Depth*), and their interaction *Coral cover* × *Reef location*, and were taken into account in the calculation of parameters where significant [35,36]. Before ANOVAs and LMMs, data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity, and were transformed when needed. *Acanthaster* and butterflyfish densities were $\log(x+1)$ transformed, and $\arcsin(\sqrt{x})$ transformation was applied to percent-cover data. All statistics were computed in R version 2.12.0 (R Development Core Team 2008) complemented by the NLME package [36].

Results

Acanthaster outbreak

In Moorea, the first aggregation of *Acanthaster* was observed at 18 m-depth at the outer reef site Tiahura in October 2003 (Figure 4). The swarms of seastars affected the Vaipahu site also situated on the north shore of the island in May 2004. The Haapiti site on the west coast was affected in March 2006. SCUBA-tows performed in late 2006 showed that particularly high densities of *Acanthaster* feeding-scars (>150 scars per 2 min-towing section) were mostly concentrated on the north-eastern corner of Moorea, with elevated densities also found near Tiahura and on the central west side of the island (Figure 3). These scars were used as indirect evidences of recent *Acanthaster* predation on corals (see Figure 1), and an average ratio of 8.6 ± 1.7 SE feeding-scars per seastar was calculated over the process of the outbreak (Table S1). Through consecutive years, these intense predation events spread over new reefs that were not yet affected, eventually affecting the entire coastline of Moorea. By 2009, most *Acanthaster* predation was concentrated near the southern tip of the island, with few feeding-scars observed on the northern affected north shore (Figure 3).

Expanding waves of *Acanthaster* swarms developed similarly at all sites surveyed, consistently starting at the deepest locations of the outer reef and progressing upward with the migration of seastars along the reef-slope (Figure 4). This pattern varied among stations in terms of observed peak densities (min. 2.3 ± 0.3 SE ind.200 m⁻² or 11,500 ind.km⁻² at Haapiti-6 m; max. 30.3 ± 6.1 SE ind.200 m⁻² or 151,650 ind.km⁻² at Vaipahu-6 m) and residence times of predators (min. 30 months at Haapiti-6 m; max. 72 months at Tiahura-18 m), which, combined with the sequential time of arrival of seastar swarms at the different reef locations, resulted in complex spatio-temporal variability in the rate of predation on corals. This generated asynchronicity in the decline of corals among sites and depths (three-way nested ANOVA, factor *Time(Depth(Site))*, $p < 0.01$; see Figure 4). The upward migration of aggregated seastars on the reef slope was observed in one (Vaipahu) or several (Tiahura and Haapiti) slow waves. By April 2010, densities of *Acanthaster* had fallen to zero on all

surveyed reef locations, and no additional individuals were observed during subsequent surveys.

Impacts on corals and other reef communities

Acanthaster predation resulted in a sharp collapse of coral populations and communities (Fisher's $p < 0.05$ between consecutive samplings). This decline of corals progressively affected the different reef locations, as the seastars migrated through the reef system (Figures 4 and 5). The coral coverage decreased gradually from values mostly above 40% in 2005 to values often below 5% in 2010, sometimes <1% with the combined effects of the cyclone (at 12 m depth on the sites Tiahura and Vaipahu). Mass mortality of corals was accompanied by a decline in the diversity of coral assemblages, and was correlated with an increase in turf algae and dead-coral rubble and sand substrates (refer to the slopes of regressions in Figure 5, $p(a) < 0.05$). In contrast, no significant trend was observed in the cover of macro-algae, coralline algae, soft corals, or sponges ($p(a) > 0.05$, see Figure 4 and 5).

Coral genera were not equally impacted by *Acanthaster* predation, which resulted in a sequential extirpation of taxa from local communities (Figures 4 and 5). Branching and table-shaped species belonging to the genus *Acropora* were affected first and most heavily. Their populations declined abruptly and were extirpated from the reefs by the time coral cover fell below values of 13.7% (refer to the x-intercepts of regressions in Figure 5, $\arcsin(\sqrt{X_0}) = 0.38 \pm 0.03$ SE). The collapse of *Acropora* populations was followed by those of sub-branching *Pocillopora* (eliminated at 1.1% coral cover, $\arcsin(\sqrt{X_0}) = 0.11 \pm 0.02$ SE). Populations of encrusting *Montipora*, massive *Porites*, and other hard-coral assemblages also declined, showing a synchronized collapse with the entire coral communities (refer to the intercepts of regressions in Figure 5, $p(b) > 0.05$). The calcifying hydrozoan *Millepora* was rarely preyed upon by the seastars, and its populations did not vary with the decline in live coral. The selective predation of *Acanthaster* on corals, combined with the sequential time of arrival of seastars at the different reef locations and the variability in the observed peak densities, generated high spatio-temporal heterogeneity in the structure of benthic communities. Within the seven years of the *Acanthaster* outbreak on Moorea (2003–2010), a gradual shift of the outer-reef system was observed, from a coral-dominated one, to an ecosystem where space was mainly occupied by turf algae, coralline algae, rubble, and sand (Figure 4). The community shift from corals to algae coincided with a shift in the composition of coral assemblages, from a state where branching genera *Acropora* and *Pocillopora* dominated in 2005, to one almost exclusively occupied by massive *Porites* in 2010.

Assemblages of coral-feeding butterflyfishes showed a tight correlation with corals in terms of size and diversity, resulting in a synchronous collapse of these populations with the mortality of corals (Figures 4 and 5). In February 2010, cyclone *Oli* generated ~8 m waves that strongly affected the reef landscape by breaking and removing many live and dead coral skeletons at our study locations (see Figure 2). However, the potential effects of this second disturbance were partly diminished by the prior and ongoing occurrence of the *Acanthaster* outbreak which already had shown profound impacts on reef communities. The cyclone did not modify the pattern of decline in coral cover, increase in turf algae, and collapse of coral-feeding butterflyfishes as initiated since the beginning of the *Acanthaster* outbreak (Figure 4). After the passage of *Acanthaster* and cyclone, the once flourishing and polymorphic coral communities were mostly restricted to surviving fragments of massive *Porites* scattered among opportunistic algae (refer to Figure 2 for a synthetic illustration of the changes observed on the reef landscape).



Figure 5. Correlations between the dynamics of different communities surveyed at the reference reef locations. Each point on the graphs ($n=36$) represents the value (mean \pm SE) of one sampling year (2005, 2008, 2009, 2010) on one site (Vaipahu, Tiahura, Haapiti) at one depth (6, 12, 18 m); refer to Figure 4. The equations and significance values (p) of the regression lines are given on the graphs (with a , the slope, and b , the intercept). The dynamics of the dominant coral genera are plotted against the dynamics of entire coral communities to quantify the sequential extirpation of populations by *Acanthaster*. An asterisk (*) indicates regressions for which significant autocorrelations were detected and taken into account in the calculation of parameters. For *Acropora*, data are split in two groups to distinguish samplings conducted during the decline phase (*Acropora*-cover $>0.5\%$, solid dots, dashed regression line) and past the virtual extirpation of these populations from reefs (*Acropora*-cover $<0.5\%$, empty circles, no regression line), the continuous line being the regression over the whole data set. For *Montipora*, data are split in two groups to distinguish samplings conducted at Haapiti-6 m where this taxon is predominating (empty circles, short-dashed regression line; see Figure 4) from the other stations (solid dots, long-dashed regression line), the continuous line being the regression over the whole data set. For coral genera whose populations were extirpated from the reef significantly earlier than the total coral community (i.e., $p(b)<0.05$), the x-intercept X_0 (\pm SE) is also displayed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047363.g005

Discussion

Periodic outbreaks of the coral predator seastar *Acanthaster planci* constitute major disturbances to reef ecosystems in several regions throughout the Indo-Pacific [4,5,27]. Yet lack of observations of the development of these disturbances has restrained our knowledge of the ecological processes surrounding these events. During a particularly intense episode of *Acanthaster* outbreak around Moorea, French Polynesia, elevated *Acanthaster* densities spread from restricted source areas at the base of the northern outer reef and over several years propagated to the entire insular reef system. This propagation was based on a consecutive migration of *Acanthaster* aggregations toward unaffected adjacent and shallower reef locations. These waves of predatory seastars strongly impacted coral communities by decimating populations as encountered across reefs. The end of the outbreak coincided with the decimation of corals on the last affected reefs at the south of the island. This *Acanthaster* outbreak has been the most intense disturbance recorded on Moorean reefs since the establishment of scientific observations on this island about 40 years ago [27].

As observed in Moorea, *Acanthaster* outbreaks typically start at deeper locations at the base of reefs, where elevated cover in dead-coral rubble and coralline algae possibly favor settlement of the seastar larvae, provide shelter and food for the young juveniles that feed on coralline algae, and promote *Acanthaster* recruitment into adult coral-eating populations [6,37–39]. The observed aggregative behavior of *Acanthaster* during outbreaks is thought to promote reproductive success [3,6,40], while resulting in the mass mortality of corals. *Acanthaster* has been shown to move relatively little in the presence of adequate food, however movements increase with higher densities of individuals and lower prey availability [6,21]. During aggregations such as those recently observed in Moorea, the rapid local shortage in coral prey seems to engender an intensified foraging behaviour in *Acanthaster*. This behaviour is probably the major engine of the observed waves of migration, leading starving seastars to search for food in surrounding localities and spreading densities to unaffected reef locations. Such hunger-motivated directional movement of *Acanthaster* during outbreaks has already been suggested [38,40,41], and may explain the formation of high-density *Acanthaster* feeding fronts, as it is observed in other species (see [42,43]). Interestingly, during the previous outbreak of this predator that was observed around Moorea in the early 1980's, the first individuals of *Acanthaster* were also reported from the north shore of the island near the pass Taotoi [34], which is situated close to our site Tiahura where the first individuals were observed for the outbreak reported here. It remains unclear why this specific area would constitute a favourable settlement spot, nursery, or aggregating area for the development of *Acanthaster* outbreaks. The base of outer reefs on the north coast of Moorea accumulate relatively high concentrations of coral-rubble covered by coralline algae [29], which could favour recruitment of seastars [6,39]. Yet further investigation is

still needed to elucidate why specific reef locations constitute potential sources for *Acanthaster* infestations.

Acanthaster outbreaks typically induce considerable declines in corals, however the magnitude of decline is highly variable among outbreak events [5]. The coral communities around Moorea had shown relatively little fluctuations in size and structure since the turn of the millennium [27], and were drastically depleted by *Acanthaster* within a few months. Feeding preferences of *Acanthaster* consistently alter the structure of coral communities toward dominance by non-preferred species [1–3,23,24]. Our observations show how this food selectivity results in a singular scheme of coral decline on affected reefs: *Acanthaster* hierarchically consumes preferred species and sequentially extirpates local populations. Density and residence time of predator *Acanthaster*, and local abundance and composition in prey corals, thus influence the magnitude of coral decline and the structure of surviving coral communities (see also [7]). In French Polynesia as on other reefs throughout the Indo-Pacific affected by periodic outbreaks, targeted attacks of *Acanthaster* on faster growing branching *Acropora* and *Pocillopora* populations result in episodic shifts of coral communities toward a temporary dominance by slower growing massive *Porites* [1,2,7,15]. Thus, these natural disturbances constitute important historical drivers that shape the structure of coral communities in these regions.

Alterations of coral communities by *Acanthaster* are accompanied by subsequent changes in the demography of various reef species. As major competitors of corals, algae communities typically increase during outbreaks by colonizing the space released as corals die [16,37,38]. The recent increase in algae around Moorea has further been correlated to increases in herbivore assemblages, which in turn are preventing the development of macro-algal blooms as observed following coral mortality on other reefs [14,44,45]. Another re-emerging consequence of *Acanthaster* outbreaks is the collapse of resident corallivore assemblages that suffer from trophic limitations following the decimation of corals [9–11,46]. Such shortage in food, rather than loss of refuges and habitat, was probably the main driver of the observed decline of coral-feeding butterflyfishes in Moorea. Indeed, *Acanthaster* predation does not alter the skeleton of corals and, over the short term, leaves the reef framework unaffected [1,5,11] (see Figures 1 and 2); yet these fishes showed a synchronous collapse with the decline of live coral during the outbreak, and were highly decimated on most reef areas before the physical alteration of their habitats by the cyclone. Similar *Acanthaster*-mediated loss of corallivores was also reported in decapod communities living within the branches of corals [26].

Following the relatively slow and diffusive devastation of corals by *Acanthaster*, the passage of the cyclone *Oli* resulted in an additional pulse disturbance that mostly affected the north shore of Moorea. This disturbance literally flattened the reef topography by breaking and removing the mostly-dead coral skeletons that

remained after *Acanthaster* predation (Lison de Loma et al. *unpublished data*; see Figure 2 for illustrations). However, losses of reef structural complexity over the long-term have been attributed to *Acanthaster* outbreaks alone [9,11]. While the cyclone showed limited immediate effects on surveyed benthic and fish species that were previously impacted by the seastar outbreak, the loss of physical structure on reefs likely had detrimental effects on other communities relying on corals as habitats and refuges [11,26,47,48]. Furthermore, loss in reef structure will undoubtedly influence community regulation in the long-term [7], and may hinder the resilience of Moorean reefs. However, in contrast with a trend increasingly observed on reefs [4,14], the strict regulation of algal communities to early-colonizing turf forms and the continuous flow of coral larvae among reefs in this region should lead to a progressive recovery of Moorean reefs, despite the tight recurrence of recent disturbances [27,44,45,49].

Conclusion

Our observations of an *Acanthaster* outbreak around Moorea coincide with records of a prior outbreak on this island [34] and with limited observations on other islands throughout French Polynesia also affected by the recent wave of infestations (*unpublished data*). Far from being unorganized and random events, outbreaks of the coral predator *Acanthaster planci* appear as ordered, relatively slow and diffusive biological disturbances. On the reefs surrounding the high volcanic islands of French Polynesia, these outbreaks were observed to originate from localized source areas situated at the base of outer-reef slopes, and to progressively spread to the entire reef systems by aggregative migrations of seastars. This pattern of propagation of *Acanthaster* from deeper parts of reefs toward unaffected locations also coincides with

reports of previous outbreaks from other regions [38,40,41]. These seemingly hunger-driven assaults on corals decimate populations in a predictable sequence determined by feeding preferences consistently observed for *Acanthaster* [7,15,23], and their effects typically cascade down to many reef communities whose fates are directly or indirectly related to corals [9–11,26,40]. These findings improve our understanding of reef dynamics and have critical implications for management of coral ecosystems where *Acanthaster* is observed. We advocate the importance of monitoring the ocean-orientated bases of reefs, particularly those where coral-rubble and coralline algae are abundant. These measures could help detect *Acanthaster* outbreaks at the earliest stages and, when appropriate, improve the efficiency of control efforts.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Ratios of the density of feeding-scars to the number of predator seastar *Acanthaster* as observed in transect-counts on Moorean reefs during the outbreak. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank volunteers from CRILOBE and Planète Urgence for assistance in the field, and Madeleine Thwaites, Michael Gil, Pr Hunter Lenihan, and two anonymous reviewers for comments that improved the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MK TLDL MA. Performed the experiments: MK TLDL PB YC SG CS FM LP MA. Analyzed the data: MK JV. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MK JV TLDL SP MA. Wrote the paper: MK JV TLDL MA.

References

1. Rotjan RD, Lewis SM (2008) Impact of coral predators on tropical reefs. *Mar Ecol-Prog Ser* 367: 73–91. doi:10.3354/meps07531.
2. Stella JS, Pratchett MS, Hutchings PA, Jones GP (2011) Coral-associated invertebrates: diversity, ecology importance and vulnerability to disturbance. *Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev* 49: 43–104.
3. Uthicke S, Schaffelke B, Byrne M (2009) A boom–bust phylum? Ecological and evolutionary consequences of density variations in echinoderms. *Ecol Monogr* 79: 3–24. doi:10.1890/07-2136.1.
4. Bruno JF, Selig ER (2007) Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: timing, extent, and subregional comparisons. *PLoS ONE* 2: e711. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000711.
5. Osborne K, Dolman AM, Burgess SC, Johns KA (2011) Disturbance and the dynamics of coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef (1995–2009). *PLoS ONE* 6: e17516. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017516.
6. Moran PJ (1990) *Acanthaster planci* (L.): biographical data. *Coral Reefs* 9: 95–96. doi:10.1007/BF00258218.
7. Kayal M, Lenihan HS, Pau C, Penin L, Adjeroud M (2011) Associational refuges among corals mediate impacts of a crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster planci* outbreak. *Coral Reefs* 30: 827–837. doi:10.1007/s00338-011-0763-1.
8. Sweatman H, Delean S, Syms C (2011) Assessing loss of coral cover on Australia's Great Barrier Reef over two decades, with implications for longer-term trends. *Coral Reefs* 30: 521–531. doi:10.1007/s00338-010-0715-1.
9. Sano M (2000) Stability of reef fish assemblages: responses to coral recovery after catastrophic predation by *Acanthaster planci*. *Mar Ecol-Prog Ser* 198: 121–130. doi:10.3354/meps198121.
10. Berumen ML, Pratchett MS (2006) Recovery without resilience: persistent disturbance and long-term shifts in the structure of fish and coral communities at Tiahura Reef, Moorea. *Coral Reefs* 25:647–653. doi:10.1007/s00338-006-0145-2.
11. Wilson SK, Graham NAJ, Pratchett MS, Jones GP, Polunin NVC (2006) Multiple disturbances and the global degradation of coral reefs: are reef fishes at risk or resilient? *Glob Change Biol* 12: 2220–2234. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01252.x.
12. Houk P, Raubani J (2010) *Acanthaster planci* outbreaks in Vanuatu coincide with ocean productivity, furthering trends throughout the Pacific Ocean. *J Oceanogr* 66: 435–438. doi:10.1007/s10872-010-0038-4.
13. Johnson DB, Moran PJ, Driml S (1990) Evaluation of a crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) control program at Grub Reef (central Great Barrier Reef). *Coral Reefs* 9: 167–171. doi:10.1007/BF00258231.
14. Bellwood DR, Hughes TP, Folke C, Nyström M (2004) Confronting the coral reef crisis. *Nature* 429: 827–833. doi:10.1038/nature02691.
15. Pratchett MS (2010) Changes in coral assemblages during an outbreak of *Acanthaster planci* at Lizard Island, northern Great Barrier Reef (1995–1999). *Coral Reefs* 29: 717–725. doi:10.1007/s00338-010-0602-9.
16. Dulvy NK, Freckleton RP, Polunin NVC (2004) Coral reef cascades and the indirect effects of predator removal by exploitation. *Ecol Lett* 7: 410–416. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00593.x.
17. Pratchett MS (2005) Dynamics of an outbreak population of *Acanthaster planci* at Lizard Island, northern Great Barrier Reef (1995–1999). *Coral Reefs* 24: 453–462. doi:10.1007/s00338-005-0006-4.
18. Sweatman H (2008) No-take reserves protect coral reefs from predatory starfish. *Curr Biol* 18: R598–R599. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.05.033.
19. Fabricius KE, Okaji K, De'ath G (2010) Three lines of evidence to link outbreaks of the crown-of-thorns seastar *Acanthaster planci* to the release of larval food limitation. *Coral Reefs* 29: 593–605. doi:10.1007/s00338-010-0628-z.
20. Timmers MA, Bird CE, Skillings DJ, Smouse PE, Toonen RJ (2012) There's no place like home: crown-of-thorns outbreaks in the Central Pacific are regionally derived and independent events. *PLoS ONE* 7: e31159. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031159.
21. Keesing JK, Lucas JS (1992) Field measurement of feeding and movement rates of the crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster planci* (L.). *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 156: 89–104. doi:10.1016/0022-0981(92)90018-6.
22. De'ath G, Moran PJ (1998) Factors affecting the behaviour of crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci* L.) on the Great Barrier Reef: 1: Patterns of activity. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 220: 83–106. doi:10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00085-3.
23. De'ath G, Moran PJ (1998) Factors affecting the behaviour of crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci* L.) on the Great Barrier Reef: 2: Feeding preferences. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 220: 107–126. doi:10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00100-7.
24. Pratchett MS (2001) Influence of coral symbionts on feeding preferences of crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster planci* in the western Pacific. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 214: 111–119. doi:10.3354/meps214111.
25. Bergsma GS (2012) Mutualists alter coral susceptibility and response to biotic disturbance through cascading trait-mediated indirect interactions. *Coral Reefs* 31: 461–469. doi:10.1007/s00338-011-0861-0.
26. Leray M, Béraud M, Anker A, Chancerelle Y, Mills SC (2012) *Acanthaster planci* outbreak: decline in coral health, coral size structure modification and consequences for obligate decapod assemblages. *PLoS ONE* 7: e35456. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035456.

27. Adjeroud M, Michonneau F, Edmunds PJ, Chancerelle Y, Lison de Loma T, et al. (2009) Recurrent disturbances, recovery trajectories, and resilience of coral assemblages on a South Central Pacific reef. *Coral Reefs* 28: 775–780. doi:10.1007/s00338-009-0515-7.
28. Adjeroud M (1997) Factors influencing spatial patterns on coral reefs around Moorea, French Polynesia. *Mar Ecol-Prog Ser* 159: 105–119. doi:10.3354/meps159105.
29. Battistini R, Bourrouilh F, Chevalier JP, Coudray J, Denizot M, et al. (1975) Éléments de terminologie récifale indopacifique. *Thétys* 7: 1–111.
30. Harmelin-Vivien ML, Bouchon-Navaro Y (1983) Feeding diets and significance of coral feeding among Chaetodontid fishes in Moorea (French Polynesia). *Coral Reefs* 2: 119–127.
31. Penin L, Michonneau F, Baird AH, Connolly SR, Pratchett MS, et al. (2010) Early post-settlement mortality and the structure of coral assemblages. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 408: 55–64. doi:10.3354/meps08554.
32. Moran PJ, De'ath G (1992) Suitability of the manta tow technique for estimating relative and absolute abundances of crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci* L.) and corals. *Aust J Mar Fresh Res* 43: 357–378. doi:10.1071/MF9920357.
33. Chancerelle Y, Maurin R, Poujade S, Vieux C (2008) Photo tow: a new method for estimating coral reef status and changes at large spatial scale. A coral bleaching event case study. *J Soc Océanistes* 126–127: 68–73.
34. Faure G (1989) Degradation of coral reefs at Moorea island (French Polynesia) by *Acanthaster planci*. *J Coastal Res* 5: 295–305.
35. Fox J (2002) Linear mixed models: appendix to an R and S-PLUS companion to applied regression. Available on R home page <http://cran.r-project.org>.
36. Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, the R Development Core Team (2008) nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1–89.
37. Endean R, Stablum W (1973) A study of some aspects of the crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) infestations of reefs of Australia's Great Barrier Reef. *Atoll Res Bull* 167: 1–76.
38. Laxton LH (1974) Aspects of the ecology of the coral-eating starfish *Acanthaster planci*. *Biol J Linnaean Soc* 6: 19–45. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1974.tb00712.x.
39. Johnson CR, Sutton DC, Olson RR, Giddins R (1991) Settlement of crown-of-thorns starfish: role of bacteria on surfaces of coralline algae and a hypothesis for deepwater recruitment. *Mar Ecol-Prog Ser* 71: 143–162. doi:10.3354/meps071143.
40. Ormond RFG, Campbell AC (1974) Formation and breakdown of *Acanthaster planci* aggregations in the Red Sea. *Proc 2nd Int Coral Reef Symp* 1: 577–590.
41. Suzuki G, Kai S, Yamashita H (2012) Mass stranding of crown-of-thorns starfish. *Coral Reefs*, in press. doi:10.1007/s00338-012-0906-z.
42. Silliman BR, van de Koppel J, Bertness MD, Stanton LE, Mendelsohn IA (2005) Drought, snails, and large-scale die-off of southern U.S. salt marshes. *Science* 310: 1803–1806. doi:10.1126/science.1118229.
43. Lauzon-Guay J-S, Scheibling RE, Barbeau MA (2008) Formation and propagation of feeding fronts in benthic marine invertebrates: a modeling approach. *Ecology* 89: 3150–3162. doi:10.1890/07-0643.1.
44. Adam TC, Schmitt RJ, Holbrook SJ, Brooks AJ, Edmunds PJ, et al. (2011) Herbivory, connectivity, and ecosystem resilience: response of a coral reef to a large-scale perturbation. *PLoS ONE* 6: e23717. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023717.
45. Mumby PJ, Steneck RS (2008) Coral reef management and conservation in light of rapidly evolving ecological paradigms. *Trends Ecol Evol* 23: 555–563. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.011.
46. Bouchon-Navaro Y, Bouchon C, Harmelin-Vivien ML (1985) Impact of coral degradation on a Chaetodontid fish assemblage (Moorea, French Polynesia). *Proc 5th Int Coral Reef Symp* 5: 427–432.
47. Graham NAJ, McClanahan TR, MacNeil MA, Wilson SK, Polunin NVC, et al. (2008) Climate warming, marine protected areas and the ocean-scale integrity of coral reef ecosystems. *PLoS ONE* 3: e3039. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003039.
48. Halford AR, Caley MJ (2009) Towards an understanding of resilience in isolated coral reefs. *Glob Change Biol* 15: 3031–3045. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01972.x.
49. Magalon H, Adjeroud M, Veuille M (2005) Patterns of genetic variation do not correlate with geographical distance in the reef-building coral *Pocillopora meandrina* in the South Pacific. *Mol Ecol* 14: 1861–1868. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02430.x.