INTRODUCTION

Lamellodiscus Johnston & Tiegs, 1922 (Monogenea: Diplectanidae) is currently composed of 56 described species (see Euzet & Oliver, 1967; Kritsky et al., 2000; Amine & Euzet, 2005; Amine et al., 2006, 2007; Neifar, 2008; Boudaya et al., 2009; Justine & Briand, 2010; Diamanka et al., 2011) that have been mainly studied in sparids. During our survey on the diversity and specificity of diplectanid (Monogenea) parasites of Atlantic and Mediterranean Sparidae (see Diamanka et al., 2011); we have collected from the gills of Dentex canariensis (Steindachner, 1881) from the coast of Senegal near Dakar, a Lamellodiscus belonging to the “ignoratus” sensu stricto subgroup. This species was also found on the gills of Dentex gibbosus (Rafinesque, 1810) from the same location and from Sfax fish market (Tunisia). According to the morphology of its male copulatory organ (MCO), this parasite differs from all currently known Lamellodiscus species and so is a new species. It description is given below, and specificity of Lamellodiscus species is discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty seven (27) specimens of Dentex canariensis and 48 of Dentex gibbosus were collected from Soumbedioune and Ouakam fish markets, near Dakar in Senegal, and four D. gibbosus from Sfax fish market, in Tunisia. They were identified using Blache et al. (1970) and Bellemans et al. (1988) in Senegal and Fischer et al. (1987) in Tunisia; distributions areas are estimated after FishBase.
Fish were dissected immediately or frozen until examination. Gills arches were removed and placed in separate Petri dishes containing seawater and examined for parasites under incident light using stereomicroscope. Monogeneans were detached from the gills with strong water current and transferred to a dish containing filtered seawater. Some parasites were partially compressed beneath slide and coverslip and examined using an optical microscope. Others parasites were transferred and mounted onto a slide in a drop of ammonium picrate-glycerol fluid (Malmberg, 1957). The preparation was then covered with a round coverslip and sealed with Glyceel (Bates, 1997). Drawings were made using a Leitz microscope equipped with a drawing tube and they were scanned and redrawn with Corel Draw Software.

Measurements, made on Malmberg’s fixed specimens and taken using a DM2500 Leica microscope, a DFC320 Leica digital camera and the Leica Application Software v 3.0, are given in micrometers as the mean ± standard deviation followed in parentheses by the range and (n) the number of observations. Terms and measures of the sclerotised structures are those proposed by Neifar et al. (2004) and presented in Fig. 1. Types were deposited in the collections of the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle (Paris) (MNHN) and of the British Natural History Museum (London) (BNHM).

**RESULTS**

**LAMELLODISCUS EUZETI** N. SP. (Fig. 2)

*Type host:* Dentex canariensis (Steindachner, 1881) (Sparidae).
*Other host:* Dentex gibbosus (Rafinesque, 1810) (Sparidae).

Site: gills, between secondary gill lamellae.
Type locality: off Dakar, Senegal.

Other records: Sfax fish market, Tunisia on *D. gibbosus* and Abidjan fish market, Ivory Coast on type host (Euzet, pers. comm.).

Material studied: 31 specimens mounted in ammonium picrate-glycerol and five live specimens.

Global prevalence: 70 %; 55 infected of 79 fish examined.

Prevalence on *D. canariensis* from off Senegal: 70 %; 19 infected of 27 fish examined.

Prevalence on *D. gibbosus* from off Senegal: 67 %; 32 infected of 48 fish examined.

Prevalence on *D. gibbosus* from Tunisia: 100 %; four infected of four fish examined.

Etymology: the name “euzeti” is given in honour of Louis Euzet professor emeritus from the University of Montpellier (France), who had already collected and began the study of this species in Ivory Coast in 1972.

---

**Fig. 1.** – Measurements of sclerotised organs.

A. lamellodisc, B. dorsal bar, C. ventral bar, D. dorsal anchor: a. total length, b. shaft-point distance, c. guard-point distance, E. ventral anchor: a. total length, b. shaft-point distance, c. guard-point distance, d. shaft-guard distance, f. shaft length, g. guard length, F. MCO: s. simple piece total length, paired piece: bp. basal part, ab. axial branch, lb. lateral branch.
Type material: holotype (MNHN n° HEL 188 Th 207) and paratypes (MNHN n° HEL 189 Th 208, n° HEL 190 Th 209, BMNH n° NHMUK 2011.2.17.1-5).

• Description
Diplectanidae, Lamellodiscinae. Adults 1142 ± 167 (802-1622, n = 31) long including haptor; maximum width 211 ± 33 (135-280, n = 31) at level of ovary. Haptor 251 ± 34 (134-314, n = 31) wide, with two lateral lobes on each side (Fig. 2A). Dorsal and ventral lamellodiscs, 52 ± 3.5 (46-62, n = 62) in diameter, with ten concentric rows of lamellae; anterior forming complete ring 29 ± 2.3 (24-36, n = 62) in diameter. Dorsal anchors with incipient guards, a: 48 ± 1.5 (44-51, n = 62); b: 45 ± 1.4 (42-48, n = 62); c: 21 ± 1.5 (18-28, n = 62). Two lateral dorsal bars with spatulate inner ends and a prominent protuberances at outer third of each bars, 64 ± 1.9 (60-68, n = 62) long and 23 ± 0.9 (20-24, n = 62) wide. Ventral anchors with well marked handles, wide guards, bent shafts and an acute points,
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Fig. 2. – *Lamellodiscus euzeti* n. sp. from the gills of *Dentex canariensis* off Dakar, Senegal.
A. animal *in toto* ventral view, composite drawing of three living and fixed specimens, B. lamellodisc (elegans type), C. dorsal bar, D. ventral bar, E. dorsal anchor, F. ventral anchor, G. male copulatory organ. Scale-bar A = 250 µm; B, C, D, E, F & G = 25 µm.
a: 55 ± 2.7 (49-61, n = 62); b: 51 ± 2.6 (47-56, n = 62); c: 28 ± 2.1 (21-33, n = 62); d: 25 ± 1.8 (21-29, n = 62); e: 31 ± 1.3 (28-34, n = 62); f: 12 ± 1.0 (9-14, n = 62). Ventral median bar 77 ± 2.0 (74-82, n = 31) long and 20 ± 1.9 (16-23, n = 31) wide, with curved ends. Fourteen similar uncini (seven pairs) 12 ± 0.7 (11-14, n = 90) long, with diplectanid disposition (Fig. 2A).

Cephalic glands on each side of the pharynx with ducts leading to three lateral head organs. Four granular ocellar pits forming two pairs, posterior pairs greater than the anterior pairs, and larval ocellar granules sometimes dispersed all around the pharynx. Mouth anterior, subterminal, opening ventrally. Pharynx spherical, 86 (78-95, n = 16) in diameter. Oesophagus short. Simple lateral intestinal caeca not joined posteriorly. Subspherical testis, intercaecal in posterior half of the body. Vas deferens emerging from antero-spinnular side of testis, not encircling left intestinal caecum, enlarging to form seminal vesicle. Vas deferens passing on right side, anterior to MCO. Prostatic reservoir pyriform, anterior to MCO. MCO of “lyre” morphology, with two sclerotised, articulated pieces (Fig. 2G). Simple piece ending in a strong “lyre” morphology, with two sclerotised, articulated pieces (Fig. 2G). Simple piece ending in a strong hook with a prominent protuberance at the base of the distal third, s: 75 ± 1.9 (71-79, n = 31) long. Bifurcated piece with a large basal part, bp: 25 ± 2.6 (21-34, n = 31) long, and two unequal crossed branch; the lateral one, shorter, extends intersecting the axial one at proximal extremity, lb: 20 ± 1.5 (15-23, n = 31); the axial one with 5-6 spines near its slightly curved distal extremity, ab: 41 ± 2.4 (34-46, n = 31). Ovary median, subequatorial, anterior to testis, looping right intestinal caecum. Melhis’s glands and ootype present. Vaginal aperture sinistral with funnel-shaped opening, enlarged on vaginal chamber often filled with slightly sclerotised globular mass. Vaginal chamber connected with narrow duct to globular seminal receptacle, anterior to ovary. Vitelline follicles lateral, coextensive with intestinal caecum, contiguous anteriorly and posteriorly in utero, left and right follicles overlapping in mounted specimens (see Fig. 2A). Eggs tetrahedral in utero (not seen outside the uterus).

• Remarks

From the morphology of the squamodiscs, dorsal lateral bars and MCO, this new species belongs to the “ignoratus” group (sensu Oliver, 1987), characterized by lamellodiscs with complete lamellae and a “lyre” type male copulatory organ, and to the “ignoratus” sensu stricto subgroup, characterized by a haptor with simple lateral dorsal bar as proposed by Amine & Euzet (2005). This subgroup today includes 16 species: L. pagrosomi Murray, 1931; L. ignoratus Palombi, 1949; L. fraterculus Bychowsky, 1957; L. erythrini Euzet & Oliver, 1967; L. knoepffleri Oliver, 1969; L. anchistobagri Roubal, 1981; L. sarculus Neifar, Euzet & Oliver, 2004; L. sigillatus Neifar, Euzet & Oliver, 2004; L. rastellus Neifar, Euzet & Oliver, 2004; L. falcus Amine, Euzet & Kechemir-Issad, 2006; L. neifari Amine, Euzet & Kechemir-Issad, 2006; L. confusus Amine, Euzet & Kechemir-Issad, 2007; L. crampus Neifar, 2008; L. vicinus Diamanka, Neifar, Pariselle & Euzet, 2011, L. toguebayei Diamanka, Neifar, Pariselle & Euzet, 2011 and L. triacies Diamanka, Neifar, Pariselle & Euzet, 2011. Lamellodiscus euzeti n. sp. can be easily distinguished from all these species, except L. sarculus, by the shape and size of the sclerotised pieces of the haptor and MCO. L. euzeti differs from L. sarculus by the length of the lateral branch (shorter in L. sarculus), the presence of 5-6 spines on the axial branch (vs smooth in L. sarculus), the bulbous shape of the basal part of the bifurcated piece of the MCO, and by the length of the protuberance at the base of the distal third of the simple piece (larger in L. euzeti).

DISCUSSION

The globular mass we observed in the vaginal chamber of L. euzeti is regularly present in different species of Lamellodiscus belonging to the “ignoratus” subgroup (Kritsky et al., 2000; Neifar et al., 2004; Amine et al., 2006; Diamanka et al., 2011). This globular mass seems to be characteristic to these species with a “lyre” type MCO, except for L. triacies in which it is smaller and resembles a spermatophore (Diamanka et al., 2011). L. euzeti is described from two congeneric host species, Dentex canariensis and Dentex gibbosus, which raises the problem of its specificity, usually described as very strict in L. euzeti (Diamanka et al., 2009; Euzet & Oliver, 1967). In this case (other hypothesis has been developed by Desdevises et al., 2002) the presence of this species on these two spard fishes can be explained by their phylogenetic proximity, which may favour lateral transfers or exchanges of genes between populations of parasites (Combes, 1995; Pariselle, 2003). That seems to be the case since D. canariensis and D. gibbosus are very close phylogenetically (Chiba et al., 2009) and are present in sympathy over much of their distribution area, from Western Sahara to Angola (and recently reported in Spain); and from Portugal to Angola, also in the Mediterranean Sea and around the Canary, Sao Tome and Principe islands respectively. The presence of this species in West Africa and Tunisia raises to seven the number of Lamellodiscus species described in the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea. Justine (1985) reported in Diplodus sargus cadenati
de la Paz, Bauchot & Daget, 1974, three species of Lamellodiscus (L. eleagans Bychowsky, 1957; L. ergensi Euzet & Oliver, 1966 and L. ignoratus) already known in the Mediterranean Sea on D. sargus (Linnaeus, 1758); Neifar et al., 2004 described Lamellodiscus sargulus and L. sigillatus from Pagrus caeruleostictus and L. rastellus from P. auriga, suggesting a common history of Sparidae and their parasites in these distant areas, despite their ecological differences. Research is ongoing on other sparid species (and their parasites) to strengthen future comparisons between Atlantic and Mediterranean faunas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author would like to thank Khaled Bin Sultan Living Oceans Foundation for the financial assistance and the French embassy in Dakar for U3E project grants. We would like to thank Prof. Louis Euzet from the University of Montpellier (France) and Dr Lassad Neifar from the Faculty of Sciences of Sfax (Tunisia) for their help in field work and manuscript writing. We want also to thank Prof. Jean-Lou Justine, the anonymous referee and the Editor for valuable comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES


Boudaya L. Neifar L. & Euzet L. Diplectanid parasites of Litobognathus mormyrus (L.) (Teleostei: Sparidae) from the Mediterranean Sea, with the description of Lamellodiscus flagellatus n. sp. (Monogenea: Diplectanidae). Systematic Parasitology, 2009, 74, 149-159.


Received on September 9th, 2010
Accepted on February 2nd, 2011