Fundam. appl. Nematol., 1996, **19** (4), 399-406

Molecular comparisons of entomopathogenic nematodes using Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers

Ghazala HASHMI, Itamar GLAZER and Randy GAUGLER

Department of Entomology, Cook College, New Jersey Experimental Station, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, USA.

Accepted for publication 15 August 1995.

Summary – The two entomopathogenic nematode genera *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema* were examined for genetic relatedness by random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers. Species of these genera have great potential for biological control of insect pests, and rapid and accurate identification of the many isolates of these nematodes is important for their implementation. Eighty ten-mer random primers were screened for use in determining genetic variability among isolates of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora, H. megidis, Steinernema glaseri, S. carpocapsae,* and *S. feltiae.* A similarity of 0-6 % was observed between the members of different genera, and less than 20 % similarity was observed among congeneric species. Genetic analysis using seven primers of different *Heterorhabditis* isolates from various parts of the world showed 24-96 % similarity. These results agreed with other reports which showed many genetic differences among isolates. RAPD analysis described here can be used for the assessment of genetic variability among species and isolates of entomopathogenic nematodes.

Résumé – ***Comparaison moléculaire entre nématodes entomopathogènes grâce à des marqueurs polymorphes d'ADN amplifiés au hasard (RAPD)*** - Les relations génétiques des deux genres de nématodes entomopathogènes *Heterorhabditis* et *Steinernema* ont été étudiées grâce à des marqueurs d'ADN polymorphes amplifiés au hasard (RAPD). Les espèces appartenant à ces genres sont en effet dotées d'un fort potentiel de contrôle biologique des insectes nuisibles et leur identification rapide et sûre est importante pour leur utilisation. Quatre-vingts amorces de séquence aléatoire de dix bases ont été testées en vue de leur utilisation pour déterminer la variabilité génétique existant chez des isolats d'*Heterorhabditis bacteriophora, H. megidis, Steinernema glaseri, S. carpocapsae* et *S. feltiae.* Une similarité de 0 à 6 % est observée entre les membres des différents genres; cette valeur est de 20 % pour les espèces congénères. Une analyse génétique utilisant sept amorces de différents isolats d'*Heterorhabditis* d'origines variées a révélé une similarité de 24-96 %. Ces résultats confirment d'autres observations montrant de nombreuses différences génétiques entre les isolats. L'analyse en RAPD décrite ici peut être utilisée pour l'évaluation de la variabilité génétique chez les espèces et isolats de nématodes entomopathogènes.

Key words : Entomopathogenic nematodes, genetic variations, *Heterorhabditis,* RAPD, *Steinernema.*

Entomopathogenic nematodes, *(Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema)* are efficient parasites of several economically important insect pests (Kaya, 1985; Gaugler, 1988; Gaugler & Kaya, 1990). To date, five species have been described for *Heterorhabditis* (Gardner *et al.,* 1994) and seventeen for *Steinernema.* These two nematode genera are comprised of numerous isolates and new isolates are continuously being added in nematode culture collection in various laboratories all over the world. These isolates often differ in their potential as a biological control agent. Knowledge about the systematic relationships among these isolates is a prerequisite for field implementation, and also for proprietary rights. Efforts to collect, preserve and use for biological control of new isolates are impaired by the inability to effectively document their uniqueness or taxonomic relationships. The lack of the understanding of the genetic diversity within species and within populations also dampened these efforts. There has been considerable debate about the proper identification of entomopathogenic nematodes (Curran & Webster, 1989; Gaugler & Kaya, 1990; Poinar, 1990). Taxonomic relationships of these nematodes are usually based on morphological characters for *Heterorhabditis* species while for *Steinernema* species morphological characters are combined with cross breeding data (Akhurst & Bedding, 1978; Poinar, 1986, 1990). Morphological characters can not be used unambiguously to place new isolates into a particular species. The feasibility of using these nematodes as biological control agents depends upon the resources required for a rapid and accurate means to determine the genetic diversity among existing populations of entomopathogenic nematode species. These methods can also be used for the development of identification tools.

The use of molecular approaches to study systematic relationships among entomopathogenic nematodes have been emphasized (Curran, 1990). Several examples of these methods to determine variability among species

and strains of *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema* are available. Isozyme banding patterns were used to detect variability among both *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema* species (Akhurst, 1987; also see for review Curran, 1990). Use of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) for the genetic characterization of *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema* species, and for the development of species specific probe has also been reported (Curran & Webster, 1989; Smith *et al.,* 1991; Reid & Hominik, 1992; Joyce *et al.,* 1994 *b*).

Various methods are available to study the genetic divergence and polymorphism. One of these methods, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), detects polymorphisms using a single primer of arbitrary nucleotide sequence (Williams *et al.,* 1990; Welsh & McClellan, 1990). Polymorphisms are caused by base changes in the primer binding site or by chromosome length mutations within the amplified sequence. These types of polymorphisms makes RAPD markers well suited for studies for genetic diversity, genetic relationships, genetic mapping, DNA fingerprinting and population genetics (Vierling & Nguyen, 1992). RAPD has already been used for the genetic variability and phylogenetic studies of different organisms including insects, animals and plants (Hu & Quiros, 1991; Kambhampati *et al.,* 1992; Black *et al.,* 1992; Woodward *et al.,* 1992; Puterka *et al.,* 1993; Landry *et al.,* 1993; Fondrk *et al.,* 1993). This technique has also been used to study genetic diversity in bacterial feeding and plant parasitic nematodes (Caswell-Chen *et al.,* 1992; Knaap *et al.,* 1993; Hahn *et al.,* 1994; Pinochet *et al.,* 1994).

Although RAPD analysis was used for the description of a new species of *Heterorhabditis* from Hawaii (Gardner *et al.,* 1994), these markers have not been used to determine the extent of polymorphisms within species and populations of entomopathogenic nematodes. We explore the use of RAPD analysis to study genetic diversity among various species and isolates of entomopathogenic nematodes *(Heterorhabditis,* and *Steinernema)* from different geographical regions of the world. Genetic similarities were compared by generating similarity matrix on the basis of DNA banding patterns produced with arbitrary primers.

Materials and methods

Nematode culture

The nematode species and strains used in this study and their geographical origin are listed in Table 1. Nematodes were grown on lipid agar media (Dunphy & Webster, 1989), seeded with their symbiotic bacteria *(Photorhabdus luminescens* for *Heterorhabditis* spp., *Xenorhabdus nematophilus* for *Steinernema carpocapsae, X. bovienii* for *S. feltiae, X. poinarii* for *S. glaseri).* All stages of nematodes were collected by centrigugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min in EN buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA) followed by three washes with distilled sterile water (Sulston & Hodgkin, 1988). Finally, the nematodes were collected in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and stored frozen at – 20 °C until used for DNA extraction.

Table 1. *List of* Heterorhabditis *and* Steinernema *species with their isolate designation and geographic origin, used in the genetic analysis.*

Biological species	Isolate designation	Geographic origin
H. bacteriophora	HP88	USA
H. bacteriophora	HB1	South Australia
H. bacteriophora	C1 (St. François)	Guadeloupe
H. bacteriophora	IH-273	Italy
Heterorhabditis sp.	32.5	USA
Heterorhabditis sp.	Moldavia	Moldavia
Heterorhabditis sp.	E1	Barcelona, Spain
Heterorhabditis sp.	IH-127	Italy
H. megidis	HSH2	Germany
S. carpocapsae	All	Georgia, USA
S. feltiae	AB (Australian)	Australia
S. glaseri	NC	North Carolina, USA

DNA extraction

DNA extraction from all stages of nematodes was performed according to the method of Sulston and Hodgkin (1988). The nematode pellet was ground in liquid nitrogen and treated with proteinase K buffer containing 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris HCl at pH 8.5, 50 mM EDTA pH 7.4, 1 % SDS, 1 % β mercaptoethanol, and 100 µg/ml proteinase K, for 1 h at 65 °C. The DNA was extracted with equal volumes of phenol, phenol-chloroform, and chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24 : 1) and was precipitated in 100 % ethanol over night at – 20 °C. DNA pellet was then dissolved in 1X TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). DNA concentration was determined by spectrophotometer.

RAPD analysis

The reaction mixture (20 µl) contained 10 mM Tris HCl at pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$, .001 % (w/v) gelatin, 200 µM each of dNTPs, 0.5 µM primer, 50 ng of template DNA and 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Perkin Elmer Cetus), overlaid with mineral oil (United States Biochemicals). Eighty random primers (kit A, C, H, S) used in this study were obtained from Operon Technologies Inc., Alameda, CA. Amplifications were performed in a Stratagene Robocycler™ 40 temperature cycler, programmed for one cycle of 1 min at 94 °C, 2 min at 40 °C, 3 min at 72 °C, 39 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 1:30 min at 40 °C, and 3 min at 72 °C (modified from Joyce *et al.,* 1994 *a*). The amplification products were electrophoresed in 0.8-1 % w/v agarose gel in 1X TBE buffer at 1 V/cm for 12-16 h and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide. Reproducibility

of DNA profiles was determined by replicating all RAPD reactions at least three times.

DATA ANALYSIS

Eighty primers were tested to screen for polymorphic markers in five different nematode species. Two independent DNA preparations of each species, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* HP88, *H. megidis* HSH2, *Steinernema glaseri* NC, *S. carpocapseae* All, and *S. feltiae* AB were used. Genomic DNA from every population was amplified with each of the 80 primers. All polymorphic bands were scored for the presence *versus* absence of a specific amplification product. DNA fragments at low intensities were only scored as present when they were reproducible in repeated experiments.

Nine isolates collected from different parts of the world (Table 1), were used to study the genetic diversity among *Heterorhabditis* isolates. Seven primers (OP-A16, OP-A18, OP-C11, OP-H05, OP-H08, OP-S07, OP-S17) selected in our initial screening were used in this study. Polymorphic bands produced with these primers for each isolate were scored and analysed as described above.

Banding patterns of DNA generated by each primer were analyzed in a pair-wise comparison using the method described by Nei and Li (1979), for restriction fragment length polymorphic (RFLP) band analysis. Similarity was calculated by equation :

$$F = 2\,Cxy/(Tx + Ty)$$

Where F is the similarity per primer, Cxy is the number of common bands produced by both isolates, and Tx and Ty are the bands generated by isolate " x " and " y " respectively. Percentage similarity was calculated for shared DNA fragments per primer for each species/isolate, and results were averaged (Tables 4, 5). Average linkage method (Sneath & Sokal, 1973) was used for cluster analysis on the values of 1-F, using an unweight pair-group method algorithm (UPGMA) and dendrograms (tree diagrams) were plotted (SAS Institute, 1992).

Results

Out of 80 primers used to screen for polymorphic markers, 32 primers produced faint bands or the complete absence of fragments with all species tested, and therefore were not used in further studies. However, with *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema* species different number of primers produced intense banding patterns that could be scored for some species and no visible banding patterns for others (Table 2). This initial screening enabled us to use specific primers to study genetic divergence between species. There was a considerable amount of variability between individuals of different species. Taxonomically different genotypes (different species) produced completely different banding patterns, examples are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Table 2. *Percentage of primers producing scoreable bands with different nematode species.*

Biological species	Strain	% primer
H. bacteriophora	HP88	62.0 %
H. bacteriophora	HB1	62.0 %
H. megidis	HSH2	42.8 %
Steinernema glaseri	NC	52.6 %
S. carpocapsae	All	30.0 %
S. feltiae	Australian (AB)	40.0 %

Table 3. *Number of polymorphic bands produced with two* Heterorhabditis *and three* Steinernema *species used for percentage similarity analysis.*

Primer	Sequence	Number of polymorphic bands
	5′ 3′	
OP-A13	GAGGACCCAC	8
OP-A18	AGGTGACCGT	13
OP-C06	GAACGGACTC	7
OP-C09	CTCACCGTCC	9
OP-C11	AAAGCTGCGG	8
OP-C12	TGTCATCCCC	10
OP-H04	GGAAGTCGCC	12
OP-H15	AATGGCGCAG	14
OP-H18	GAATCGGCCA	9
OP-H19	CTGACCAGCC	7
OP-S13	TTCGTTCCTG	7
OP-S16	AGGGGGTTCC	7
TOTAL		108

Table 4. *Average percent similarity between* Heterorhabditis *and* Steinernema *species obtained with twelve primers.*

Species/isolate*	1	2	3	4	5
1. H.b HP88	100				
2. H.m HSH2	15.0	100			
3. S.g NC	2.0	6.4	100		
4. S.c All	6.25	4.6	16.2	100	
5. S.f AB	0.0	0.0	15.3	13.0	100

* *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (H.b), *H. megidis* (H.m), *Steinernema glaseri* (S.g), *Steinernema carpocapsae* (S.c), *S. feltiae* (S.f).

Although banding patterns produced by many primers could potentially be used to characterize different species, unique products were not found for all genotypes with every primer. Therefore banding patterns produced with a set of twelve primers (Table 3) that revealed scoreable polymorphisms for each of the five species were used to generate similarity matrix. Each of



Fig. 1. *RAPD amplifications with primer OP-S11, where M = molecular weight marker, lambda DNA cut with* HindIII/EcoRI, *molecular weight sites in kb from top to bottom 21.2, 5.1, 4.2, 2.0, 1.9 and 1.5. A :* Heterorhabditis bacteriophora *HP88; B :* H. bacteriophora *HB1; C :* H. megidis *HSH2; D :* Steinernema glaseri *NC; E :* S. carpocapsae *All.*



Fig. 2. *RAPD amplifications with primer OP-S16, where M = molecular weight marker, lambda DNA cut with* HindIII/EcoRI, *molecular wight sites as in Fig. 1. A :* Heterorhabditis bacteriophora *HP88; B :* H. bacteriophora *HB1; C :* H. megidis *HSH2; D :* Steinernema glaseri *NC; E :* S. carpocapsae *All; F :* S. feltiae *AB.*

the twelve primers generated a unique set of products ranging from 500 base pairs (bp) to 4000 bp in size. A total of 108 consistent polymorphic bands generated by these ten-mer primers were used for presence/absence data. The number of bands for each primer varied for each genotype. A total of eight different phenotypes (banding patterns) were generated by these primers. Figures 1, 2 and 3 show polymorphic amplification products with different primers. Several primers produced DNA fragments specific for each species. For example, primer OP-A13 produced a 1300 base pair (bp) and a < 500 bp fragment for HP88, a 831 bp fragment for *H. megidis*, a 1900 bp for *S. carpocapsae* and a 4000 bp fragment for *S. feltiae*. These DNA patterns were reproducible in several repeated experiments. The average percentage similarity for pair-wise comparison between two species of *Heterorhabditis* and three *Steinernema* species based on shared DNA fragments is shown in Table 4. All primers amplified different DNA patterns for each nematode species. Two species of *Heterorhabditis* shared a similarity of only 15 %. The similarity among three *Steinernema* species was 13-16 %. The similarity between the two genera was 0-6 %.

Cluster analysis was performed using the average linkage method. This method describes average linkage (group average, Unweighted Pair-group method using arithmetic averages, UPGMA). Cluster analysis revealed grouping for all individuals that was concordant with their taxonomic status (Fig. 4). Five species were divided into two clusters at the highest level of divergence, where each cluster consists of species of one genus. The divergence of two *Heterorhabditis* species was at the same level as for two *Steinernema* species *(S. carpocapsae* and *S. glaseri),* whereas *S. feltiae* was found relatively more divergent.

One of our objectives was to determine the genetic diversity among *Heterorhabditis* isolates. In this study six *Heterorhabditis* isolates of undetermined species were used with two isolates of *H. bacteriophora* (HP88 and HB1), and one isolate of *H. megidis* (HSH2). All of the seven primers used in this study produced DNA fragments that could be used to distinguish these isolates from each other. Although different levels of similarities



Fig. 3. *RAPD amplifications with primer OP-C06, where M = molecular weight marker, lambda DNA cut with* HindIII/EcoRI, *molecular weight sites as in Fig. 1. A :* Heterorhabditis bacteriophora *HP88; B :* H. bacteriophora *HB1; C :* H. megidis *HSH2; D :* Steinernema glaseri *NC; E :* S. carpocapsae *All; F :* S. feltiae *AB.*



Fig. 4. *UPGMA dendrogram showing estimated average genetic distance among different species of entomopathogenic nematodes based on polymorphisms generated by RAPD-PCR. This dendrogram was generated using 108 polymorphic bands produced with twelve random primers. Similarity matrix was generated on the bases of shared DNA fragments as described in materials and methods. Average linkage cluster analysis was performed on the values of 1-F.*

were found among *Heterorhabditis* isolates, *H. megidis* always produced a DNA banding pattern that distinguished it from all other isolates (Figs 5, 6). Many primers produced sufficient polymorphism to distinguish all isolates from each other by at least one band difference. Percent similarity was calculated based on shared DNA fragments per primer and the results were averaged (Table 5). Similarity between all *Heterorhabditis* isolates and *H. megidis* was less than 10 %. Two *H. bacteriophora* isolates HP88 and HB1 shared a high percent similarity of DNA fragments (96 %). High similarity was also found between HP88 and El, 32.5 (73.8 and 77.4, respectively) and E1 and 32.5 (100 %). There was a similarity of 45.3 % between two Italian isolates (IH-127, IH-273). Different levels of similarities were observed between isolate C1 and others, for example, C1 was found 24 % similar to IH-273, 37.9 % similar to IH-127, 45 % similar to HP88, HB1, and 64.4 % similar to isolate from Moldavia.

UPGMA analysis of eight isolates of *Heterorhabditis* is shown in Figures 7. The average linkage method used in



Fig. 5. *RAPD amplifications of nine different* Heterorhabditis *isolates amplified with primer OP-H08, where M = lambda DNA cut with* HindIII/EcoRI, *molecular weight sites as in Fig. 1, except for the smallest fragment which is 0.56 kb. A :* Heterorhabditis bacteriophora *HP88; B : HB1; C : IH-273; D : C1; E : IH-127; F :* H. megidis *HSH2; G : 32.5; H : E1; I : isolate from Moldavia.*



Fig. 6. *RAPD amplifications of nine different* Heterorhabditis *isolates amplified with primer OP-S07, where M = lambda DNA cut with* Hind*III*/Eco *RI, molecular weight sites as in Fig. 1. A :* Heterorhabditis bacteriophora *HP88; B : HB1; C : IH-273; D : C1; E : IH-127; F :* H. megidis *HSH2; G : 32.5; H : E1; I : isolate from Moldavia.*



Fig. 7. *UPGMA dendrogram showing the average genetic distance among different* Heterorhabditis *isolates collected from different parts of the world, based on polymorphisms generated by RAPD-PCR. Similarity matrix was generated on the basis of shared DNA fragments as described in materials and methods section. Average linkage cluster analysis was performed on the values of 1-F.*

Table 5. *Average percentage similarity among different* Heterorhabditis *isolates analysed with seven primers.*

Strain/isolate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
HP88	100								
HB1	96.0	100							
IH273	46.3	46.3	100						
C1	45.2	45.2	24.5	100					
IH127	41.8	41.8	45.3	37.9	100				
HSH2	9.7	9.7	2.3	4.0	5.7	100			
32.5	77.4	77.4	54.0	33.1	47.3	6.3	100		
E1	73.8	73.8	54.0	40.2	30.2	6.3	100	100	
Moldavia	49.3	49.3	42.7	64.4	33.0	4.8	46.7	48.0	100

this analysis grouped all *Heterorhabditis* isolates based on the average distance among them. Isolate 32.5, HE1, HP88 and HB1 formed a group, while C1 and Moldavia were in another group. Two Italian isolates IH-127 and IH-273 had more similarities with other isolates in this study than with each other. *H. megidis* was the most distant relative to all isolates.

Discussion

This study demonstrates the feasibility of RAPD markers for the assessment of genetic variability among entomopathogenic nematode species and isolates. We validated the use of RAPDs for the differentiation of species and isolates of *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* and have shown a high level of inter-species polymorphism and relatively low level of intra-species polymorphism. Banding patterns produced by random primers were evaluated for specific fragments generated by each species. Some primers resulted in amplified DNA with only one species. For instance, primer C01 and C04 can only be used for the discrimination of *Heterorhabditis* species, and primer A10 and A19 can only be used for *Steinernema* species. Most primers tested in these studies were always able to discriminate among *Heterorhabditis* species, but this was not the case with *Steinernema* species. Most primers produced similar banding patterns for all *Steinernema* species tested.

Steinernema species are usually identified on the bases of morphological characters combined with cross breeding data (Akhurst & Bedding, 1978; Poinar, 1986, 1990). Although cross breeding studies have been conducted with *Heterorhabditis* species (Dix, *et al.,* 1992), these techniques can not be used routinely because of the difficulty of obtaining synchronous cultures and virgin females (Curran & Webster, 1989). The taxonomic classification based on morphological characters can not be used for the correct isolate placement in a particular species. Although variations have been observed at the biochemical and molecular levels (Curran, 1990) and genetic variations have been estimated, very little is known about the extent of quantitative genetic variation

within species. Analysis of genetic variability using RAPD technique described here may be used in routine genetic analysis of new isolates. Molecular methods can also help to clarify the taxonomic status of new isolates as also suggested by Curran (1990). However care must be taken in using these markers for the determination of phylogenetic relationships, because of the dominant nature of RAPD markers (Williams *et al.*, 1990).

In our RAPD analyses polymorphims were scored for the presence *versus* absence of a particular band. The proportion of DNA fragments shared between two populations is expected to be correlated with the degree of genetic divergence of DNA. This proportion declines as the organism's DNA sequences diverge (Nei & Li, 1979). Our data demonstrate low levels of percent similarity (0-6 %) between two genera, *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema*, indicating high level of genetic divergence, whereas similarity among species of the same genus was less than 20 %. Cluster analysis correlated with the presumed taxonomic relationship of all individuals. The percent divergence of two *Heterorhabditis* species *(H. bacteriophora* and *H. megidis)* was similar to that of two *Steinernema* species *(S. carpocapsae* and *S. glaseri),* whereas *S. feltiae* was less similar (Fig. 4). These results show that discrimination among different genotypes is possible with a RAPD assay.

In the studies of genetic diversity among nine *Heterorhabditis* isolates from different parts of the world, intermediate similarities were observed. Similarity of these isolates with *H. megidis* was only 4-10 %. On an average there was less than 50 % similarity among these isolates with several exceptions. Although these isolates showed intermediate similarities, each one showed a banding pattern with at least one marker difference from other isolates when screened with a particular primer. Two *Heterorhabditis* isolates 32.5 and E1 that showed 100 % similarities with each other did show slightly different levels of similarities with other isolates. This difference was due to the presence or absence of a particular fragment that was not used in certain comparisons because of their low intensity/reproducibility.

RAPD-PCR has already been used to assess the genetic variability of different organisms including bacterial feeding and plant parasitic nematodes (Caswell-Chen *et al.,* 1992; Knaap *et al.,* 1993; Hahn *et al.,* 1994). RAPD markers may become an efficient and rapid way to characterize the species and isolates of entomopathogenic nematode. Genetic information obtained from RAPD analysis can also be used to generate more specific probes for the identification of different genotypes. RFLP analysis with different Steinernematids isolated from British soils (Reid & Hominick, 1992), has been used for the development of a species-specific clone for *S. feltiae* (Reid & Hominick, 1993). Several species-specific DNA fragments were also identified during present studies. Efforts are now in progress in our laboratory to use RAPD markers for the development of more specific probes for the identification of entomopathogenic nematode species and isolates.

Acknowledgments

We thank Scott Bilder of Computer Center, Rutgers University, for his help in cluster analysis. This research was supported in part by Ecogen Inc., Langhorne, PA. New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station Publication No. D-08255-02-95 supported by state funds and regional research funds.

References

AKHURST, R. J. (1987). Use of starch gel electrophoresis in the taxonomy of the genus *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda : Heterorhabditidae). *Nematologica,* 33 : 1-9.

AKHURST, R. J. & BEDDING, R. A. (1978). A simple cross-breeding technique to facilitate species determination in the genus *Neoaplectana. Nematologica,* 24 : 328-330.

BLACK IV, W. C., DUTEAU, N. M., PUTERKA, G. J., NECHOLS, J. R. & PETTORINI, J. M. (1992). Use of random amplified polymorphic DNA polymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR) to detect DNA polymorphisms in aphids (Homoptera : Aphididae). *Bull. ent. Res.,* 82 : 151-159.

CASWELL-CHEN, E. P., WILLIAMSON, V. M. & WU, F. F. (1992). Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of *Heterodera cruciferae* and *Heterodera schachtii* populations. *J. Nematol.,* 24 : 343-351.

CURRAN, J. (1990). Molecular techniques in taxonomy. In : Gaugler, R. & Kaya, H. K. (Eds). *Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control.* Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press : 63-74.

CURRAN, J. & WEBSTER, J. M. (1989). Genotypic analysis of *Heterorhabditis* isolates from North Carolina. *J. Nematol.,* 21 : 140-145.

DIX, I. A., BRUNELL, A. M., GRIFFIN, C. T., JOYCE, S. A., NUGENT, M. J. & DOWNES, M. J. (1991). The identification of biological species in the genus *Heterorhabditis* (Nematoda : Heterorhabditidae) by cross-breeding second generation amphimictic adults. *Parasitology,* 104 : 509-518.

DUNPHY, G. B. & WEBSTER, J. M. (1989). The monoxenic culture of *Neoplectana carpocapsae* DD136 and *Heterorhabditis heliotidis. Revue Nématol.,* 12 : 113-123.

FONDRK, M. K., PAGE, R. E. & HUNT, G. J. (1993). Paternity analysis of worker honeybees using random amplified polymorphic DNA. *Naturwissenschaften,* 80 : 226-231.

GARDNER, S. L., STOCK, S. P. & KAYA, H. K. (1994). A new species of *Heterorhabditis* from the Hawaiian islands. *J. Parasit.,* 80 : 100-109.

GAUGLER, R. (1988). Ecological considerations in the biological control of soil inhabiting insects with entomopathogenic nematodes. *Agric., Ecosyst., Envir.,* 24 : 351-360.

GAUGLER, R. & KAYA, H. K. (1990). *Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control.* Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press, 365 p.

HAHN, M. L., BURROWS, P., GNANAPRAGASAM, N. C., BRIDGE, J., VINES, N. J. & WRIGHT, D. J. (1994). Molecular diversity amongst *Radopholus similis* populations from Sri

Lanka detected by RAPD analysis. *Fundam. appl. Nematol.*, 17 : 275-281.

Hu, J. & Quiros, C. F. (1991). Identification of broccoli and cauliflower cultivars with RAPD markers. *Pl. Cell Rep.*, 10 : 505-511.

Joyce, S. A., Brunell, A. M. & Powers, T. O. (1994 *a*). Characterization of *Heterorhabditis* isolates by PCR amplification of segments of mtDNA and rDNA genes. *J. Nematol.*, 26 : 260-270.

Joyce, S. A., Reid, A. Driver, F. & Curran, J. (1994 *b*). Application of Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) methods to the identification of entomopathogenic nematodes. *In :* Brunell, A. M., Ehler, R.-U. & Masson, J. P. (Eds). *Genetics of entomopathogenic nematode-Bacterium complexes.* European Commission Public., EUR 15681 EN : 178-187.

Kambhampati, S., Black IV, W. C. & Rai, K. S. (1992). Random amplified polymorphic DNA of mosquito species and populations (Diptera : Culicidae) : techniques, statistical analysis, and applications. *J. Medic. Ent.*, 29 : 939-945.

Kaya, H. K. (1985). Entomogenous nematodes for insect control in IPM systems. *In :* Hoy, M. A. & Herzog, D. C. (Eds). *Biological control in agricultural IPM systems.* New York, Academic Press : 283-302.

Knaap, E. V. D., Rodriguez, R. J. & Freckman, D. W. (1993). Differentiation of bacterial feeding nematodes in soil ecological studies by means of arbitrarily-primed PCR. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 25 : 1141-1151.

Landry, B. S., Dextraz, I. & Boivin, G. (1993). Random amplified polymorphic DNA markers from DNA fingerprinting and genetic variability assessment of minute parasitic wasp species (Hymenoptera : Mymaridae and Trichogrammatidae) used in biological control programs of phytophagous insects. *Genome,* 36 : 580-587.

Nei, M. & Li, W. H. (1979). Mathematical model for studying genetic variations in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. USA,* 74 : 5267-5273.

Pinochet, P. J., Cenis, L., Fernandez, C., Doucet, M. & Maruell, J. (1994). Reproductive fitness and Random amplified DNA variation among isolates of *Pratylenchus vulnus. J. Nematol.,* 26 : 271-277.

Poinar, G. O. Jr. (1986). Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological plant and health protection. *In :* Frenz, J. M. (Ed.). *Entomogenous nematodes.* Stuttgart, Germany, G. Fischer Verlag, 32 : 95-121.

Poinar, G. O. Jr. (1990). Taxonomy and biology of Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae. *In :* Gaugler, R. & Kaya, H. K. (Eds). *Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control.* Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press : 23-61.

Puterka, G. J., Black IV, W. C., Steiner, W. M. & Burton, R. L. (1993). Genetic variation and phylogenetic relationships among worldwide collection of the Russian wheat aphid. *Diuraphis noxia* (Mordvilko), inferred from allozyme and RAPD-PCR markers. *Heredity,* 70 : 604-618.

Reid, A. P. & Hominick, W. M. (1992). Restriction fragment length polymorphisms within the ribosomal DNA repeat unit of British entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida : Steiternematidae). *Parasitology,* 105 : 317-323.

Reid, A. P. & Hominick, W. M. (1993). Isolation and use of a species-specific clone for the identification of the Rhabditid entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae* (Filipjev, 1934). *Fundam. appl. Nematol.,* 16 : 115-120.

SAS Institute (1992). *SAS/STAT. User's guide release, 6.03 Ed.* Cary, NC, USA, SAS Inst. Inc., 1028 p.

Smith, P. H., Groenen, J. T. M. & De Ray, G. (1991). Characterization of *Heterorhabditis* isolates using DNA restriction length polymorphism. *Revue Nématol.,* 14 : 445-453.

Sneath, P. H. A. & Sokal, R. R. (1973). *Numerical taxonomy.* San Francisco, USA, Freeman Press, 573 p.

Sulston, J. & Hodgkin, J. (1988). Methods. *In :* Wood, W. B. (Ed.). *The nematode* Caenorhabditis elegans. Cold Spring Harbor, USA, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press : 587-606.

Vierling, R. A. & Nguyen, T. H. (1992). Use of RAPD markers to determine the genetic diversity of diploid, wheat genotypes. *Theoret. appl. Genetics,* 84 : 835-838.

Welsh, J., McClelland, M. (1990). Fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. *Nucleic Acid Res.,* 18 : 7213-7218.

Williams, G. G. K., Kubelik, A. R., Livak, K. J., Rafalski, J. A. & Tingey, S. V. (1990). DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucleic Acid Res.,* 18 : 6531-6535.

Woodward, S. R., Sudweeks, J. & Teuscher, C. (1992). Random sequence oligonucleotide primers detect polymorphic DNA product which segregate in inbred strains of mice. *Mammal. Genome,* 3 : 73-78.