# Screening of cocoa seedlings for resistance to Phytophthora palmivora

#### J. D. AMPONSAH and A. ASARE-NYAKO,

Cocoa Research Institute, New Tafo, Ghana.

### SUMMARY

A new method for screening cocoa seedlings for resistance to black pod caused by the fungus Phytophthora palmivora (Butl.) Butl. is described in which pregerminated seeds are inoculated with a culture of the fungus. Seedling mortality at 8 weeks appears to be related to the susceptibility of the parents to black pod in the field. Unlike previous methods for testing resistance, the new method may make possible the screening of large quantities of seedling progenies from clones with known field resistance, leaving relatively resistant seedlings for field planting. The method offers prospects for studying the genetics of black pod resistance.

### INTRODUCTION

Differential susceptibility of cocoa varieties to black pod has been reported in almost all the cocoa growing areas of the world (TURNER, 1961; ASOMANING and WHARTON, 1962; ASOMANING, 1964; ROCHA, 1965; WESTSTEIJN, 1966). That these differences in susceptibility are heritable has also been demonstrated (SPENCE and BARTLEY, 1966; TARJOT, 1967).

The most popular method of control of black pod has been by chemical protectants. This method has proved difficult because of the rather heavy rains in cocoa growing areas and the high cost of the chemicals (DADE, 1927; THOROLD, 1959; BLENCOWE and WHARTON, 1961). Breeding for resistance appears to be the most practicable approach to the solution of the problem of black pod control. While no trees immune to the discase have yet been found, many clones have been reported in all cocoa-growing countries to possess some measure of field resistance to the disease. These have been summarized by ROCHA (1965).

Tests of first generation progenies of some resistant clones selected at Tafo and planted in a field most favourable for black pod infection (WHARTON, 1960) showed that no immune lines segregated, but varying levels of susceptibility ranging from very high to low were present (AMPONSAH and DARWA, 1967). Wide variations in pod susceptibility within crosses have also been noted at Tafo from accumulated individual tree yield records (AMPONSAH, 1969). The planting of segregants with very low susceptibility in pure stands could reduce disease incidence to negligible proportions. In the circumstances, it would appear that the most rapide means of obtaining adequately resistant material from existing sources lies in devising a quick method for screening large quantities of seedling progenies to eliminate susceptible ones at the seedling stage, leaving only the resistant ones to go to the field.

This line of approach has been studied for some time (AMPONSAH and ASARE-NYAKO, 1972), and the present paper reports a new method evolved for screening seedlings for resistance to *Phytophthora palmivora*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seedlings of the following parentage :  $K5 \times Y44$ ,  $K5 \times Sca6$ ,  $K5 \times Lafi$  7,  $Na32 \times A12$ ,  $U6 \times T85/799$ ,  $K5 \times Pa7$  and  $K5 \times T79/501$  were used in this work. The features of the clones involved in these crosses are summarized below :

Clone	Origin	Field reaction to black pod	
K5	Local selection from the Kumasi area	? Resistant	
Y44	Local selection from the Anyinam area	Resistant	
Sca6	Pound's collection from the Upper Amazon	Resistant	
Lafi 7	Selection from Samoa	? Resistant	
Na32	Pound's collection from the Upper Amazon	Susceptible	
A12	Local selection from the Aburi area	Susceptible	
U6	Local collection from the Suhum area	? Resistant	
T85/799	Trinidad Introduction. A progeny from IMC60 × Na34 all of Pound's collection from the Upper		
T79/501	Amazon Trinidad Introduction. A progeny of Na32 × Pa7 both from Pound's collection from Upper	? Resistant	
	Amazon	Resistant	
Pa7	Trinidad Introduction. Pound's col- lection from the Upper Amazon	Resistant	

The clones described as resistant are those that consistently give low black pod incidence in the field.

Cocoa beans with their testa removed were pregerminated between two sheets of wet blotting paper lining plastic troughs for four days. The troughs were covered with wooden lids and left at room temperature (25 to 30 °C) in the laboratory. At the end of four days, the cotyledons had expanded and the radicles were about 3 cm long, but no plumule had emerged.

Meanwhile, six-day old cultures of *P. palmivora* on oatmeal agar in 9 cm Petri dishes has been raised at room temperature in the dark.

Ten plates of *P. palmivora* cultures thus prepared were comminuted in a Waring blendor with 150 ml of distilled water for a minute or two till a slurry was obtained. The slurry was made up with more distilled water to 1/1 to obtain the stock solution. To determine the appropriate concentration for subsequent studies, the following concentrations were tested :

Concentration	How made up	
A	1/2 stock solution	
В	1′/4 «	
С	1/16 «	
D	1/32 «	
Е	1/64 «	
0	No inoculum (control)	

After tests with these dilutions of the stock solution, a concentration of 1/16 the stock solution was selected for this work. Concentrations of 1/4 and 1/8 were found to be too potent while 1/64 was too mild in effect.

Pregerminated seeds were submerged for periods of 1, 3 and 6 minutes to determine the effective periods of exposure to the inoculum prepared as detailed above. There was no significant difference in seedlings emergence when submersion in the inoculum was between 1 and 6 minutes but there were indications of varietal differences. It was thus decided to submerge seedlings for 3 minutes in all subsequent tests.

Twenty pregerminated seeds of each hybrid were submerged for 3 minutes in 120 ml of the inoculum in a beaker. The inoculum was decanted and all 20 seeds planted immediately in a seed box, 60 cm  $\times$ 30 cm  $\times$  10 cm, filled with heat sterilized soil. Watering was done before planting and thereafter once daily. There were a minimum of 75 inoculated seeds (four seed boxes) and 20 uninoculated seeds (one seed box) for each variety tested at any one time.

Twelve days after inoculation, emerged seedlings were counted. Eight weeks later, the surviving seedlings were individually examined and finally graded 'healthy' (fit to be raised for field planting) or 'reject' (unfit for field planting). The 'healthy' seedlings were transplanted to polythene potting bags singly and kept in the nursery till the planting season. Surviving seedlings that had severe root and stem infections were discarded. Such plants were usually stunted in growth.

In some few cases, seedlings that had underground infection, but suffered no apparent set-back in growth or general physiological condition escaped rejection at eight weeks but died after transplantation into potting bags.

# RESULTS

Emergence (that stage when elongation of the hypocotyl had lifted the cotyledon clear of the soil) started about five days after planting and was complete by the twelfth day in the case of the uninoculated seeds. Of the inoculated seeds, some did not emerge (pre-emergence death); others emerged but subsequently wilted and collapsed (early and late postemergence deaths). Emergence of some inoculated seeds was delayed for about two to three weeks. Both pre and post-emergence deaths occurred in progeny of 'resistant' and 'susceptible' varieties, but were always less numerous among the former than the latter.

Table I gives a summary of results obtained from three tests. The rankings of the crosses in all three tests are consistent.  $K5 \times Sca6$  had the highest percentage surviving seedlings followed by  $K5 \times Y44$ ,  $K5 \times Lafi$  7 and  $Na32 \times A12$ . The parent clones (Sca6 and Y44) of the two best crosses are known to be highly resistant to pod infections in the field. Na32 and A12 are two clones known to be highly susceptible to the disease at Tafo (WHARTON, 1960; GLENDINNING, 1966). The results of these seedling tests are therefore consistent with known field reactions of the parent clones.

About 12 days after inoculation, the emergence rates were higher for crosses between resistant parents than those between susceptible parents.  $K5 \times Y44$  had a consistently slightly higher rate of emergence than  $K5 \times Sca6$ , but the latter cross tended to have a higher percentage of healthy plants at eight weeks.

Table II is a summary of four separate tests of three crosses :  $U6 \times T85/799$ ,  $K5 \times Pa7$  and  $K5 \times T79/501$ .  $U6 \times T85/799$  was consistently the most susceptible of the three crosses. The rankings of the more resistant crosses —  $K5 \times Pa7$  and  $K5 \times T79/501$  — were consistent in three of the four tests. In the totals of the four tests,  $K5 \times Pa7$  and  $K5 \times T79/501$  were not significantly (5%) different in resistance but both were significantly more resistant (1%) than  $U6 \times T85/799$ .

#### DISCUSSION

All the known tests for resistance based on pod inoculation (TURNER, 1961, 1963; MADEIROS, 1965; PRENDERGAST, 1965; SPENCE and BARTLEY, 1966; TARJOT, 1967; DAKWA, 1968) necessitate waiting until a tree is in bearing before its suceptibility to block pod infection can be determined. This limitation also applies to tests with pod extracts (ORELLANNA, TABLE 1

Crosses	Known field reaction	Treatment	No. of seedlings tested	% Emergence after 12 days	Surviving seedlings 8 weeks after inoculation	
					No. rejected	% Healthy
K5 × Y44	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	10.00
		Inoc.	201	64.2	10	54.7
K5  imes Sca6	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control	15	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	160	61.9	17	55.6
m K5 imes Lafi7	$\mathbf{R}  imes \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	178	59.0	23	48.9
Na32  imes A12	$\mathbf{S}  imes \mathbf{S}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	274	25.5	6	21.9
$\mathbf{K5}  imes \mathbf{Y44}$	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	100	76.0	8	56.0
K5  imes Sca6	$\mathbf{R}  imes \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	75	65.3	10	56.0
$\mathbf{K5}  imes \mathbf{Lafi7}$	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	96	52.1	3	34.4
${f Na32 imes A12}$	$\mathbf{S}  imes \mathbf{S}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	107	41.1	6	26.2
$\mathbf{K5}  imes \mathbf{Y44}$	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	180	71.1	12	65.6
K5  imes Sca6	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	130	70.0	15	79.2
K5  imes Lafi7	$\mathbf{R}  imes \mathbf{R}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	170	67.1	5	60.6
Na32  imes A12	$\mathbf{S}  imes \mathbf{S}$	Control	20	100.0	0	100.0
		Inoc.	180	50.0	7	34.4

#### EMERGENCE AND SURVIVAL RATES OF SEEDLINGS OF FOUR COCOA VARIETIES INOCULATED WITH PHYTOPHTHORA PALMIVORA (BUTL.) BUTL.

1964; TURNER, 1965). These methods therefore do not offer a quick means of breeding for resistance. The pod tests for resistance and those based on pod extracts are useful for identifying resistant parents, but they require too much time to be widely used in progeny testing.

Inoculated roots of clones were shown by ASOMA-NING (1964) to exhibit differential susceptibility which conformed with the levels of pod infection in the field. This method was later modified by ZENTMYER (1968). The extent of canker development on stems of seedlings has been used as a measure of resistance (ZENT-MYER et al., 1968; ZENTMYER, 1971). The results obtained also agreed with known pod susceptibility in the field. Tests based on stem and on root inoculations are useful in identifying resistant varieties, but involve destruction of the individual seedlings. In Ghana, where large scale propagation by cuttings has not been found practicable, the resistant parents can only be used for futher breeding. Individual tree yield records, including yields of progenies from resistant and susceptible clones at Tafo, show wide variations in levels of black pod infection within the seedling progenies (AMPONSAH, 1969), even among these from the same pod. It is apparent, therefore, that in breeding for resistance to black pod, there is the need to screen the progenies in order to remove the most susceptible plants at the seedling stage. This need is fulfilled by the new method.

Unlike the other tests for resistance, the end result of this test is the planting material which goes to the field. Thus, not only are superior parents and varieties identified but resistant plants are directly obtained for field planting and for further breeding.

This work has eliminated any doubts of some cocoa seedlings surviving P. palmivora inoculation at an early stage of germination in Ghana. The fact that clones known to show high field resistance consistently gave higher proportions of resistant FI progeny than highly susceptible clones suggests that the field resistance or susceptibility of those clones are heritable. The Tafo Series V trials (AMPONSAH, 1970) showed that the percentages of black pod incidence for the first seven years of bearing in  $U6 \times T85/799$ ,  $K5 \times Pa7$  and  $K5 \times T79/501$  were 30%, 18% and 19% respectively. The higher susceptibility of  $U6 \times T85/799$  compared with  $K5 \times Pa7$  and  $K5 \times T79/501$  thus recorded in the field was confirmed in four separate tests using the new laboratory method (Table II). The new laboratory method therefore could provide a reliable and quick moans of assessing the progeny of crosses for field resistance to black pod infection.

Date of inocula- tion	Crosses	Known field reaction	Treatment	No. of seedlings tested	Surviving seedlings 8 weeks after inoculation		
					% Rejected	% Healthy	Ranking
5-11-70	U6 × T85/799	? <b>R</b> × ? <b>R</b>	Control Inoc.	40 290	0 7.2	100.0 33.4	3
	$\mathbf{K5}  imes \mathbf{Pa7}$	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	40 180	0 6.1	100.0 59.4	1
	$\mathbf{K5}  imes \mathbf{T79}/501$	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	40 180	0.0 9.4	100.0 51.7	2
19-11-70	U6 × T85/799	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	40 180	0.0 7.8	100.0 36.7	3
	K5  imes Pa7	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 180 \end{array}$	0.0 9.4	$\begin{array}{c} 100.0\\ 46.1\end{array}$	2
	K5 × T79/501	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	40 180	0.0 7.2	100.0 55.0	1
14-12-70	U6 × T85/799	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	40 180	0 8.3	100.0 16.7	3
	$K5 \times Pa7$	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	40 170	0 4.7	100.0 50.5	2
10.11.59	K5 × T79/501 U6 × T85/799	$\mathbf{R}  imes \mathbf{R}$ ? $\mathbf{R}  imes$ ? $\mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc. Control	40 180 20	0 1.9 0	100.0 64.8 100.0	1
19-11-72	U6 × 185/799 K5 × Pa7	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Inoc. Control	100 20	5.0 0	37.0 100.0	3
	K5 × T79/501	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Inoc. Control	100 20	6.0 0.0	56.0 100.0	2
Grand	KU A TI JUUT	. R A R	Inoc.	100	8.0	65.0	1
total	U6 imes T85/799	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	140 750	0.0 7.7	100.0 34.1	3
	$\mathbf{K5}  imes \mathbf{Pa7}$	$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	140 630	0.0 6.0	$\begin{array}{c} 100.0\\ 53.2 \end{array}$	2
	m K5  imes T79/501	$\mathbf{R}  imes \mathbf{R}$	Control Inoc.	140 640	0.0 7.0	100.0 57.6	1

# TABLE II SURVIVAL RATES OF SEEDLINGS OF THREE COCOA VARIETIES INOCULATED WITH PHYTOPHTHORA PALMIVORA

The fact that higher concentrations of inoculum considerably reduced the number of surviving seedlings, and also that higher dilutions increased the survival rate, showed that surviving seedlings at a given concentration are not immune to infection. Seedlings surviving at higher concentrations are probably, on the average, more resistant than those surviving at lower concentrations. The death of some seedlings before emergence and others early or late after emergence as well as the occurrence of surviving seedlings which include both severely infected and little or noninfected plants suggest varying levels of susceptibility. Crosses with high proportions of surviving seedlings correspond to those with high field resistance while crosses with low proportions of surviving seedlings are susceptible in the field. The inference is made that the surviving seedlings are the more resistant of the progenies tested at that concentration. The most susceptible seedlings were those that died before emergence while the most resistant were those that gave no apparent indication of infection. Between the

two extremes were various levels of susceptibility as indicated by the varying periods of death or expressions of symptoms of infection. The existence of varying levels of resistance among seedling progenies from clones with high field resistance has also been observed in the field (AMPONSAH, 1971). This is further evidence of the similarity of the seedlings reaction to artificial inoculation and observed field reaction of older trees.

It would appear that seedlings dying before emergence are more susceptible than those dying after emergence. A higher rate of emergence, however, does not necessarily imply a higher rate of survival. An indication of the degree of resistance can be observed at the emergence stage, but ranking within susceptible or resistant groups at this stage could be misleading. The relative resistances of given crosses are better estimated on the surviving plants eight weeks after inoculation.

It is evident that no variety or clone used in these tests was homozygous for black pod resistance. All the crosses at the inoculum concentrations studied contained resistant plants (Tables I and II). This was confirmed by AMPONSAH (1971) when he reported that beans in the same pod were heterogeneous for black pod resistance. This observation was true for both resistant and susceptible clones or crosszs.

The mean surviving percentage of 26.7 in Table I for the susceptible cross  $Na32 \times A12$  was not due to escapes; a fact which is confirmed by the presence of varying levels of infection in 'healthy' plants at the eight week stage and also by the presence of *P. palmivora* inoculum in healthy plants 10 months after inoculation. It must be emphasised that no immune plants have been found and that by increasing the inoculum concentration all plants can be killed.

HOLLIDAY (1955) used a similar method in testing susceptibility of cocoa to witches broom. Marasmius perniciosus is obligately parasitic on cocoa. HOLLIDAY thus used basidiospores obtained from *M. perniciosus* grown on the host plant. This method is also similar to that used for testing wheat against stem rust. *Puccinia graminis tritici* (STAKMAN, 1954). In the screening method described, however, comminuted agar cultures of the facultative pathogen — *P. palmivora* — containing three spore types, sporangia, zoospores and chlamydospores, as well as vegetative mycelia were used in the inoculations. The seeds with removed testa were pregerminated as in the case of HOLLIDAY's tests before inoculation.

The results obtained suggest the presence of major genes for resistance to *P. palmivora* in cocoa. A similar situation exists between *P. infestans* a non-obligate parasite and potato, *Solanum* spp. (BLACK et al., 1953). It must be noted that both *P. palmivora* and *P. infes*tans have a wide host range (MACFARLANE, 1968). On the whole the results indicate that the heredity behaviour of resistance is determined by and dependent upon a certain balance of genetic factors which appear to be governed by a number of factors whose action is in part complementary and in part cumulative.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Mr. G. E. A. NUAMAH (Research Assistant) for his help throughout this work.

This paper is published by the kind permission of the Director, Cocoa Research Institute, New Tafo, Ghana.

# LITERATURE CITED

- AMPONSAH (J. D.) 1970 The search for cocoa material resistant to *Phytophthora palmivora* pod rot. Rep. Cocoa Res. Inst. Ghana, 1968-69, p. 105.
- Амроняан (J. D.) 1970 Plot F4 : Black Pod Resistance Trial. Rep. Cocoa Res. Inst. Ghana, 1968-69, p. 100.
- AMPONSAH (J. D.) 1971 Evaluation of resistance to Phytophthora palmivora (Butl.) Butl. in  $F_1$  progenies of selected clones of Theobroma cacao L. Proc. 1st Conference of African Sub-Group of Workers on Phytophthora palmivora (1971), Yaoundé, Cameroun.

- Амронзан (J. D.), ASARE-NYAKO (A.) 1972 Laboratory method for screening cocoa seedlings for black pod resistance. Rep. Cocoa Res. Inst. Ghana, 1969-70, p. 155.
- Амроняан (J. D.), Dakwa (J. T.) 1967 Preliminary genetic assessment of black pod resistance trial in Ghana. II Confercia Internacional de Pesquison on Cacau, Salvador Brazil, pp. pp. 162-164.
- ASOMANING (E. J. A.) 1964 Varietal resistance of young clones and seedlings of cocoa (*Theobroma* cacao L.) to root infection by *Phytophthora palmi*vora. *Tropic. Agric.* (Trinidad) 41, 251-256.
- ASOMANING (E.J.A.), WHARTON (A. L.) 1962 Black pod disease : a study on varietal resistance to root infection. Rep. W. Afr. Cocoa Res. Inst., 1961-62, pp. 27-28.
- BLACK (W.), MASTENBROEK (C.), MILLS (W. R.), PETERSON (L. C.) – 1953 – Proposal for an international nomenclature of races of *Phytophthora* infestans and of genes controlling immunity in Solanum demissum derivatives. Euphytica 2. 173-178.
- BLENCOWE J. W.), WHARTON (A. L.) 1961 Black pod disease of Ghana. Incidence of the disease in relation to level of productivity. Rep. 6th Commonwealth Mycological Conference, 1960.
- DADE (H. A.) 1927 Economic significance of cocoa pod diseases and factors determining their incidence and control. Bull. Agric. Gold Coast, 6, 1-58.
- DAKWA (J. T.) 1968 Pod susceptibility test. Rep. Cocoa Res. Inst. Ghana, 1966-67, pp. 30-33.
- GLENDINNING (D. R.) 1966 Further developments in the breeding programme at the Cocoa Research Institute, Tafo. *Ghana J. Sci.* (1) and (2), 52-62.
- HOLLIDAY (Paul) 1955 A test for resistance to Marasmius perniciosus Stahl. A report on Cocoa Research 1954 I.C.T.A., pp. 50-53.
- MACFARLANE (H. H.) 1968 Review of Applied Mycology. Plant Host-Pathogen Index to vols. 1-40. Kew C.M.I.
- MADEIROS (A. G.) 1965 A new method to assess resistance of cacao to *Phytophthora palmivora*. Conférence internationale sur les recherches cacaoyères, Abidjan, 15-20 nov. 1965.
- ORELLANNA (H. C.) 1964 Growth of Phytophthora of cacao in liquid media containing cacao shell from different clones as a basis for assessment of resistance. Report of the 5th Conference of the Inter-American Technical Cacao Committee.
- PRENDERGAST (W. N. E.) 1965 Studies in the resistance of *Theobroma* cacao L. to *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butl.) Butl. M. Sc. Thesis, University of the West Indies, Trinidad.
- ROCHA (H. M.) 1965 Cacao varieties resistant to Phytophthora palmivora (Butl.) Butl. Cacao 10 (1), 1-9.
- SPENCE (J. A.), BARTLEY (B. J.) 1966 Testing of breeding material of *Theobroma cacao* for resistance to black pod disease (*Phytophthora*). F.A.O. Tech. Working Party on Cocoa Production and Protection, 2nd Session, Rome, 1966.

Cah. ORSTOM, sér. Biol., nº 20, 1973 : 35-40

- STAKMAN (E. C.) 1954 Recent studies of wheat stem rust in relation to breeding resistant varieties. *Phytopathology* 44 : 36-351.
- TARJOT (M.) 1967 Etude de la résistance des cacaoyers à la pourriture des cabosses due au Phytophthora palmivora (Butl.) en Côte d'Ivoire. Deuxième partie. Revue Café, Cacao, Thé, II, (1), 3-13.
- THOROLD (C. A.) 1959 Methods of controlling black pod disease (caused by *Phytophthora palmivora*) of *Theobroma cacao* in Nigeria. Ann. Appl. Biol., 47, 708-715.
- TURNER (P. D.) 1961 Resistance and Tolerance : Laboratory Studies. Rep. W. Afr. Cocoa Res. Inst., 1959-60 : 29-32.
- TURNER (P. D.) 1963 Influence of root exudates of cocoa and other plants on spore development of *Phytophthora palmivora*. *Phytopathology*, 53, 1337-1339.
- TURNER (P. D.) 1965 Polyphenoloxidase activity in cacao selections showing variable resistance to *Phytophthora* pod rot. *Pl. Dis. Reptr.*, 49 (4), 319-321.

- WESTSTEIJN (G.) 1966 Susceptibility of Theobroma cacao L. to Phytophthora pod rot. I. Field observations on clonal cocoa. F.A.O. Techn. Working Party on Cocoa Production and Protection, 2nd Session, Rome, 1966.
- WHARTON (A. L.) 1960 Black pod disease. Resistance and tolerance. Field investigations. Rep. W. Afr. Cocoa Res. Inst., 1958-59, pp. 26-27.
- WHARTON (A. L.) 1962 Black pod disease. Resistance and tolerance. Rep. W. Afr. Cocoa Res. Inst., 1960-61, pp. 28-29.
- ZENTMYER (G. A.) 1968 Resistance of cocoa to Phytophthora palmivora, Turrialba, 13 (2), 14-15.
- ZENTMYER (G. A.) 1971 Future of black pod disease : Symposium. Proc. 3rd (International Cocoa Research Conference, Accra, 1969, pp. 469-470.
- ZENTMYER (G. A.), MIRCETIC (S. N.), MITCHELL (D. M.) – 1968 – Tests for resistance of cacao to Phytophthora palmivora. Pl. Dis. Reptr., 52 : 790-791.