Seabeam and seismic reflection imaging of the tectonic regime of the Andean continental margin off Peru (4°S to 10°S)
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Marine geophysical surveys employing Seabeam, multi- and single-channel seismic reflection, gravity and magnetic instruments were conducted at two locations along the continental slope of the Peru Trench during the Seaperc cruise of the R/V “Jean Charcot” in July 1986. These areas are centered around 5°30′S and 9°30′S off the coastal towns of Paita and Chimbote respectively.

These data indicate that (1) the continental slope off Peru consists of three distinct morpho-structural domains (from west to east are the lower, middle and upper slopes) instead of just two as previously reported; (2) the middle slope has the characteristics of a zone of tectonic collapse at the front of a gently flexured upper slope; (3) the upper half of the lower slope appears to represent the product of mass wasting; (4) thrusting at the foot of the margin produces a continuous morphologic feature representing a deformation front where the products of mass-wasting are overprinted by a compressional tectonic fabric; (5) a change in the tectonic regime from tensional to compressional occurs at the mid-slope-lower slope boundary, the accretionary prism being restricted to the very base of the lower slope in the Paita area.

The Andean margin off Peru is an “extensional active margin” or a “collapsing active margin” developing a subordinated accretionary complex induced by massive collapse of the middle slope area.

1. Introduction

At the front of most convergent margins the basic tectonic elements have been difficult to define with conventional geophysical techniques and the resulting interpretations are often model dependent. Recently, many structures at the front of convergent margins have been clarified by the reprocessing of multichannel seismic data. Structures indicative of sediment accretion were imaged clearly in the Nankai Trough [1], Central America [2] and Aleutian Trench [3]. Lower slope structures of active margin off Japan [4,5] and off Guatemala [6–8] as well were also clarified using Seabeam which provides a quasi three-dimen-
Fig. 1. Track lines of the R/V “Jean Charcot” during the Seaperc cruise (July 1986). The two areas discussed in this paper are Box 2 off Paita and Box 6 off Chimbote.

tion, gravity and magnetic profiling were conducted at two locations along the landward slope of the Peru Trench during the Seaperc cruise [13,14] of the R/V “Jean Charcot” in July 1986. These areas are centered around latitudes 5°30'S and 9°30'S along the seismic lines CDP-3 and
CDP-2, respectively. The northern zone is the Paita area (box 2 of the Seaperc cruise) and the southern zone is the Chimbote area (box 6) (Fig. 1).

The objectives of our studies in the Paita and Chimbote areas are (1) to map the main scarps and canyons in the mid-slope area where the contact between continental crust and accretionary materials can be observed on the seafloor and sampled, and (2) to make additional CDP seismic records across CDP-3 and CDP-2 at points where sites had been proposed for ODP Leg 112 drilling to show whether there are possible structures that might trap accumulations of gas or other thermogenic hydrocarbons. A third and overall objective is to acquire a three-dimensional knowledge of the structure in order to image the tectonic regime of the Peruvian margin and clarify the transition zone between the edge of the South American continental crust and the subduction complex adjacent to the trench. Such knowledge has important implications for an understanding of marine resources and seismic hazards along the Andean continental margin.

2. Collapse tectonics and accretion in the Paita area

The Paita area includes a zone of the landward slope of the Peru Trench between latitudes 5°S and 6°S offshore Paita and just south of the coastal town of Talara (Fig. 2). Talara is a center servicing onshore and offshore oil fields of northern Peru.

The Paita area was studied by Shepherd and Moberly [11] with single-channel seismic profiling. They recognized a lower slope of chaotic reflections thought to represent accretionary structure and an upper slope where the stratification known from petroleum wells (location, Fig. 2) on the shelf continued down the slope to a sharp break in the mid-slope. Based on the multichannel CDP-3 seismic record [11], the stratified sequence of the upper slope is inferred to be Paleozoic to Quaternary in age. Shepherd and Moberly [11] concluded that the upper slope is deformed by normal faulting and that the lower slope results from tectonic accretion. The zone of transition between tensional and compressional tectonic domains should occur within a 15 km mid-slope area of uncertainty. On basis of gravity anomalies, Shepherd and Moberly [11] also contend that continental basement extends to within 30 km of the trench axis.

Reprocessed version of CDP-3 [12] clearly imaged extensional block faulting in the upper part of the mid-slope area. The lower slope was interpreted as an accretionary complex. Reprocessed record CDP-3 seemed to show clear structural relationship between continental crust of the upper slope and the Peru Trench accretionary complex. However, the tectonic nature of the contact between the upper slope continental material and the lower slope accreted oceanic material has become more questionable since the Seaperc cruise (Fig. 3).

The data collected in the Paita area (Fig. 4) consists of 16 Seabeam bathymetric swaths acquired simultaneously with four multichannel seismic profiles, 12 single-channel seismic profiles and 16 3.5-kHz and underway gravimetric and magnetic profiles. Four morphological domains of tectonic significance are identified from Seabeam data [13,14]. They are referred to as the upper slope, the middle slope, the lower slope and the trench (Fig. 4).

2.1. The upper slope

The lower half of the upper slope was surveyed beginning at a depth of 1000 m and continuing to the scarp at the juncture with the mid-slope area at 2500 m. The seafloor of the upper slope is almost planar. In the surveyed area, the general slope dips 5° and displays a slight upward convexity suggesting a broad flexuring of an original planar surface. Several transverse canyons cut the upper slope seafloor. These canyons are generally straight, but slight changes in orientation may be related to fault scarps. As shown by the conventional bathymetry the canyons do not extend onto the shelf nor across the mid-slope area (Fig. 4). The V-shaped cross section and the sharp straight thalwegs of the upper slope canyons indicate erosion process of unknown origin. The lack of a poor onshore river drainage, a present-day arid climate and the general simple pattern of the upper slope distributary system suggest a simple history that began recently. We assume that the upper slope subsided from an environment of
The Faleozoic basement of the Amotape massif crops out near Talara. The Viru 4X-1 drill hole is located south of Paita. Fig. 2. Map showing the location of the Paita area, the Seabeam survey, and the location of CDP-3 multichannel seismic line and other published seismic reflection profiles. The Paleozoic basement of the Amotape massif crops out near Talara. The Viru 4X-1 drill hole is located south of Paita.
shallower water and gentle slope to its present position.

2.2 The middle slope

The middle slope is broken by prominent scarps as seen in the CDP-3 seismic line (fig. 3). However, the scarps do not trend parallel to the slope as suggested in the previous bathymetric surveys [11] but are curvilinear exhibiting a strong downslope concavity. These scarps were contoured as isolated highs separated by canyons [11]. Indeed it would be impossible from isolated bathymetric profiles to discern the trend. A series of three scarps (F1, F2 and F3 in downslope order, Fig. 4) occur in the middle slope area. The scarp F1 at the upper slope—middle slope boundary ranges from 400 to 700 m in high. Canyons from the upper slope terminate at the initial scarp of the middle slope which suggests diversion of the canyons. About 7–9 km downslope is the second scarp (F2) of the middle slope area. It parallels the first scarp and is 1000 to 1200 m high. The lowermost scarp (F3) ranges from 300 to 400 m in relief.

The middle slope area has the characteristic morphology of collapse features. The strong seaward concavity pointed out by the 45–90° change in direction of the scarps is consistent with their interpretation as the morphological expression of seaward dipping faults. These normal faults (F1, F2 and F3, Fig. 4) clearly define the boundaries of two blocks: an upslope block (UB, Fig. 4) of 7–9

Fig. 3. Depth section of CDP-3 multichannel seismic line after [11]. Middle: location of the questionable section in the middle slope area. F2: this scarp is herein interpreted as a seaward dipping normal fault as it exhibits a strong seaward concavity paralleling the F1 scarp on the Seabeam bathymetric map (see Fig. 4 and text for explanation). F1 and F2 refer to Fig. 4.
Fig. 4. Seabeam bathymetric map of the Paita area (contour interval 100 m). F1, F2 and F3: curvilinear normal fault of the middle slope area, UB: upper slope block, DB: down slope block, FS: flat surface, OO': middle slope–lower slope boundary, S: subduction contact.

km width and a downslope block (DB, Fig. 4) of 5–7 km width. The general trend of the two blocks parallels the strike of the slope. The highest part of the upslope unit is a very flat and smooth surface (FS, Fig. 4) which was protected from erosion once it had been isolated by detachment of the upper block by faulting. It is assumed that this surface is a remnant of the planar seafloor of the upper slope and has been tilted 2° landward. Thus the two units of the middle slope area are assumed to be two landward tilted blocks breaking down the upper slope. Most sections of the middle slope fault scarps appear fresh and unmodified which suggests a recent disruption of the slope.

Another interesting point is the size of the blocks. The faults (F1 and F2) that extend across the surveyed area range from 23 to 32 km minimum length. The upslope block is 7–9 km wide and the downslope block ranges from 5 to 7 km. The multichannel seismic data indicate thicknesses of 6 km and 4 km for the upslope and the downslope blocks respectively. The relationship between the length, the width and the thickness of the blocks suggests that faults F1 and F2 are deep tectonic features as it is suggested by the fault throw inferred from the height of the scarp, which ranges from 500 to 1200 m.

The throw of the middle slope faults decreases downslope as they become increasingly transverse to the strike of the lower slope. Line OO' (Fig. 4) that bounds the seaward morphologic expression of faults F1, F2 and F3 is defined as the middle slope–lower slope boundary; it is not as sharp and steep as the upslope boundary of the middle slope.

2.3. The lower slope

The topography of the lower slope consists of a complex roughly equidimensional hill-and-depression morphology that has many closed contours.
Fig. 5. A. Mesh net perspective diagram of bathymetry in Fig. 4 including location of seismic line 02 of Fig. 5B. Key of letters is same as Fig. 4. B. The northern portion of seismic record 02 of the Seaperc cruise processed by von Huene and Miller (Von Huene and Bourgois, in preparation). Vertical scale is 2-way travel time in seconds, distance between shot points is 50 m.
There are no consistent trends in the lower slope area. The morphology indicates an accumulation from mass wasting, complementary to that of the large failure scars in the adjacent mid-slope area. The base of the lower slope domain is a frontal scarp (S, Figs. 4 and 5A) which is assumed to be the trace of the subduction zone. It is a 400 m high sinuous escarpment with lateral continuity.

The floor of the trench is generally flat and in just over 5000 m water depth. Small irregular-shaped mounds dot the landward trench floor at the base of the lower slope scarp (S). These mounds correspond to those reported in the trench [11] and interpreted as slump debris.

3. Comparison with the Chimbote area

A 30 x 40 miles Seabeam map has been obtained off Chimbote during the Seaperc cruise of the R/V "Jean Charcot" [13,14]. This area, located along the multichannel seismic line CDP-2, includes the axis of the Peru Trench and part of the seaward and landward slope as well (Fig. 6).

The Chimbote area was previously surveyed [15] including the acquisition of the CDP-2 multichannel seismic record (Nazca Plate Project). The Andean continental margin off Chimbote appears to consist of an accretionary complex beneath the landward slope of the Peru Trench. This accretionary complex is stacked against the truncated
The edge of the South American continental crust. The boundary between continental and accreted material is somewhere within the 85 km width of the slope. The structure of the accretionary complex [15,16] is largely unknown. The accretionary complex has a 15 km minimum and a 85 km maximum width normal to the trench axis. On the basis of seismic velocity, the 70 km of uncertainty between the accretionary complex and the continental crust was interpreted as older accreted sediments (16,17) or as fractured continental crust [18]. The seaward edge of the continent is probably composed of metamorphic rocks similar to those recovered at two drill sites on the upper slope [19,20] under the Trujillo Basin. The Trujillo Basin, located above the edge of the coherent—from acoustic signature—continental crust, may have experienced superposed compressional and tensional tectonic events at the same time [21].

The reprocessed version of CDP-2 [22] profiled thrust slices in the 15 km of the lower landward slope adjacent to the trench. On the other hand, the upper slope is dissected by normal faults. The intervening area between the upper and lower slope is not well profiled but contains the transition between these two domains.

The lowermost slope was described as a series of thrust packets which become less well defined 15 km landward of the trench [22]. A zone of uncertainty about 10 km wide (Fig. 7) extends between the continental crust and the accretionary complex. The boundary was located in that interval [22] and the transition area was proposed to be along a landward dipping reflector whose morphological counterpart is in the mid-slope terrace (Fig. 7).

The Seabeam map (Fig. 8), the mesh net perspective diagram (Fig. 9) and the seismic records of the Chimbote area exhibit five morphological

---

Fig. 7. Depth section of CDP-2 multichannel seismic line after [21] and location of the questionable section in the mid-slope area. See text for explanation.
Fig. 8. Seabeam bathymetric map of the Chimbote area (contour interval 50 m). US: upper slope, MS: middle slope, LS: lower slope, T: trench, SS: seaward slope, F: normal fault at the upper slope-middle slope boundary, S: subduction contact, R: ridge. 683, 685: ODP Leg 112 sites (drilled November-December, 1986).

domains of tectonic significance. They are referred to as the upper slope, the middle slope, the lower slope, the trench and the seaward slope from east to west. The landward slope of the trench displays the same general shape as defined in the Paita area.
Fig. 9. Mesh net perspective diagram of bathymetry in Fig. 8. 683, 685: ODP Leg 112 sites (drilled November-December, 1986). Key of letters is same as Fig. 8.
3.1. The upper slope
The upper slope is poorly represented by a small area at the northeastern corner of our Seabeam map (Fig. 8). However, the steep flexure of the boundary between the upper slope and the middle slope is well exposed as a straight scarp paralleling the slope. In the area of seismic line CDP-2, the scarp is about 1000 m high and is steep. The adjacent down slope lobate mass below it protrudes onto the middle slope terrace. Reflectors along the scarp forming the landward boundary of the middle slope terrace are unclear and obscured by diffractions. Interpretations here are guided by Seabeam morphology.

3.2. The middle slope
A terrace almost as flat as the floor of the trench characterizes the middle slope. Landward are shallow closed depressions, and seaward are a series of highs. On a large scale these features are elongated parallel to the regional trend, but in greater detail, the contours wander somewhat aimlessly. Uplift of the seaward highs has probably caused the closure of the adjacent lows. The middle slope terrace has recorded the landward tilting of the middle slope as it is recorded by the landward tilting of subbottom reflectors beneath the middle slope. Locally the lows show flat reflections from ponded sediment. Active tectonism is evidenced by landward tilting of these flat reflectors just south of the CDP line.

3.3. The lower slope
The lower slope morphology is the most irregu-
lar of the landward slope of the trench. Single-channel seismic records (Fig. 10A) indicate slump debris in a central part. In general, morphological features parallel the trench and no strong transverse trend is observed. Small basins or terraces alternate with sections of steepened slope. The base of the slope is consistently steep marking the deformation front of the subduction zone. Just behind the deformation front is an area of gentle slope consistent with the morphology of a tilted thrust slice.

3.4. The trench axis and the outer slope

The trench axis is marked by a flat floor consistent with the ponding of sediment there. The trench floor becomes constricted where a large ridge is entering the subduction zone [15]. Opposite the ridge is a gentle protrusion of the lower landward slope as though the approaching ridge had already begun to affect not only the trench floor but the deformation front as well.

The Seabeam map (Fig. 8) at 50 m contour interval lacks detail to show the many small normal faults accompanying the downward flexure of the seaward slope as the oceanic crust of the Nazca plate bends before entering the trench. These faults are spaced at intervals of about 2.5 km and have an average height of about 100 m.

Superimposed on this morphology is a large ridge standing 700–800 m above the oceanic crust. The ridge is about 40 km long and exhibits an asymmetric profile with a gentle ramp dipping toward the trench and a steep seaward slope facing the ocean basin. In its middle part, the ridge is sharply left-laterally offset about 8 km. The strike of the northern and southern sections of the ridge diverge about 10° across the offset. The fault trending along the offset intersects the trench at about 75°. Seaward of each segment of the ridge are closed depressions. A 200 m high saddle separates the trench from the closed depression located along the southern ridge segment.

Because of its transverse orientation the fault between the two segments of the ridge is more spectacular in the Seabeam bathymetry but not well displayed on the single-channel seismic records. Only in CDP-2 seismic line [15,22] can the cross-fault be located within narrow limits. In that record the cross-fault has vertically displaced the trench fill down toward the south. Such a displacement is not observed elsewhere and thus represents local deformation. The transverse fault cannot be followed seaward into the structure of the Nazca plate. These relations suggest that the flexure of the oceanic crust is younger than the offset of the ridge. Consequently we infer an inherited origin of the transverse fault and reactivation as the Nazca plate bends during descent beneath the Andean margin.

The ridge is shaped like a tilted block with a steep faulted slope facing seaward and a gentle tilted slope facing landward. Single-channel seismic records (Fig. 10) show a pelagic sediment cover on the ridge crest. The transparent seismic facies of the pelagic sediment sequence dips eastward beneath the ponded axial fill of the trench to the deformation front. The turbidite infilling of the trench is horizontal and undeformed as far as the subduction front.

The ridge is a feature whose origin was a focus in previous studies [15] which made a case for compressional origin during the past 3000 years. The Seabeam bathymetry and accompanying geophysical observations add details for re-evaluation of the origin of the ridge which is here thought to be an old tectonic feature of the Nazca plate reactivated during plate flexure. It has obviously not tilted since the sediment filling the trench axis was deposited.

4. The Peruvian margin: new tectonic constraints on the “convergent-extensional margin” model

The addition of Seabeam bathymetry and single-channel seismic data from the Seaperc cruise of the R/V “Jean Charcot” along CDP-3 and CDP-2 seismic lines provides a three-dimensional view of the structure at the contact between the South American continent and the accretionary complex associated with the Peruvian subduction zone.

The main observations we have made on the basis of Seabeam and seismic data can be summarized as follows:

1) The continental slope off Peru consists of three distinct morpho-structural domains instead of just two as previously reported. From east to west are the upper slope, the middle slope and the lower slope.
(2) The middle slope, especially in the Paita area displays the morphologic characters of collapse features. In the Paita area, three major faults define two landward tilted blocks. The upslope block is tilted 2° landward. In the Chimbote area, the scarp of the upper-middle slope boundary is assumed to be a normal fault from the following evidence: (a) the landward tilting of the middle slope terrace and the consequent trapping of sediment, (b) collapse of the upper slope planar surface; the middle slope terrace is assumed to be an upper slope remnant, (c) similarity of the topography with the Paita zone, (d) re-evaluation of the CDP-3 seismic record (Von Huene et al., in preparation) in the three-dimensional framework provided by Seabem bathymetric data.

(3) The youthfulness of the collapse features of the Paita area is seen in the shallow burial of slump debris in the trench axis, the little modified slump debris of the lower slope, the freshness of the fault scarps in the middle slope area and the youthfulness of evolution of the upper slope distributary system.

(4) In the Paita area, the lower-middle slope boundary is well defined where the middle slope extensional faults lose their morphological signature.

(5) The morphology of the lower slope in the Paita area is typical of slump deposits originating from the middle slope scarps.

(6) The continuous 400 m high scarp at the base of the slope is interpreted as the deformation front of the Paita area.

(7) In the Chimbote area, the ridge seaward of the trench is an old tectonic feature of the Nazca plate reactivated as it flexed before entering the trench. The tilted blocks which form the ridge have been tilted prior to deposition of the trench fill.

The main tectonic features evidenced in the Paita area are shown schematically in Fig. 11. The seaward thinning of the Andean continental crust beneath the Peru margin is associated to detachment faulting which occurs in the middle slope area where the continental crust is at a critical thickness. This critical thickness is 7–8 km as documented from depth section of CDP-2 and CDP-3 seismic lines [12,22]. In the Paita area, a detachment fault (FI, Fig. 5b) of the middle slope area was imaged along the multichannel cross-line 02 of the “Jean Charcot” cruise. It appears in that record as a series of reflections dipping about 45° seaward down to the strong reflectors of the decollement separating subducting from accreting sediment (von Huene, Bourgois et al., in preparation).

Massive collapse of the middle slope appears to be a young and rapid process considering the fresh relief of fault scarps and canyon morphology in the upper and middle slope areas. We think that the main morphological features were acquired during the past 5 Ma. Indeed, during Leg 112 (Site 638, location in Figs 8 and 9) an expanded Pliocene to Quaternary sequence which unconformably overlies an old planar erosion surface was recovered along the CDP 2 seismic line (23).

Fig. 11. Diagrammatic sketch illustrating the “convergent-extensional margin” or “collapsing convergent margin” model as exemplified by the Andean margin off Peru (Paita area).
Bourgeois, von Huene et al., in preparation). The old erosion surface is exposed upslope where an older sequence crops out (Fig. 7).

Mass wasting and large-scale slumping obscure the lower slope area. However, overprint of compressional tectonics is documented at the toe of the slope in the Paita area and in the lower slope domain of the Chimbote area as well. Multichannel seismic lines clearly show that thrust faulting occurs at the base of the continental margin off Peru.

Of particular importance to the understanding of the margin is the location of the tensional-compressional tectonic boundary. It occurs at the middle slope–lower slope boundary in the Paita area. Its position is less clear in the Chimbote zone; however, the boundary is seaward of the middle slope terrace, perhaps at the first downslope bench. These results clarify the questionable zone of Figs. 3 and 7: the continental basement of the South American continent is involved in the collapse structure of the middle slope area. The basement may extend down to the middle slope–lower slope boundary.

The Peruvian margin exhibits a young accretionary complex stacked against the front of continental crust (Fig. 11). This model is similar to that [24] based on the results of Leg 84 off Guatemala across the the landward slope of the Middle America Trench where no accretion [25] or little accretion [8] was found. The Peru margin may be considered as a “convergent-extensional margin” [24]. As far as the mechanism of extension is concerned, one might also use the terms of “collapsing convergent margin”. First, this model emphasizes a high decoupling along the subduction contact; additional pressure at the base of the tilted blocks during massive collapse of the middle slope area may explain subordinated accretion at the base of the slope. Second, the erosional tectonic process which is mainly located under the middle slope area where additional pressure (Fig. 11) occurs may increase the coupling between the Nazca and South America plates. Third, massive subsidence of the margin occurred, as it was pointed out off Japan [26–28] and off Puerto Rico [29].
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