



[REDACTED]

Table 2. Oral infection efficiency of *Aedes polynesiensis* with *Wuchereria bancrofti* using the natural and artificial bloodfeeding techniques.

	% Engorged females	% Infected females	Average no. mf ingested	% Infective females	Average no. L3/female	No. L3/100 females allowed to feed	% Cumulated mortality at day 15
Natural feeding	84.8 (652/769)	90.0 (27/30)	14.13 (424/30)	47.1 (8/17)	4.06 (69/17)	146	44.8 (292/652)
Artificial feeding	32.1 (291/906)	86.7 (26/30)	3.13 (94/30)	81.0 (17/21)	2.67 (56/21)	60	64.6 (188/291)
Significance	*	NS	*	NS	NS		*

\*  $P = 0.05$ .

## REFERENCES CITED

- Bunner, B. L., R. L. Scott, S. E. Dobson, L. M. Anderson and L. R. Boobar. 1989. Comparison of artificial membrane with live host blood feeding of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Entomol. Sci.* 24:198-203.
- Duke, B. O. L., P. J. Moore and J. R. De Leon. 1967. *Onchocerca-Simulium* complexes. V. The intake and subsequent fate of microfilariae of a Guatemalan
- et dans l'archipel de la Société. *Ann. Parasitol.* 24:30-48.
- Hagen, H.-E. and J. Grunewald. 1990. Routine blood-feeding of *Aedes aegypti* via a new membrane. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 6:535-536.
- Lowrie, R. C., Jr., M. L. Eberhard, P. J. Lammie, C. P. Raccurt, S. P. Katz and Y. T. Duverseau. 1989. Uptake and development of *Wuchereria bancrofti* in *Culex quinquefasciatus* that fed on Haitian carriers with different microfilaria densities. *Am. J. Trop.*