ECOLOGY OF HALOPHILIC VIBRIOS IN AN EUTROPHIC TROPICAL ESTUARY

Rev. Int. Oceanopr. Hed. 1992 Tomes 107-108 pp. 24-39

bу

KOUASSI A.M.*, GUIRAL D.* and DOSSO M.**

ABSTRACT

The distribution of V. <u>parahaemolyticus</u> and the related biotype <u>V. alginolyticus</u> in the urban area of the Ebrie lagoon (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire) was studied over a one year period. A total of 501 samples (water and water/sediment interface) were bacteriologically screened for the presence of these halophilic vibrios. Overall 16% of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and 16% of <u>V. alginolyticus</u> were isolated from both surface and bottom waters. No major difference is observed between surface and bottom waters population size of halophilic vibrios. Seasonal occurrence of the species in the samples during the entire period of investigation does not show any major difference between the dry and the rainy (together with the flood) seasons. Isolation frequencies grouped into salinity ranges show that both species are recovered from waters with salinity ranging from 0 to 35% , although higher frequency occurs when salinity is over <u>25%</u>. There was no correlation between V<u>, parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V</u>, alginolyticus with <u>E</u>, coli and <u>Enterococcus</u>.

RESUME

La distribution de V<u>parahaemolyticus</u> et le biotype apparenté <u>V. alginolyticus</u> a été étudiée dans la zone urbaine de la lagune Ebrié (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire) au cours d'un cycle annuel. Au total 501 échantillons (eau de surface et de l'interface eau/sédiment) ont été bactériologiquement analysés pour la présence de ces vivrions halophiles. En tout 16% de V<u>parahaemolyticus</u> et 16% de <u>V. alginolyticus</u> ont été isolés des eaux de surface et du fond. Aucune différence majeure n'existe entre les populations des vibrions dans les eaux de surface et du fond. L'occurrence salsonnière de ces espèces dans les échantillons ne montre aucune différence majeure entre les salsons de pluies et de crue et la salson sèche. Les fréquences d'Isolement groupées en classes de salinité montrent que les 2 espèces sont présentes dans les eaux dont la salinité varie entre 0 et 35%, bien que les plus hautes fréquences d'Isolement s'obtiennent quand la salinité est autour de 25%. Aucune corrélation significative entre V<u>parahaemolyticus</u> et <u>V</u>, alginolyticus avec <u>E</u>, coli et <u>Enterococcus</u> n'a été observée.

 Centre de Recherches Océanographiques. BP V18 ABIDJAN Côte d'Ivoire (West Africa).
 Institut Pasteur Côte d'Ivoire. Service de bactériologie. BP V166 CHU de Cocody ABIDJAN Côte d'Ivoire (West Africa).

INTRODUCTION

Since its first isolation during a food-poisoning outbreak in Japan (Horie et al. 1964, Sakazaki et al. 1963), <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u> has been shown to be widely distributed (Davis and Sizemore, 1982). It has commonly been found in estuarine, coastal and brackish waters (Joseph et al. 1983). Sediment, suspended particulates, plankton, fish, shellfish and infrequently freshwater samples have been shown to harbor the pathogenic agent (El-Sahn et al. 1982; Joseph et al. 1983; Sarkar et al. 1985; Shiaris et al. 1987).

Isolation from the environment in temperate regions has been shown to be related to water temperature (Kaneko and Colwell, 1978); whereas, in tropical areas, the frequency of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> isolation appears to depend on season and water salinity (Bonang et al. 1974; Joseph, 1974; Nair et al. 1980).

Attemps to study the correlation of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and its occurrence in the environment with fecal pollution indicators have been made. Several studies (Baross and Liston, 1970; Horle et al. 1967; Watkins and Cabelli, 1985) showed greater concentrations of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> in polluted waters than in non polluted waters. On the other hand, Kaneko and Colwell (1973) reported no significant correlation with counts of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> with fecal pollution indicators. Coliform counts usually correlated well only with the occurrence of allochtonous bacterial pathogens and not with autochtonous, potentially pathogenic bacteria such as <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> (Colwell and Karper, 1977).

Because of the similitude in their auto-ecological features, <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>Vibrio alginolyticus</u> are more often isolated in the same samples (Joseph et al. 1983). Although its pathogenicity has been questioned (Sakazaki et al. 1968), <u>Vibrio alginolyticus</u> has sometimes been implicated in superficial infections of organs, which in most instances have been exposed to seawater (English and Lindberg, 1977; Hansen et al. 1979; Rubin and Tilton, 1975). Furthermore, some ecological studies have reported isolation of <u>V. alginolyticus</u> in water, molluscs and oysters (Kampelmacher et al. 1972).

Some etiological studies on acute diarrheal diseases in Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire) have reiterated that gastroenteritis caused by <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> ranks first to diarrhea incidence since 1985. Futhermore, epidemiological studies have shown the relatively high incidence of carriers and implicated them in the spread of disease within the Ebrie lagoon riverside community (Dosso, 1984; Dosso, 1988). The Ebrie lagoon, characterized by high salinity variations, seems to constitute a "reservoir" of pathogenic bacteria.

The aim of this work is to study the distribution of <u>V. alginolyticus</u> and <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> in the Ebrie lagoon urban area.

22 SEPT. 1994

O.R.S.T.O.M. Fonds Documentaire

- 25 - N° : 40371 Cote : B' Fr

MATERIALS AND METHOD

1. Study area and sample collection

Five stations (Fig. 2), located in Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire) area (5 N, 4 W) were bimonthly sampled for a one year period (from July 1987 to July 1988). The stations were situated at I (ST 1), 5 (ST. 2), 8 (ST. 3) 8.5 (ST.4) and 21 (ST. 5) km from the Vridi canal (Fig. 1) through which ocean waters penetrate the lagoon. Stations 1, 2, 3, and 4 are urban and receive tremendous amounts of domestic and industrial wastes. Station 5, relatively rural, is also under oceanic influence.

Surface and bottom (water/sediment interface) waters samples were collected using a Niskin bottle and aseptically transported to the laboratory in refrigerated containers and processed within two hours of collection.

2. Physical and chemical parameters

Water temperature and salinity were recorded for every sample collected using a STC (YSI) probe. Nutrients (N-NO₂, N-NO₃, N-NH₄, P-PO₄) concentrations of each sample were determined in laboratory with an auto-analyser Technicon AA2 as previously described (Strickland and Parsons, 1968).

3. Bacteriological method

Escherichia coli and Enterococci (Streptococcus D) counts were respectively determined on lactose desoxycholate medium (bioMerieux) and D coccosel (bioMerieux) by the plating methods previously described (Kouassi et al. 1990).

Nine hundreds mi of water sample were inoculated into alkaline peptone-water with 1% and 3% NaCl. Inoculated tubes were incubated at 30° C for 6 hours. Tubes manifesting growth were streaked onto thiosulfate-citrate-bile sodium (TCBS) agar plates. Streaked plates were incubated at 30° C for 18-24 hours. Appearance of green and yellow colonies on TCBS were considered as indicative of presumptive <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> respectively. Presumptive <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> were submitted to traditional tests (i.e. 0/129 susceptibility, Gram reaction, growth to NaCl, motility and oxidase tests). In addition, isolates identified as <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> were characterized by using API 20 B system. Strains yielding the reactions in Table 1 were recorded as <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u>.

RESULTS

Mean surface and bottom waters temperature is of the order of 28° C. No important thermal variation occurs in the studied area. Salinity is largely dependent on hydroclimatic conditions of the ecosystem. Thus, surface water salinity ranging from 10 to 30 % during the dry season rapidly decreases during rainy season and flood period to reach 0 to 2 % after which it is progressively increasing. Bottom waters temporal variations are largely influenced by the depth of the sampling stations. Similar temporal variations to surface waters, with relatively lower seasonal fluctuations occurred in sampling stations (St. 2, 4, 5) with a water depth less than five meters. On the contrary, deeper stations (St. 1, 3) with a water depth more than five meters, are characterized by a great hydrochemical stability. This is attribued to a permanent stratification, separating a salinity variable epillmnium (influenced by hydroclimatic events) and a permanently salty hypolimnium of oceanic origin.

Higher P-PO4, and N-NH4 concentrations are found in the waters during the rainy and flood seasons, while minimal concentrations are observed during the dry season (Fig. 3). Globally, in this environment, the concentrations of nutrients are very high (table 2).

In this naturally-occurring eutrophic milieu, sewages of the city of Abidjan lead to a hyper-eutrophication of waters and to an important increase of fecal contamination, mainly in surface waters. In relation to respectively <u>E, coli</u> and <u>Enterococcus</u>, pollution is on average 500 and 70 times higher than that observed for rural estuarine area of reference (St. 5). This very important human induced pollution (ratio E. <u>coli/Enterococcus</u> > 40) makes the Ebrie lagoon inapropriate for bathing. Temporal variations of <u>E coli</u> and <u>Enterococcus</u> are directly coupled with the water salinity variation, which itself is a function of the importance of fluvial and rainfall inputs. Thus maximal microbial densities of <u>E, coli</u> and <u>Enterococcus</u> are noticed during the dry season.

1

Altogether, 501 samples were analyzed for <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and for <u>V. alginolyticus</u>. Overall 16.37% of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and 16.37% of <u>V. alginolyticus</u> were isolated from both surface and bottom waters of the Ebrie lagoon urban area. No major difference is observed between surface and bottom waters population size of halophilic Vibrios. Seasonal occurrence of the species in waters during the entire period of investigation are presented in Table 3. Our data do not show any major difference between the dry and rainy (together with flood) seasons.

Isolation frequencies of V. parahaemolyticus and V. alginolyticus grouped into salinity

ranges are presented in Table 4. Both Vibrio species are recovered from waters with salinity ranging from 0 to 35 %. However, higher frequency occurs for <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> when salinity is over. 25 %. The same salinity influence in the distribution of <u>V. alginolyticus</u> is also found. Important isolation frequencies are noticed in waters with salinity ranging between 0 and 10 % (12.5 and 15% for <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> respectively).

The primarily bacteriological indicators used to assess the safety of coastal bathing waters are fecal coliforms and/or <u>Enterococcus</u> counts of waters. According to WHO/UNEP, for a water to be considered as bacteriologically safe, it should not present more than 1 000 <u>E. coli</u> and/or fecal <u>Enterococci</u> per 100 ml of water in 90% of analyzed samples. Isolation frequencies of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> grouped into fecal coliform ranges are shown in Table 5. Overall, one might expect greater <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> recoveries when fecal coliform counts exceeded 1 000 unity forming colonie (UFC) per 100 ml. Over 20% of the samples in our study with lower counts (<10 UFC/100 ml) contained both <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u>. Similar results are obtained when considering <u>Enterococcus</u> counts present 25% and 13.88% as isolation frequencies respectively for <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

The present investigation shows that <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> can be isolated with relative ease from the Ebrie lagoon environment. <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> is present in waters with the same occurrence during the dry and rainy and flood seasons. However, the opposite was found in Togo (West Africa) (Bonang, 1974) and in Vietman (tropical area) (Neumann et al. 1972) where the seasonal cycle of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> is correlated with rainy and dry seasons.

<u>V. alginolyticus</u> seems to be present in larger numbers in seawater than <u>V.</u> <u>parahaemolyticus</u> (Golten and Scheffers, 1975) and in fact, a proportional relationship between <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> has been suggested. Joseph et al (1973) found that <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> showed proportionally higher numbers than <u>V. alginolyticus</u> during the rainy seasons in the tropical waters and seafood in Java Bay, while <u>V. alginolyticus</u> was more predominant in the dry season. In the results reported here, abundance and seasonal trends of <u>V. alginolyticus</u> and <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> do not provide a distinct difference. Although <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> is considered to be a mild halophile, requiring sodium chloride for its growth and survival, the organism was detected in water with salinity close to 0%. This finding concurs with that of Sayler<u>et al.</u> (Sayler et al. 1976) who recovered the pathogen from water samples with low salinity.

Recent studies on the Na+ requirement of V, parahaemolyticus and V, cholerae indicated that, in contrast with other marine bacteria, the quantitative requirements for Na+ growth vary with the substrate utilized as the carbon and energy source in the medium (Sarkar et al. 1985). This would imply that, under certain specific nutritional conditions, the Na+ requirements of V. parahaemolyticus is not mandatory and that the halophile can well survive in conditions where the salt concentrations is equal to or even lower than physiological conditions. The Ebrie lagoon estuarine area, in contact with oceanic and continental environments, is naturally eutrophic. Mineral and organic nutrients inputs. mainly from continental waters and their trapping within the estuary are the main causes of this eutrophication. Furthermore, water masses presenting great density differences, create strong horizontal and vertical gradients allowing this biological (within the biomass) and geochemical (within the deposits) immobilization (Guiral et al. 1989). The estuarine zone presents a strong temporal variation, being submitted to low frequency variations (annual variability related to hydroclimatic cycle : flood, rainfall, dry season). In this situation, especially during the rainy and flood period (i.e. when water salinity is between 0 and 10 % , one might expect the nutrients to govern the survival of V, parahaemolyticus when salinity is low. According to Aiso et al. cited by Kaneko and Colwell (1973), the optimum NaCl concentration that may be influencing the growth of V. parahaemolyticus depends on water temperature. When the temperature is 20° C, the optimum NaCl concentration is between 0.5 and 1%; at 37° C, the optimum is 3%. V. parahaemolyticus growth stops when the NaCl concentration is below 0.05%. In the Ebrie lagoon, where the temperature is always high (annual mean is 28° C), this may explain the survival of the organism at lower salinity.

۱.

₽.

<u>V. alginolyticus</u>, relatively more halophilic than V<u>, parahaemolyticus</u>, was also recovered from the Ebrie lagoon waters with salinity ranging from 0 to 35%. This is somewhat surprising and to our knowledge unprecedented. Tidal transport and/or transfer of Vibrios from sediment to the water column may be responsible for the distribution of <u>V</u>, <u>alginolyticus</u> in the Ebrie lagoon.

Besides temperature and salinity, it has also been demonstrated that seasonal cycle of V.

parahaemolyticus may be influenced by adsorption and attachment of the organism to plankton and higher organisms and to particles (Kaneko and Colwell, 1973; 1975; 1978). In the stratified zones of the Ebrie lagoon, vertical distribution of phytoplankton and bacteria and that of zooplankton population, principally dominated by <u>Acartia clausi</u> (Saint-Jean and Pagano, 1984), are governed by the oxycline of the environment. Since, zooplankton is absent in deeper waters, it does not seem to be directly involved in the spatial distribution of V, <u>parahaemolyticus</u>, as it was observed with <u>Acartia tonsa</u> in the Cheapeake Bay (Kaneko and Colwell, 1973; 1975). However, suspended sediments as well as Copepod chitin may indirectly be implicated in the spatial occurrence of the pathogenic agent, since proteolytic, chitinolytic and lypolytic enzymes predispose Vibrios to decompose rapidly the cellular structures of their hosts (Bockemuhl and Triemer, 1974). Thus, chitin contributes to V, <u>parahaemolyticus</u> growth (Watkins and Cabelli, 1985). Therefore hypolimnium of confined and anoxic zones could be, insofar as a reservoir of shells, constituting a favourable milieu for the growth of this microorganism.

The correlation of V<u>, parahaemolyticus</u> and its occurrence in an environment with fecal coliforms have been reported. Significant correlation with counts of V<u>, parahaemolyticus</u> and fecal coliform and <u>E</u>. <u>coli</u> have been signaled (Baross and Liston, 1970). However, this result is discussed on the basis of other findings. In agreement with our data, Kaneko and Colwell (1973), Sutton (1974) and Jonas <u>et_al.</u> (1978) found no significant correlation with counts of halophilic vibrios and fecal coliforms. Similar observation is made when <u>Enterococcus</u> is considered as a fecal contamination indicator. These results cast doubt on the utilization of these organisms as fecal contamination test.

Because of their brackish and turbid features, and also their high temperature and eutrophication level (principally caused by waste discharges into the lagoon without any treatment), the Ebrie lagoon estuarine waters can be considered as a "reservoir" of pathogenic microorganisms such as vibrios. Consequently, epidemiological hazards related to the presence of these microorganisms, should be confirmed by the determination of the hemolytic character of isolated vibrios strains. Isolation of vibrios is more often signaled on aquatic organisms. The relationship of these bacteria with aquatic organisms should therefore be specifically studied in the Ebrie lagoon.

| TESTS | . parahaemolyticus | V. alginolyticus | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Biochemical Reactions | | | | | | | | |
| Oxydase | + | + | | | | | | |
| Catalase | + | + | | | | | | |
| Nitrates | + | + | | | | | | |
| Glucose gas | · _ | + | | | | | | |
| Arginine | | - | | | | | | |
| Lysine decarboxylas | se + | + | | | | | | |
| Indole | + | + | | | | | | |
| Urea | - · | • – | | | | | | |
| H ₂ S | - | - | | | | | | |
| ONPG | - | - | | | | | | |
| VP | - | + . | | | | | | |
| Arabinose | v | - | | | | | | |
| Gelatine | v | + | | | | | | |
| Inositol | - | - | | | | | | |
| Sorbitol | - | - | | | | | | |
| Melibiose | - | · - | | | | | | |
| Rhamnose | - | - | | | | | | |
| Mannitol | + | | | | | | | |
| Amygdalin | v | - | | | | | | |
| Saccharose | | | | | | | | |

| Morpholo | gical and Cultural Cha | racters |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Growth on TCBS | G | Y |
| Luminescence | - | - |
| 0/129 150 g | S | S |
| 10 g | R | R |
| Growth at 30 [°] C | + | + |
| 37 C | + | + |
| 42 C | + ' | + |
| Growth O% | - | - |
| . 38 | + | + |
| 68 | + | + |
| . 88 | 4 | |
| 10% | - | + |

TABLE I. Cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics of the halophilic vibrios. G = Green colonies; Y = yellow colonies; +, all species are positive for the biochemical reactions; -, 5-10 % of the species is positive for the biochemical tests.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | A | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| .82 27 .78 27 .83 14 | 7.83 7.06 1.84 | 28.07 27.83 14.07 | 27.85 27.25 14.25 | 28.02 27.68 11.14 | Salinity ranges No Samples | 0 <x<10 120</x<10 | 10 <x<20 129</x<20 | 20 <x<25 149</x<25 | x>25 106 |
| .01 22 | 2.59 | 24.49 | 19.55 | 13.12 | | No posit: | ive (Isolati | on frequency | ·) |
| |).13).93 1 | 20.37 190.02 | 15.20 19.73 | 9.19 9.88 | V. parahaemolyticus | 15(13) | 23(18) | 19(13) | 25(2 |
| .09 1 .06 1 | L.67 L.96 | 2.91 19.66 | 1.84 2.09 | 1.64 2.60 | V. alginolyticus | 18(15) | 16(12) | 24(16) | 24(2 |
| .16 . 3 .30 2 | 8.38 2.66 | 3.03 1.50 | 3.56 3.50 | 1.59 2.41 | TABLE IV Isolation f and bottom water sam | frequency of accord | of halophili ding to sal | c vibrios in inity. | surfac |
| .50 4 .68 5 | 1.84 5.40 | 4.07 2.60 | 6.18 4.94 | 4.45 7.03 | | | | | |
| .64 4 | 1.15 | 4.22 | 4.66 | 1.46 | • | | | • | |
| .61 2 | 2.49 | 2.37 | 4.06 3.05 | 0.55 | UFC/100 ml No samples | 0 <x<100 124</x<100 | 100 <x<2000 102</x<2000 | 2000 <x<10<sup>4 103</x<10<sup> | X>10 ⁴ 172 |
| | | 1./9 | <u> </u> | 0.90 | • | No posit: | ive (Isolati | on frequency |) |
| iter/seaim | ient int | teriace; | Entero¤ 1 | Enterococcus | V. parahaemolyticus | 22(18) | 18(18) | 19(19) | 23(1 |
| the bio-p water sa | mples i | l-chemica in the Eb | l charac rie lago | on. | V. alginolyticus | 23(19) | 14(14) | . 18(18) | 27(1 |
| rainy | and fl | ood seaso | ons dr | y season | and bottom water san (Unity forming color | ples accor | of halophili cding to <u>E.</u> | c vibrios in <u>coli</u> counts. | SUFFACE |
| | 120 | | | | UFC/100 ml | x = 0 | 0 <x<100< td=""><td>100<x<10<sup>3</x<10<sup></td><td>x>10³</td></x<100<> | 100 <x<10<sup>3</x<10<sup> | x>10 ³ |
| NO Ì | POSICIV | | .ion iieq | | No samples | 144 | 101 | 144 | 106 |
| <u>is</u> | 15 (| 13) | | 67 (18) | | No posit | ive (Isolati | on frequency | 7) |
| | 18 (| 15%) | | 64 (17) | V. parahaemolyticus | 37(26) | 18(18) | 19(18) | 23(13 |
| on freque water sa | ency of amples | halophil according | .ic vibri , to seas | os in on. | V. alginolyticus | 23(19) | 14(14) | 18(17) | 27(16 |
| | 22 10 .79 19 .06 1 .16 2 .30 2 .50 4 .68 5 .64 4 .87 3 .61 2 .06 1 .ter/sedim the bio-r .water set .61 2 .06 1 .ter/sedim the bio-r .water set | .22 10.13 .79 19.93 .09 1.67 .06 1.96 .16 3.38 .30 2.66 .50 4.84 .68 5.40 .64 4.15 .87 3.03 .61 2.49 .06 1.74 ter/sediment in the bio-physical water samples rainy and fl 120 No positiv 15 15 (18 (Lon frequency of a water samples | 122 10.13 20.37 .79 19.93 190.02 .09 1.67 2.91 .06 1.96 19.66 .16 3.38 3.03 .30 2.66 1.50 .50 4.84 4.07 .68 5.40 2.60 .64 4.15 4.22 .87 3.03 1.51 .61 2.49 2.37 .06 1.74 1.79 .61 2.49 2.37 .06 1.74 1.79 .167 .174 1.79 .167 .174 1.79 .167 .174 1.79 .161 .174 1.79 .174 1.79 .179 .174 .179 .179 .174 .179 .179 .174 .179 .179 .175 .13 .120 No positive (isolat .15 .18 .15%) .15% .18 .15% . | .22 10.13 20.37 15.20 .79 19.93 190.02 19.73 .09 1.67 2.91 1.84 .06 1.96 19.66 2.09 .16 3.38 3.03 3.56 .30 2.66 1.50 3.50 .50 4.84 4.07 6.18 .68 5.40 2.60 4.94 .64 4.15 4.22 4.66 .87 3.03 1.51 4.06 .61 2.49 2.37 3.05 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 ter/sediment interface; Entero= 1 1 the bio-physical-chemical charace 1 1 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 ter/sediment interface; Entero= 1 1 1 .06 1.74 1.79 3 .07 .08 .09 .09 3 .08 .09 .03 .05 .06 .09 .01 .03 .03 .04 <td>122 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 .79 19.93 190.02 19.73 9.68 .09 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 .06 1.96 19.66 2.09 2.60 .16 3.38 3.03 3.56 1.59 .30 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 .50 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 .68 5.40 2.60 4.94 7.03 .64 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.46 .87 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 .61 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 0.90 tter/sediment interface; Entero= Enterococccus the bio-physical-chemical characteristics of the bio-physical-chemical characteristics of 381 No positive (isolation frequency) 381 15 13 67 (18) 18 (15%) 64 (17)</td> <td>.22 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 .79 19.93 190.02 19.73 9.88 .09 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 .06 1.96 19.66 2.09 2.60 .16 3.38 3.03 3.56 1.59 .30 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 .50 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 .68 5.40 2.60 4.94 7.03 .64 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.46 .87 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 .61 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 0.90 tter/sediment interface; Entero- Enterococcus V. alginolyticus TABLE V. Isolation factorization frequency No samples .120 381 00 00 .120 381 UFC/100 ml No samples .15 15 64 (17) V. parahaemolyticus .18 153</td> <td>22 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 .79 19.93 190.02 19.73 9.88 .09 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 .06 1.96 19.66 2.09 2.60 .16 3.38 3.03 3.56 1.59 .30 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 .50 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 .68 5.40 2.60 4.94 7.03 .64 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.66 .67 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 .61 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 0.90 tter/sediment interface; Entero- Enterococcus No posit: V. parahaemolyticus 23(19) TABLE V. Isolation frequency 381 No posit: V. parahaemolyticus 23(19) TABLE V. Isolation frequency 381 No posit No posit 120 381 No posit V. parahaemolyticus 37(26)</td> <td>.2210.1320.3715.209.19.7919.93190.0219.739.88.091.672.911.841.64.061.962.092.60.163.383.033.561.59.302.661.503.502.41.504.844.076.184.45.685.402.604.947.03.544.154.224.661.61.873.031.514.061.61.612.492.373.050.55.061.741.792.740.90ter/sediment interface; Enterow EntercoccusV. parahaemolyticus22(18)18(18)V. parahaemolyticus22(18)18(18)V. parahaemolyticus22(19)14(14)TABLE V. Isolation frequency of halophilit and bottom water samples according to E. (Unity forming colony).rainy and flood seasons 12067 (18)1315 (13)67 (18)141011515 (13)67 (18)1516 (15%)64 (17)161611718 (15%)64 (17)181621611914(14)TABLE VI. Isolation frequency of halophilic v. alginolyticus37(26)18181914(14)TABLE VI. Isolation frequency of halophilic10V. alginolyticus23(19)1141011215 (13)67 (18)<td>.22 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 $.79$ 19.33 190.02 19.73 9.88 $.09$ 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 $.06$ 1.96 2.90 2.60 $.16$ 3.93 3.03 3.56 1.59 $.30$ 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 $.50$ 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 $.68$ 5.40 2.66 1.46 1.61 $.64$ 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.46 $.87$ 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 $.61$ 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 $.66$ 1.406 1.61 100 100<<<<<000</td> 2000 ter/sediment interface; Enteroe Enterococcus No positive (Isolation frequency) No positive (Isolation frequency) No positive (Isolation frequency) the bio-physical-chemical characteristics of 1 Nater samples in the Ebrie lagoon. No positive (Isolation frequency) 14(14) 18(18) TABLE V. Isolation frequency of halophilic vibrios in and bottom water samples according to E. coli counts.</td> | 122 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 .79 19.93 190.02 19.73 9.68 .09 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 .06 1.96 19.66 2.09 2.60 .16 3.38 3.03 3.56 1.59 .30 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 .50 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 .68 5.40 2.60 4.94 7.03 .64 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.46 .87 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 .61 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 0.90 tter/sediment interface; Entero= Enterococccus the bio-physical-chemical characteristics of the bio-physical-chemical characteristics of 381 No positive (isolation frequency) 381 15 13 67 (18) 18 (15%) 64 (17) | .22 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 .79 19.93 190.02 19.73 9.88 .09 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 .06 1.96 19.66 2.09 2.60 .16 3.38 3.03 3.56 1.59 .30 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 .50 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 .68 5.40 2.60 4.94 7.03 .64 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.46 .87 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 .61 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 0.90 tter/sediment interface; Entero- Enterococcus V. alginolyticus TABLE V. Isolation factorization frequency No samples .120 381 00 00 .120 381 UFC/100 ml No samples .15 15 64 (17) V. parahaemolyticus .18 153 | 22 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 .79 19.93 190.02 19.73 9.88 .09 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 .06 1.96 19.66 2.09 2.60 .16 3.38 3.03 3.56 1.59 .30 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 .50 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 .68 5.40 2.60 4.94 7.03 .64 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.66 .67 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 .61 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 .06 1.74 1.79 2.74 0.90 tter/sediment interface; Entero- Enterococcus No posit: V. parahaemolyticus 23(19) TABLE V. Isolation frequency 381 No posit: V. parahaemolyticus 23(19) TABLE V. Isolation frequency 381 No posit No posit 120 381 No posit V. parahaemolyticus 37(26) | .2210.1320.3715.209.19.7919.93190.0219.739.88.091.672.911.841.64.061.962.092.60.163.383.033.561.59.302.661.503.502.41.504.844.076.184.45.685.402.604.947.03.544.154.224.661.61.873.031.514.061.61.612.492.373.050.55.061.741.792.740.90ter/sediment interface; Enterow EntercoccusV. parahaemolyticus22(18)18(18)V. parahaemolyticus22(18)18(18)V. parahaemolyticus22(19)14(14)TABLE V. Isolation frequency of halophilit and bottom water samples according to E. (Unity forming colony).rainy and flood seasons 12067 (18)1315 (13)67 (18)141011515 (13)67 (18)1516 (15%)64 (17)161611718 (15%)64 (17)181621611914(14)TABLE VI. Isolation frequency of halophilic v. alginolyticus37(26)18181914(14)TABLE VI. Isolation frequency of halophilic10V. alginolyticus23(19)1141011215 (13)67 (18) <td>.22 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 $.79$ 19.33 190.02 19.73 9.88 $.09$ 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 $.06$ 1.96 2.90 2.60 $.16$ 3.93 3.03 3.56 1.59 $.30$ 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 $.50$ 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 $.68$ 5.40 2.66 1.46 1.61 $.64$ 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.46 $.87$ 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 $.61$ 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 $.66$ 1.406 1.61 100 100<<<<<000</td> 2000 ter/sediment interface; Enteroe Enterococcus No positive (Isolation frequency) No positive (Isolation frequency) No positive (Isolation frequency) the bio-physical-chemical characteristics of 1 Nater samples in the Ebrie lagoon. No positive (Isolation frequency) 14(14) 18(18) TABLE V. Isolation frequency of halophilic vibrios in and bottom water samples according to E. coli counts. | .22 10.13 20.37 15.20 9.19 $.79$ 19.33 190.02 19.73 9.88 $.09$ 1.67 2.91 1.84 1.64 $.06$ 1.96 2.90 2.60 $.16$ 3.93 3.03 3.56 1.59 $.30$ 2.66 1.50 3.50 2.41 $.50$ 4.84 4.07 6.18 4.45 $.68$ 5.40 2.66 1.46 1.61 $.64$ 4.15 4.22 4.66 1.46 $.87$ 3.03 1.51 4.06 1.61 $.61$ 2.49 2.37 3.05 0.55 $.66$ 1.406 1.61 100 100<<<<<000 |



Figure 2. Ebrie lagoon estuarine area. The grey scales are proportional to the rate of soil occupation (area of high urbanization rate; area of spreaded accomodation; agricultural or forested area (low urbanization rate); Ebrie lagoon.

.

اردها العاملية بيداني بي مراجد زدما سيعمل الد. ا



LITERATURE CITED

- Baross J. and Liston J., 1970. Occurrence of V. para haemolyticus and related hemolytic vibrios in marine environments of Washington State. Appl. Microbiol. 20: 179.
- Bockemuhl J. and Triemer A., 1974. Ecology and epidemiology of V. parahaemolyticus on the coast of Togo. Bull. World Health Org. 51: 353.
- Bonang G., Lintong M. and Santoso U.S., 1974. The isolation and Susceptibility to various antimicrobial agents of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> from acute gastroenteritis cases and from sea food in Jakarta, p. 27. In T. Fugino, R. Sakaguchi, and V. Takeda (ed.), Int. Symp. <u>Vibrio</u> parahaemolyticus, Saikon Publ. Co. Tokyo.
- Colwell R.R. and Kaper J., 1977. Vibrio species as bacterial indicators of potential health hazards associated with water, p. 115. In A.W. Hoadley, and B.J. Dukta (eds.), Bacterial Indicators Health Hazards, ASTM STP 635.
- Colwell R.R and Kaper J., 1978. Distribution, survival and significance of pathogenic bacteria and viruses in estuaries, P. 443. In M.L. Wiley (ed.), Estuarine Interactions, Academic Press.
- Davis J.W. and Sizemore R.W., 1982. Incidence of Vibrio species associated with blue crabs (Callinectes sapidus) collected from Galveston Bay, Texas. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43: 1092-1097.
- Dosso M., 1984. Ecologie des germes des gastro-entérites infectueuses à Abidjan. Le problème des Vibrionacées. Memoire d'Etudes et de Recherches en Biologie Humaine, p.188 Montpellier.
- Dosso M., 1988. Les Vibrionacées en Côte d'Ivoire. Thèse de Doctorat d'Etat en Biologie Humaine, p. 186, Université de Montpellier I. Faculté de Medecine.
- El-Sahn M.A., El-Banna A.A. and Al-tabey Shehata A.M., 1982. Occurrence of V. parahaemolyticus in selected marine invertebrates, sediments and seawater around Alexandria, Egypt. Can. J. Microbiol. 28: 1261-1264.
- English V.L. and Lindberg R.B., 1977. Isolation of V. alginolyticus from wounds and blood of a burn patient. Am. J. Med. Technol. 43: 989.
- Golten C. and Scheffers W.A., 1975. Marine vibrios isolated from water along the Dutch coast. Neth. J. Sea Res. 9: 343-351.
- Guiral D., Arfi R. and Torreton J.P., 1989. Mécanismes et incidences écologiques de l'homogénéisation annuelle de densité dans un milieu eutrophe stratifié.
 - Hydrobiologia 183: 195-210.

- Hansen W., Crokaert F. and Yourassowsky E., 1979. Two strains of Vibrios species with anusual biochemical features isolated from ear tracts J. Clin. Microbiol. 9:152.
- Horie S., Saheki K., Kzima T., Nara M. and Sekine Y., 1964. Distribution of V. parahaemolyticus in plankton and fish in the open sea. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Fish. 30: 786-791.
- Horie S., Saheki K. and Okuzumi M., 1967. Quantitative enumeration of V. parahaemolyticus in sea and estuarine waters. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Fish. 33: 126.
- Jonas R.B., Buckley E.N. and PfaenderF.K., 1978. A note on the isolation of the bacterium V. parahaemolyticus from estuarine North Carolina. Estuaries 1: 264.
- Joseph S.W., Goke D.L., Nadrifil S., Van Peenen P.F.D. and Widyaharsana J., 1971. <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u> related gastroenteritis in Jakarta, Indonesia, p. 44. <u>In Proc. 6th</u> Singapore-Malaysia Congress of Medecine.
- Joseph S.W., Sindhuharda W., Zen Yoji H., Van Peenen P.F.D., kasai G. and Sulianti S. J., 1973. Significance of V. parahaemolyticus and V. alginolyticus from Japanese natural sources. Ann. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 73: 2.
- Joseph S.W., 1974. Observations on <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> in Indonesia, p. 35. <u>In</u> T. Fugino, R. Sakaguchi, and V. Takeda (ed.), Int. Symp. <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u>, Saikon Publ. Co. Tokyo.
- Joseph S.W., Colwell R.R. and Kaper J.P., 1983. Vibrio parahaemolyticus and related Vibrios. Crt. Rev. Microbiol. 10: 77-124.
- Kampelmacher E.H., Van Noorle Jensen L.M., Mossell D.A.A. and Groen F.J., 1972. A Survey of the occurrence of <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> and <u>V. alginolyticus</u> on mussels and oysters and in estuarine waters in the Netherlands. J. Appl. Bact. 35:431.
- Kaneko T., and Colwell R.R., 1973. Ecology of <u>V</u>. parahaemolyticus in Chesapeake Bay. J. Bacteriol. 113: 24.
- Kaneko T. and R.R. Colwell R.R., 1975. adsorption of <u>V</u>. parahaemolyticus onto chitin and copepods. Appl. Microbiol. 29: 269-274.
- Kaneko T. and Colwell R.R., 1978. The annual cycle of <u>V</u>, <u>parahaemolyticus</u> in Chesapeake Bay. Microbial Ecol.4: 135.
- Kouassi, A.M. Guiral D. and Dosso M. 1990. Variations saisonnières de la contamination microbienne de la zone urbaine d'une lagune tropicale estuarienne: cas de la ville d'Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire). Rev. Hydrobiol. Trop. In Press.

- 38 -

- Nair G.B., Abraham M. and Natarajan R., 1980.
 Distribution of V. parahaemolyticus in finfish harvested from porto Novo (S. India) environs: a seasonal study. Can J. Microbiol. 26:1264.
- Neumann D.A., Benenson M.W., Hubster E., Thi Nhu Tuan, and Tien-Van L., 1972. Vibrio parahaemolyticus in the Republic of Vietnam. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 21: 464.
- Rubin S.J. and Tilton R.C., 1975. Isolation of V. alginolyticus from wound infections. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2: 556.
- Saint-Jean L. and Pagano M., 1984. Influence de la salinité, de la temperature et de la quantité de particules en suspension sur la croissance et la production d'oeufs d'Acartia clausi en lagune Ebrié (Côte d'Ivoire). Rev. Hydrobiol. Trop. 17: 235-244.
- Sakazaki R., Iwanami S. and Fujumi H. 1963. Studies on the enteropathogenic, facultatively halophilic bacteria. <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u>. I. Morphological, Cultural and Biochemical properties and its taxonomical position Jap. J. Med. sci. Biol. 16: 161.
- Sakazaki R., Tamura K., Kato T., Obara J., Yamai S. and Hobo K. 1968. Studies on the enteropathogenic, facultatively halophilic bacteria. V. parahaemolyticus. II. Enteropathogenicity. Jap. J. Med. Sci. Biol. 21: 325.
- Sarkar B.L., Nair B., Banerjee A.K. and Pal S.C., 1985. Seasonal distribution of V.parahaemolyticus in freshwater environs and in association with fresh water fishes in Calcutta. Appl. Env. Microbiol. 49: 132-136.
- Sayler G.S., Nelson J.D., Justice Jr A. and Colwell R.R., 1976. Incidence of Salmonella species, <u>Clostridium</u> botulinum and <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> in an estuary. Appl Env. Microbiol. 31: 723.
- Shiaris M.P., Rex A.C., Pettibone G.W., Keay K., Mcmanus P., Rex M.A., Ebersole J. and Gallagher E., 1987. Distribution of indicator bacteria and <u>Vibrio</u> <u>parahaemolyticus</u> in sewage-polluted intertidal sediments Appl. and Env. Microbiol. 53: 1756-1761.
- Strickland J. and Parsons T., 1968. A pratical handbook of seawater analysis. Bull. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 167: 311.
- Sutton R.G.A., 1974. Some quantitative aspects of V.
 Parahaemolyticus in oysters in the Sydney area. p. 83. In
 T. Fugino, R. Sakaguchi, and V. Takeda (ed.), Int. Symp.
 <u>Vibrio</u> parahaemolyticus, Saikon Publ. Co. Tokyo.

1.5

- Vasconcelos G.J., Stang W.J. and Laidlaw R.H., 1975. Isolation of V. parahaemolyticus and V. alginolyticus from estuarine areas of Southeastern Alaska. Appl. Microbiol. 29: 557.
- Watkins D. and Cabelli V., 1985. Effect of fecal pollution on <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> densities in an estuarine environment. Appl. Env. Microbiol. 49: 1307-1313.

- 39 -