The Distribution of Helium 3 in the Deep Western and Southern Indian Ocean
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Almost a decade after the Geochemical Ocean Sections Study Indian Expedition, the new deep \(^3\)He data from the INDIGO program give a further insight into the distribution of this tracer in the Indian Ocean. This distribution exhibits some major features related to one hand to a hydrothermal \(^3\)He input in the Gulf of Aden and on the Mid-Indian Ocean Ridge, and on the other to the origin of the water masses and to the characteristics of the deep circulation. The main pattern is a significant north-south \(^3\)He gradient, with deep waters of the southern ocean showing \(^3\)He values around 8-9% due to the influence of the Atlantic deep waters poor in \(^3\)He and relatively high values in the northern and central regions (15% to 18% between 2000 m and 3000 m depth) originating from the hydrothermal activity. In the easternmost part of the basin, the \(^3\)He values exhibit a significant increase at shallower depths (around 1000 m) probably due to the Pacific water flow through the Indonesian Sills, whereas the data in the Indian sector of the Antarctic ocean show a maximum of the order of 9%, south of the Polar Front. This map points out some characteristics of the deep circulation but also stresses the need for further measurements in order to clarify the description of this tracer in several key areas.

INTRODUCTION

There are two main sources of \(^3\)He in the ocean. The first of these is production by the \(\beta\) decay of anthropogenic tritium in the mixed layer and in the thermocline. In the deep ocean the \(^3\)He distribution is controlled by the primordial hydrothermal input and the deep circulatiom [Clarke et al., 1969; Craig and Lupton, 1981]. This distribution is assumed to be at steady state, which is reasonable when comparing the deep ocean renewal time to the time scale of the processes controlling the mantle degassing at oceanic ridges.

In the last decade, \(^3\)He injection sites associated with hydrothermal activity have been found at many different places on mid-ocean ridges. On a global scale, the Geochemical Ocean Sections Study (GEOSECS) \(^3\)He survey carried out in the 1970s is the most comprehensive data set available so far. This pioneer work made possible a first estimation of the distribution of \(^3\)He in the different oceans. The deep Pacific Ocean is the most enriched in \(^3\)He (with a mean \(^3\)He value below the thermocline of around 17%) compared with the Indian Ocean (6% - 7%) and the Atlantic (6% - 2%). The reason for such a strong contrast lies primarily in the varying strength of the hydrothermal input and the deep circulation. On a global scale, the Geochemical Ocean Sections Study (GEOSECS) \(^3\)He survey carried out in the 1970s is the most comprehensive data set available so far. This pioneer work made possible a first estimation of the distribution of \(^3\)He in the different oceans. The deep Pacific Ocean is the most enriched in \(^3\)He (with a mean \(^3\)He value below the thermocline of around 17%) compared with the Indian Ocean (6% - 7%) and the Atlantic (6% - 2%). The reason for such a strong contrast lies primarily in the varying strength of the hydrothermal input and the deep circulation.

In the last decade, \(^3\)He injection sites associated with hydrothermal activity have been found at many different places on mid-ocean ridges. On a global scale, the Geochemical Ocean Sections Study (GEOSECS) \(^3\)He survey carried out in the 1970s is the most comprehensive data set available so far. This pioneer work made possible a first estimation of the distribution of \(^3\)He in the different oceans. The deep Pacific Ocean is the most enriched in \(^3\)He (with a mean \(^3\)He value below the thermocline of around 17%) compared with the Indian Ocean (6% - 7%) and the Atlantic (6% - 2%). The reason for such a strong contrast lies primarily in the varying strength of the hydrothermal input and the deep circulation.

In the last decade, \(^3\)He injection sites associated with hydrothermal activity have been found at many different places on mid-ocean ridges. On a global scale, the Geochemical Ocean Sections Study (GEOSECS) \(^3\)He survey carried out in the 1970s is the most comprehensive data set available so far. This pioneer work made possible a first estimation of the distribution of \(^3\)He in the different oceans. The deep Pacific Ocean is the most enriched in \(^3\)He (with a mean \(^3\)He value below the thermocline of around 17%) compared with the Indian Ocean (6% - 7%) and the Atlantic (6% - 2%). The reason for such a strong contrast lies primarily in the varying strength of the hydrothermal input and the deep circulation. In the central Indian Ocean, \(^3\)He values are around 5% to 7%, corresponding to the presence of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. In the southern Indian Ocean, \(^3\)He values are around 8-10%, due to the influence of the Antarctic waters originating from the hydrothermal activity.

New \(^3\)He measurements have been obtained in the western and southern Indian Ocean from the French program INDIGO (1985-1987). The main scientific purpose was to sample the western basin of the Indian Ocean, a decade after GEOSECS, in order to obtain an updated distribution of transient tracers and to evaluate the invasion and transport of anthropogenic carbon in this area. Measurements of several geochemical tracers among them \(^3\)He, were carried out. Although the sampling strategy was focused on the penetration of transient tritium/helium-3 in the thermocline, 500 deep samples were collected as well. This complementary data set enables us to investigate further the deep \(^3\)He distribution in the Indian Ocean. Locations of the stations are shown in Figure 1a along with the \(^3\)He sections that are discussed later in the text (Figure 1b).

Also included in our analysis are a few \(^3\)He measurements carried out on the Indian Ocean ridges (see Figure 1) and available in the literature: MD-34 (Marion Dufresne cruise 34) data obtained by us on the Southwest Indian Ocean Ridge in 1983, GEOYNN (P. Jean-Baptiste et al., Hydrothermal helium-3 and manganese plumes at 19°29' south on the Central Indian Ridge, submitted to Geophysical Research Letters, 1991), and GEMINO-1 data [Herzig and Plugar, 1988] from the Central Indian Ocean Ridge, and OCEAT \(^3\)He measurements [Jean-Baptiste et al., 1990] from the Gulf of Aden.

In the following, some experimental details of the INDIGO measurements are discussed. The measurements were collected on the Indian Ocean ridges and in the southern Indian Ocean. All the INDIGO rosette data, including \(^3\)He, are available from the INDIGO cruise reports [Poison et al., 1988, 1989, 1990].

EXPERIMENTAL METHOD AND DATA ANALYSIS

Seawater samples were collected by means of a rosette system fitted with 10-L Niskin bottles and conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) sensors. Helium samples were
sealed in 40-cm$^3$ copper tubes and returned to the laboratory for determination of the isotopic composition of dissolved helium. The procedure for extracting and analyzing the helium isotopes is basically the one described by Clarke et al. [1976]. The overall uncertainty in the $^3$He excess ($\delta^3$He (percent)) = $(R/R_a - 1) \times 100$, where $R$ is the isotopic ratio of the sample and $R_a$ is the atmospheric ratio) is of the order of $\pm 0.4\%$ [Jean-Baptiste et al., 1988].

Neon Interference

As no neon trap was used with our mass spectrometer, we investigated the neon interference with our $^3$He measurements by running a series of our standard air aliquot (the size of which corresponds to the amount of helium present in 40 cm$^3$ of seawater) with an added amount of neon equal to the difference between the amount of neon in this air aliquot and the amount of neon dissolved in a 40-cm$^3$ seawater sample.

This was done using a Ne/Ar mixture (18.7 ppm Ne) kept in a tank equipped with a 0.079-cm$^3$ aliquot and fitted on the introduction line of the mass spectrometer.

The comparison between the two series of measurements (10 measurements of our standard alone and 10 measurements of standard plus additional Ne) leads to a positive offset of $\delta = +0.3\%$ caused by neon. Although this value is within our experimental uncertainty, all our $^3$He data have been corrected by subtracting 0.3\% to take into account the neon effect.

**INDIGO-GEOSECS Intercomparison**

In order to use both sets of data together when needed, because the magnitudes of the $^3$He horizontal variations are of the order of a few percent most of the time, it is necessary to look carefully at the comparison between the two data sets. This was done from the GEOSECS stations that were reoccupied during INDIGO. The result is shown in Figure 2. Data from the MEROU cruise [Andrè and Merlivat, 1989] in the Red Sea and from the Gulf of Aden [Jean-Baptiste et al., 1990], obtained on the same mass spectrometer, have also been included to extend the plot over a wider range of $^3$He values. Although these data are more scattered, possibly because of the much larger spatial $^3$He gradient in this area, they offer a better determination of the slope of the correlation. Only near-surface data and data below 1000 m are considered on account of the significant transient tritium-$^3$He component likely to occur at intermediate depths.

Taking into account the error bars on each individual value ($\pm 0.4\%$ for INDIGO, $\pm 1\%$ for GEOSECS and $\pm 2\%$ for both labs in the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden), we obtain a regression line

$$(\delta^3\text{He})_{\text{INDIGO}} = (\delta^3\text{He})_{\text{GEOSECS}} \times (1.05 \pm 0.03) + (0.4 \pm 0.4)\%$$

corresponding to the shaded area of Figure 2.
This plot leads us to conclude that there is no statistically meaningful bias between the two sets of data in the range of interest (0 to 15%). Therefore we consider in the following that they can be merged for a common use without any adjustment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antarctic Sector

The main pattern that emerges from the $^3$He distribution in the southern ocean is a 10% $^3$He core centered around 1000 m depth, very clearly seen on the two INDIGO $^3$He sections and across the circumpolar ocean shown in Figure 3. This feature extends from the southernmost stations close to the Antarctic continental shelf to approximately latitude of the Polar front. Further north, all the $^3$He values fall below 10%, indicating the influence of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) poor in $^3$He and whose core lies just south of the Cape of Good Hope (Jacobs and Georgi, 1977). The $^3$He maximum occurs approximately at the depth of the oxygen minimum that marks the top of the Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW). This water mass is characterized by a deep salinity maximum found below the oxygen minimum and is brought eastward by the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) (Wyrski, 1973). Because of the upwelling occurring at the level of the Antarctic divergence (~65°S), all the extreme values of the properties rise south of the Polar front. At depth, the $^3$He values decrease down to 5-6% in the Antarctic Bottom Water owing to the more recent ventilation of this water mass.

These main characteristics are easily observed on the selection of profiles shown in Figure 4: all profiles except station 97 are located south of the Polar front. In this region, the first 100 m of the water column is characterized by a very cold layer, close to the freezing point (Winter Water), above which is found, during summer, a somewhat less cold surface water (Summer Surface Water) (Gamberoni et al., 1990). Just below these shallow waters, the Circumpolar Deep Water is very well identified from its enhanced temperature and salinity. These hydrologic features are responsible for the sharp subsurface increase of the $^3$He apparent in all profiles, which rapidly reaches values around 10% typical of the CDW.

Station 97, situated north of the subtropical convergence, displays quite a different picture, with a strong erosion of the $^3$He maximum that was present at intermediate depths on the other profiles, due to the intrusion of Atlantic waters. Coming back to the $^3$He core described above, it is interesting to note that a similar pattern is also visible in the western Pacific and western Atlantic GEOSECS sections and then appears to be a permanent feature all around the circumpolar ocean. This $^3$He maximum could have a local origin due to some hypothetical hydrothermal activity related to the southern ocean ridges. Indeed, some indications of $^3$He primordial injection were reported by Schlösser et al. [1988] in the Bransfield Strait. Although this explanation cannot be completely ruled out, we favor a second possibility, which is an origin in the eastern Pacific where high $^3$He concentrations are well established (Lupton and Craig, 1981). Unfortunately, the eastern Pacific GEOSECS $^3$He section does not extend far enough south to give direct evidence of this fact. This possibility, already suggested by Callahan [1972] and Jenkins and Clarke [1976], is supported by the correlation between the $^3$He maximum and the oxygen minimum. Strikingly, the lowest oxygen concentrations in the region are found precisely in the southeastern Pacific with a core of low-oxygen water (3.5 mL/L) around 1500 m depth close to the South American coast (Gordon et al., 1982). This low-oxygen water mass can be traced in the Drake Passage showing a layer of O$_2$-depleted waters at the same depth along the South American coast, which rises southward up to 500 m depth when approaching the Antarctic continental slope (Figure 5).

This $^3$He core also corresponds to a minimum in the chlorofluorocarbon CFC-11 that is well defined in the CFC-11 Ajax section by Warner [1988], meaning that this water mass is older than the surrounding waters. These different indications are consistent with $^3$He-rich, O$_2$/CFC-depleted Pacific waters penetrating the southern ocean in the vicinity of the Drake Passage.

Furthermore, this inverse correlation between the oxygen and $^3$He distributions is a broad feature of the southern ocean (Figure 6) which reflects the fact that both tracers' distributions here are being primarily governed by ventilation processes. This is clearly seen at the southernmost
Fig. 4. Display of some $^3$He profiles from the Indian sector of the southern ocean.
stations INDIGO 80, 81, and especially 82 and 83 located on the continental slope (Figure 7). There, $^3$He and O$_2$ profiles agree in pointing out the formation of dense water along the continental shelf showing higher O$_2$ as well as lower $^3$He values at the bottom of both stations.

**Western and Central Indian Ocean**

The $^3$He distribution in the western and central Indian Ocean is characterized by several distinct features apparent on the three $^3$He sections displayed in Figures 8a, 8b and Figure 9.

**The Gulf of Aden component.** The first prominent pattern (Figure 8a) is the deep north-south gradient already observed in the GEOSECS data [Ostlund et al., 1987]. South of 40°S, the $^3$He values are typically less than 10% with an upward slope of the isolines. From the Gulf of Aden to the equator, a broad area of high values (15%) is present all along the westernmost track at depths between 1500 m and 3500 m. With regard to other properties' extremes, the deep $^3$He maximum is close to the deep salinity maximum but above the deep silica maximum present in the Indian Ocean at depths of about 3000-4000 m, originating at the bottom of Arabian sea and the Bay of Bengal from siliceous sediments [Edmond et al., 1979].

These $^3$He enriched waters come from the Gulf of Aden where a significant $^3$He primordial input (with $^3$He excesses of up to 49%) has been reported [Jean-Baptiste et al., 1990]. The flow of deep waters from the Gulf of Aden is poorly documented. Recent studies indicate a southwestward deep flow in the Somali basin. Near 3°N, 53°E, Fieux et al. [1986] report anticlockwise geostrophic boundary currents below a zero-surface reference of 2000 dbar in April 1985. Moreover, the distribution of the INDIGO 2 salinity data (April 1986) on the surface of a potential temperature of 13°C (mean depth, 2600 m) suggests a southwestward penetration of saline waters along the Somali coast [Schott et al., 1989]. The large $^3$He excesses that we observe are consistent with this southwestward flow. This implies that the Gulf of Aden deep waters turn south when passing the island of Socotra, where there are passages as deep as 3000 m.

This possible deep circulation scheme is apparent in Figure 10, which displays the horizontal distribution of the deep $^3$He maxima over the whole Indian basin. At the equator there are some indications in the data that the high $^3$He signal is propagating eastward with a series of six stations with $^3$He maxima over 14% from the African coast ($^3$He = 14.8% at INDIGO station 50) to 80°E ($^3$He = 14.2% at GEOSECS station 448), namely INDIGO 50 (8% = 14.8); INDIGO 45, 44, and 38 (8% = 14.4); GEMINO-31WU (8% = 14.0); and GEOSECS 448 (8% = 14.2). This zonal pattern could be a deep extension of the intermediate zonal circulation in the equatorial region [Ponte and Luyten, 1990; Jensen, 1990].

**The central Indian primordial $^3$He input.** The central section also displays another $^3$He feature with increasing values up to 17% in the vicinity of the triple point of the
Indian Ocean ridges (INDIGO station 23). This result is consistent with the German measurements from GEMINO-I stations 55-WU and 63-WU (21°S, 68°E) showing values up to 18% [Herzig and Pluger, 1988]. The possibility that these data suggest some primordial 3He input in this area is fully confirmed by the recent data from the GEODYN cruise (Jean-Baptiste et al., 1991): a 3He plume with values reaching 34%, well correlated with manganese anomalies, has been detected in the same area (19°S, 66°E). This gives the first direct evidence of a significant primordial 3He injection in the region of the Rodriguez Triple Junction (RTJ).

This 3He pattern associated with the Indian ridge and the RTJ is also clearly noticeable on the east-west section from GEOSECS station 439 to INDIGO station 97 (Figure 9).

The Pacific water throughflow. In addition to the hydrothermal signal discussed in the previous section, the 3He contours of Figure 9 also display an east-west gradient with increasing 3He values when approaching the Indonesian sector; a value up to 15.4% is recorded at GEOSECS station 439 at 1200 m depth. The fact that this maximum occurs at a shallow depth is consistent with a Pacific Ocean influence through the series of sills of the Indonesian archipelago, the maximum depth of which is around 1000 m (GEBCO, 1984). Also consistent is the 3He value of the Pacific Ocean at the entrance of the Indonesian seas, which is of the order of 18% at 1000 m [Belviso et al., 1987]. The first results of the French-Indonesian Java Dynamics Experiment (JADE) in 1989 show westward flows between 0 and 1100 m and much weaker and variable flows at deeper levels [Fieux et al., 1992]. A better image of the 3He distribution east of the GEOSECS track will be obtained from the 3He samples also collected during this cruise.

It is difficult to get a global view of the eastern region between this likely intermediate Pacific inflow and the central primordial input owing to the lack of data. Nevertheless, the east-west section of Figure 9 suggests a spreading of the 3He plume toward the east, in connection with a possible deep extension of the anticyclonic circulation of the subtropical gyre [Wyrtki, 1973].

The NADW imprint. Along with the 3He features already discussed above, the map of the deep 3He maxima displayed in Figure 10 shows a broad area of low 3He values (δ3He = 9%) around 40°S. This zonal feature traces the 3He-poor North Atlantic Deep Water, in which enter the Indian basin south of the Cape of Good Hope.

Also noticeable in Figure 10 are some lower δ3He values extending northward along the African coast, again resulting from the influence of the NADW. The deep circulation of bottom waters in the south of the Somali basin was recently investigated by Johnson and Warren [1989]. On a section south of the equator, they observed a deep western boundary current flowing northward along the African continental rise, then turning east near the equator. This eastward extension of waters with a lower 3He content could explain the minimum in the δ3He values observed in the data between the Gulf of Aden maximum in the northwest and the Rodriguez Triple Junction maximum in the central part of the basin. However the poor spatial resolution of the data in this particular region precludes any definitive answer.

**Conclusion**

This global study of the deep 3He in the Indian Ocean is a first attempt to rationalize the 3He distribution in this ocean from the viewpoint of our current knowledge of both the deep water masses and deep circulation. Thanks to the new data set obtained during the INDIGO cruises in the western part of the basin, some large-scale features, already noticeable in the GEOSECS data, can be described in more detail. The most obvious one is the significant north-south gradient: deep waters carried by the ACC are characterized by low
$\delta^3$He values (8-9%) reflecting the imprint of the Atlantic waters depleted in $^3$He, whereas northern waters show $\delta^3$He values above 15% originating from hydrothermal inputs in the Gulf of Aden. South of the Polar front, the signature of the Pacific intermediate waters entering the ACC is documented from two $^3$He meridional sections.

In the central region, the improvement of the data coverage since the time of GEOSECS allows us to point out a significant hydrothermal component in the vicinity of the Rodriguez Triple Point.

North of 20°S, three main $^3$He sources are well established: the Gulf of Aden component, the Rodriguez Triple Junction component, and the Pacific throughflow. The $^3$He gradients are significant all over the basin. This stresses the role of the deep circulation for redistributing this conservative tracer within a basin which is closed in the north.

Except for Warren's (1981, 1982) studies along a section at 18°S and several interesting studies of the deep western boundary currents off the African coast and their equatorial extension [Fieux et al., 1986; Luyten and Swallow, 1976; Luyten et al., 1980; Luyten and Roemmich, 1982; Quadfasel and Schott, 1982; Schott, 1986; Schott et al., 1989], little is known about the abyssal circulation in this oceanic basin. This qualitative study shows the potential of $^3$He as a tracer of this circulation. However, it also exhibits some key regions where more data are necessary to clarify the description of the tracer distribution and hence allow us to go beyond a purely descriptive analysis. One of these regions is the equatorial ocean, with an emphasis on the connection between the Gulf of Aden and the Rodriguez Triple Junction.

More information on the deep zonal flows and on the respective influence of the NADW versus Red Sea waters could be obtained from a denser data set. Also necessary is a filling of the gap between the central and the Indonesian/Australian sectors in order to follow the spreading of the $^3$He sources.

The $^3$He samples taken during the 1989 JADE experiment, aimed at studying the Pacific throughflow, will increase very significantly the coverage of this key area and its links to the equatorial circulation. Although not yet scheduled, the WOCE (World Ocean Circulation Experiment) Hydrographic Program sections planned in the Indian Ocean will also improve dramatically our knowledge of the deep distribution of this tracer, especially the portion of the meridional sections across the equator as well as the zonal transect around 20°S that intersects the Rodriguez Triple Junction.
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