

intended to provide a flavour of the work presented at the meeting, and there were many other excellent presentations and posters, a Saturday night ceilidh, and some bright sunshine. After two extremely successful years, this meeting seems to be destined to become

an annual event. The generous sponsorship of the following organizations made the meeting possible: British Society for Immunology; Elsevier Trends Journals; Greiner Labortechnik; Bio-Rad; Life technologies; Canberra Packard; Jouan; Kodak-IBI; and Sigma.

Jeremy Sternberg is at the Department of Zoology, University of Aberdeen, Tillydrone Avenue, Aberdeen, UK AB9 2TN. Sheila Graham is at the Wellcome Unit of Molecular Parasitology, University of Glasgow, Church Street, Glasgow, UK G11 5JS.

Comment

Antigenic Diversity and the Transmission Dynamics of *Plasmodium falciparum*:

The Clonality/Sexuality Debate Revisited

M. Tibayrenc Michel

O.R.S.T.O.M. Fonds Documentaire

N°: 43618

Cote: B ex 1

A few years ago, the publication of the clonal theory of parasitic protozoa¹ generated a lively debate²⁻⁴, mainly focused on the particular case of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Actually, in that preliminary work¹, this parasite (considered classically as a sexual species) had been selected as a counterexample. Surprisingly, in the few data suitable for population genetics analysis, a notable linkage disequilibrium (nonrandom association of genotypes occurring at different loci) was apparent. This is a classical indication that the populations under study do not undergo random mating. Consequently, the hypothesis of discrete genetic lines in the causative agent of malaria has been cautiously considered¹, with the proposal that linkage could be explained (apart from insufficient sampling) either by the co-existence of uniparental and biparental lineages, or by the presence of sexual cryptic species within the taxon *P. falciparum*. On the other hand, the sense used in population genetics for the term 'clonality' made the hypothesis of uniparental propagation 'acceptable' even in the case of *P. falciparum*. Indeed, in a population-genetics view, a species is clonal when the progeny is genetically identical to the reproducing individual², whatever the mating system involved. Several reproduction mechanisms involve meiosis without actual genetic recombination; these include some cases of parthenogenesis, gynogenesis and self-fertilization in haploid organisms². The last mode of reproduction cited (selfing), presented as an alternative hypothesis to the clonal model in the case of *P. falciparum*⁴, is actually a particular case of clonality.

Presently, for *P. falciparum*, the currently accepted working hypothesis remains the potentially panmictic model³, which states that the only possible obstacles to gene flow among parasitic populations are either geographical or temporal, as in the human species. The epidemiological implications of this model are considerable: according to the model, the parasite genotypes are ephemeral individual variants that last only one generation or a few generations. Within the species *P. falciparum*, it is therefore vain to try to distinguish discrete parasite lines that would be genetically isolated from each other. Especially in sympatry (occurrence in the same geographical place), such discrete lines simply cannot exist.

Now in the work by Gupta *et al.* (Ref. 5, and S. Gupta and K.P. Day, this issue), PIESA (parasite induced erythrocyte surface antigen) seroconversion patterns are explained by the existence, in sympatry and in a highly endemic area of Papua New Guinea, of 'independently transmitted strains'. The fact that the antigenic response is isolate-specific 'indicates that there is limited overlap between the variant repertoires of the isolates'. The antigenic diversity is 'reflected by the multitude of strains constituting malaria'.

It has to be clearly stated that these assumptions do question the panmictic model³. Indeed if *P. falciparum* was panmictic in that area, the co-existence of such 'independently transmitted strains' would be puzzling. Both sympatry and high endemicity in the parasite population under survey should increase the probability of cross-fertilization between

different parasite genotypes, while the 'limited overlap between their variant repertoires' suggests, on the contrary, that these independent strains do not regularly shuffle their genes. Hence, the work by Gupta *et al.* (Ref. 5, and S. Gupta and K.P. Day, this issue) fits well the 'non-panmictic' hypothesis^{1,2}, that is to say: existence, in *P. falciparum* populations, of discrete, stable multi-locus genotypes, whatever their origin (either uniparental propagation, or cryptic speciation, or other as yet unknown reason). Gupta and Day do not favor this hypothesis as a possible explanation of their results, and rather give to the term 'strain' a functional sense, with respect to the host immune response to polymorphic loci. Nevertheless, to make the PIESA model compatible with panmictic assumptions, one would have to accept that this antigen variability is driven by either 'only one gene, or a few, tightly linked genes'. If PIESA genetic background involved 'a large number of unlinked genes', this set of genes should be shuffled apart every generation, which is incompatible with the permanence of independently transmitted strains. The comparison with *Trypanosoma brucei* (S. Gupta and K.P. Day, this issue) is especially telling. Indeed, strong evidence^{1,2,6} suggests that this species exhibits a clonal population structure, which can conveniently explain *T. brucei* serodeme circulation pattern mentioned by Gupta and Day to illustrate their model.

Although its goal was different, the work by Gupta *et al.* (Ref. 5, and S. Gupta and K.P. Day, this issue) leads to relaunch the sexuality/clonality debate in



P. falciparum. To my mind, the question of *P. falciparum* population structure is simply an unsettled one: results obtained in our laboratory (B. Abderrazak, PhD Thesis, University of Montpellier, France, 1993) do confirm, in several populations of this parasite, clear linkage disequilibriums that cannot compare with the trivial disequilibriums caused in sexual species (humans for example) by geographical distance and genetic drift. Nevertheless, it is obvious that *P. falciparum* population structure is different from the population structures observed in other species, such as *Giardia*, *Leishmania*, *Toxoplasma* and *Trypanosoma*^{1,2}; in the agent of malaria, the linkage mainly involves a limited number of genes, and the levels of significance of the statistical tests exploring linkage are lower than in these other

under debate make it worthwhile to undertake all necessary studies to fill this surprising gap in our knowledge of the most fearsome human parasite. Analyzing meiosis products of *P. falciparum* zygotes in the mosquito vector is a valuable approach⁷ that will give useful information on this parasite's actual rate of self-fertilization. It appears that in some cases, this rate is high (R. Paul, M. Packer and K.P. Day, unpublished), which favors clonal propagation. Nevertheless, the actual impact of a high selfing rate, downstream from the mosquito, is unforeseeable, and definitely needs to be explored by a 'conventional' population genetic approach^{1,2} (B. Abderrazak, op. cit.). Indeed what matters for the epidemiologist is *P. falciparum* population structure in the human host, rather than meiosis in the

decide whether PIESA seroconversion patterns are imputable, totally or partly, to any kind of genetic isolation (either uniparental propagation or cryptic speciation)^{1,2}. If this non-panmictic model is verified, its implications will involve not only the PIESA genes, but also the whole genome variability of *P. falciparum*.

References

- 1 Tibayrenc, M. et al. (1990) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 2414-2418
- 2 Tibayrenc, M. and Ayala, F.J. (1991) *Parasitology Today* 7, 228-232
- 3 Walliker, D. (1991) *Parasitology Today* 7, 232-235
- 4 Dye, C. (1991) *Parasitology Today* 7, 236-240
- 5 Gupta, S. et al. (1994) *Science* 263, 961-963
- 6 Mathieu-Daudé, F. and Tibayrenc, M. (1994) *Exp. Parasitol.* 78, 1-19
- 7 Ranford-Cartwright, L. et al. (1993) *Parasitology* 107, 11-18