Soil Biol. Biochem. Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 209-213, 1988 Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved

### N<sub>2</sub> FIXATION BY SESBANIA ROSTRATA AND SESBANIA SESBAN ESTIMATED USING <sup>15</sup>N AND TOTAL N DIFFERENCE METHODS

### I. NDOYE and B. DREYFUS

### Laboratoire de Microbiologie, ORSTOM BP. 1386, Dakar, Sénégal

### (Accepted 24 February 1987)

Summary— $N_2$  fixation by the stem-nodulated legume Sesbania rostrata was compared in waterlogged and non-waterlogged conditions with that of a non-stem-nodulated Sesbania species, Sesbania sesban. To estimate  $N_2$  fixation, two methods were used, the <sup>15</sup>N direct isotope dilution method and the difference method.

Due to stem nodulation S. rostrata fixed much more  $N_2$  in 60 days (0.78–0.68 g  $N_2$  per plant in waterlogged soils or 0.62–0.59 g  $N_2$  in drained soils) than S. sesban (0.06–0.05 g  $N_2$  per plant or 0.13–0.12 g  $N_2$ ). There was a satisfactory agreement between the isotopic and difference method estimates. From these values it was calculated that about 83–109 kg  $N_2$  and 7–18 kg  $N_2$  ha<sup>-1</sup> would be fixed in 60 days by S. rostrata and S. sesban respectively. The results of this experiment confirm the high  $N_2$ -fixing potential of the stem-nodulated legume S. rostrata as compared to that of a non-stem-nodulated species, S. sesban.

### INTRODUCTION

Bacteria of the genus Rhizobium usually form N<sub>2</sub>-fixing nodules on the roots of most leguminous plants. Only a few legume species are also able to form nodules on their stem. Dreyfus and Dommergues (1981) reported profuse stem-nodulation in Sesbania rostrata, an annual sahelian legume which grows in waterlogged soils during the rainy season. Both stem and root nodules of S. rostrata are induced by a specific strain of Rhizobium sp. The stem nodules fix  $N_2$  actively. In a first evaluation of  $N_2$  fixation by field grown S. rostrata, the amount of  $N_2$  fixed was estimated to be about 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during growth for 7 wk (Rinaudo et al., 1982). This trait explains why S. rostrata has been successfully used as green manure in paddy fields (Dreyfus et al., 1985). Up to now,  $N_2$  fixation by S. rostrata has been assessed only by the difference method which compares the total N contents of N<sub>2</sub>-fixation and non-N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plants. Since this method of assessment is liable to criticism, it was found necessary to compare these estimates with estimates obtained through the <sup>15</sup>N direct isotope dilution method. The <sup>15</sup>N method determined in the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plants the dilution of soil-derived <sup>15</sup>N by symbiotically-fixed N. In an attempt to evaluate the advantage of stem-over-root-nodulation, we compared nitrogen fixation by S. rostrata with N2 fixation by a non stem-nodulated Sesbania species, Sesbania sesban. The latter species is valued as a fodder, green manure and shade plant in Asia. A further treatment to be evaluated was the effect of waterlogging on N<sub>2</sub> fixation by either type of legume.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Experimental design

S.B.B. 20/2-F

The experiment was conducted in the greenhouse of the ORSTOM station in Dakar Senegal during the cool season (December 1985 to February 1986). At that time of the year, the day-night temperature is

Fonds Documentaire ORSTOM

20-24°C and the photoperiod is only 12 h. Seeds were treated and surface sterilized with concentrated  $H_2SO_4$ . The times of treatment in  $H_2SO_4$  were as follows: S. rostrata, 45 min; S. sesban, 30 min. The seeds were then washed several times with sterile water and germinated for 24 h on sterile soft agar (0.8% or 8%) in Petri dishes. Seedlings were then transferred to 30 cm dia buckets filled with 20 kg of soil. To avoid nodulation by indigenous rhizobia this soil had been previously sterilized with methylbromide.

The soil used was a typical sandy and neutral soil (psamment; vernacular name: Dior) with 93% sand, 0.3% and 0.025% of C and N, respectively, pH 7.0. After emergence the plants were thinned to one per bucket and each bucket received 1 g of  $K_2$ HPO<sub>4</sub> as PK fertilizer which is the equivalent of 140 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Plants were grown for 2 months in the greenhouse. Since *S. rostrata* is photoperiod-dependent species whose development is hindered during short-day periods, plants had to be kept under additional artificial light for 14 h.

### Inoculation procedure

As we did not isolate any *Rhizobium* strain able to effectively nodulate both stems of *S. rostrata* and roots of *S. sesban*, we selected, out of 36 strains, the best N<sub>2</sub>-fixing strain for each of the host-plants: strain ORS 571 for nodulation of roots and stems of *S. rostrata* and strain ORS 502 for nodulation of *S. sesban*. Strain ORS 571 was grown in YL medium (Dreyfus *et al.*, 1983) and strain ORS 502 in YMA medium (Vincent, 1970).

Root inoculation of both *Sesbania* species was achieved by adding 10 ml of a liquid culture of *Rhizobium* to the soil at sowing and stems of *S. rostrata* were inoculated 3 wk after sowing by spraying the stems with a liquid culture of strain ORS 571. Uninoculated treatments remained uncontaminated throughout the experiment.

Cote: EXULT: Ex: 1

209

### Treatments

Four treatments with four replications each were used in waterlogged or drained conditions.

Treatments 1 and 5: Uninoculated S. rostrata plants.

Treatments 2 and 6; Uninoculated S. sesban plants. Treatments 3 and 7: Root-and stem-inoculated S. rostrata plants.

Treatments 4 and 8: Root-inoculated S. sesban plants.

Plants submitted to treatments 1, 2, 3 and 4 were grown under waterlogged conditions. After 1 wk of growth, soil was kept constantly flooded and a 2 cmdeep surface layer of water was maintained in the buckets.

Plants submitted to treatments 5, 6, 7 and 8 were grown in drained conditions. Soil was hand-watered frequently so that the soil moisture was kept close to the field capacity. <sup>15</sup>N labelled fertilizer was applied to all treatments immediately after the plants have been thinned at the rate of 0.2 g N per bucket, equivalent on an area basis to 28.3 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as a solution of ( $^{15}NH_4$ )<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with 4.973 atom %  $^{15}N$ excess. The effect of induced N deficiency on symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation of *S. rostrata* and *S. sesban* was determined using ( $^{15}NH_4$ )<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> treated soil with 5 g of glucose added per bucket to immobilize inorganic N (Witty, 1984). Glucose and ( $^{15}NH_4$ )<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were mixed to give a solution with a C:N ratio of 10:1.

Plants were harvested 60 days after sowing. At that time *S. rostrata* plants were 1.6 m high and *S. sesban* 1.1 m high. Due to the 14 h photoperiod, no flowering was observed.

### Estimation of $N_2$ fixation

Leaves and stems were sampled separately, dried to a constant weight at 60–70°C. Then, each fraction was completely ground into 100  $\mu$ m powder. Samples of each powdered fraction were analyzed for total N content according to the Kjeldahl method (Bremmer, 1965). <sup>15</sup>N analyses were carried out at the Seibersdorf laboratory (IAEA) using Dumas' method (the combustion performed in this technique converts total N directly to N<sub>2</sub>) and mass spectrometry (Fiedler and Proksch, 1975). For each individual plant N and <sup>15</sup>N values were calculated taking into account the weight, N and <sup>15</sup>N contents of leaves and stems.

### The direct isotope dilution method

The procedure involves the incorporation of <sup>15</sup>N labelled fertilizer directly into soil in the presence of both inoculated ( $N_2$ -fixing) and uninoculated (non- $N_2$ -fixing) plants. This method is based on differential dilution in the plant of <sup>15</sup>N labelled fertilizer by soil and fixed nitrogen. It is possible to assess the portion of a legume's N that is derived from  $N_2$  fixation, distinct from all other sources of N (Fried and Broeshart, 1975; Legg and Sloger, 1975; Fried and Middleboe, 1977; Bremmer, 1977; Wagner and Zapata, 1982; Vose *et al.*, 1982; Rennie and Rennie, 1983; Fried *et al.*, 1983).

The % plant N derived from the atmosphere (% N

dfa), soil (% N dfs) and fertilizer (% N dff) were calculated as follows:

%N dfa = 
$$\left[\frac{1 - \operatorname{atom \%}^{15} N \operatorname{ex.}(fs)}{\operatorname{atom \%}^{15} N \operatorname{ex.}(nfs)}\right] \times 100,$$

where fs and nfs are fixing and non-fixing plants, respectively.

% N dff = 
$$\left[\frac{\text{atom \%}^{15}\text{N ex. (plant)}}{\text{atom \%}^{15}\text{N ex. (fertilizer)}}\right] \times 100$$

N dfs = 100 - (% N dfa + % N dff).

The individual % N dfa values for each N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plant were calculated taking into account each of the atom %  $^{15}$ N ex. (fs) and atom %  $^{15}$ N ex. (nfs) values.

If N was the total N content of each N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plant, the N<sub>2</sub> fixed per plant was calculated as follows:

N<sub>2</sub> fixed (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) = 
$$\frac{\% \text{ N dfa}}{100} \times \text{ N}$$

For the  $N_2$ -fixing and non- $N_2$ -fixing plants we calculated FUE = "Fertilizer Use Efficiency".

It is commonly expressed as percentage:

$$FUE = \frac{\text{1 otal N uptake} + N \text{ dff (fraction)}}{\text{Rate fertilizer N applied}} \times 100.$$

### The difference method

The quantity of  $N_2$ -fixed was measured by the difference between the total N content of the shoots of inoculated ( $N_2$ -fixing) plants (Treatment 3, 4, 7 and 8) and uninoculated (non- $N_2$ -fixing) plants (Treatment 1, 2, 5 and 6) growing with the same application of fertilizer. For each individual  $N_2$ -fixing plant,  $N_2$  fixation was estimated to be the difference between the total N content of the shoots of each of these plants and the average total N content of the shoots of uninoculated plants (Williams *et al.*, 1977; Talbot *et al.*, 1982).

### RESULTS

Influence of the different treatments on the dry weight and N content of Sesbania rostrata and Sesbania sesban

Results are shown in Table 1. Inoculating S. rostrata with Rhizobium strain ORS 571 increased its dry wt and total N content respectively by 28% and 81% in waterlogged soil (Treatment 3) and by 24% and 50% in drained soil (Treatment 7). Inoculating S. sesban with Rhizobium strain ORS 502 increased its dry wt and total N content by 17% and 20% in drained soils (Treatment 8) but had no significant effect in waterlogged soils (Treatment 4).

### Effect of waterlogging on nodulation (Table 1)

In waterlogged soils (Treatment 3), dry wt of root-nodules from S. rostrata was found to be negligible (0.03 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to that of stem-nodules ( $1.4 \pm 0.5$  g plant<sup>-1</sup>). In drained soils (Treatment 7), root-nodules of S. rostrata weighed  $0.3 \pm 0.08$  g plant<sup>-1</sup> and stem-nodules  $1.0 \pm 0.3$  g.

The dry wt of root-nodules from S. sesban was  $0.45 \pm 0.1$  g plant<sup>-1</sup> in drained conditions (Treatment 8), and only  $0.1 \pm 0.5$  g in waterlogged conditions (Treatment 4).

Table 1. Influence of the different treatments on nodule weight, dry weight and N content of 2-month-old Sesbania rostrata and Sesbania sesban grown in waterlogged (W) or drained (D) soil

		Treatments						N content (%)		
Species	Ref.	Inoc. <sup>2</sup>	Water regime	Nodule	Stem	Leaves	Total	Stem	Leaves	N total (g plant $^{-1}$ )
S. rostrata	1	0	W	0	- 16.8 ± 3.9°	$8.0 \pm 1.4^{b}$	24.9 ± 3.6°	0.71 ± 0.07°	3.80 ± 0.4°	0.42 ± 0.12 <sup>d</sup>
5. - 1	3	+ -	W	Root 0.03	20.5 <u>+</u> 6.0 <sup>b</sup>	11.5 ± 3.4ª	$32.0\pm9.5^{\mathrm{b}}$	$1.14 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$4.48\pm0.07^{ ext{b}}$	$0.76\pm0.21^{b}$
	5	0	D	Stem $1.4 \pm 0.3$	21.7 ± 1.6°	11.7 ± 1.2ª	33.4 ± 0.5 <sup>b</sup>	$0.82 \pm 0.31^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$3.54\pm0.61^{\circ}$	$0.58\pm0.03^{\circ}$
-	7	- +	D	Root $0.3 \pm 0.08$	29.6 ± 4.7ª	11.7 ± 1.5ª	$41.3 \pm 6.0^{a}$	$0.98 \pm 0.12^{\rm abc}$	4.94 ± 0.31ª	0.87 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
S. sesban	2 4 6 8	0 + 0 +	W W D D	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.05 \\ 0 \\ 0.45 \pm 0.11 \end{array}$	$5.9 \pm 2.7^{d}$ $5.9 \pm 1.0^{d}$ $13.5 \pm 2.6^{c}$ $16.7 \pm 1.7^{c}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.9 \pm 1.5^{b} \\ 8.3 \pm 1.2^{b} \\ 11.5 \pm 0.6^{a} \\ 12.5 \pm 0.8^{a} \end{array}$	$13.9 \pm 4.0^{4} \\ 14.2 \pm 1.7^{4} \\ 24.9 \pm 3.0^{\circ} \\ 29.2 \pm 2.2^{\circ\circ}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.01 \pm 0.21^{abc} \\ 1.15 \pm 0.32^{a} \\ 0.97 \pm 0.11^{abc} \\ 1.12 \pm 0.22^{ab} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.31 \pm 0.31^{b} \\ 4.61 \pm 0.12^{ab} \\ 3.84 \pm 0.72^{c} \\ 4.29 \pm 0.11^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \pm 0.06^{d} \\ 0.45 \pm 0.04^{d} \\ 0.59 \pm 0.12^{c} \\ 0.71 \pm 0.07^{b} \end{array}$
CV <sup>3</sup>	ð -	. +	_ <b>D</b>	0.45 ± 0.11	10.7 ± 1.7 12.6	12.5 ± 0.8	10.9	14.6	5.8	10.

<sup>1</sup>Mean values  $\pm$  confidence interval (P = 0.05).

<sup>2</sup>Inoculation: 0, uninoculated plants; +, inoculated plants.

<sup>3</sup>Coefficient of variation (%).

For each experiment, numbers in column with same letters (a, b, c or d) do not differ significantly, P = 0.05 (Newman and Keuls, 1957-Snedecor and Cochran, 1957).

# Effect on the different treatments on atom $^{96}$ $^{15}N$ excess and utilization of applied fertilizer (Table 2)

was not affected by inoculation. FUE was always decreased by waterlogging, but it ORS the drained soils. Waterlogging reinforced this effect. On stem and ignificantly Inoculation other 502 slightly decreased hand, leaves of S. decreased of inoculation 5 rostrata atom rostrata the С, % у atom % <sup>15</sup>N excess. S. sesban in waterlogged and <sup>15</sup>N excess of ORS by strain both 571

## Effect of the treatments on $N_2$ fixation (Table 3)

significantly amount of  $N_2$  fixed followed a similar trend higher in S. Nitrogen rostrata than fixation increased % % N dfa in S. Ξ Z S. sesban. dfa) was Waterlogging rostrata. significantly The

### DISCUSSION

## Nodulation and $N_2$ fixation

during sequently sulting rapid microbial build up, the labelled cause with caution and are probably ord ot s. rostrata is probably directly associated drained soils **W**O activity release of minera to the water regime calculate that a field of S. n waterlogged soil or 0. eticiency which 20,000 to Stem-nodulation enabled S. Table 3, each the iuse <sup>1</sup> fixes bably ಕ conditions  $\mathbf{N}_{51}$ field the same stem-nodulation three times (Fried higher than the was incorporated into 95–109 kg N<sub>2</sub> or δ3 w w w we have a structure of the set values must be interpreted be 160,000 pl tertilizer (Table 1). actua normal e growth period in allowed rostrata plant aı., N, probably more nodules would have together with glucose. planting ants ha ş The high N2-fixing potentia fixation rostrata with 140,000 plants 1983 (Dreyfus, 23 -0.62more Witty, 00 rostrata to induced a relative densities fixed 0.68over estimated followed by a slow Therefore occurred the soil by adding N<sub>2</sub> in drained 1982). active than S. waterlogged o 1984). rostrata vary Ħ As shown -0.78 N<sub>2</sub>-fixing produce one with this The renorma sesbar Confrom SOIIS ŝ can was

ha<sup>-1</sup> is only 7–8 kg N<sub>2</sub> or 17–18 kg N<sub>2</sub> depending on fixation by a 0.05 the water regime. n drained soils. -0.06 g N<sub>2</sub> in waterlogged soil or 0.12-0.13 g comparison field of S. sesban with h these conditions the estimated N each 5 sesban 140,000 plants plant fixed

### Effect of waterlogging

ooth species oot nodules eems to slightly ostrata (Table Waterlogging drastically affect root-nodulation of the species but do not affect stem-nodulation of not vary in drained with waterlogging, reduce Total nodule weight soils (Table the stem-nodules mass. but the Treatment ę, presence 5 rostrata of <u>o</u> 1 Ś 0

waterlogging explained, markedly ogging, STOO ostrata does As the behaviour shown প্ both reduced Ξ contrast, WITCH Ħ Ş not suffer Table the fact 2 sound ş sapadrim both  $N_2$  fixation by waterlogging. ω from the that root nodules Sesbania nitrogen oxygen effects of waterspecies fixation The difference ŗ. sesban was lng Can হ Б g ŝ stimated

z



Table 2. Atomic % <sup>15</sup>N excess and fertilizer use efficiency (FUE) of 2-month-old Sesbania rostrata and Sesbania sesban grown in waterlogged (W) or drained (D) soil

		Treatmen	ts	Atom % <sup>15</sup> N excess <sup>1</sup>		_	
		Inoc. <sup>3</sup>	Water regime				
Species	Ref.			Stem	Leaves	FUE <sup>2</sup>	
S. rostrata	1	0	w	0.511ª	0.450ª	$28.8 \pm 14.8$	
	3	+	W	0.239°	0.219 <sup>b</sup>	$24.7 \pm 10.7$	
	5	0	D	0.404 <sup>abc</sup>	0.353 <sup>ab</sup>	$31.1 \pm 3.6$	
	7	+	D	0.249°	0.235 <sup>b</sup>	$30.1 \pm 5.2$	
S. sesban	2	0	W	0.447 <sup>ab</sup>	0.372 <sup>ab</sup>	$23.4 \pm 9.8$	
	4	+	W	0.397 <sup>abc</sup>	0.309 <sup>ab</sup>	$22.6 \pm 6.4$	
	6	Ó	D	0.371 <sup>abc</sup>	0.319 <sup>ab</sup>	$29.1 \pm 4.9$	
	8	+	D	0.318 <sup>bc</sup>	0.250 <sup>b</sup>	$28.7 \pm 1.6$	
CV <sup>₄</sup>				21.6	21.9		

<sup>1</sup>For each experiment, numbers in column with same letter (a, b or c) do not differ significantly, P = 0.05 (Newman and Keuls, 1957—see Snedecor and Cochran, 1957).
<sup>2</sup>Fertilizer use efficiency; mean values ± confidence inteval (P = 0.05).

<sup>3</sup>Inoculation: 0, uninoculated plants; +, inoculated plants.

<sup>4</sup>Coefficient of variation (%).

Table 3. N <sub>2</sub> fixation	by 2-month	old Sesbania	rostrata and	Sesbania	sesban as gr	own in
waterlogged (W)	or drained	(D) soil estimation	ated by isotop	oic or diffe	erence metho	ds <sup>1</sup>

				N <sub>2</sub> fixation			
Treatment	Species	Water regime	Method of assessment	% N dfa	$N_2$ fixed (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )		
3	S. rostrata	w	Isotopic Difference	51 + 10.1 45 ± 13.1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.78 \pm 0.31 \\ 0.68 \pm 0.21 \end{array}$		
7	S. rostrata	D	Isotopic Difference	36 ± 5.7 35 ± 12.4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.62 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.59 \pm 0.31 \end{array}$		
4	S. sesban	w	Isotopic Difference	$13 \pm 8.0$ 11 + 5.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.05 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$		
8	S. sesban	D	Isotopic Difference	18 ± 2.5 18 ± 2.3	$0.13 \pm 0.03$ $0.12 \pm 0.11$		

<sup>1</sup>Mean values  $\pm$  confidence interval (P = 0.05).

soil and (2) by the fact that stem nodules do not suffer from the same limitations.

### Methodology of assessment of $N_2$ fixation

There was a satisfactory agreement between the difference and isotopic method estimates, a fact already mentioned by a number of authors (Talbot *et al.*, 1982; Witty, 1983; Cornet *et al.*, 1985).

### Fertilizer use efficiency (FUE)

In drained soils FUE was higher than that in waterlogged soils, probably because, in the second case, some denitrification occurred. However with both water regimes, FUE remained at a low level (23-31%), a situation comparable to that reported for *Casuarina equisetifolia* and *Acacia holosericea* in the same soil as the one we used (Gautheir *et al.*, 1985; Cornet *et al.*, 1985). Because of the low FUE in most soils of West Africa, more fertilizer is required to get an adequate response to N fertilizer. This contributes to the increase in the cost of using nitrogen fertilizer in West African agriculture.

In conclusion, our results confirm the high  $N_2$ -fixing potential of the stem-nodulated legume *S. rostrata* which, in waterlogged or drained soils, produce higher dry matter and nitrogen yield than *S. sesban*. The difference between the two species is comparable to that observed in the field where *S. rostrata* produced 25 tons and *S. sesban* only 12

tons of biomass (fresh wt)  $ha^{-1}$  for a growth period of 45 days (I. Ndoye, unpublished data). In Asia several non-stem-nodulated species of Sesbania, such as Sesbania aculeata, S. sesban, S. cannabina, are traditionally used as green manure in paddy fields where waterlogging conditions are likely to occur during the growth of these legumes species. Stemnodulation, which is probably an important factor of adaptation of this legume to waterlogging, enables S. rostrata to fix large amounts of  $N_2$  in such conditions. Therefore the use of S. rostrata as green manure in paddy fields appears to be a substitute for chemical N fertilization and should be recommended. Furthermore, attempting to transfer the stem-nodulation ability to other species of Sesbania used as green manure would probably be a fruitful approach to increasing rice yield in the tropics.

Acknowledgements—We thank the soil section of the joint FAO, IAEA Division, IAEA Vienna and its Seibersdorf staff for assistance in performing the <sup>15</sup>N analyses, we also thank Dr Y. R. Dommergues for revising the manuscript and L. Fall and S. Ndiaye for technical assistance.

### REFERENCES

Bremmer J. M. (1965) Total nitrogen. In Methods of Soil Analysis (A. Black et al., Eds), pp. 1149–1178. American Society of Agronomy, Madison,

- Bremmer J. M. (1977) Use of nitrogen-tracer techniques for research on nitrogen fixation. In *Biological Nitrogen Fixation in Farming Systems of the Tropics* (A. Ayanaba and P. J. Dart, Eds), pp. 335–352. Wiley, Chichester.
- Cornet F., Otto C., Rinaudo G., Diem H. G. and Dommergues Y. R. (1985) Nitrogen fixation by Acacia holosericea grown in field-simulating conditions. Oecologia Plantarum 6, 211-218.
- Dryfus B. and Dommergues Y. R. (1981) Nitrogen fixing nodules induced by *Rhizobium* on the stem of the tropical legume Sesbania rostrata. FEMS Microbiology Letters 10, 313–317.
- Dreyfus B. (1982) La symbiose entre *Rhizobium* et *Sesbania rostrata*, legumineuse à nodules caulinaires. Thèse de Doctorat d'Etat, Université Paris VII.
- Dreyfus B., Elmerich G. and Dommergues Y. R. (1983) Free living *Rhizobium* strain able to grow on  $N_2$  as the sole nitrogen source. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **45**, 711–713.
- Dreyfus B., Rinaudo G. and Dommergues Y. R. (1985) Observation on the use of *Sesbania rostrata* as green manure in paddy fields. *Mircen Journal* 1, 111–121.

「おとうと

- Fiedler R. and Proksch P. (1975) The determination of nitrogen-15 by emission and mass spectrometry in biochemical analysis: a review Analytica Chimica Acta 78, 1-62.
- Fried M. and Broeshart H. (1975) An independent measurement of the amount of nitrogen fixed by a legume crop. *Plant and Soil.* **43**, 707-711.
- Fried M. and Middelboe V. (1977) Measurement of amount of nitrogen fixed by a legume crop. *Plant and Soil* 47, 713-715.
- Fried M., Danso S. K. A. and Zapata F. (1983) The methodology of measurement of  $N_2$  fixation by non legumes as inferred from field experiments with legumes. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **29**, 1053–1062.
- Gauthier D., Diem H. G., Dommergues Y. R. and Ganry F. (1985) Assessment of N<sub>2</sub> fixation by *Casuarina equisetifolia* inoculated with *Frankia* ORS 021001 using <sup>15</sup>N methods. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 17, 375–379.

- Legg J. O. and Sloger C. (1975) A tracer method for determining symbiotic nitrogen fixation in field studies. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Stable Isotopes* (E. R. Klein and P. D. Klein, Eds), pp. 661–666. Oak Brook, Illinois.
- Rennie R. J. and Rennie D. A. (1983) Techniques for quantifying  $N_2$  fixation in association with non legumes under field and greenhouse conditions. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **29**, 1022–1035.
- Rinaudo G., Dreyfus B. and Dommergues Y. R. (1982) Influence of Sesbania rostrata green manure on the nitrogen content or rice crop and soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 15, 111-113.
- Snedecor G. W. and Cochran W. G. (1957) Méthodes Statistiques: Association de Coordination Technique Agricole.
- Talbot H. J., Kenworthy W. J. and Legg J. O. (1982) Field comparison of nitrogen-15 and difference methods of measuring nitrogen fixation. Agronomy Journal 74, 799-804.
- Vincent J. M. (1970) A Manual for the Practical Study of Root-Nodule Bacteria. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Vose P. B., Ruschel A. P., Victoria R. L., Saito S. M. T. and Matsui E. (1982) <sup>15</sup>N as a tool in biological nitrogen fixation research. In *Biological Nitrogen Fixation Tech*nological for Tropical Agriculture (P. H. Graham and S. C. Harris, Eds), pp. 575–592. Centro International de Agriculture Tropical, Calif.
- Wagner G. H. and Zapata F. (1982) Field evaluation of reference crops in the study of nitrogen fixation by legumes using isotope techniques. *Agronomy Journal* 74, 607-612.
- Williams W. A., Jones M. B. and Delwiche C. C. (1977) N<sub>2</sub> fixation measurement by total N differences and <sup>15</sup>N A-values in lysimeters. Agronomy Journal **69**, 1023–1024.
- Witty J. F. (1983) Estimating N<sub>2</sub> fixation in the field using <sup>15</sup>N labelled fertilizer: some problems and solutions. Soil Biology & Biochemsity 15, 631-639.
- Witty J. F. (1984) The use of slow release <sup>15</sup>N fertilizer formulations in measuring  $N_2$  fixation by isotope dilution. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 16, 657–661.

1 states and

-----

5