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Abstract. An 18-month meteorological data set recorded at 5150 m above sea level (asl) on Zongo Glacier, in the tropical Andes of Bolivia, is used to derive the annual cycle of the local energy balance and to compare it to the local mass balance. The roughness parameters needed to calculate the turbulent fluxes over the surface are deduced from direct sublimation measurements performed regularly on the field site and serve as calibration parameters. For the hydrological year September 1996 to August 1997, net all-wave radiation (16.5 W m⁻²) is the main source of energy at the glacier surface and shows strong fluctuations in relation to the highly variable albedo. An important peculiarity of tropical glaciers is the negative latent heat flux (-17.7 W m⁻²) indicating strong sublimation, particularly during the dry season. The latent heat flux is reduced during the wet season because of a lower vertical gradient of humidity. The sensible heat flux (6.0 W m⁻²) is continuously positive throughout the year, and the conductive heat flux in the snow/ice (2.8 W m⁻²) also bring energy to the surface. There is a good agreement between the monthly ablation calculated by the energy balance and the ablation evaluated from stake measurements. The seasonality of the proglacial stream runoff is controlled by the specific humidity, responsible for the sharing of the energy between sublimation and melting.

1. Introduction

A glaciological program has been undertaken on Zongo Glacier, Cordillera Real, Bolivia (16°S, 68°W) since 1991. This program involving mass balance measurements [Franco et al., 1995], hydrological studies [Ribstein et al., 1995], and energy balance investigations [Wagnon et al., 1998] aims at improving our knowledge about the functioning of tropical glaciers. This paper describes the annual cycle of the energy fluxes over Zongo Glacier surface at the altitude of the mean equilibrium line (5150 m above sea level (asl)). These fluxes are derived from meteorological measurements collected by an automatic weather station. Knowledge of the specific characteristics of this glacier (for example, surface state, wind regimes, surface temperatures) was obtained during various few-day field surveys chosen in the dry or the wet season. These field trips, where direct measurements of sublimation were performed, were crucial for obtaining the roughness parameters.

Very few energy balance studies have been conducted in low latitudes. Some work on radiation budget has been undertaken on Lewis Glacier, Mount: Kenya [Hastenrath and Patnaik, 1980; Hastenrath and Kruss, 1992] and of Yanamarey Glacier, Cordillera Blanca, Peru [Hastenrath and Ames, 1995] have been proposed in terms of energy balance changes. Unfortunately, these studies lacked well-documented long-term energy balance measurements. Such works have already been conducted over Antarctic blue ice [Bintanja et al., 1997] or over a winter snow cover in the Sierra Nevada (United States) [Marks and Dozier, 1992], but they have never yet been done on a tropical glacier. The results will be discussed in order to underline the specific characteristics of Bolivian glaciers.

The energy balance plays a key role in the understanding of snowmelt seasonality and thus the variations of the proglacial stream runoff with seasons. The accumulation season between October and March coincides with the period of higher ablation and melt rates at the glacier surface, which leads to high discharges of the stream escaping from the glacier snout. However during the dry season (May-August) the absence of precipitation is compensated by a reduced or permanent ablation with low discharges of the proglacial stream.

2. Location and Measurement Program

The glacier is located in the Huayna Potosi Massif (Cordillera Real, Bolivia), at 16°15' S, 68°10' W, on the western margin of the Amazon Basin, approximately 30 km north of La Paz. This valley-type glacier is 3 km long and has a surface area of 2.1 km². A map of this glacier and a precise location are given in Figure 1. The upper reaches are exposed to the south, whereas the lower section surrounded by two steep lateral moraines faces east. The maximum and minimum elevations are 6000 and 4900 m asl, respectively. The altitude of the equilibrium line under steady state conditions (annual mass balance approaching zero) is 5150 m asl The Zongo Glacier is part of a 3 km² basin (77% glacierized) above the main hydrometric station located at 4830 m asl (Figure 1).

Although the first equipment was installed in July 1991 (glaciological stakes, hydrometric station, rain gauges,
thermograph), the definitive automatic energy budget station has been running since March 1996. This automatic weather station is located close to the axis of the glacier, at 5150 m asl on a relatively flat area (Figure 1) and is checked every 10 days. The station faces southeast and is in sunlight as soon as the Sun rises, but it is in shade after the middle of the afternoon between 1530 and 1600 LT, depending on the season.

The measurements are made within the boundary surface layer using sensors manufactured by Campbell (United Kingdom). Ventilated dry and wet bulb temperatures, wind speed, and direction are recorded as half-hourly means over 15-s time steps at two levels above the glacier surface (usually 0.3 and 1.8 m above the surface, depending on the ablation or accumulation; the zero-reference level is the mean height of the surface obstacles). Moreover, incident and reflected short-wave radiations (usually 1 m above the surface), net all-wave radiation (usually 1 m above the surface), and temperatures at various depths inside the snow/slice are recorded as half-hourly means over 15-s time steps. The heights (or depths) of the sensors may vary with ablation or accumulation of snow, but thanks to an ultrasound sensor (Campbell UDG01 ultrasonic depth gauge, accuracy: ±1 cm), these heights are known with precision every day. Dry and wet bulb temperatures are obtained from psychrometers equipped with Cu-Cst thermocouples and ventilated permanently by a motor whose energy supply comes from a truck battery (12V/100Ah), loaded by a 43 W solar panel. Air is aspirated at the top of the psychrometer at a constant speed of 4 m s⁻¹. To prevent measurement errors due to radiation, these psychrometers are shielded with two white interlocked cylinders of 8 and 12 cm diameters, topped by a white 30-cm diameter disk. Campbell Met One and Young anemometers provide wind speed and direction. Li-Cor and SP1100 pyranometers (0.35 < λ < 1.1 μm) provide short-wave radiation, and a Q-6 net radiometer (0.25 < λ < 60 μm) provides net all-wave radiation. Snow/slice temperatures are given by Cu-Cst thermocouples soldered on 5 cm x 5 cm white metallic squares in order to avoid conductive warming of the sensor through the wire, from the surface. Five thermocouples were originally put in snow at 20, 30, 50, 70, and 100 cm depth inside horizontal white 40 cm high cylinders of 30 cm diameter, used as screens against solar radiation. Two other thermocouples were installed in ice originally at 1.5 and 2.7 m depth, without being shielded from solar radiation. In March 1996, most of these sensors were new and adequately calibrated prior to the installation of the energy budget station on the glacier. In addition to accuracy tests by the manufacturer, intercomparisons of the sensors of the station and with other available sensors (Q-7 Campbell net radiometer or handle psychrometer for example) have been carried out before their use on the glacier and while they were running at the weather station. The accuracy of the sensors is estimated according to these intercomparisons and the manufacturer’s specifications. Table 1 gives a list of the sensors of this Campbell station, with their specifications. Excluding gaps due to data logger breakdowns, the entire data set comprises 521 days from March 29, 1996 to October 15, 1997.

To improve our knowledge and understanding of the local climatic conditions to increase the accuracy of energy flux calculations, several few-day field trips were conducted on the field site. These field trips corresponded to the dry season (August...
making sure that the natural surrounding surfaces were reconstructed as well as possible. When melting occurs, water percolates through the snowpack and is collected in the outer waterproof box (20 cm deep). This fusion box is buried 20 cm into the snow, and the total mass of collected water is weighed every morning with accuracy, giving the daily melting of the day before. Since March 1997, during these field trips, more detailed vertical profiles of ventilated air temperature (Cu-Cst thermocouples) were also available, from another Campbell data logger recording mean data every 5 min over 5-s time steps. Seven sensors were localised at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 100 cm above the glacier surface, and they were shielded from radiation. The reference temperature for the thermocouples was obtained from a liquid water-ice bath at 0°C. Accuracy is ±0.3°C. While we were on the field site, the air pressure did not vary much, and we took the constant value of 540 hPa for the entire measuring period.

3. Climatic Conditions

The Zongo Glacier belongs to the outer tropics, as defined by Kaser [1996]. The climate is determined by homogeneous air masses and by seasonal oscillation of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ). Between May and August the ITCZ is north of Bolivia and tropical anticyclones produce a pronounced dry season, whereas from October to March, the ITCZ proceeds to its most southerly position. This is the wet season coinciding with the eastern intertropical flux that brings water vapor from the Atlantic [Roche et al., 1990]. Figure 2 shows the daily precipitation for four hydrological years (from September 1 to August 31) at 4770 m asl in the Zongo valley approximately 1 km from the glacier tongue. Around 85% of the total annual precipitation falls during the wet season (October-March).

Figure 3 shows the temporal variation in some meteorological quantities during the entire measuring period. Table 2 summarizes the annual mean values and extremes (based on daily averaged values) of the meteorological parameters for the entire hydrological year 1996-1997 (September 1 to August 31) and for both seasons. Another peculiarity of the tropical climate is the very low thermal seasonality. Indeed, the diurnal and annual range of temperature are approximately equal with an annual temperature amplitude which does not exceed 9°C (based on daily averaged values). In such conditions the terms of winter and summer are inappropriate to describe this outer tropic climate, and as A. von Humboldt said, "the night is the winter of the tropics." The largest variations in air temperature occur during the dry season, when there are fluctuations of 7°C within a few days. The temperatures of the wet season are slightly higher than those of the dry season with respective means of -0.2°C and -3.8°C (Table 2). Disregarding short-term fluctuations, relative humidity is fairly constant throughout the year, with slightly lower values during the dry season. Specific humidity shows a more pronounced seasonality with higher values during the wet season than during the dry one (mean values are 5.8 and 4.4 g kg⁻¹, respectively). The wind speed is low on the site but is highly variable within a few days. On Zongo Glacier, we observe preferentially two typical wind regimes: at night the radiational cooling favors katabatic winds with a direction of 300° and by day, advected air masses invade the glacier from downward in the eastern direction. Night katabatic winds are slightly stronger during the dry season, with peaked values reaching 7 m s⁻¹, than during the wet season (respective means are 2.9 and 1.9 m s⁻¹). This is due to the fact that nocturnal cloudiness of the dry season is always zero, and hence
Figure 2. Daily precipitation recorded at 4770 m asl, 130 m below the glacier tongue (histograms), and daily discharge of the proglacial stream recorded at the limnimetric station at 4830 m asl (line) (September 1993 to August 1997).

Radiational cooling is higher, which leads to stronger katabatic winds. Figure 4 shows daily means of net all-wave radiation, incident short-wave radiation, and every day instantaneous minima of albedo. Albedo is highly variable with seasons with high values during the wet season due to fresh snow accumulating on the glacier almost every day. On the other hand, as soon as the dry season starts, albedo decreases slowly while the snow surface gets dirtier, while snow turns into firm and ice, and while penitents develop at the surface (old-snow spikes regularly distributed at the glacier surface). This slow decrease of the albedo is occasionally perturbed by peaked values corresponding to sporadic snow storms. The deposited fresh snow usually melts within a few days, and the albedo returns to its value prior to the storm. Neither net radiation nor solar radiation present any pronounced seasonality. Indeed, if short-term fluctuations are disregarded, solar radiation is fairly constant throughout the year. Net radiation is more variable, but no constant trend from year to year can be drawn. Usually, the glacier surface receives the same amount of net all-wave radiation during the dry or the wet season (Table 2): the more positive short-wave radiation budget of the dry season due to lower albedo is compensated by a more negative long-wave radiation budget due to very reduced cloudiness. The months of August and September 1996 present very high values of net all-wave radiation. This unusual pattern is explained by an extremely icy and dirty surface of the field site (minimum albedo reaching 0.2) more typical of the glacier tongue than of the mean equilibrium line area.

Another peculiarity of Bolivian glaciers is the presence of penitents during the dry season. The required weather conditions, sunny, dry, and moderately cold weather [Lliboutry, 1954, 1964; Kotlyakov and Lebedeva, 1974], are gathered on Zongo Glacier during the dry season, and penitents usually appear at the surface in the course of June. They may reach 40 or 50 cm height by the end of July at the field site (Figure 5). In August the meltwater streaming at the surface makes them collapse, or they are partly buried by snow during storms.

A limnimetric station located at 4830 m asl records instantaneous discharge of the proglacial stream escaping from the glacier snout. Figure 2 shows the hydrograph of the stream based on daily averaged values, for four hydrological years. In the outer tropics, ablation occurs throughout the year which is another important peculiarity of Bolivian glaciers. Nevertheless, disregarding short-term fluctuations, the runoff of Zongo Glacier shows an appreciable seasonal variability, with low discharges in the dry season and high values in the humid season. Table 3 summarizes the discharge mean values for the entire year, and for both seasons: the runoff of the wet season is usually 3 times higher than during the dry season. Therefore the accumulation season is concomitant with the period when ablation is the strongest. The mean annual discharge of the hydrological year 1996-1997 which is of interest in this study is 122 L s⁻¹, the lowest of the four presented cycles.

Subject to climatic conditions drastically different from midlatitude or polar glaciers, Bolivian glaciers have a peculiar behavior with a permanent and highly seasonal ablation. Nevertheless, most of the meteorological quantities like net all-wave radiation or air temperature are fairly constant throughout the year and cannot explain this runoff seasonality. Therefore a precise energy balance investigation is necessary to get a good insight into the specific metabolism of these glaciers.

4. Energy Balance Study

An energy balance study for the period March 1996 to October 1997 is presented. If we ignore horizontal energy transfers and define the volume from the surface to a depth where there is no significant heat flux, the energy balance of a snowpack may be written as [Oke, 1987]
Figure 3. Variation in the daily mean values of wind speed, air temperature, relative humidity, and specific humidity over the entire measuring period from March 29, 1996 to October 15, 1997, measured at 30 cm above the glacier surface, at 5150 m asl. The thick line is the 15-day running mean.

\[ R + H + LE + G + P = \Delta Q_M + \Delta Q_s \]  (1)

where \( R \) is net all-wave radiation, \( H \) is the turbulent sensible heat flux, \( LE \) is the turbulent latent heat flux, \( G \) is the conductive energy flux in the snow/ice, \( P \) is the heat supplied by precipitation, \( \Delta Q_M \) is the latent heat storage change due to melting or freezing, and \( \Delta Q_s \) is the convergence or divergence of sensible heat fluxes within the snowpack volume. Energy fluxes directed toward the surface are defined as positive and those from the surface negative. Since precipitation is always snow in the vicinity of the equilibrium line and since snowfall intensities are usually weak, \( P \) remains insignificant and negligible as compared to the other terms of this equation (1).

4.1. Net All-Wave Radiation

The net radiation is the balance of the incident and reflected short-wave radiation and the incident and emitted long-wave radiation.
\[ R = S_1 \cdot (1-\alpha) + L_\perp - L_\uparrow \]  
(2)

where \( S_1 \) is the incident short-wave radiation, \( \alpha \) is the short-wave albedo of the snow/surface, \( L_\perp \) is the incident long-wave radiation, and \( L_\uparrow \) is the emitted long-wave radiation. The net all-wave radiation is measured directly on the field site by a Q-6 Campbell net radiometer (0.25 < \( \lambda < 60 \) \( \mu \)m). The accuracy of this sensor depends on its horizontalization, which was controlled continuously while we were on the field site and every 10 days the rest of the time.

4.2. Turbulent Fluxes

4.2.1. Monin-Obukhov similarity theory. The transport of heat and moisture in the surface boundary layer of the atmosphere is dominated by turbulent motions. The turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes can be calculated with the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory. According to this theory the mean vertical gradients of wind speed \( v = (u, v) \), potential temperature \( \theta \), and specific humidity \( q \) are related to the corresponding fluxes as

\[ \frac{k_z}{u^*} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \Phi_u \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \]  
(3)

\[ \frac{k_z}{\theta^*} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \Phi_\theta \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \]  
(4)

\[ \frac{k_z}{q^*} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} = \Phi_q \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \]  
(5)

The characteristic scales of velocity \( u^* \) (also called the friction velocity) of potential temperature \( \theta^* \) and of specific humidity \( q^* \) are defined by

\[ u^* = (\tau / \rho)^{1/2} \]  
(6)

\[ \theta^* = H(\rho C_p u^*) \]  
(7)

\[ q^* = LE(p L_\rho u^*) \]  
(8)

where \( \tau \) is the surface stress, \( \rho = 0.69 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) is the air density at 5150 m asl (540 hPa), \( C_p \) is the specific heat capacity of air at constant pressure, \( C_\rho \) is the specific heat capacity of dry air at constant pressure, \( L_\rho \) is the latent heat of sublimation of snow/ice, \( z \) is the height above the surface, and \( k \) is the von Karman constant (\( k=0.4 \)). The nondimensional stability functions for momentum (\( \Phi_u \)), for heat (\( \Phi_\theta \)), and moisture (\( \Phi_q \)) have to be determined empirically and are assumed to depend only on the stability parameter \( z/L \), where \( L \) is the Monin-Obukhov length

\[ L = \frac{u^*}{k \alpha} \frac{\langle u^* \rangle}{\langle u^* \rangle + 0.61q^* T} \]  
(9)

g is the acceleration of gravity, and \( T \) is a reference temperature assumed to be the air temperature near the surface. When the \( \Phi \) functions have been specified from the literature (Brutsaert, 1982; Morris, 1989), equations (3)-(5) can be integrated to give equations which relate fluxes to differences in wind speed, temperature, and specific humidity between two levels \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) (30 and 180 cm, depending on the snow height).

Unstable conditions \((z/L > 0)\)

\[ \Psi_m = 2 \ln \left[ 1 + x \right] - \ln \left[ \frac{1 + x^2}{2} \right] - 2 \arctan(x) + \frac{\pi}{2} \]  
(13)

Stable conditions \((0 < z/L < 1)\)

\[ \Psi_m = \Psi_h = \Psi_v = -5 \cdot z/L \]  
(14)

Very stable conditions \((z/L > 1)\)

\[ \Psi_m = \Psi_h = \Psi_v = -5 \ln (z/L) + 1 \]  
(15)

The calculations were performed iteratively: the first estimates of \( u^* \), \( \theta^* \), and \( q^* \) were obtained from equations (10)-(12), assuming first that \( z/L = 0 \) (logarithmic profiles) and the results were used to calculate \( L \) from equation (9). According to the sign of \( L \), this value of \( L \) could be substituted back into equations (10)-(12) to
improve the estimates of $u^*$, $\theta^*$, and $q^*$. The scheme usually converged within four iterations giving values of $H$ and $LE$ from equations (7)-(8).

4.2.2. Surface warm layer. In the Monin-Obukhov theory the fluxes of momentum, sensible, and latent heats are supposed to be constant with height. Therefore, turbulent fluxes calculated between $z_1$ and $z_2$ are equal to surface turbulent fluxes. This assumption gives a great power to the Monin-Obukhov theory because surface conditions (roughness, temperature) are not necessary to be investigated to get surface fluxes. The portion of the atmosphere to which this condition applies is referred to as the "constant flux layer" [Male and Granger, 1981]. Nevertheless, over a melting snow surface, De la Casinière [1974], Halberstadt and Schieldge [1981], and more recently, Meesters et al. [1997] have reported temperature profile anomalies within the first meter of atmosphere probably due to the radiative heating of the air above the snow surface, leading to fluxes variable with height. On Zongo Glacier, a similar situation is observed: during the day a highly stable sublayer forms near the surface, with a persistent warm layer around 20-30 cm, whereas at night, profiles agree
more with classical loglinear forms found in stable air. Figure 6 shows the vertical gradient of air temperature between 30 and 180 cm above the glacier surface at the weather station, for whatever period of 10 days (September 1-10, 1997, in Figure 6). During the day this gradient is negative, although the temperature at 30 cm is positive which suggests that there is a warm layer around 30 cm. By night this gradient is positive, and representative of a highly stable surface boundary layer. Although many authors are still doubtful concerning this warm layer and prefer to invoke measurement deviation due to radiational errors, the strong and systematic perturbation of the observed temperature profiles over Zongo Glacier cannot be related to sensor inaccuracy and then acts as a proof for the actual existence of this layer.

On Zongo Glacier, at night, while the constant flux layer was well developed over the surface, turbulent fluxes were estimated by using the Monin-Obukhov method described above, but during the day, as soon as the warm layer appeared, the fluxes were estimated using the Monin-Obukhov method between the surface and the first measurement level $z_I$ (depending on the snow height). During the day, snow was melting at the field site, and thus the surface temperature was assumed to be 0°C, and the vapor pressure was supposed to be the saturation vapor pressure (6.1 hPa). Applying the Monin-Obukhov method between the surface and $z_I$ consists actually in using the bulk aerodynamic approach with stability correction. Since surface temperature measurements were lacking at night, it was not possible to apply the bulk aerodynamic method as soon as the surface temperature was below the melting point. Over small glaciers a katabatic layer often exists close to the surface and the wind profile exhibits a low-level wind maximum [e.g., Martin, 1975]. On Zongo Glacier, since $u_1$ is always lower than $u_2$, this phenomenon does not seem to occur, and the Monin-Obukhov theory can be applied.

4.2.3. Roughness lengths. Since during the day the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory must be applied between the surface and $z_I$, surface roughness parameters for momentum $z_{om}$, for temperature $z_{ot}$, and for humidity $z_{oh}$ must be evaluated. By definition, $z_{om}$ is the height where the horizontal component of the wind speed is zero, $u(z_{om}) = 0$. The roughness lengths depend mainly on surface geometry but also on wind speed for $z_{om}$ [Plüss, 1997] or on the absorption of short-wave radiation for $z_{ot}$ [Meesters et al., 1997]. In neutral conditions, $z_{om}$ is derived from the following equation:

$$z_{om} = \frac{\sqrt{(2g/\kappa)\ln(z_{om}/z_0)}}{u(z_{om})}$$

Table 3. Annual Mean Discharges in L s$^{-1}$ of 4 Hydrological Years With Their Respective Means of Wet and Dry Seasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Sept. 93 - Aug. 94</th>
<th>Sept. 94 - Aug. 95</th>
<th>Sept. 95 - Aug. 96</th>
<th>Sept. 96 - Aug. 97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge</td>
<td>130,234,78</td>
<td>160,246,88</td>
<td>169,247,67</td>
<td>122,173,62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EY stands for entire year, WS for wet season (November-March), DS for dry season (May-August).
Therefore $z_{0m}$ can be evaluated from selected wind profiles corresponding to near neutral conditions ($\alpha/L < 0.01$). However, the scatter is too large to calculate an average. The same problem is observed with $z_{0T}$ and $z_{0T}$. This problem is of great importance because the energy balance is extremely sensitive to the choice of surface roughness [Hock and Holmgren, 1996]. For this reason, although many authors suggested that $z_{0T}$ and $z_{0T}$ are 1 or 2 orders of magnitude lower than $z_{0m}$ [e.g., Ambach, 1986; Andreas, 1986; Morris, 1989; Hock and Holmgren, 1996; Meesters et al., 1997], the three roughness lengths were set equal to each other: $z_{0m} = z_{0T} = z_{0T} = z_{0}$. This assumption is probably correct for smooth surfaces, but over rough surfaces, this may induce an overestimation of the turbulent fluxes [Bintunja and Van den Broeke, 1995]. The value of $z_{0}$ is estimated iteratively in order to have the best agreement between calculated latent heat flux and daily sublimation as measured by lysimeters on the field site. This indirect method to obtain $z_{0}$ based on direct field measurements is not suitable to distinguish $z_{0m}$ from $z_{0T}$ and $z_{0T}$ because as many triplets of values as we want might fit the direct measurements. Therefore keeping a unique value is more logical even if it may not be the truth. The value of $z_{0}$ obtained by this method is therefore a kind of bulk parameter but has the dimension of a roughness length since it is a compilation of the three roughness parameters. For this reason, $z_{0}$ is probably not so different from $z_{0m}$ at least for smooth surfaces. From season to season, the "bulk roughness parameter" $z_{0}$ at the weather station changes a lot, going from a minimum value of $2 \times 10^{-4}$ m on smooth surfaces and recent snow during the wet season to a maximum value of $3 \times 10^{-4}$ m corresponding to rough surfaces of 40 cm high penitents typical of the middle of the dry season. Table 4 gives the values of $z_{0}$ along the entire measuring period. In total, 24 representative days of the dry and the wet seasons had direct sublimation measurements good enough to adjust the values of $z_{0}$. The rest of the time, the values of $z_{0}$ were attributed by comparing photographs taken every 10 days while checking the weather station to photographs of the glacier surface the days when lysimeter measurements had been performed.

### 4.2.4. Accuracy.

The accuracy of this method in calculating the turbulent fluxes is very difficult to estimate. Indeed, we have seen in this section that this method is based on the assumption that turbulent fluxes are constant with height within the boundary layer, which is not realistic. The values of $z_{0}$ obtained by this method are therefore a kind of bulk parameter, but they have the dimension of a roughness length since they are a compilation of the three roughness parameters. For this reason, $z_{0}$ is probably not so different from $z_{0m}$ at least for smooth surfaces. From season to season, the "bulk roughness parameter" $z_{0}$ at the weather station changes a lot, going from a minimum value of $2 \times 10^{-4}$ m on smooth surfaces and recent snow during the wet season to a maximum value of $3 \times 10^{-4}$ m corresponding to rough surfaces of 40 cm high penitents typical of the middle of the dry season. Table 4 gives the values of $z_{0}$ along the entire measuring period. In total, 24 representative days of the dry and the wet seasons had direct sublimation measurements good enough to adjust the values of $z_{0}$. The rest of the time, the values of $z_{0}$ were attributed by comparing photographs taken every 10 days while checking the weather station to photographs of the glacier surface the days when lysimeter measurements had been performed.

#### Table 4. Values of $z_{0}$ for Entire Measuring Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>$z_{0}$, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 29 to April 30, 1996</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1-31, 1996</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1 to July 31, 1996</td>
<td>$z_{0T}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 1-31, 1996</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 1-30, 1996</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1-31, 1996</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1, 1996 to April 30, 1997</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1-31, 1997</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1-15, 1997</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 16-30, 1997</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1 to Aug. 6, 1997</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 7-21, 1997</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 22 to Sept. 15, 1997</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 16 to Oct. 15, 1997</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
surface layer. However, the warm layer leads to a strong thinning of the constant flux layer by day which might be reduced to 20 or 30 cm thick; although at night, the boundary surface layer shows a more typical thickness of several meters. In this context the chance that the lower sensor is exactly located at the temperature maximum is very weak, which means that gradients used to calculate turbulent fluxes may slightly differ from natural gradients. This difference cannot be evaluated precisely. Nevertheless, since calculated latent heat flux values are calibrated on direct sublimation measurements thanks to the "bulk roughness parameter" $z_0$, qualitative and quantitative results must be trustworthy, and a reasonable accuracy is believed.

4.3. Conductive Energy Flux in Snow/Ice

The conductive heat transfer within the snowpack or the ice tends to be small when compared to radiative or turbulent fluxes [Marks and Dozier, 1992]. Therefore it can be greatly simplified. The heat flux into the snowpack is estimated from temperature-depth profiles of 7 Cu-Cu thermocouples down to a depth of 2.7 m, depending on the snow height. This heat flux is given by [Oke, 1987]

$$ G = -K \frac{dT}{dz} \quad (17) $$

where $K$ is the thermal conductivity of snow/ice (in W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), $T$ is the snow/ice temperature, and $z$ is the depth. Below 50 cm, temperature sensors did not show any daily variation, and the local gradient of temperature is replaced by the finite difference on the 50 cm thick surface layer. However, the warm layer leads to a strong thinning of the constant flux layer by day which might be reduced to 20 or 30 cm thick; although at night, the boundary surface layer shows a more typical thickness of several meters. In this context the chance that the lower sensor is exactly located at the temperature maximum is very weak, which means that gradients used to calculate turbulent fluxes may slightly differ from natural gradients. This difference cannot be evaluated precisely. Nevertheless, since calculated latent heat flux values are calibrated on direct sublimation measurements thanks to the "bulk roughness parameter" $z_0$, qualitative and quantitative results must be trustworthy, and a reasonable accuracy is believed.

4.4. Energy Balance of Snowpack

Changes in the internal energy of the snowpack ($\Delta Q_m + \Delta Q_s$) are calculated as a residual using equation (1). If the left-hand side of the equation (1) is positive, energy is available for the snow/ice: it will be used first to increase the snow/ice temperature until its upper limit 0°C ($\Delta Q_s > 0$ and $\Delta Q_m = 0$), and then when the surface temperature is 0°C, melting occurs ($\Delta Q_s > 0$). Otherwise, if it is negative, the reverse situation is observed: the meltwater of the snowpack refreezes ($\Delta Q_m < 0$), and afterward, snow/ice temperature decreases ($\Delta Q_m < 0$). $\Delta Q_s$ is the rate of gain/loss of heat of a vertical column extending from the surface to the depth $z$ at which seasonal variations in temperature are negligible (about 0.5 m on Zongo Glacier) [Lliboutry, 1964; Paterson, 1994].

$$ \Delta Q_s = \int_0^z r_i c_i (\partial T_i / \partial t) dz \quad (18) $$

Here $r_i$ is the snow/ice density, $c_i$ is the snow/ice specific heat capacity (= 2090 J K$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$), $T_i$ is the snow/ice temperature, and $i$ is time. Conduction is not the only means of heat transfer. Refreezing of surface meltwater that percolates through the snowpack, short-wave radiation penetration or air and vapor circulation can also transfer heat within the snowpack (Paterson, 1994). $\Delta Q_s$ can be derived from Cu-Cu thermocouples which give the snow/ice temperature as half-hourly means at various depths. Nevertheless, we notice that vertical profiles of temperature within the snowpack show most of the time a daily cycle pattern whatever the season: during typical days, around noon, the snowpack returns to an isothermal situation with temperatures close to the melting point. Therefore considering daily means, $\Delta Q_s$ usually remains zero because the gain of heat during the day is compensated by the loss of heat at night. This situation is typical for every snowcover or every glacier under melting conditions, which are encountered throughout the year on Zongo Glacier. Therefore looking at daily means, the change of the internal energy of the snowpack is reduced to the latent heat storage change due to melting or freezing. $\Delta Q_m$ is converted to mass units using the latent heat of fusion $L_f$ ($L_f = 3.34 \times 10^6$ J kg$^{-1}$)

$$ \Delta Q_m = L_f M \quad (19) $$

with $M$ the meltrate in mass per unit area per unit time (kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ or mm s$^{-1}$). The equation (1) lets calculate daily values of $M$ (in mm d$^{-1}$). Moreover, $M$ has been measured directly on the field site with the "fusion-box," during selected periods. Table 5 presents the comparison between the daily melting $M$ measured by the fusionbox and obtained as a residual of equation (1). There is a fairly good agreement between measurements and calculations which proves that this energy balance is accurate enough to relate it to daily fusion at the glacier surface. For a few days, there is a discrepancy between measurements and calculations due to various reasons. On November 15 and 16, 1996, numerous snowfalls have affected the collection of meteorological data at the automatic weather station (upper dome of the net radiometer sometimes covered by falling snow which leads to an underestimation of net all-wave radiation), and thus the calculated $M$ is too low. On August 4, 1997, we observed edge effects on the fusionbox which led to an artificially exaggerated melting. In conclusion, the discrepancies observed might be due to the fusionbox measurement inaccuracy (capillarity retention or edge effects) or to meteorological data inaccuracy under very snowy conditions.

Table 5. Values of the Daily Meltiaq $M$ Measured With the Fusion Box and Calculated From the Energy Balance Equation for Some Selected Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Measured $M$, mm d$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Calculated $M$, mm d$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 23, 1996</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 24, 1996</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 25, 1996</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>17.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 26, 1996</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 14, 1996</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 15 and 16, 1996</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 17, 1996</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 3, 1997</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 4, 1997</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 5, 1997</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 7, 1997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 15-22, 1997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 4, 1997</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 5, 1997</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 7-14, 1997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nevertheless, such conditions are very scarce, and therefore qualitative and quantitative results of this energy balance study are believed to be representative.

5. Results

5.1. Energy Balance Results

The monthly mean energy balance terms for the entire measuring period are shown in Figure 7. A number of interesting features can be noted. The net all-wave radiation is the main source of energy at the glacier surface but is highly variable from month to month and from year to year. Indeed, the net all-wave radiation is a function of albedo, and according to the firnline altitude, the albedo at the weather station (Figure 4) may vary rapidly from 0.7 (when a snow cover is still present) to 0.3 (on bare dirty ice). Thus for the same month, net all-wave radiation may change significantly from one year to another. Here, for example, there is an order of magnitude difference between the monthly mean net radiation of August 1996 ($R = 53 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, $\alpha_{\text{ref}} = 0.4$) and August 1997 ($R = 5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, $\alpha_{\text{ref}} = 0.6$). The second most important surface energy flux is the latent heat flux. $LE$ is negative throughout the year, indicating that the ice surface loses mass by sublimation. For the hydrological year September 1996 to August 1997 the ablation due to sublimation is as high as 200 mm, which corresponds to an annual mean value of 0.54 mm d$^{-1}$ ($LE = -18 \text{ W m}^{-2}$). Table 6 lists annual mean values of the energy balance fluxes and mean values for both seasons. One peculiarity is that most of this sublimation occurs during the dry season with a mean rate of 0.94 mm d$^{-1}$ (May-August 1997) and is very reduced during the wet season with a mean rate of 0.22 mm d$^{-1}$ (November 1996 to February 1997). The latent heat flux is then the main heat sink of this energy budget and is highly variable with the seasons, showing high sublimation rates during the dry season. The sensible heat flux is positive throughout the year, which suggests that the surface boundary layer is almost always in stable conditions. $H$ is nonnegligible but remains small with slightly lower values during the wet season (mean value of 4.4 W m$^{-2}$ between November 1996 and February 1997) and higher values during the dry season (9.1 W m$^{-2}$ between May and August 1997). $G$ is also positive throughout the year. It remains extremely small during the wet season, but during the dry season, because of slightly lower temperatures, it is higher with a mean value of 4.1 W m$^{-2}$.

Figures 4 and 8 show the temporal variation in the daily mean values of the energy balance components. The highly variable wind speed, air temperature, and specific humidity on a timescale of a few days cause strong fluctuations in $H$ and $LE$, especially during the dry season. $LE$ is very dependent on the hour of the day at which the wet air masses advected from the lowlands arrive at the field site. Indeed, in the morning, as soon as the Sun rises, the atmosphere is dry and $LE$ is high. When wet air masses invade the glacier, the relative humidity arises rapidly and $LE$ drops. From one day to another, these air masses, coming from the eastern lowlands, might invade the glacier sooner or later in the afternoon, or even might not invade it, which leads to strong fluctuations of daily values of $LE$ on the timescale of a day. Net all-wave radiation also shows strong fluctuations on the timescale of a few days (Figure 4) in relation to the highly variable albedo, as already discussed in section 3. Therefore since net all-wave radiation is the main source of energy at the glacier surface, albedo is the principal factor controlling the energy balance on Zongo Glacier.

The mean daily cycle of the energy balance terms and of air temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity for the wet and dry seasons of the hydrological year 1996-1997 is shown in Figure 9.
Table 6. Mean Values Over Entire Measuring Period, Over Hydrological Year 1996-97, and Over Wet and Dry Seasons of Energy Flux Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Entire Period, Sept. 96 to Aug. 97</th>
<th>Wet Season Nov. 96-Feb. 97</th>
<th>Dry Season May-Aug. 97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>521 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LE$</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>-7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta Q_m$</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R$ is the net all-wave radiation, $LE$ is the latent heat flux, $H$ is the sensible heat flux, $G$ is the conductive heat flux, and $\Delta Q_m$ is the melting component.

Figure 8. Daily mean values for sensible heat flux ($H$), latent heat flux ($LE$), and conductive heat flux ($G$) over the entire measuring period (March 29, 1996 to October 15, 1997). Note that the graphs have different vertical scales. The thick line is the 15-day running mean.
For both seasons, net all-wave radiation is negative at night (radiative cooling of the surface) and positive during the day. Because of a reduced cloudiness during the dry season, the radiative cooling of the surface is higher than during the wet season where net all-wave radiation is less negative. During the day a larger part of incident solar radiation is reflected by clouds during the wet season than during the dry season, resulting in a reduced net all-wave radiation. The shading by mountains surrounding the field site at the end of the afternoon is responsible for the asymmetrical shape of the daily cycle of $R$, especially during the dry season. The turbulent fluxes present a similar mean daily cycle for both seasons: at night, the boundary surface layer is very stably stratified ($\delta L > 1$), and thus turbulent fluxes are negligible and during the day, the stratification of the lower atmosphere remains moderately stable ($0 < \delta L < 1$), with positive values for $H$ and negative values for $LE$. Unstable conditions ($\delta L < 0$) are sometimes encountered in the early morning (around 0800-0900 LT) while snow/ice surface is heated by incident shortwave radiation and air temperature is still negative. In these cases rather typical of the dry season, $H$ is negative. After a while, the temperature at the surface reaches its upper limit 0°C, air temperature keeps increasing to positive values and then the lower atmosphere becomes moderately stable with a positive sensible heat flux. The main difference between the two seasons comes
from the latent heat flux which is very high during the dry season because of the higher wind speed and stronger gradients of vapor pressure between the surface and the first measurement level than during the wet season. Indeed, the average daily cycle of relative humidity (Figure 9) shows that the humidity in daytime in the dry season is lower than in the humid season, which leads to higher vertical gradients of humidity. Moreover, the large annual cycle of the bulk roughness parameter \( z_0 \) (Table 4), which describes the seasonal variability of the roughness of the glacier surface, contributes greatly to explain the seasonality of the turbulent fluxes. The conductive energy flux in the snow/ice is nil in daytime and positive at night with slightly higher values during the dry season. Indeed, during this season the radiative cooling of the surface is increased by the absence of cloudiness, and therefore surface temperature can reach its minimum values, the temperature gradient within the snowpack is increased which leads to higher values for \( G \).

5.2. Energy Balance and Mass Balance

Knowing the monthly accumulation \( c \) given by the monthly precipitation recorded by storage rain gages located around the glacier on the moraine [Francois et al., 1995] and the monthly local mass balance \( b \) obtained from stake measurements or ultrasound sensor records, it is possible to get the monthly ablation \( a = b - c \) at the field site. The \( c \) is measured directly in millimeters of water in the rain gages, but \( b \) must be turned in millimeters water equivalent (mm w. e.) using mean values for snow/ice density. On the other hand, the monthly ablation (because of melting and sublimation \( a = m + s \)) can also be calculated from the energy balance study. Figure 10 compares the measured and calculated monthly ablation in the vicinity of the weather station, at 5150 m asl, and Table 7 lists the mean values of these two variables for the entire measuring period, for the hydrological year 1996-1997, as well as for the wet and dry seasons. There is a fairly good agreement between the two variables with a correlation coefficient \( r^2 \) of 0.85 for 18 months. The observed discrepancies might be explained by some inaccuracies in the snow/ice density estimates or in the used accumulation values. Indeed, precipitation is recorded on the moraine about 400 m away from the meteorological station, whereas it is well known that the spatial variability of accumulation is very high, as shown by Vincent et al. [1997] on an Alpine site. Therefore the precipitation recorded on the moraine might differ slightly from the local accumulation at the field site, which leads to some errors for the measured ablation at the field site, especially during the wet season. Indeed, note that this discrepancy is higher during the wet season than during the dry season (Table 7).

6. Discussion

6.1. Typical Features of Energy Balance of Outer Tropics' Glaciers

The glaciers of the outer tropics are subject to peculiar climatic conditions which induce the following specifications concerning their energy balance:

1. Net all-wave radiation is the main source of energy at the glacier surface and does not show any well-marked seasonality along the year.
2. Latent heat flux is negative throughout the year, indicating a mass loss by sublimation: sublimation is high during the dry season and very reduced the rest of the year.
3. Sensible heat flux remains continuously positive along the year, as suggested by Kaser et al. [1996], for Yanamarey Glacier (Cordillera Blanca, Peru) and is of minor importance compared to the previous fluxes.
4. The conductive heat flux in the snow/ice remains extremely small during the humid season but is responsible for a nonnegligible upward energy flux during the dry season.

\[
(m+s) = 1.0(b-c) - 5.5
\]

\[
r^2 = 0.85
\]

Figure 10. Monthly ablation at 5150 m asl measured with stakes and rain gages \( a = b - c \), mass balance minus accumulation) and calculated from the energy balance equation \( a = m+s \), melting plus sublimation).
6.2. Energy Balance, Mass Balance, and Runoff Seasonality

Neither net all-wave radiation, nor sensible heat flux is variable enough with the seasons to explain the large seasonality of the proglacial stream discharge shown in section 3 (Figure 2 and Table 3). On the other hand, the contribution of latent heat flux to the energy balance is very variable (Figures 7 and 9) and is responsible for this runoff seasonality, as already discussed by Wagner et al. [1998]. During the dry season, at the glacier surface, the energy input as net all-wave radiation, sensible heat, flux and conductive heat flux in the snow/ice is almost entirely consumed by the high sublimation (penitents grow rapidly at the surface), and therefore melting is reduced and discharge is low. Whereas during the wet season, the lower gradients of humidity of the boundary surface layer stops the sublimation, and the energy input is used for melting, which leads to high discharge. In conclusion, in the outer tropics, humidity is an important meteorological input controlling the seasonality of the glacier mass balance and the proglacial stream runoff, because it is responsible for the sharing of the energy available at the surface between two sinks, sublimation or melting.

This is an important peculiarity of the glaciers of the outer tropics whose mass balance is ruled by sublimation and therefore by humidity, whereas under midlatitude or polar conditions, the latent heat flux is most of the time considered as negligible [e.g., Male and Granger, 1981; Pitts and Mazzoni, 1994; Rock and Holmgren, 1996]. Quantitatively, sublimation still represents only 17% of the melting plus sublimation calculated at 5150 m asl agrees fairly well with the melting calculated at 5150 m asl on Mount Kenya, which explains the dramatic retreat of tropical glaciers since 1980. In conclusion, tropical glaciers are likely to be some climatic indicators very sensitive to climatic changes like the greenhouse effect.

Table 7. Values of Local Ablation (mm w.e.) at 5150 m asl Over Entire Measuring Period, Over Hydrological Year 1996-97, and Over Wet and Dry Seasons Measured With Stakes and Rain gauges (a = b-c, Mass Balance Minus Accumulation) and Calculated From the Energy Balance Equation (a = m+s, Melting Plus Sublimation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, mm w.e.</th>
<th>Entire Period</th>
<th>Sept. 96 to Aug. 97</th>
<th>Wet Season</th>
<th>Dry Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>521 days</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nov. 96 to Feb. 97</td>
<td>May-Aug. 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-495</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>+327</td>
<td>-165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b - c</td>
<td>-1838</td>
<td>-1146</td>
<td>-303</td>
<td>-235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>-1673</td>
<td>-994</td>
<td>-327</td>
<td>-107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>-303</td>
<td>-202</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m + s</td>
<td>-1976</td>
<td>-1196</td>
<td>-354</td>
<td>-224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11 compares the monthly melting at 5150 m asl derived from the energy balance equation (1) to the monthly mean discharge of the proglacial stream. As Zongo Glacier is small and as the timescale is one month, no long-term storage of the meltwater originating at 5150 m asl, inside the glacier, is taken into account, and therefore the local melting at 5150 m asl might be compared to the proglacial stream discharge. The amount of snow/ice lost by melting at 5150 m asl on Zongo Glacier is highly variable from month to month but does not have any well-marked seasonality. It even tends to have an opposed variability to the proglacial stream discharge, showing a reduced melting during the wet season although the proglacial stream discharge is the highest. This opposition shows that during the humid season, the weather station is located in the accumulation area, outside the area where strong ablation conditions occur. However, the rest of the time, the melting calculated at 5150 m asl agrees fairly well with the discharge recorded at the limnimetric station which suggests that the equilibrium line is higher in altitude. This observation is confirmed while plotting the mass balance measured by the different ablation stakes as a function of altitude, first for the wet season (September 1996 to February 1997) and second for the dry season (March - August 1997) (Figure 12): the vertical budget gradient db/dz is much higher during the wet season than during the dry one. There is here a paradox because high discharge observed during the wet season are caused by very strong melting conditions prevailing on a considerably reduced ablation area, whereas lower discharges the rest of the year come from weak melting conditions occurring on a much larger ablation area.

These strong melting conditions of the wet season in the lower part of the glacier are explained first by the low albedo (bare ice surfaces covered by impurities) and second by the negligible latent heat flux, which saves energy for melting. In order to get a better insight into the functioning of Zongo Glacier, it would have been preferable to set the weather station lower in altitude around 5000 m asl but the very strong ablation at this altitude would have been a problem in the monitoring of the meteorological station. In conclusion, albedo and humidity are the two main factors governing the glacier energy and mass balance and proglacial stream runoff. Therefore if for one reason the wet season is poor in precipitation, like for the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) event of 1991-1992 [Francou et al., 1995], the snow cover at the glacier surface is thinner and thus disappears more rapidly, the area of low albedo becomes larger, and therefore the ablation area characterized by very strong melting conditions is larger. Thus melting is very high, proglacial stream discharge is maximum within 1 or 2 months, and the glacier annual mass balance is strongly negative, like for the ENSO year 1991-1992. This functioning of Zongo Glacier is different compared to midlatitude or polar glaciers.

7. Summary and Conclusions

Within the tropical half of Earth, the Zongo Glacier of Cordillera Real, Bolivia, is the only place where an energy balance monitoring program has been sustained for more than 1 year. This glacier belongs to the outer tropics characterized by the lack of
any appreciable thermal seasonality and a hydrological year punctuated by one dry and one wet season. Since March 1996, an automatic weather station has been recording all the meteorological data (net all-wave radiation, incident and reflected short-wave radiation, wind speed and direction, aspirated air temperature, vapor pressure, snow/ice temperatures, every day ablation) needed to compute the local energy balance and to compare it to the local mass balance. The Monin-Obukhov similarity theory has been used to calculate the turbulent fluxes over the surface. The roughness parameters for momentum, temperature, and humidity were all chosen equal to each other and were derived from direct sublimation measurements performed regularly on the field site. The value \( z_0 \) is therefore a bulk parameter used to calibrate the calculated latent heat flux; \( z_0 \) depends mainly on the surface geometry and is then very variable from month to month, going from a minimum value of \( 2 \times 10^3 \) m for smooth surfaces covered by fresh snow (wet season) to a maximum value of \( 30 \times 10^3 \) m corresponding to 40 cm high penitents at the surface (dry season). Above melting snow surfaces, we noticed the presence of a warm layer 20-30 cm above the surface.

Concerning the annual mean budget (hydrological year 1996-1997), the net short-wave radiation is the largest positive term (55.5 W m\(^{-2}\)), and net all-wave radiation is the main source of energy at the glacier surface (16.5 W m\(^{-2}\)), although \( R \) does not show any pronounced seasonality. The sensible heat flux (6.0 W m\(^{-2}\)) and the conductive heat flux in the snow/ice (2.8 W m\(^{-2}\)) also bring energy to the surface. The latent heat flux (-17.7 W m\(^{-2}\)) is directed away from the surface which indicates that the surface loses mass by sublimation. An important peculiarity of

Figure 11. Monthly melting at 5150 m asl calculated from the energy balance equation and monthly mean discharge recorded at the limnimetric station at 4830 m asl.

Figure 12. Vertical gradients of mass balance obtained from stake and pit measurements for the wet season (September 1996 to February 1997) (squares) and for the dry season (March 1997 to August 1997) (circles).
tropical glaciers is that the contribution of the latent heat flux to the energy balance is very high and that this energy flux shows a pronounced seasonality, with strong sublimation rates during the dry season and low ones during the humid season. Another peculiarity of these glaciers is the continuously positive sensible heat flux throughout the year, which suggests that the boundary surface layer is almost always in stable conditions. This positive sensible heat flux causes the strong gradient of the vertical net balance profile typical of tropical glaciers in the ablation area.

Albedo, with its direct influence on net all-wave radiation, is the principal factor controlling the amount of energy available at the Zongo Glacier surface, like for every glacier of the world; and humidity, which is responsible for the sharing of the available energy between sublimation and melting, plays the key role to understand the runoff seasonality of the proglacial stream. The high sublimation of the dry season and the absence of precipitation let penitents grow at the glacier surface up to 50 cm high sometimes. The strongly negative latent heat flux characteristic of tropical glaciers makes these glaciers extremely sensitive to climatic changes, like the greenhouse effect for instance. Indeed, they are not only affected by the warming which increases the sensible heat flux but also, to a bigger extent, by the specific humidity increase which reduces the latent heat flux, saving energy for melting. A better knowledge about tropical glacier functioning is therefore really useful to study the global change. This work already gives a good insight into the annual energy balance of a tropical glacier, but the next step in this investigation will be to study the spatial distribution of the surface energy fluxes over the whole glacier.
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