Geminiviruses are plant pathogens that cause significant yield losses in crop plants in many countries (4, 14, 18, 35). Different members are transmitted by whiteflies or leafhoppers (9, 26). Most of the whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses have bipartite genomes, while all the leafhopper-transmitted geminiviruses and some of the whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses have monopartite genomes. The monopartite genomes (2,566 to 3,028 nucleotides [nt]) encode proteins required for replication (13, 16). In bipartite geminiviruses, a second protein (Rep or AC1) is the only viral protein required for replication (13, 16). The CP protein (AC3) enhances replication (49). AC2, another early gene product, transactivates the expression of the coat protein (CP) gene on the virion-sense strand (47). While CP is not required for replication of the virus in protoplasts or plants, mutations in CP fail to move efficiently in plants. In infected protoplasts, the CP666Gg5 protein was immunolocalized to nuclei. We propose that the fusion of g5p to viral ssDNA. The high levels of dsDNA accumulation during infections with the modified viruses interferes with the function of the BV1 movement protein and thereby prevents spread of the infection. 

The lack of CP results in a complete loss of infectivity of monopartite viruses (3, 27, 38) but not bipartite viruses (6, 15, 32, 39). CP may influence the ratios of ssDNA and dsDNA levels in a passive manner by depleting the ssDNA that is available for conversion to dsDNA through encapsidation, by modulating ssDNA synthesis, or both. No evidence is available for how CP influences ssDNA accumulation in geminiviruses. In tomato leaf curl virus from New Delhi (ToLCV-Nde, hereafter referred to as ToLCV), a geminivirus with a bipartite genome, disrupting the synthesis of wild-type CP resulted in a three- to fivefold increase in dsDNA accumulation in infected protoplasts (33). Inoculated plants, however, developed severe symptoms and accumulating low levels of ssDNA. To better understand the role of CP in replication, we determined whether a heterologous ssDNA binding protein could complement CP function in ssDNA accumulation. We show here that ToLCV modified to express the ssDNA binding gene 5 protein (g5p) from Escherichia coli phage M13 in place of CP accumulates ssDNA to wild-type levels in protoplasts but fails to move efficiently in plants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid constructs.** Infectious clones of the A and B components of ToLCV (30) were used to generate the virus constructs used in this study. The geminivirus organization of ToLCV and a schematic representation of the virus constructs used in this study are shown in Fig. 1, and detailed descriptions and methods of construction of each of the plasmids are summarized in Table 1. Partial head-to-tail dimers made from these constructs were used to infect N. benthamiana plants and N. tabacum BY2 protoplasts. 

**Protoplast and plant inoculations.** N. benthamiana plants (2-week-old seedlings grown in Magenta boxes) and protoplasts isolated from suspensions of BY2 cells were infected with viral DNAs as described earlier (32, 33). Protoplasts were collected from cultures 48 h postinoculation for DNA isolation, immunoprecipitation reactions, and Western blot analysis. Plants were scored for symptoms, and the newly formed upper leaves were collected for Southern blot analysis 22 to 25 days following inoculation. To study the local and systemic movements of
FIG. 1. Genome organization and schematic representation of constructs of ToLCV used in this study. (A) Genome organization of ToLCV showing the ORFs and their functions. CR, co-terminal region for both components. (B) Linear physical map of AV2 and CP regions of ToLCV with nucleotide positions and relevant restriction enzyme sites (bottom). The positions of different gene replacements are shown above the linear map. Note that the gene replacements shown are not to the scale. Descriptions of the constructs are given in Table 1.

the virus expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) (S), bottom leaves of 4-week-old seedlings (10 plants per construct) were inoculated. Inoculated leaves and noninoculated upper leaves were harvested at 3-day intervals for 15 days under a fluorescence microscope for the detection of fluorescence emitted by GFP. In all experiments that involved plants, wild-type B-component DNA, which is essential for systemic spread and symptom development, was included.

Southern blotting. Total DNA was isolated from protoplasts (20) and plants (11), electrophoresed in a 1% agarose gel (without ethidium bromide), and transferred to Hybond nylon membranes (Amersham, Arlington Heights, Ill.) by standard protocols (41). Hybridization reactions were performed with a randomly primed 3P-labeled A-component-specific probe (the 900-bp ORF was hybridized with AC1, AC2, and AC3). The amounts of viral ssDNA and dsDNA (supercoiled, linear, open circular, and dimeric forms) were quantitated by exposing the Southern blots to storage phosphor screen plates and determining counts on a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, Calif.). The ssDNA form was confirmed by its susceptibility to SI and muc1 bean nucleases (31). In the absence of ethidium bromide, the supercoiled viral DNA form migrates ahead of the ssDNA form.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blotting. For immunoprecipitation reactions, protoplasts infected with the virus A component expressing the CP66:GG:g5 protein tagged with the Flag epitope (FCP666Gg5) (Table 1) were lysed with 1% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40) buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 1% NP-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate) containing a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals, Indianapolis, Ind.). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 4°C for 10 min at 15,000 X g. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag monoclonal antibody M2 covalently linked to agarose (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.). Immune complexes were washed four times with NP-40 or RIPA buffer and once with Tri-buffered saline (50 mM Tris- HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% deoxycholate). Half of each sample was heated in Laemmli sample buffer, fractionated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12% acrylamide), and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Schleicher & Schuell, Inc., Keene, N.H.). Immunoprecipitated protein was visualized with anti-Flag antibody M2’ by use of enhanced chemiluminescence Western blot reagents (Pierce, Rockford, Ill.). The remaining half of each immune complex collected by this procedure was used for isolating viral DNA. Whole-cell protein extracts for direct Western blotting were prepared by boiling the protoplast pellets with an equal volume of 2x Laemmli sample buffer.

Immunofluorescence. Protoplasts transfected with viral constructs were cultured on chamber slides (Nalge Nunc, Rochester, N.Y.) for 48 h, fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde in PBSBM (30 mM phosphate [pH 6.95], 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM MgSO4) for 30 min, and permeabilized with 0.1% methanol at -20°C for 10 min. The cells were washed two times with PBSBM containing 0.5% Tween 20 for 30 min each time. CP66:GG:g5 protein tagged with the Stag epitope (CP66:Stag:GG:g5) (Table 1) was detected with the St2 protein coupled to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (Roche, Madison, Wis.). The 15-amino acid-long Stag peptide was inserted after Arg66 of CP to construct the CP66:Stag:GG:g5 protein. Flag epitope-tagged BV1, TT epitope-tagged BC1, CP, and β-galactosidase (GUS) (Table 1) were detected with anti-Flag antibody M2 (Sigma), anti-T7 tag antibody (Novagen), anti-CP antisera (33), and anti-GUS antisera (5’-3’, Boulder, Colo.) diluted 1:200 in phosphate-buffered saline, respectively. After incubation with the primary antibody for 1 h at 30°C, the cells were washed as before and incubated with FITC- or rhodamine-conjugated immunoglobulin G (Pierce) at a dilution of 1:100. The cells were mounted in Fluorescan G (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, Pa.) and viewed with a Nikon fluorescence microscope or an Olympus confocal microscope (for detecting T7 epitope-tagged BC1 protein).

RESULTS

ToLCV expressing g5p or CP666Gg5 protein accumulates ssDNA to wild-type levels in protoplasts. Our earlier work with ToLCV showed that viral CP and AV2 are not required for virus replication in protoplasts, whereas AV2 is required for efficient movement in plants (33). CP is not essential for systemic movement and symptom development in ToLCV. However, mutations in the CP sequence caused a marked decrease in ssDNA accumulation in N. benthamiana and tomato plants and in BY2 protoplasts while increasing dsDNA accumulation in protoplasts. Virus that contained mutations in AV2 plus CP
behaved like AV2 mutant virus in plants (i.e., poor virus movement and very mild symptoms) and like CP mutant virus in protoplasts (i.e., decrease in ssDNA and increase in dsDNA accumulation).

Here we investigated the effects of g5p from E. coli phage M13 (40) on the replication of ToLCV. Each of the mutations is described in Table 1 and Fig. 1. The AV2 ORF and the overlapping S' portion of the CP ORF were replaced with g5p, and its effect on virus replication in protoplasts was assayed. In these experiments, protoplasts were inoculated with the wild type or mutants as described below. Surprisingly, the modified A component, designated g5AV2 CP', led to the accumulation of dsDNA to the same levels as did the wild-type A component (Table 2 and Fig. 2, lanes 1 and 3). However, dsDNA accumulation was high (three- to sixfold higher than wild-type levels) and similar to the accumulation in the presence of mutations in CP (Table 2 and Fig. 2, lanes 2 to 4). Infection by virus in which the g5p gene was mutated to prevent its translation (g5 AV2 CP') (Table 1) behaved like virus infections with A-component mutants AV2 CP and CP' (Table 2 and Fig. 2, lane 4).

Since AV2 is required for efficient virus movement in plants, we made another construct in which g5p was fused to CP at Arg66 without affecting the AV2 ORF (CP66:g5) (Table 1). The CP66:g5 virus A component also led to the accumulation of ssDNA, but to lower levels than did g5AV2 CP' (Table 2 and Fig. 2, lane 6). To address the possibility that the N-terminal 65 amino acids (aa) of CP interfered with the ability of g5p to bind DNA, a linker of six glycine residues was introduced between Arg66 of CP and g5p to separate the CP domain from the g5p domain (CP66:6G:g5). The addition of the linker restored the ability of the CP66:6G:g5 virus A component to accumulate ssDNA to levels comparable to those of g5AV2 CP' (Table 2 and Fig. 2, lane 7). A control construct in which the g5p portion of the fusion protein was not translated (CP66:g5') failed to accumulate ssDNA (Table 2 and Fig. 2, lane 8). That the ability of the virus A component expressing the CP66:6G:g5 protein to accumulate ssDNA was not due to the N-terminal 66 aa of CP was suggested by the facts that the virus A component expressing g5p alone accumulated ssDNA and the virus A component expressing CP66:6G:BC1 (see below) or CP66:6G:AV2 (data not shown) failed to accumulate ssDNA.

Geminiviruses replicate in the nucleus (1, 29), so it is likely that in order to cause the accumulation of ssDNA, the CP66:6G:g5 and g5 proteins must be present in the nucleus. To immunolocalize the CP66:6G:g5 fusion protein in protoplasts, we inserted the Stag epitope between Arg66 of CP and the glycine linker (CP66:Stag:6G:g5) (Table 1). At 48 h after infection, protoplasts were fixed and subjected to reactions with...
S protein coupled to FITC. The CP66:Stag:G:g5 protein as well as wild-type CP (detected with anti-CP antisera) were localized to the nucleus (Fig. 3A and B). When GUS was produced as a fusion protein with the N-terminal 66 aa of CP (CP66:GUS), GUS (detected with anti-GUS antisera) was also localized to the nucleus (Fig. 3C). This result indicated that the N-terminal 66 aa of CP contains a nuclear localization signal. We also determined if g5p contains a nuclear localization signal by fusing the g5p coding sequence to the GUS coding sequence at the N terminus. The g5:GUS fusion protein (expressed in the g5:GUSAV2-CP virus A component) (Table 1) and the unfused GUS protein (expressed in the GUSAV2-CP virus A component) remained in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3D and E), suggesting that g5p has no nuclear localization signal. It is possible that g5p may have entered the nucleus in a passive manner, as its size (9.7 kDa) is smaller than the permeability barrier of the nuclear envelope (12).

Movement of ToLCV expressing CP66:G:g5 protein is impaired in plants. N. benthamiana plants were inoculated with selected virus constructs to determine the effect of g5p on virus spread. In these studies, the B component was coinoculated with the A component onto N. benthamiana seedlings. As expected, plants inoculated with A-component mutant AV2-"CP", 5AV2-"CP", or g5-"AV2-"CP" plus the B component showed very mild or no symptoms, and all inoculated plants accumulated low levels of viral DNA (Table 2). A previously reported ToLCV mutant (33) that did not produce CP but produced AV2 ("CP") resulted in severe disease symptoms and wild-type levels of dsDNA in systemic infections (Table 2). Surprisingly, plants inoculated with the virus expressing the CP66:Stag:G:g5 protein showed very mild or no symptoms, even though the virus contained an intact AV2 gene (Table 2). These plants accumulated low levels of viral DNA, similar to plants inoculated with the AV2-"CP" virus (Table 2). Plants inoculated with the virus expressing the CP66:G:g5 protein (which accumulated ssDNA to a lower level than CP66:G:g5 virus in protoplasts) showed mild symptoms and accumulated moderate levels of dsDNA. We also considered the possibility that the impaired movement of the virus expressing g5p was due to possible toxic effects of g5p. We did not detect any differences in protoplast viability or in the appearance of plant leaves inoculated with wild-type virus or virus expressing g5p that might suggest toxicity of g5p.

We next examined the cell-to-cell and long-distance movement of ToLCV expressing the CP66:G:g5 protein by using green fluorescent protein (GFP) as a visible marker for virus movement. Plants were inoculated with A-component DNA expressing GFP in place of AV2 and CP (GFPAV2-"CP") alone or coinoculated with A-component DNA of the wild-type, CP66:G:g5, or CP66:g5- construct. GFPAV2-"CP" vi-
FIG. 3. Indirect immunofluorescence of proteins expressed in protoplasts (A to G) and fluorescence of GFP expressed in plants (H to P). Protoplasts were transfected, and antigens were visualized with different primary antibodies and FITC- or rhodamine-conjugated secondary antibodies. GFP fluorescence in plants was monitored every 3 days for 15 days, and the area shown in each panel corresponds to a leaf area measuring 2.5 by 2.5 mm. (A) Protoplast infected with CP66Stag6Gg5 virus and stained with S protein coupled to FITC. (B) Protoplast infected with wild-type virus and stained with anti-CP antisera. (C) Protoplast infected with CP66GUS virus and stained with anti-GUS antisera. (D) Protoplast infected with GUSAV2CF virus and stained with anti-GUS antisera. (E) Protoplast infected with GUSAVZCP virus and stained with anti-GUS antisera. (F) Protoplast infected with FBV1AV2CF virus and stained with anti-Flag antibody. (G) Protoplasts infected with TBC1AV2CF virus and stained with anti-T7 tag antibody. Note that two cells are shown in this micrograph. (H) Inoculated leaf and (I) systemically infected leaf of a plant infected with GFPAVZCP and CP666Gg5 viruses 6 days postinoculation (dpi). (J and K) Inoculated leaf (J) and systemically infected leaf (K) of a plant infected with GFPAV2CP and CP666Gg5 viruses 6 dpi. (L to P) Inoculated leaf (L) and systemically infected leaves (O and P) of a plant infected with GFPAV2CP and CP666Gg5 viruses 15 dpi.
3′P-labeled A-component DNA as a probe. blot with anti-Flag antibody (lanes 2 to 7). Lane 1 contained protein immunoprecipitated from protoplasts transfected with wild-type virus as a control. The protein band present under all of the buffer conditions tested; the amount of protein immunoprecipitated increased with increasing salt concentration (Fig. 4A). The amount of coimmunoprecipitated ssDNA (Fig. 4B) dissociated g5p from the viral ssDNA. In vivo binding of g5p to ToLCV DNA. (A) Flag epitope-tagged CP66:6G:g5 protein expressed in protoplasts was immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag antibody coupled to agarose after lysis of protoplasts in NP-40 buffer containing different concentrations of NaCl (shown above lanes 1 to 6) or RIPA buffer (lane 7), and the immunoprecipitated protein was detected on a Western blot with anti-Flag antibody (lanes 2 to 7). Lane 1 contained protein immunoprecipitated from protoplasts transfected with wild-type virus as a control. The protein band present in all lanes at ~24 kDa is the light chain of anti-Flag antibody used for immunoprecipitations. The immunoprecipitated CP66:6G:g5 protein was detected at two different molecular mass corresponding to monomeric and dimeric forms. Positions of molecular mass markers are indicated in kilodaltons on the left. (B) Viral ssDNA that coimmunoprecipitated with the Flag epitope-tagged CP66:6G:g5 protein was detected on a Southern blot with 3P-labeled A-component DNA as a probe. Lanes 1 to 7 were given the same number (referred to as BL1 in SLCV) binds to ssDNA in vitro (34). In protoplasts inoculated with the FBVIAV2-CP- construct, the BV1 protein accumulated in the nucleus (detected with anti-Flag antibody) (Fig. 3F), while in protoplasts inoculated with TBCIAV2-CP-*, the BC1 protein was localized to the cell periphery (detected with anti-T7 tag antibody) (Fig. 3G). Expression of the BV1 protein in place of the AV2 and CP proteins (BV1AV2-CP-) also led to the accumulation of ssDNA by the A-component virus (Table 3 and Fig. 2, lane 9). The binding affinity of the BV1 protein tagged with the Flag epitope for viral DNA in protoplasts inoculated with FBVIAV2-CP- DNA was determined by immunoprecipitation reactions similar to those shown in Fig. 4. The binding affinity of the BV1 protein for viral ssDNA was similar to the binding affinity of the CP66:6G:g5 protein for viral ssDNA (data not shown). In contrast to results obtained with virus A component expressing BV1, A-component virus expressing BC1 in place of AV2 and CP (BC1AV2-CP-) did not accumulate ssDNA (Table 3 and Fig. 2, lane 10). Since the BC1 protein was localized to the cell periphery, we fused BC1 to the N-terminal 66 aa of CP (CP66:6G:BC1) to direct it to the nucleus. Virus A component expressing the CP66:6G:BC1 protein also did not accumulate ssDNA (Table 3 and Fig. 2, lane 11), showing that the BC1 movement protein may not bind to viral ssDNA or that the binding affinity may not be strong enough to result in the accumulation of ssDNA. These results show that BV1 is localized to the nucleus in the absence of BC1 and that BV1 binds to viral ssDNA in vivo.

In plants inoculated with the ToLCV A component contain-

![TABLE 3. Complementation by BV1 and BC1 movement proteins of the accumulation of ToLCV ssDNA in protoplasts*](image)

**Wild**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A component</th>
<th>B component</th>
<th>ssDNA</th>
<th>dsDNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV1AV2-CP-</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>86 (50-121)</td>
<td>230 (191-195)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC1AV2-CP-</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>224 (162-288)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP66:6G:BC1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5 (1-10)</td>
<td>214 (189-207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>84 (66-100)</td>
<td>82 (66-98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>4 (3-6)</td>
<td>164 (128-198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-</td>
<td>BC1-</td>
<td>5 (2-6)</td>
<td>173 (160-185)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Protoplasts were transfected with 2 µg of A-component DNA with or without 10 µg of B-component DNA. Viral DNA was quantitated on Southern blots with a PhosphorImager. The values represent the average amount (range) of viral DNA in two to five independent transfections, relative to a value of 100 assigned to the wild type.
ing CP66:6G:g5 plus the wild-type B component, the expression of the CP66:6G:g5 protein is controlled by the relatively strong CP promoter. The CP66:6G:g5 protein produced from the A component may outcompete the BV1 protein (expressed from the B component) for DNA binding if the amount of BV1 made under the control of its own promoter is relatively low. We conducted an experiment to determine if BV1, expressed under the control of its own promoter on the B component, can lead to the accumulation of ssDNA. Note that BV1 led to the accumulation of ssDNA when expressed in place of CP on the A component (Table 3). However, very little viral ssDNA accumulated in protoplasts coinoculated with A-component DNA with a mutation in CP (CP’+) plus wild-type B-component DNA (i.e., expressing both BV1 and BC1) or B-component DNA with a mutation in BC1 (BC1’) (i.e., expressing only BV1) (Table 3 and Fig. 2, lanes 12 to 15). The failure of BV1 to cause the accumulation of ssDNA when expressed from the B component appeared to be due to low levels of BV1 protein being made; no BV1 protein was detected in protoplasts coinoculated with A-component DNA and B-component DNA expressing Flag epitope-tagged BV1 by immunolocalization and Western blotting procedures (data not shown). These results show that the B-component promoter driving the expression of BV1 is not as strong as when the gene is expressed from the CP promoter on the A component.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous work done by our group showed that in the absence of CP, ToLCV failed to accumulate ssDNA but produced levels of dsDNA severalfold higher than wild-type levels in protoplasts (33). Reduced levels of ssDNA have been observed for other geminiviruses when CP is not produced (5, 27, 49, 52). This observation raised the question as to whether the accumulation of ssDNA is due solely to encapsidation by CP or whether CP has some additional role in viral replication. We tested these possibilities by expressing a nonspecific ssDNA binding protein in place of CP and monitoring the accumulation of ssDNA to determine if it could serve as a substitute for CP in this putative function. g5p from E. coli phage M13 was chosen because of its small size (9.7 kDa) and lack of any enzymatic function in DNA replication. The role of g5p in the replication of M13 and other filamentous phages has been extensively studied (56), and its structure has been determined (45). g5p binds newly formed viral ssDNA tightly, cooperatively, and in a sequence-independent manner and protects it from degradation by E. coli nucleases (7, 31, 40).

In this report, we demonstrated that g5p can bind to ToLCV ssDNA in plant cells and that ToLCV expressing g5p or g5p fused to the N-terminal 66 aa of CP can accumulate ssDNA to wild-type levels. The binding of g5p to viral ssDNA in vivo was similar to the binding of g5p to M13 ssDNA in vitro (2). Although g5p compensated for the lack of CP by causing an increase in the accumulation of ToLCV ssDNA, it did not reduce the amount of dsDNA to wild-type levels. BV1 movement protein (when expressed in place of CP) also behaved like g5p in that it did not down-regulate dsDNA to wild-type levels. If CP regulates the levels of ssDNA and dsDNA by depleting the ssDNA available for conversion to dsDNA, the expression of g5p or BV1 could be expected to result in normal amounts of dsDNA. The fact that it did not suggest that CP may have a direct role in regulating viral replication, possibly by inhibiting minus-strand synthesis or by regulating gene expression. The CP of alfalfa mosaic virus, a virus with a plus-strand ssRNA genome, has been shown to play a direct role in the regulation of plus- and minus-strand RNA syntheses (10). The alfalfa mosaic virus CP was found in tight association with the viral RNA polymerase and inhibited minus-strand synthesis while stimulating plus-strand synthesis. Recent results obtained with SLCV suggest that CP acts to signal the switch from viral dsDNA replication to ssDNA replication or to sequester virion ssDNA from replication pools without fully encapsidating it (25a). Purification of geminivirus replication complexes is needed to directly assess the role of CP in replication.

**Why do plants infected with a virus encoding the CP66:6G:g5 protein show very mild symptoms and accumulate low levels of viral DNA when infected protoplasts accumulate high levels of viral DNA? One likely possibility is that by binding to viral ssDNA, g5p affects virus movement by interfering with the function of the BV1 movement protein. BV1 of ToLCV was localized to the nucleus in infected protoplasts and bound to viral ssDNA in vivo; BC1 was localized to the cell periphery and did not complement viral ssDNA accumulation, even when it was directed to the nucleus as a fusion to the nuclear localization signal of CP. Recent studies on the roles of BR1 and BL1 in SLCV movement have shown that BR1 is localized to the nucleus, binds to ssDNA in vitro, and functions as a nuclear shuttle protein (34, 42). BL1 of SLCV is localized to the cell periphery in protoplasts and is associated with endoplasmic reticulum-derived tubules in developing phloem cells of systemically infected pumpkin seedlings (20, 43, 51). Based on these results, a model for SLCV was proposed in which BL1-containing tubules serve as a conduit for the transport of BR1 and its associated viral ssDNA from one cell to another (51). Studies with tomato golden mosaic virus have shown that BR1 interacts with viral ssDNA in vivo and that BR1 and BL1 have distinct and essential roles in cell-to-cell movement as well as systemic movement (22). It is likely that ToLCV uses a similar strategy in moving from cell to cell. The poor movement of ToLCV that produces the CP66:6G:g5 protein may be due to reduced binding of BV1 to viral ssDNA. It should be noted that BV1 did not lead to the accumulation of ssDNA of the A component that lacked CP when BV1 was expressed under the control of its own promoter from the B component. In plants coinoculated with the A component producing CP66:6G:g5 plus the A component producing GFP, GFP staining was mostly restricted to small areas on both inoculated and systemically infected leaves, showing an overall reduction in the efficiency of viral movement rather than specific interference with cell-to-cell spread or long-distance movement.

In contrast to the model presented for the movement of SLCV, a different model was proposed for bean dwarf mosaic virus in which BC1 binds to dsDNA and moves it through plasmodesmata by increasing their size exclusion limit (50). Interference with ToLCV movement due to binding of g5p to viral ssDNA suggests that in this virus, ssDNA moves from cell to cell. Our results also suggest that the expression of g5p in transgenic plants may afford a novel way of controlling geminiviruses and that such resistance may be effective against all geminiviruses.
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