

Pasture mapping by classification of Landsat TM images. Analysis of the spectral behaviour of the pasture class in a real medium scale environment: The Case of the Piracicaba Catchment (12.400 km², Brazil).

V. CHAPLOT⁽²⁾, F. SEYLER⁽¹⁾, F. MULLER⁽¹⁾, C.E.P CERRI⁽²⁾, M. BERNOUX⁽³⁾, V. BALESTER⁽²⁾, C. FELLER⁽³⁾ and C.C.C. CERRI⁽²⁾

(1) IRD (Institut de Recherches pour le Développement), UnB (Universidade de Brasilia)

(2) CENA (Centro de Energia Nuclear para a Agricultura)

(3) IRD, CENA

Corresponding author : Frédérique Seyler, IRD, CP 7091, 71619-970 Brasilia – DF - BRAZIL. E-mail: fseyler@unb.br

Abstract

The environmental consequences due to rapid land use changes show the importance to characterize the soil occupation in the landscapes. The analysis of satellite images is a fundamental method to assess land use mapping (e.g. Lilesand and Kiefer, 1987; Roughgarden *et al.*, 1991; Quattrochi and Pelletier, 1991). Attempts to map vegetation types, especially pastures, from satellite data in tropical and sub-tropical regions have very often limited success despite widespread development and use of numerous statistical procedures (Price *et al.*, 1992; Hernandez *et al.*, 1998). Especially in inter-tropical regions, some soil occupations such as pasture, forest and sugarcane could be characterized by similar spectral responses (Adams *et al.*, 1995; Hernandez *et al.*, 1998). In addition, accuracy in vegetation mapping is constrained by statistical approaches used to process remotely sensed data. Indeed, these approaches assume that ground data have linear or geometric relationships between class membership and predictor variables. The problem becomes more critical for large regions because of the greater variability in both spectral and ground data (Mathieu *et al.*, 1998).

In this paper, we analyze the accuracy of two classifications of Landsat Thematic Mapper, aiming at distinguish three main types of pastures (pasture s.s: p, good pasture, which mean well managed pasture: pb, and woody pasture: p+f) from other vegetation classes in a meso-scale basin (12.400 km², Piracicaba basin, Brazil). The initial classification (CLASSIF 1) is based on non supervised clustering of the images. The delimited classes are interpreted and merged by comparison with standard spectra from NASA. The second classification (CLASSIF 2) is a parallelepiped partition based on the merged clusters issued from the first one. The validation is based on data from an agricultural survey at the catchment scale, and on 287 field observations randomly distributed within the whole catchment. The results are discussed regarding soil types and topography, and analyzing the spectral behavior variability of the pasture classes.

The two classifications performed well the total pasture proportion of the whole catchment, with prediction errors lower than 10 %. But the proportion of well located pastures (P) varied from 54 % for CLASSIF 1 to 73 % for CLASSIF 2. An error analysis of the prediction quality for the best classification, i.e. CLASSIF 2 exhibited the predominant effect of the soil type. Indeed, P values for CLASSIF 1 were higher for Podzolicos than for Latossolos: 44 and 84 %, respectively (Table 1).

Tab 1: Proportion of well predicted pastures for CLASSIF 2 as function of the soil types and the altitude classes.

	Soil types		Altitude classes			
	Podzolicos	Latossolos	<600	[600-800]	[800-1000]	>1000
Proportion of well predicted pastures	84	44	51	57	58	65

Finally, the validation results are varying with the type of pasture as shown in table 2. The joined classes “pasture” and “pasture+orchard” are representing quite well the group of good pastures. Almost all the classes are participating to the group of woody pasture.

Tab 2: Validation results for CLASSIF 2 as function of the type of pasture (“pasture”, “good pasture” and “woody pasture”).

	Pasture%	Good pasture%	Woody pasture%
% of total pasture	68.2	6.8	23.5
class 1 - water	2.5	0.8	3.3
class 6 - sugarcane	7.5	0.8	0.8
class 9 - wetsoil	3.3	0	0.8
class 16 - forest	6.7	0.8	4.2
class 17 – bare soil	10.8	0	3.3
class 18 - pasture	12.5	2.5	5
class 19 – past+orchard	26.7	2.5	3.3

In this study we pointed out the interest of non supervised classifications to map pastures types from satellite data in sub-tropical regions. The classification results are improved if the different steps are applied separately to the regions which are varying by their environmental factors as the soil type, and then merged.

References

- Adams, J.B., Sabol, D.E., Kapos, V., Almeida, R., Roberts, D.A., Smith, M.O., Gillespie, A.R., 1995. Classification of multispectral images based on fractions of endmembers - application to land-cover change in the brazilian amazon. *Remote Sens Environ*, 52 (2): 137-154.
- Hernandez, P.F., Ponzoni, F.J., Pereira, M.N., 1998. Mapeamento da Fitofisionomia e do uso da terra de parte da bacia do alto taquari mediante o uso de imagens TM/Landsat e HRV/SPOT. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira*, 33: 1755-1762.
- Lillesand, T.M., Kiefer, R.W., 1987. *Remote sensing and Image Interpretation*, Second edition, Jhon Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y. 721p.
- Mathieu, R. Pouget, M. Cervelle, B. Escadafal, R., 1998. Relationships between satellite-based radiometric indices simulated using laboratory reflectance data and typic soil color of an arid environment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 66: 17-28.
- Price, K.P., Pyke, D.A., Mendes, L., 1992. Shrub dieback in a semiarid ecosystem: The integration of remote sensing and geographic information systems for detecting vegetation change, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote sensing*, 58: 455-463.
- Quattrochi, D.A., Pelletier, R.E., 1991. Remote sensing for analysis of landscapes: an introduction, *Quantitative methods in Landscape Ecology: The analysis and Interpretation of Landscape Heterogeneity*, M.G. Turner, and R.H. Gardner, editors, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 51-76.
- Rougharden, J., Running, S.W., Matson, P.A., 1991. What does remote sensing do for ecology? *Ecology*, 72: 1918-1922.