

Reprinted from

Pole 2
Ex. remis
par M. GALLON

JOURNAL of CHROMATOGRAPHY A

Journal of Chromatography A, 805 (1998) 143-147

Rapid and sensitive liquid chromatographic method using a conductivity detector for the determination of phytic acid in food

Pascale Talamond^{a,*}, Georges Gallon^b, Serge Treche^b

^aLaboratoire de Biotechnologie Microbienne Tropicale, Centre ORSTOM de Montpellier, BP 5045, 911, Avenue d'Agropolis, 34032 Montpellier Cedex, France

^bLaboratoire de Nutrition Tropicale, Centre ORSTOM de Montpellier, BP 5045, 911, Avenue d'Agropolis, 34032 Montpellier Cedex, France

Received 29 May 1997; received in revised form 15 December 1997; accepted 16 January 1998

Fonds Documentaire ORSTOM



010015731



ELSEVIER

Fonds Documentaire ORSTOM

Cote : B * 15731 Ex : 1

JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY A

INCLUDING ELECTROPHORESIS MASS SPECTROMETRY AND OTHER SEPARATION AND DETECTION METHODS

Scope. The *Journal of Chromatography* publishes papers on all aspects of separation science including chromatography, electrochromatography, electrophoresis, hyphenated and other multi-dimensional techniques, sample preparation as well as detection methods such as mass spectrometry. Contributions consist mainly of research papers dealing with chromatographic and electrophoretic theory, instrumental developments and their analytical and preparative applications. Section A covers all areas except biomedical sciences and biomedical applications of separation science, which are published in section B: *Biomedical Sciences and Applications*.

Submission of Papers. The preferred medium of submission is on disk with accompanying manuscript. Manuscripts (in English; four copies are required) should be submitted to: Editorial Office of *Journal of Chromatography A*, P.O. Box 681, 1000 AR Amsterdam, Netherlands, Telefax (+31-20) 485 2304. Review articles are invited or proposed in writing to the Editors who welcome suggestions for subjects. An outline of the proposed review should first be forwarded to the Editors for preliminary discussion prior to preparation. Submission of an article is understood to imply that the article is original and unpublished and is not being considered for publication elsewhere.

Subscription information. For 1998 Vols. 785–821 of *Journal of Chromatography A* (ISSN 0021-9673) are scheduled for publication. Subscription prices for *Journal of Chromatography A + B* (combined), or for section A or B are available upon request from the publisher. Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calendar year basis. Issues are sent by surface mail except to the following countries where air delivery via SAL is ensured: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Hong Kong, India, Israel, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Pakistan, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, USA. For all other countries airmail rates are available upon request. **Claims for missing issues must be made within six months of our publication (mailing) date.**

Orders, claims, and product enquiries: please contact the Customer Support Department at the Regional Sales Office nearest you:

New York
Elsevier Science
P.O. Box 945
New York, NY 10159-0945
USA
Tel. (+1)212-633-3730
[Toll free number for North
American customers:
1-888-4ES-INFO (437-4636)]
Fax (+1)212-633-3680
e-mail usinfo-f@elsevier.com

Amsterdam
Elsevier Science
P.O. Box 211
1000 AE Amsterdam
The Netherlands
Tel. (+31)20-4853757
Fax (+31)20-4853432
e-mail nlinfo-f@elsevier.nl

Tokyo
Elsevier Science
9-15 Higashi-Azabu 1-chome
Minato-ku, Tokyo 106
Japan
Tel. (+81)3-5561-5033
Fax (+81)3-5561-5047
e-mail info@elsevier.co.jp

Singapore
Elsevier Science
No. 1 Temasek Avenue
#17-01 Millenia Tower
Singapore 039192
Tel. (+65)434-3727
Fax (+65)337-2230
e-mail asiainfo@elsevier.com.sg

Enquiries concerning manuscripts and proofs: questions arising after acceptance of the manuscript, especially those relating to proofs, should be directed to:

Elsevier Science B.V., *Journal of Chromatography*; Tel. (+31-20)485 2793; Fax (+31-20) 485 2775; E-mail: k.crabbendam@elsevier.nl

For information on editorial matters (including submission, reviews and revision of manuscripts) please contact: Editorial Office, *Journal of Chromatography*, P.O. Box 681, 1000 AR Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Tel.: (+31-20) 485 2794; Fax: (+31-20)485 2304; E-mail: chrom-ee@elsevier.nl

PUBLICATION SCHEDULE FOR THE 1998 SUBSCRIPTION

Journal of Chromatography A

MONTH	Oct.–Dec. '97	Jan.–Mar.	Apr.	May	
Journal of Chromatography A	785–792	793–800	802/1* 802/2 803/1 + 2 804/1 + 2	805/1 + 2 806/1 806/2 807/1 807/2 808/1 + 2	The publication schedule for further issues will be published later
Bibliography Section		820/1			

* Vol. 801 will contain the cumulative Author, Subject and Compound Indexes and will be published out of sequence.



ContentsDirect, the free e-mail alerting service, delivers the table of contents for this journal directly to your PC, prior to publication. The quickest way to register for **Contents Direct** is via the Internet at: <http://www.elsevier.nl/locate/ContentsDirect>. If you don't have access to the Internet you can register for this service by sending an e-mail message to csubsub@elsevier.co.uk – specifying the title of the publication you wish to register for.



ELSEVIER

Journal of Chromatography A, 805 (1998) 143–147

JOURNAL OF
CHROMATOGRAPHY A

Rapid and sensitive liquid chromatographic method using a conductivity detector for the determination of phytic acid in food

Pascale Talamond^{a,*}, Georges Gallon^b, Serge Treche^b

^aLaboratoire de Biotechnologie Microbienne Tropicale, Centre ORSTOM de Montpellier, BP 5045, 911, Avenue d'Agropolis, 34032 Montpellier Cedex, France

^bLaboratoire de Nutrition Tropicale, Centre ORSTOM de Montpellier, BP 5045, 911, Avenue d'Agropolis, 34032 Montpellier Cedex, France

Received 29 May 1997; received in revised form 15 December 1997; accepted 16 January 1998

Abstract

An LC method was developed for the determination of phytic acid in food. The separation was carried out by gradient elution on an anion-exchange column using a conductivity detector. Earlier reversed-phase LC procedures for the quantitation of phytic acid usually required a prepurification step. The prepurification can be avoided by the separation method described in this paper. The method is sensitive and selective, and can be rapidly and easily performed. It is therefore suitable for routine determination. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Food analysis; Phytic acid

1. Introduction

Most plants contain phytic acid salts (phytic acid or [myo-inositol 1,2,3,4,5,6 hexakis(dihydro phosphate)] acid) (Fig. 1). These salts are mainly present in grains and seeds of which they represent 1 to 5% of the dry matter content, and account for 50–90% of total phosphorus [1]. Particularly abundant in leguminous plants and cereals, phytates contribute to the reduction of the bioavailability of divalent minerals such as Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, Cu, Co [2]. Moreover, phytates also interact with proteins by making stable bonds [3]. They can hence be considered as potential enzyme inhibitors [4]. The degradation processes of phytates have been well studied: they usually involve chemical and enzymic dephosphorylation reactions

during germination [5] and fermentation [6]. The degradation of phytic acid leads to mono- to pentakisphosphates whose anti-nutritional effects increase




Fig. 1. Structure of inositol 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexaphosphate (or phytic acid).

*Corresponding author.

with the phosphorylation degree [7]. A rapid and reliable method for the quantitation of phytic acid and its salts in food is therefore required for the evaluation and improvement of food nutritional value. These aspects are particularly important for foods that constitute the staple of groups of population deficient in essential minerals, such as infants and young children in developing countries [4].

Three types of analytical method are available for the quantitation of phytic acid: gravimetric methods, volumetric methods [8,9] and methods involving column liquid chromatography (LC).

Early applications of reserved-phase LC [10,11] used either refractometric or spectrophotometric detection. Refractive index detection was further improved by sample prepurification [12,13]. Rounds and Nielsen [14] described another method involving separation on an anion-exchange column followed by derivatization with a chromophoric reagent (FeCl_3 -sulfosalicylic solution). Detection was carried out at 500 nm. These additional steps (prepurification and derivatization) are time consuming. As phytates are highly ionized, our separation was performed with an anion-exchange column and detection was ensured with a conductivity detector. This system of detection was shown by Scott [15] to be highly sensitive.

The purpose of this paper was to develop a more rapid procedure for phytic acid assay based on separation with anion-exchange column with a conductivity detector. The main performance features of this method were examined and tests were performed to ensure the validity of the analytical results.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Reagents

Reagents were of analytical and chromatographic grade: methanol (Carlo Erba, Nanterre, France, Ref. 525102); isopropanol (Carlo Erba, Ref. 415154); sulphuric acid (E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany, Ref. 100731); aqueous sodium hydroxide 50% solution (Baker, Deventer, Netherlands, Ref. 7067) and sodium phytate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA, Ref. 3168) were used as standard. Water was deionized

(18 M Ω) by a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

2.1.2. Instrumentation

LC analyses were performed with a 4500i Dionex (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) liquid chromatograph equipped with an eluent delivery pump, an auto-injector and a conductivity detector. A 200- μl constant volume injection loop was used throughout.

Data collection and handling were carried out by a Dionex AI450 software.

A centrifugal evaporator RC10.10 (Jouan, Saint-Nazaire, France) fitted with a refrigerated trap cooled at -60°C (Jouan RCT60) was used for concentration of extract. Sample solutions were diluted with a Gilson (Middleton, WI, USA) semi-automatic dilutor (401 Dilutor) prior to injection.

2.1.3. Sample preparation

Flours were prepared with germinated and ungerminated seeds of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* spp.) and millet (*Pennisetum* spp.) varieties cultivated in Senegal. The seeds were first soaked in water for 24 h and ungerminated at 30°C for 48–72 h. They were then dried at 45°C for about 48 h, finely ground and passed through a 0.5-mm sieve. These samples were used to study the specificity, linearity range, accuracy, precision and sensitivity of the analytical method described [16,17].

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Phytate extraction

0.2 g of flour was introduced in a pyrex vial with a PTFE screw-cap. Ten ml of 0.5 M HCl were added and the vial was capped. The mixture was heated under stirring for 5 min by immersing the vial in boiling water and then centrifuged at 4000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was recovered and 1.5 ml of 12 M HCl was added to obtain a 2 M HCl concentration in order to ensure the decomplexation of phytates. The resulting solution was then shaken and evaporated to dryness with a centrifugal evaporator. The vial was finally stored at 8°C .

Ten min before chromatography, the residue was diluted in 1 ml of deionized water and filtered through a 0.2- μm disposable filter (Acrodisc) tip-syringe assembly. The filtrate was then diluted in

Table 1
Gradient elution program for the separation of phytic acid

Elution time (min)	Flow-rate (ml/min)	%A	%B	%C
0.0	1	35	2	63
2.0	1	54	2	44
9.5	1	55	2	43
10.5	1	35	2	63
15	1	35	2	63

deionized water (1:50) and injected into the liquid chromatograph.

2.2.2. Chromatographic conditions

The separation was carried out with an Omnipac Pax-100 anion-exchange column (25 cm×4 mm I.D.; Dionex) equipped with an Omnipac Pax-100 (8 μm) pre-column and an anion suppressor (ASRS-I 4 mm). Each eluent of the mobile phase was previously degassed in an ultrasonic bath and then introduced in the eluent delivery system under helium pressure.

The separation was performed by gradient elution using three solvents: solvent A=200 mM NaOH solution; solvent B=deionized water–isopropanol (1:1, v/v); solvent C=deionized water.

After several attempts, the gradient elution programme shown in Table 1 was selected with a total run time of 15 min. The anion suppressor was continually regenerated with a 50 mM sulphuric acid solution. Several solutions of phytic acid with a concentration from 0.01 to 0.16 mM were prepared from a standard solution by external calibration.

3. Results and discussion

The present approach for phytic acid analysis based on separation with an anion-exchange column and conductivity detection using three solvents for gradient elution and the performance criteria of the method are discussed below.

The plot of phytate concentration versus peak area in the range 0–0.16 mM was linear [$R^2 > 0.9992$ with a slope ($n=4$) $a=13983 \pm 98$]. The retention time of phytate was 6.0 ± 0.2 min with no day-to-day variation over a 5-month period, as shown in Fig. 2.

The experimental bias was determined by analys-




Fig. 2. Elution profile of phytic acid standard^a and of the food sample^a. ^aSeparation of phytic acid on a Omnipac Pax-100 column; eluents: 200 mM NaOH, water–isopropanol (1:1, v/v) and water (18 mΩ); detection: chemically suppressed conductivity using an ASRS-I 4 mm.

ing a reference cowpea sample extract to which a standard solution of phytic acid was added. Three identical solutions (5 ml) were prepared from the reference sample and 1 mM standard solution of phytic acid (120 μl). The analyte recovery ranged between 109% and 99%.

To determine recovery, 15 replicate extractions were performed using the standard solution of phytic acid (3.25 mM). The mean concentration value recovered was 3.22 ± 0.11 mM, representing 99% with a (95%) confidence range of 3.15–3.28 mM.

After calculating the calibration plot and prognosis interval, the limits of detection and quantification were evaluated graphically according to Miller and Miller [19]. The limit of quantification was determined by analysing phytic acid solutions of five different concentrations (0.02 mM, 0.01 mM, 0.005 mM, 0.002 mM, 0.1 μM). The results of the regression analysis was: $Y=12354X$ with $R^2=0.9991$. The high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method therefore allows the quantitation of phytic acid down to 0.1 μM. The signal-to-noise ratio was higher than 10: the limit of detection was therefore less than 0.0001 μM.

3.1. Application

Methods previously published usually involved samples of 0.2 g dissolved in 10 ml of 0.5 M HCl solution [14–18]. The exchange capacity of the column used for prepurification not being a limiting

factor, the maximal quantifiable amount was therefore determined. Ungerminated cowpea samples were prepared in various concentrations (0.05–0.30 g in 10 ml of 0.5 M HCl). The measured concentrations of phytic acid (Y , mM) were plotted against the amounts added to samples (X , g). The graph (Fig. 3) showed a good linear relationship up to 0.2 g of phytic acid. The results of the regression analysis performed on the five points: $Y=8.9153X$ with $R^2=0.9966$. The optimum phytic acid concentration in cowpea is 2 mM, corresponding to about 0.2 g of sample. Volume of solvent was only 10 ml, as compared to 30 ml in reversed-phase technique, thus permitting concentration to 1 ml final volume within a shorter time.

To determine the method reproducibility, six different samples from the same batch of ungerminated cowpea (flour) were repeatedly analyzed daily for four days. Precision for replicate injection ($n=6$) was 5% [relative standard deviation (R.S.D.) of repeatability] and 7% (R.S.D. of reproducibility).

Four samples of germinated and ungerminated cowpea and millet were analyzed. The sensitivity was determined by calculating the confidence limits [$\mu=x\pm t(s/n^{1/2})$, where s =standard deviation and n =number of replicates] that can be detected by the test value at the 95% confidence level. Confidence limits ranged from 0.02 to 0.15 mM depending on the sample studied.

4. Conclusions

The use of gradient anion-exchange HPLC with conductivity detection offers several advantages over previously published procedures for the determination of myo-inositol phosphates: (i) sample preparation is minimal: the lengthy purification step (1–2 days for 5–10 samples) is avoided and no derivatisation or precipitation is required. (ii) Evaporation of sample extract takes a shorter time (saving: 1 day) and the precision is improved by reducing the final solubilization volume (by 2/3). (iii) The retention time of phytic acid is only 6 min.

Comparison with other methods was difficult to perform because of lack of over data. However, as shown in Table 2, the sensitivity of ionic HPLC method with conductivity detection compared to reversed-phase HPLC method with refractive index detection makes the former useful for phytate analysis.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Sylvie Doubeau and Isabelle Rochette for technical assistance. Their appreciation also goes to G. Rocquelin, M. Persin, J.P. Guyot, M. Pansu A.M. Siouffi and I. Mbome-Lape for advice and many useful discussions.




Fig. 3. Determination of optimum phytic acid concentration.

Table 2
Comparison of ionic HPLC analysis method with other HPLC analysis methods for the quantitation of phytic acid

	Sandberg and Ahderinne [13]	Matthäus et al. [18]	Our proposed method
Number of replicates	6	10	6
<i>Replicate analyses of a standard</i>			
Average value (mM)	5.90		1.068
R.S.D.	2.0%	2.1%	0.56%
Limit of detection (μM)		0.056	0.0001
<i>Replicate analyses of a food sample</i>			
Sample nature	Textured soy flour	Rapeseed	Cowpea
Average value ($\mu\text{M}/\text{g}$)	21.8		8.4
R.S.D. ^a	5.7%	5.6%	3.6%

^a R.S.D.=relative standard deviation.

References

- [1] A.R. De Boland, G.B. Garner, B.L. O'Deal, J. Agric. Food Chem. 23 (1975) 1186.
- [2] B. Nolan, P.A. Duffin, D.J. McWeeny, J. Sci. Food Agric. 40 (1987) 79.
- [3] D. Oberleas, Cereal Foods World 28(6) (1983) 352.
- [4] P. Besançon, in S. Trèche, B. de Benoist, D. Benbouzid, A. Verster and F. Delpeuch (Editors), L'Alimentation de Complément du Jeune Enfant, Orstom, Paris, 1995, p. 105.
- [5] J.K. Chavan, S.S. Kadam, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 28 (1989) 401.
- [6] J.K. Chavan, S.S. Kadam, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 28 (1989) 349.
- [7] B. Lönnerdal, A.-S. Sandberg, B. Sandström, C. Kunz, J. Nutr. 119 (1989) 211.
- [8] R. Holt, J. Sci. Food Agric. 6 (1955) 136.
- [9] N.T. Davies, H. Reid, Br. J. Nutr. 41 (1979) 579.
- [10] B. Tangendjaja, K.A. Buckle, M. Wootton, J. Chromatogr. 197 (1980) 274.
- [11] E. Graf, F.R. Dintzis, Anal. Biochem. 119 (1982) 413.
- [12] A.S. Sandberg, R. Ahderinne, J. Food Sci. 51 (1986) 547.
- [13] C. Marquié, L. Segueilha, G. Moulin, V. Vialettes, P. Galzy, Coton Fibres Trop. 48 (1993) 87.
- [14] M.A. Rounds, S.S. Nielsen, J. Chromatogr. A 653 (1993) 148.
- [15] R.P. Scott, Liquid Chromatography Detectors, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1977, p. 79.
- [16] J. Caporal et al., S.T.P. Pharma 6 (1990) 588.
- [17] D. Lecompte, S.T.P. Pharma 2 (1986) 843.
- [18] B. Matthäus, R. Loising, H.J. Fiebig, Fat Sci. Technol. 97 (1995) 289.
- [19] J.C. Miller and J.N. Miller, Statistics for Analytical Chemistry, Ellis Horwood, Wiley, 1984, ISBN-085312-662-3.



JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY A

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

(Detailed *Instructions to Authors* were published in *J. Chromatogr. A*, Vol. 777, pp. 393–400. A free reprint can be obtained by application to the publisher, Elsevier Science B.V., P.O. Box 330, 1000 AH Amsterdam, Netherlands.) The instructions can also be found on the Analytical Separation Home Page on the World Wide Web: access under <http://www.elsevier.nl/locate/chrom> or <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/chrom>

Journal of Chromatography has no page charges

Types of Contributions. The following types of papers are published: Regular research papers (full-length papers), Review articles, Short Communications, Discussions and Letters to the Editor. Short Communications are usually descriptions of short investigations, or they can report minor technical improvements of previously published procedures; they reflect the same quality of research as full-length papers, but should preferably not exceed five printed pages. Discussions (one or two pages) should explain, amplify, correct or otherwise comment substantively upon an article recently published in the journal. Letters to the Editor (max. two printed pages) bring up ideas, comments, opinions, experiences, advice, disagreements, insights, etc. For Review articles, see inside front cover under Submission of Papers.

Submission. Every paper must be accompanied by a letter from the senior author, stating that he/she is submitting the paper for publication in the *Journal of Chromatography A*.

Authors in Japan please note: Upon request, Elsevier Science Japan will provide authors with a list of people who can check and improve the English of their paper (*before submission*). Please contact our Tokyo office: Elsevier Science Japan, 1-9-15 Higashi-Azabu, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106; Tel. (03)-5561-5032, Fax (03)-5561-5045.

Manuscripts. Manuscripts should be typed in **double spacing** on consecutively numbered pages of uniform size. The manuscript should be preceded by a sheet of manuscript paper carrying the title of the paper and the name and full postal address of the person to whom the proofs are to be sent. As a rule, papers should be divided into sections, headed by a caption (e.g., Abstract, Introduction, Experimental, Results, Discussion, etc.). All illustrations, photographs, tables, etc., should be on separate sheets. *Electronic manuscripts:* Electronic manuscripts have the advantage that there is no need for the rekeying of text, thereby avoiding the possibility of introducing errors and resulting in reliable and fast delivery of proofs. For the initial submission of manuscripts for consideration, hardcopies are sufficient. Upon revision, your disk and (**exactly matching**) printed version (printout, hardcopy) should be submitted together to the accepting editor or Editorial Office **according to their request**. Double density (DD) or high density (HD) diskettes (3 $\frac{1}{2}$ or 5 $\frac{1}{4}$ inch) are acceptable. It is important that the file saved is in the native format of the wordprocessor program used. Label the disk with the name of the computer and wordprocessing package used, your name, and the name of the file on the disk. Further information may be obtained from the Publisher.

Abstract. All articles should have an abstract of 50–100 words which clearly and briefly indicates what is new, different and significant. No references should be given.

Introduction. Every paper must have a concise introduction mentioning what has been done before on the topic described, and stating clearly what is new in the paper now submitted.

Experimental conditions should preferably be given on a *separate* sheet, headed "Conditions". These conditions will, if appropriate, be printed in a block, directly following the heading "Experimental".

Illustrations. The figures should be submitted in a form suitable for reproduction, drawn in Indian ink on drawing or tracing paper. Each illustration should have a caption, *all the captions being typed (with double spacing) together on a separate sheet*. If structures are given in the text, the original drawings should be provided. Coloured illustrations are reproduced at the author's expense. The written permission of the author and publisher must be obtained for the use of any figure already published. Its source must be indicated in the legend.

References. References should be numbered in the order in which they are cited in the text, and listed in numerical sequence on a separate sheet at the end of the article. Please check a recent issue for the layout of the reference list. Abbreviations for the titles of journals should follow the system used by *Chemical Abstracts*. Articles not yet published should be given as "in press" (journal should be specified), "submitted for publication" (journal should be specified), "in preparation" or "personal communication".

Vols. 1–651 of the *Journal of Chromatography*; *Journal of Chromatography, Biomedical Applications* and *Journal of Chromatography, Symposium Volumes* should be cited as *J. Chromatogr.* From Vol. 652 on, *Journal of Chromatography A* should be cited as *J. Chromatogr. A* and *Journal of Chromatography B* as *J. Chromatogr. B*.

Dispatch. Before sending the manuscript to the Editor please check that the envelope contains four copies of the paper complete with references, captions and figures. One of the sets of figures must be the originals suitable for direct reproduction. Please also ensure that permission to publish has been obtained from your institute.

Proofs. One set of proofs will be sent to the author to be carefully checked for printer's errors. Corrections must be restricted to instances in which the proof is at variance with the manuscript.

Reprints. Fifty reprints will be supplied free of charge. Additional reprints can be ordered by the authors. An order form containing price quotations will be sent to the authors.

For **publication schedule** see inside front cover.

