**Reprinted from** 

## Applied Soil Ecology

A section of Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment

Applied Soil Ecology 9 (1998) 189-195

## The diversity of digestive systems in tropical geophagous earthworms C. Lattaud<sup>a,\*</sup>, S<sup>1</sup>/Locati<sup>a</sup>, P. Mora<sup>b</sup>, C. Rouland<sup>b</sup>, P. Lavelle<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire d'Ecologie des Sols Tropicaux, ORSTOM Ile de France/Université Paris 6, 32 Av. Varagnat, 93143 Bondy Cedex, France <sup>b</sup> Laboratoire d'Ecophysiologie des Invertébrés, Université Paris XII Val de Marne, 94000, Créteil, France

Received 26 July 1996; accepted 2 September 1997







## Applied Soil Ecology

### A section of Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment

Aims and Scope. Applied Soil Ecology addresses the role of soil organisms and their interactions in relation to: agricultural productivity, nutrient cycling and other soil processes, the maintenance of soil structure and fertility, the impact of human activities and xenobiotics on soil ecosystems and bio(techno)logical control of soil-inhabiting pests, diseases and weeds. Such issues are the basis of sustainable agricultural and forestry systems and the long-term conservation of soils in both the temperate and tropical regions.

The disciplines covered include the following, and preference is given to articles which are interdisciplinary and integrate two or more of these disciplines:

- Soil microbiology and microbial ecology
- Soil invertebrate zoology and ecology
- · Root and rhizosphere ecology
- · Soil science
- Soil biotechnology
- Ecotoxicology

- Nematology
- Entomology
- Plant pathology
- Agronomy and sustainable agriculture
- Nutrient cycling
- Ecosystem modelling and food webs

The journal publishes original papers, review articles, short communications, letters to the editor, editorials, book reviews and announcements.

#### **EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

*For the Americas:* C.A. Edwards, The Ohio State University, Dept. of Entomology, 10 Botany and Zoology Building, 1735 Neil Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210-1220, USA, Tel. (+1-614) 292 3786, Fax (+1-614) 292 2180, e-mail.soilecol@osu. edu

For the rest of the world: J.P. Curry, University of Dublin, Department of Environmental Resource Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland. Tel: +353 1 706 7737, Fax: +353 1 706 1102, e-mail: james.curry@ucd.ie.

#### EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

T.H. Anderson, Braunschweig, Germany D. Atkinson, Edinburgh, UK J.M. Barea, Granada, Spain M.H. Beare, Christchurch, New Zealand P.J. Bohlen, Millbrook, NY, USA E.P. Caswell-Chen, Davis, CA, USA C. Chenu, Versailles, France B.T. Christensen, Tjele, Denmark D.C. Coleman, Athens, GA, USA B.M. Doube, Glen Osmond, S.A., Australia D.W. Freckman, Fort Collins, CO, USA R. Gaugler, New Brunswick, NJ, USA S. Hansen, Frederiksberg, C, Denmark H.A.J. Hoitink, Wooster, OH, USA D. Krivolutsky, Moscow, Russia R. Laskowski, Kraków, Poland P. Lavelle, Bondy, France J.M. Lynch, Guildford, UK E.A. Paul, East Lansing, MI, USA K. Paustian, Fort Collins, CO, USA N. Sanginga, Ibadan, Nigeria M.C. Scholes, Wits, Republic of South Africa K. Smalla, Braunschweig, Germany B. Söderström, Lund, Sweden Z. Sun, Beijing, People's Republic of China J.D. van Elsas, Wageningen, Netherlands M. van Noordwijk, Bogor, Indonesia N.M. van Straalen, Amsterdam, Netherlands J.M. Whipps, Wellesbourne, UK P.L. Woomer, Nairobi, Kenya

Publication information. Applied Soil Ecology (ISSN 0929-1393). For 1998 volumes 7–9 are scheduled for publication. Subscribers to Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment will have the opportunity to subscribe to Applied Soil Ecology at a special reduced subscription rate. Subscription prices are available upon request from the Publisher. Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calandar year basis. Issues are sent by surface mail except to the following countries where air delivery via SAL mail is ensured: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Hong Kong, India, Israel, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Pakistan, PR China, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, USA. For all other countries are available upon request. Claims for missing issues should be made within six months of our publication (mailing) date.

Orders, claims, and product enquiries: please contact the Customer Support Department at the Regional Sales Office nearest you:

**New York:** Elsevier Science, P.O. Box 945, New York, NY 10159-0945, USA; Tel. (+1)212-633-3730, [Toll free number for North American customers: 1-888-4ES-INFO (437-4636)], Fax (+1)212-633-3680, E-mail usinfo-f@elsevier.com.

Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, P.O. Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Tel. (+31)20-485-3757, Fax (+31) 20-485-3432, E-mail nlinfo-f@elsevier.nl.

Tokyo: Elsevier Science, 9-15, Higashi-Azabu 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106, Japan; Tel. (+81)3-5561-5033, Fax (+81)3-5561-5047, E-mail info@elsevier.co.jp.

Singapore: Elsevier Science, No. 1 Temasek Avenue, #17-01 Millenia Tower, Singapore 039192; Tel. (+65)434-3727, Fax (+65)337-2230, E-mail asiainfo@elsevier.com.sg.



Applied Soil Ecology 9 (1998) 189-195

### Applied Soil Ecology

### The diversity of digestive systems in tropical geophagous earthworms

C. Lattaud<sup>a,\*</sup>, S. Locati<sup>a</sup>, P. Mora<sup>b</sup>, C. Rouland<sup>b</sup>, P. Lavelle<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire d'Ecologie des Sols Tropicaux, ORSTOM Ile de France/Université Paris 6, 32 Av. Varagnat, 93143 Bondy Cedex, France <sup>b</sup> Laboratoire d'Ecophysiologie des Invertébrés, Université Paris XII Val de Marne, 94000, Créteil, France

Received 26 July 1996; accepted 2 September 1997

#### Abstract

Some of the enzymes found in the gut contents of endogeic geophagous earthworms are produced by ingested microflora. This study compares the origin and activities of glucosidic enzymes present in the gut contents of adult *Polypheretima elongata* from Sainte Anne (Martinique), *Pontoscolex corethrurus* from Palma Sola, Veracruz (Mexico) and *Millsonia anomala* from Lamto (Côte d'Ivoire). Substrates characteristic of plant material were used to compare enzymatic capabilities of gut contents and isolated gut tissues. All substrates tested were digested which indicates that all three species may use root and fungal substrates available in soils. In vitro tissue culture of *P. elongata* produced all the glucosidic enzymes unlike cultures of the other two species which required microbial activity to synthetize mannanase and cellulase; moreover, glucosidic activities measured in *P. elongata* were higher than those found in *P. corethrurus* and *M. anomala*. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Earthworms; Glucosidic digestive enzymes; Pontoscolex corethrurus; Polypheretima elongata; Millsonia anomala; In vitro intestinal tissue culture; Ingested microflora

#### 1. Introduction

Endogeic earthworms are a dominant component of soil animal communities in humid tropical savannas. Their high rates of ingestion and specific digestive processes allow them to feed on soils which are poor in organic matter and to enlarge their ecological niche (Lavelle, 1983). Earthworms play an active part in soil organic matter dynamics and nutrient turnover. The intestinal mucus of these earthworms contains easily metabolizable components that disappear rapidly during gut transit and trigger a flush of microbial activity and the subsequent digestion of organic matter

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 48 025501; fax: +33 48 473088; e-mail: lavelle@bondy.orstom.fr

0929-1393/98/\$19.00 © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. *PII* \$0929-1393(98)00074-2

observed in the gut (Barois and Lavelle, 1986). Endogeic earthworms seem to digest soil organic matter through a mutualistic earthworm-microflora digestion system and the production of the intestinal mucus is a key process in earthworm digestion (Lavelle et al., 1995). In fact, the majority of soil invertebrates do not seem to possess enzymes capable of digesting cellulose, lignin, tannin and humic complexes, which are the most available resources in soil, directly. Although enzymes such as cellulase are present in the gut contents of some soil invertebrates, they seem to be produced by ingested micro-organisms rather than by the invertebrate itself (Loquet and Vinceslas, 1987; Urbasek, 1990).

The aim of our experiments was to identify glucosidic enzymatic activities in the gut of some tropical endogeic earthworms (Megascolecidae) and to determine whether these enzymes were produced by the worms themselves or by the ingested soil microflora. These activities were measured in the gut (wall and contents) in order to demonstrate the origin of these enzymes, a tissue culture of gut walls was achieved and enzymatic activities were evaluated both in the cultured tissues and in the culture media.

#### 2. Materials and methods

Adult earthworms *Pontoscolex corethrurus* Müll. from Palma Sola, Veracruz (Mexico), *Polypheretima elongata* Perr. from Sainte Anne (Martinique) and *Millsonia anomala* Omo. collected at Lamto (Côte d'Ivoire) were maintained in their original soil in a control chamber at 28°C.

#### 2.1. Preparation of enzyme solutions

Earthworms were dissected in icy physiological Holtfreter solution (8.77‰ NaCl, w/v). The gut, comprising wall tissues and contents, was separated into two parts. Portions of each part of the gut from between seven and ten earthworms, depending on the species, were pooled, homogenized and dialised as described by Zhang et al. (1993). The solution obtained was used as the source of the enzyme.

For the in vitro culture of intestinal tissues, foregut, midgut and hindgut, samples were placed into issue culture dishes in a culture medium described by Lattaud et al. (1997a, b). The dishes were agitated for 3 to 7 days in a control chamber at 28°C. At the end of the culture period, the cultured gut wall tissues and the culture media were frozen. Enzyme solutions from tissues and culture media were prepared following Lattaud et al. (1997b).

#### 2.2. Enzyme activity assay

A large range of synthetic oligosaccharide, heteroside and polysaccharide substrates, selected mainly because of their plant origin, were tested, and glucosidic activities in the gut were assayed using the methods described by Zhang et al. (1993). Specific glucosidic activities in the gut and tissue culture were expressed as  $\mu g$  glucose released per mg of protein per minute; for the culture media the glucosidic activities were expressed as the total activity ( $\mu g$  glucose per minute).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Specific glucosidic activities in the gut

All the substrates tested could be digested by the three earthworm species studied, which indicates that these earthworms were able to degrade the root and fungal substrates available in soils (Tables 1-3). Enzymatic glucosidic release was almost non-existent in the first part of their gut which included the pharynx, oesophagus, crop and gizzard. However, glucosidic activities were higher in the gut of P. elongata and M. anomala than in the gut of P. corethrurus. Among the oligosaccharides tested, maltose was the most readily degraded substrate. This was mainly in the foregut, midgut and hindgut of P. elongata and M. anomala. N-acetylglucosaminase had the highest activity of the heterosidases detected in each species, with maximum activity localized in the foregut of *M. anomala*, in the foregut and midgut of P. corethrurus and in the hindgut of P. elongata. All the polysaccharides were broken down to varying extents: very high specific activity was detected on starch in the three parts of the gut of P. elongata and in the foregut and midgut of P. corethrurus; a high laminarinase-specific activity was also observed in the foregut of P. elongata and M. anomala. It is worth noting that cellulase- and mannanase-specific activities were present in the gut of the three species although both cellulose and mannan were weakly degraded.

#### 3.2. Specific activities in tissue culture

The quantities of cultured gut wall tissues and culture media recovered for *P. elongata* and *M. anomala* were sufficient to allow quantitative analysis of the glucosidic enzymatic activities but not enough cultured intestinal tissues were available for quantitative studies of *P. corethrurus*. The maximum glucosidic activities in tissue culture medium of *P. corethrurus* and *P. elongata* were obtained after 3 days of culture and the intestinal wall tissues of *M. anomala* showed highest glucosidic activities after 3, 5 or 7 days,

#### Table 1

Enzyme activities in the gut of Pontoscolex corethrurus<sup>a</sup>, in the intestinal wall tissues and culture media after 3 days of culture

	S.A. in the gut				S.A.in tissue culture			T.A. in culture media			
	p+o+c+g	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	
Oligosaccharides											
Maltose	3.64±0.61	$16.27 \pm 2.53$	$13.7 \pm 180$	$3.65 \pm 0.63$	++	++	++	+	+	+	
Laminaribiose	0	$4.89 \pm 0.02$	$3.11 \pm 0.02$	0.41							
Heterosides											
$\alpha$ -Glucoside	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	$1.48 \pm 0.17$	$1.52 \pm 0.11$	0.38±0.09							
$\beta$ -Glucoside	$0.35 \pm 0.01$	$1.32 \pm 0.02$	$1.95 \pm 0.02$	$1.3 \pm 0.01$							
N-acetyl	$2.98 \pm 0.94$	56.11±1.67	$70.58 \pm 9.18$	11.4±1.69	++	++	++	+	+	+	
Polysaccharides					1.						
Starch	$2.82 \pm 0.7$	$26.52 \pm 3.51$	$26.48 \pm 2.42$	$5.85 \pm 0.05$	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Cellulose	0.67	$1.89 \pm 0.02$	$1.99 {\pm} 0.01$	$1.85 \pm 0.01$	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Laminaran	$1.35 \pm 0.01$	$12.25 \pm 1.71$	$8.84{\pm}0.88$	$2.28 \pm 0.74$	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Mannan	0	$7.88 \pm 1.72$	9.74±1.34	$1.77 \pm 0.04$	0	0	0	0,	0	0	
Glucomannan	$1.85 \pm 0.02$	$12 \pm 0.02$	$8.22 \pm 0.04$	$3.35 \pm 0.02$							
Lichenin	$1.35 \pm 0.02$	$4.28 \pm 0.04$	$3.77 \pm 0.09$	$2.75 \pm 0.02$							

<sup>a</sup> Data from Zhang et al. (1993).

S.A. in the gut and tissue culture; specific glucosidic activity expressed as  $\mu g$  glucose. mg<sup>-1</sup> protein. mn<sup>-1</sup>.

T.A. in culture media: total glucosidic activity expressed as  $\mu g$  glucose. mn<sup>-1</sup>.

o, non-detectable glucosidic activity; +, weak glucosidic activity; ++, high glucosidic activity; p, pharynx; o, oesophagus; c, crop; g, gizzard. Cellulose and mannan were not broken down in the cultured tissues of gut wall of *P. corethrurus*.

depending on the substrate. Activities in the first part of the gut were nearly non-existent and only specific activities in the foregut, midgut and hindgut were evaluated. The glucosidic activities were higher in the tissue culture of P. elongata than in M. anomala. In each earthworm studied, the main oligosaccharidase-specific activities were detected on maltose. This was mainly after 3 and 7 days of culture in all three parts of the gut of P. elongata and in the hindgut of M. anomala. These activities were similar to those of the gut (walls and contents) for P. elongata and were approximately half those of the gut of M. anomala. An important maltase-specific activity was also observed in the cultured tissues of P. corethrurus. A high level of laminaribiase activity was released only from the foregut of P. elongata. The main heterosidase-specific activity was observed on N-acetylglucosamine in the foregut of P. elongata and P. corethrurus and in the foregut of M. anomala respectively after 3 and 5 days of culture; for P. elongata this activity remained higher in the tissue culture than in the gut. The obvious polysaccharidase activities observed were those of amylase, in all three parts of the cultured gut wall tissues of *P. elongata*. These activities were about half those of the foregut and midgut. Polysaccharidase activities were very weak in the tissue culture of the other two species. Among the other polysaccharides, laminaran and lichenin were very weakly degraded in the cultured intestinal tissues. It is worth noting that cellulose and mannan were broken down, except in the tissue culture of *M. anomala* and *P. corethrurus*.

#### 3.3. Total activity in the culture medium

Culture medium is full of exogenous proteins and a specific activity cannot be related to them; instead enzymatic activities were expressed as total activity ( $\mu$ g of reducing sugars. min<sup>-1</sup>) of 2.75 ml and 2.2 ml of culture medium for *P. elongata* and *M. anomala* respectively. For *P. corethrurus* the small quantities of cultured gut wall tissues and culture media did not allow quantitative determination of total activities in the culture media.

Among the oligosaccharides studied, high total activities on saccharose only appeared in the foregut,

Table 2	
Enzyme activities in the gut of Polypheretima elong	ata <sup>b</sup> , in the intestinal wall tissues and culture media after 3 days of culture

Polypheretima elongata										
	S.A. in the gut				S.A. in tissue culture			T.A. in culture media		
	P+O+c+g	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut
Oligosachharides										
Saccharose	$0.66 \pm 0.06$	37.06±3.56	26.79±1.44	$26.1 \pm 0.65$	$12.22 \pm 4.07$	28.11±3.86	$25.68 \pm 1.97$	$1.7 \pm 0.42$	$5.84{\pm}1.03$	
Maltose	$3.63 \pm 0.61$	79.43±4.5	55.89±4.54	63.51±4.61	82.59±0.27	$60.91 \pm 0.27$	$61.23 \pm 5.05$	4.79±0.97	$13.51 \pm 2.21$	
Laminaribiose	$0.18 \pm 0.02$	7.72±1.55	4.67±1.42	$4.62 \pm 1.3$	$62.22 \pm 0.74$	$17.92 \pm 0.66$	$10.97 \pm 1.32$	$5.5 \pm 1.2$	$4.28 \pm 1.12$	1.7±0.06
Heterosides								-		
$\alpha$ -Glucoside	$0.27 \pm 0.15$	4.86±0.95	$3.64 \pm 0.88$	$7.89 \pm 0.69$	$12.3 \pm 0.55$	$3.9 \pm 0.01$	$5.5 \pm 0.05$	$0.26 \pm 0.04$	0	0
$\beta$ -Glucoside	$0.62 \pm 0.09$	$7.46 \pm 0.83$	5.77±1.13	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	43.33±6.67	$9.92{\pm}0.49$	0	$3.18 \pm 0.87$	$1.04 \pm 0.32$	0
N-acetyl	$2.77 \pm 0.68$	26.33±4.85	14.97±0.82	$46.5 \pm 1.5$	167.5±2.5	$3.3 \pm 7.17$	$14.28 \pm 0.53$	$15.52 \pm 1.48$	$1.72 \pm 0.27$	$0.8 \pm 0.04$
Polysaccharides										
Starch	$1.75 \pm 0.31$	197.73±7.72	188.72±3.68	90.65±4.77	88±1.11 8	30±2.36	87.24±0.88	$0.34 \pm 0.08$	0	$2.52 \pm 0.74$
Cellulose	$0.75 \pm 0.03$	6.27±1.13	$8.58 \pm 1.62$	$1.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.54 \pm 0.01$	$0.26 \pm 0.10$	$0.44 \pm 0.08$	$0.42 \pm 0.03$	0
Laminaran	$0.28 \pm 0.04$	40.93±1.46	$19.35 \pm 0.89$	$10.46 \pm 0.49$	$1.55 \pm 0.01$	$2.64 \pm 0.08$	$0.36 \pm 0.02$	0	0	0
Mannan	$0.74{\pm}0.03$	$2.96 \pm 0.09$	$4.11 \pm 0.22$	$1.34 \pm 0.01$	$0.7 \pm 0.08$	$0.99 \pm 0.01$	0.27	$0.29 \pm 0.03$	$0.46 {\pm} 0.04$	0.071
Lichenin	$0.66 \pm 0.03$	$6.63{\pm}1.8$	$6.05 \pm 1.22$	26.27±0.55	$12.5 \pm 1.05$	$5.91 \pm 1.77$	$3.85{\pm}0.88$	0	0	0

<sup>b</sup> Data from Lattaud et al. (1997a)

S.A. in the gut and tissue culture; specific glucosidic activity expressed as  $\mu g$  glucose.  $mg^{-1}$  protein.  $mn^{-1}$ . T.A. in culture media: total glucosidic activity expressed as  $\mu g$  glucose.  $mn^{-1}$ .

.

o, non-detectable glucosidic activity; +, weak glucosidic activity; ++, high glucosidic activity; p, pharynx; o, oesophagus; c, crop; g, gizzard. Cellulose and mannan were broken down in the cultured tissues of gut wall of P. elongata.

#### Table 3

Enzyme activities in the gut of Millsonia anomala <sup>c</sup> after 7 days of culture. Mean of two independent assays  $\pm$  standard error

Millsonia anomala											
	S.A. in the g	ut			S.A. in tissue	culture		T.A. in culture media			_
	p+o+c+g	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	Foregut	Midgut	Hindgut	_
Oligosaccharides											
Saccharose	$0.29 \pm 0.01$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	$10.87 \pm 1.01$	9.18±2.19	$6.56 \pm 0.19$	$19.12 \pm 3.62$	34.06±7.09	26.19±0.97	27.94±1.24	$26.62 \pm 0.77$	
Maltose	$2.02 \pm 0.12$	48.64±4.55	47.41±4.46	30.39±3.24	39.02±3.25	$25.88 {\pm} 0.78$	$95.09 \pm 4.25$	0.04	0	0	
Laminaribiose	$1.77 \pm 0.08$	$13.51 \pm 2.02$	$16.60 \pm 2.14,27 \pm 2.14$		$19.24 \pm 2.43$	$30.10 \pm 2.84$	$19.16 \pm 2.12$	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	$0.19 \pm 0.03$	0.04	
Heterosides											
$\alpha$ -Glucoside	$0.81 \pm 0.65$	11.84±3.57	$1.19 \pm 0.35$	$1.46 \pm 0.21$	$0.93 {\pm} 0.05$	0	0.	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	0.029	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	
$\beta$ -Glucoside	$0.92 \pm 0.68$	7.86±0.39	$15.77 \pm 3.24$	$11.60 \pm 1.44$	$0.29 \pm 0.04$	$1.73 \pm 0.07$	$4.80 {\pm} 0.56$	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	0	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	
N-acetyl	$2.63 \pm 0.98$	87.39±9.01	$18.62 \pm 3.51$	19.71±3.25	16.87±1.06	8.18±0.29	$9.02 \pm 0.26$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	
Polysaccharides											
Starch	$0.36 \pm 0.01$	$0.99 \pm 0.05$	$12.54 \pm 0.35$	$12.11 \pm 3.51$	$0.36 \pm 0.03$	$1.76 \pm 0.14$	$0.92 \pm 0.06$	$0.96 \pm 0.02$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	
Cellulose	$0.28 \pm 0.16$	0.49±0.01	$1.46 \pm 0.23$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Laminaran	$0.88 \pm 0.03$	38.46±3.21	$7.49 \pm 3.24$	$1.84 \pm 0.26$	$7.09 \pm 1.70$	9.71±0.74	$2.45 \pm 0.21$	0.1	0.02	0	
Mannan	0	$5.60 \pm 0.88$	$3.93 \pm 0.93$	$1.62 \pm 0.36$	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lichenin	$0.87{\pm}0.02$	$1.50 {\pm} 0.64$	$1.74{\pm}0.23$	$0.77 \pm 0.06$	$1.93 {\pm} 0.42$	$14.49 \pm 0.75$	0	0.97±0.16	$0.57 \pm 0.16$	0.57±0.16	

<sup>c</sup> Data from Lattaud et al. (1997b).

S.A. in the gut and tissue culture; specific glucosidic activity expressed as  $\mu g$  glucose. mg<sup>-1</sup> protein. mn<sup>-1</sup>.

T.A. in culture media: total glucosidic activity expresed as  $\mu g$  glucose. mn<sup>-1</sup>.

o, non-detectable glucosidic activity; +, weak glucosidic activity; ++, high glucosidic activity; p, pharynx; o, oesophagus; c, crop; g, gizzard.

Cellulose and mannan were not broken down in the cultured tissues of gut wall of M. anomala.

midgut and hindgut culture media of M. anomala after 7 days and these activities reached values similar to those detected in the cultured midgut and hindgut. Total activities on saccharose and laminaribiose were practically non-existent after 3 or 5 days of culture. A moderate total activity on laminaribiose was also observed in the midgut and hindgut culture media of P. elongata. The results on the heterosides tested showed only a moderate total activity on N-acetylglucosamine in the foregut culture media of P. elongata. For polysaccharides, the activities on starch, laminaran and lichenin, although weak, were generally present on both media and cultured tissues of the three species, except for P. elongata. Weak total activities on cellulose and mannan were present on both media and cultured tissues of P. elongata, but they were missing on those of P. corethrurus and M. anomala.

#### 4. Discussion

This study demonstrated that these earthworms possessed a relatively complete glucosidic enzymatic system. However, the enzyme activities are rather weak compared to those of other invertebrates such as the snail Helix aspersa (Charrier and Rouland, 1992) and fungus-growing and xylophagous termites (Rouland et al., 1991). These activities can be compared to those detected in soil-feeding termites which are distinguished by their low oxidase content (Rouland, 1986). The earthworms studied feed on litter debris and soils poor in organic matter, which is consistent with the rather weak specific glucosidic activities detected in their gut. A large range of glucosidic substrates, characteristic of plant material, was broken down, which indicates that all three species may degrade root, litter and fungal substrates available in soils. In particular, they show a certain specific activity on cellulose and hemicellulose and therefore, they are likely to use most of the vegetal components in the soil for their nutrition. All the specific glucosidic activities detected in the gut and the intestinal tissue culture were higher in P. elongata than in M. anomala, which in turn showed higher activities than P. corethrurus. Maltose, N-acetylglucosamine, starch and laminaran were the substrates most efficiently hydrolysed on both gut and cultured tissues of the three species. However, glucosidic

activities in the gut of *M. anomala* indicate that this earthworm can hydrolyse chitin sub-units which constitute the fungal cell wall and starch, a root substrate, to glucose and is thus is likely to feed on fungi and roots available in soils. Amylase- and maltase-specific glucosidic activities were higher in the gut of *P. elongata* than in *M. anomala*, which suggests that *P. elongata* feeds mainly on root substrates.

Gut wall tissue culture showed that *M. anomala*, like *P. corethrurus*, requires the digestive capacities of ingested soil microflora in order to degrade cellulose and mannan, while *P. elongata* can synthetize all its required extra- and intracellular enzymes. Urbasek (1990) demonstrated that, among the epigeic and endogeic earthworm species studied, only *Lumbricus rubellus* depended on symbiotic cellulosic microflora and was able to degrade cellulose. In nature, few organisms possess enzymes which can to hydrolyse cellulose and mannan, the main plant constituents, and they make use, like *P. corethrurus* and *M. anomala*, either of ingested bacteria which show cellulolytic and mannanase activities, or of symbiotic bacteria in order to degrade the insoluble substrates.

High total activity on saccharose and low activities on oligosaccharides, heterosides and polysaccharides were detected for the *M. anomala* culture media. All the glucosidases released were extracellular enzymes. Gut wall tissues of *P. elongata*, cultured for 3 days, secreted extracellular oligosaccharidases and heterosidases and from the polysaccharidases, the only extracellular enzymes released, were amylases, cellulases and mannanases, while laminarinases and licheninases were never detected in the media. The absence of laminarinases and licheninases in the culture media suggests that their secretion is induced.

These results suggest that geophagous endogeic earthworms display rather variable adaptative characters which are undoubtedly linked to the different ecological categories and niches.

#### Acknowledgements

Y. Cavallazzi, ORSTOM / Bondy, is gratefully acknowledged for the linguistic revision, J.P. Rossi, ORSTOM-Université Paris VI/Bondy and G. Brown, University of Georgia, Athens, USA for their valuable criticism of this manuscript.

#### References

- Barois, I., Lavelle, P., 1986. Changes in respiration rate and some physicochemical properties of a tropical soil during transit through *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Glossoscolecidae Oligochaeta). Soil Biol. Biochem. 18, 539–541.
- Charrier, M., Rouland, C., 1992. Les osidases digestives de l'escargot *Helix aspersa*: localisations et variations en fonction de l'état nutritionnel. Can. J. Zool. 70, 2234–2241.
- Lattaud, C., Zhang, B.G., Locati, S., Rouland, C., 1997a. Activities of the digestive enzymes in the gut and in tissue culture of a tropical geophagous earthworm, *Polypheretima elongata* (Megascolecidae). Soil Biol. Biochem. 29, 335–339.
- Lattaud, C., Locati, S., Mora, P., Rouland, C., 1997b. Origin and activities of glucosidic enzymes in gut of the tropical geophagous earthworm *Millsonia anomala* from Lamto (Côte d'Ivoire). Pedobiologia 41, 242–251.

- Lavelle, P., 1983. The structure of earthworm communities. In: Satchell, J. (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology: from Darwin to Vermiculture. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 449–466.
- Lavelle, P., Lattaud, C., Trigo, D., Barois, I., 1995. Mutualism and biodiversity in soils. Plant Soil 30, 23–33.
- Loquet, M., Vinceslas, M., 1987. Cellulolyse et ligninolyse liées au tube digestif d'*Eisenia fetida andrei* Bouché. Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol 24, 559–571.
- Rouland, C., 1986. Contribution à l'étude des osidases digestives de plusieurs espèces de termites africains. Thesis Univ., Paris VI.
- Rouland, C., Lenoir, F., Lepage, M., 1991. The role of the symbiotic fungus in the digestive metabolism of several species of fungusgrowing termites. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 99A, 657–663.
- Urbasek, F., 1990. Cellulase activity in the gut of some earthworms. Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol 27, 21–28.
- Zhang, B.G., Rouland, C., Lattaud, C., Lavelle, P., 1993. Activity and origin of digestive enzymes in gut of the tropical earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus*. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 29, 7–11.



# Applied Soil Ecology

#### Notes to contributors

Submission of manuscripts. Manuscripts from the Americas should be submitted in triplicate to Prof. C.A. Edwards, The Ohio State University, Dept. of Entomology, 10 Botany and Zoology Building, 1735 Neil Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210-1220, USA. Tel. (+1-614)292 3786, Fax (+1-614)292 2180. Manuscripts from the rest of the world should be submitted to Prof. J.P. Curry, University of Dublin, Department of Environmental Resource Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland. Tel: +353 1 706 7737, Fax: +353 1 706 1102, e-mail: james.curry@ucd.ie.

**Electronic manuscripts.** Electronic manuscripts have the advantage that there is no need for the rekeying of text, thereby avoiding the possibility of introducing errors and resulting in reliable and fast delivery of proofs.

For the initial submission of manuscripts for consideration, hardcopies are sufficient. For the processing of **accepted papers**, electronic versions are preferred. After **final acceptance**, your disk plus three, final and exactly matching printed versions should be submitted together. Double density (DD) or high density (HD) diskettes (3.5 or 5.25 inch) are acceptable. It is important that the file saved is in the native format of the wordprocessor program used. Label the disk with the name of the computer and wordprocessing package used, your name, and the name of the file on the disk. Further information may be obtained from the Publisher.

Authors in Japan please note: Upon request, Elsevier Science Japan will provide authors with a list of people who can check and improve the English of their paper (*before submission*). Please contact our Tokyo office: Elsevier Science Japan, 1–9–15 Higashi-Azabu, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106; Tel. (03)-5561-5032; Fax (03)-5561-5045.

Enquiries concerning manuscripts and proofs: questions arising after acceptance of the manuscript, especially those relating to proofs, should be directed to: Elsevier Editorial Services, Mayfield House, 256 Banbury Road, Oxford OX2 7DH, UK, Tel. (+44)1865 314900, Fax (+44)1865 314990.

Advertising information: Advertising orders and enquiries may be sent to:

International: Elsevier Science, Advertising Department, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, UK; Tel: (+44) (0) 1865 843565; Fax (+44) (0) 1865 843952.

**USA & Canada:** Elsevier Science Inc., Mr. Tino DeCarlo, 655 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10010-5107, USA; Tel: (+1) 212 633 3815; Fax: (+1) 212 633 3820.

Japan: Elsevier Science Japan, 9-15 Higashi-Azabu 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106; Tel. (+81) 3-5561-5031; Fax (+81) 3-5561-5045.

USA mailing notice *Applied Soil Ecology* (ISSN 0929-1393) is published nine times a year by Elsevier Science B.V. (Molenwerf 1, Postbus 211, 1000 AE, Amsterdam). Annual subscription price in the USA US\$ 828 (valid in North, Central and South America), including air speed delivery. Application to mail at second class postage rate is pending at Jamaica, NY 11431.

**USA POSTMASTER**: Send address changes to *Applied Soil Ecology* Publications Expediting Inc., 200 Meacham Avenue, Elmont, NY 11003. **AIRFREIGHT AND MAILING** in the USA by Publications Expediting Inc., 200 Meacham Avenue, Elmont, NY 11003.

#### Applied Soil Ecology has no page charges

For a full and complete Instructions to Authors, please refer to *Applied Soil Ecology*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 107–110 The instructions can also be found on the World Wide Web: access under http://www.elsevier.nl or http://www.elsevier.com

© 1998, Elsevier Science B.V. All Rights Reserved

0929-1393/98/\$19.00

Printed in the Netherlands

⊚ The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48–1992 (Permanence of Paper)

