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Abstract

The Mesozoic large igneous province (LIP) of Patagonia (southern South America), which is one of the largest silicic provinces on Earth, has been investigated by the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar method. Twenty-seven ages considered as valid, including twenty plateau ages, show that the volcanic activity, ranging from 187 to 144 Ma, occurred between and contemporaneously with the initial break-up of Gondwana (starting with the Karoo-Antarctic-Tasmanian (KAT) flood basalt province) in the east, and a subduction in the west. The data display a regular decreasing of ages from the ENE (187 Ma) to the WSW (144 Ma) along about 650 km, apparently related to the tectonic structure in half-grabens oriented NNW–SSE. The good fitting of this trend with the opening of the Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento marginal basin favors a space–time evolution of this continental volcanism culminating towards the SSW in a continental disruption behind the magmatic arc. The observed age progression of volcanism may be the result of the variations of the physical characteristics of the subduction. The spreading and thermal effect of the KAT plume may have an additional effect and also could account for the unusually large volume of magma. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Whereas flood basalt provinces were extensively studied in various aspects (petrology, geochemistry, geochronology, paleomagnetism, etc.), leading to numerous genetic models, the characteristics and the origin of the large-scale silicic igneous provinces remain largely unknown. Moreover, the flood basalt provinces are commonly considered as represent-
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province [2–4] (see a review in [5]) show that this volcanism occurred between the initial break-up of Gondwana (marked in the east by the formation of the mantle plume-related Continental Flood Basalt province of Karoo–Antarctica–Tasmanian (KAT–CFB) about 180–184 Ma ago [6–10]) and the earliest true seafloor spreading in the Mozambique basin around 155 Ma [11]. At the western side, a subduction zone was acting during this time interval [12] (Fig. 1) but its location and geometry are uncertain. A marginal oceanic basin (Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento basin) related to this subduction, and in a back-arc position is supposed to be opened in the latest Jurassic [13,14] (Figs. 1 and 2). Moreover, it has been
suggested that the Mesozoic Patagonian volcanism was related to large-scale half-grabens, mainly oriented NNW–SSE [15,16]. Hence, this volcanism corresponds to a broad extensional province (1) covering a large continental area stretching between a subduction plate boundary and plume-related CFBs, and (2) preceding the formation of the earliest South Atlantic seafloor (in the east) and a marginal basin (in the west).

Therefore, the Jurassic Patagonian volcanics represent a key-marker of the tectono-magmatic processes accompanying the initial break-up of Gondwana. The appraisal of its space–time evolution (and composition) will contribute to constrain (1) the genetic relationships between this extensional province and the initial fragmentation of Gondwana, e.g. whether it may have resulted in seafloor opening in South Atlantic, and (2) the relative contributions of the eastern mantle plume and the western subduction in the initiation and evolution of the investigated province, and therefore the interactions between these synchronous events.

By performing detailed $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar, it will be shown that this province is characterized by a regular and clear 650 km migration of the volcanism from ENE to WSW spanning 40 Ma, apparently related to the formation of the Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento marginal basin, at the end of this period. It will be proposed that this migration may be the result of the variations of the physical characteristics of the subduction. The contemporaneity of the initiation of the plume-related CFBs and the Patagonian province favors an additional thermal effect of the KAT plume.

2. Geological setting

The investigated Mesozoic volcanic province of Patagonia covers an area of around $10^6$ km$^2$, in the Río Negro, Chubut and Santa Cruz provinces of Argentina (Fig. 1), represented by huge outcrops and both onshore and offshore subsurface occurrences. The minimum thickness is more than 500 m in many places [4] and up to 2200 m in Santa Cruz (offshore and onshore), as deduced from seismic and drilling data [16]. These volcanics, described in more details by [15,17–21] among others, were locally named
the Marifil, Lonco Trapial, Bahia Laura, Tobifera, El Quemado groups and the Chon Aike, La Matilde, Bajo Pobre, Lemaire, Ibanez formations.

Seismic evidence relates most of this volcanism to the NNW–SSE-trending large-scale half-grabens developed through Patagonia during Jurassic times, the thickest accumulations occurring within the grabens [15,16]. The paleogeographic reconstructions [22] suggest a ENE to WSW propagation of the grabens during Jurassic times (Fig. 2). Hence this volcanotectonic province may define a widespread back-arc extensional setting. The limit between arc and back-arc volcanics in the whole province remains to be clarified. For instance, it is not clear whether the westernmost El Quemado–Ibanez volcanics belong to this extensional system or are remnants of volcanic arc activity [17,23]. Moreover, it has been suggested that the Jurassic magmatic rocks of the Antarctic Peninsula represent the southwards extension of the Patagonian province presently studied [24], whereas they were also considered as mainly remnants of the magmatic arc [12,25,26], the back-arc volcanics being restricted to the SE margin of the Peninsula.

3. Field, petrographic and geochemical characteristics

In the Chubut and Rio Negro provinces, rhyolitic outcrops largely predominate east of 67°30 W longitude (Marifil group), whereas westwards they gradually change to andesites (Lonco Trapial group). In the transition zone, i.e. in the Rio Chubut valley near Las Plumas, the two groups interdigitate, the andesites being overlain by the rhyolites. However, in the eastern part of Rio Chubut, a dyke-in-dyke system, trending N120–130, composed of both rhyolites and andesites, intrudes rhyolitic pyroclastites. Hence andesitic and rhyolitic activity may have been coeval in places. In Santa Cruz, silicic outcrops largely predominate throughout the province with an exposed thickness ranging from 200 to 600 m [20]. They are mapped either as the Chon Aike lower formation and La Matilde upper formation or as the undifferentiated Bahia Laura group [21]. They overlay andesitic units (Bajo Pobre formation) which outcrop sporadically with a visible thickness averaging 150–200 m. All these formations are sub-horizontal. Seismic and drill data reveal higher thicknesses of 600–1200 m and 800–1600 m for rhyolitic and andesitic formations, respectively [16].

Silicic outcrops mainly consist of ignimbritic units, each 10 to 100 m thick, associated locally with lava-flows and subvolcanic domes. The main petrographic types studied here are crystal-rich welded rhyolitic to rhyodacitic ignimbrites with felsitic to eutaxitic textures commonly displaying elongated fiammes. The glassy groundmass suffered various degrees of devitrification. Lithic fragments of ignimbrite, pumice and trachyte are included in some facies. The observed phenocrysts are (in decreasing amounts) embayed quartz, sanidine, sodic plagioclase, biotite more or less chloritized and opaque minerals. Discrete zircon grains can also occur. Samples from lava-flows or domes have the same mineralogy. Porphyritic trachytes containing phenocrysts of plagioclase, sanidine and hornblende were also recovered in the dyke system mentioned above.

Andesitic outcrops consist in superposed lava-flows and remnant domes. Petrographically, they range from andesitic basalts (containing augite and olivine phenocrysts in a groundmass made of plagioclase, alkali feldspar, augite and Ti-magnetite) to andesites, generally porphyritic and occasionally subaphyric, containing zoned plagioclase, augite, orthopyroxene and Fe–Ti oxides as phenocrysts and plagioclase, augite and glass as groundmass.

The samples selected for this study are not significantly affected by hydrothermal alteration and/or low-grade metamorphism, although these processes modified more or less severely the primary parageneses when approaching the cordillera (mostly in the Lonco Trapial and El Quemado formations).

Major element compositions display a strongly bimodal distribution mainly in Santa Cruz (Fig. 3): SiO₂ varies 51–61% for basaltic andesites–andesites and 71–82% for rhyolites with very few intermediate (dacite/trachydacite) lavas. The compositions define typical calc-alkaline trends, as illustrated by the Na₂O + K₂O–FeO–MgO (AFM) diagram and trace element patterns characterized by a strong enrichment in light rare earth elements (LREE) and large ion lithophile elements (LILE) and depletion in Nb–Ta. The detailed geochemistry and petrogenesis will be discussed elsewhere.
4. Results

Twenty-seven samples of andesite, rhyolite and ignimbrites were analyzed by the \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) step heating procedure on single grains and bulk samples of sanidine, biotite, plagioclase and amphibole, and whole rocks. Grain sizes for single grain analyses are on the order of 200–1000 \(\mu\text{m}\). The grain sizes for the sanidine and plagioclase bulk samples are 160–250 \(\mu\text{m}\), and the analyzed weight for plagioclases was 10–11 mg. The samples were irradiated in the nuclear reactor at the McMaster University in Hamilton, Canada, in position 5c. The total neutron flux density during irradiation is \(8.8 \times 10^{18}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\), with a maximum flux gradient estimated at \(0.2\%\) in the volume where the samples were included. We used the Hb3gr hornblende as a flux monitor with an age of 1072 Ma [27]. The analytical procedures of single grains and bulk sample analyses are described in details in [28] and [29], respectively. The bulk sample analyses were performed with a mass spectrometer composed of a 120° M.A.S.S.E. tube, a Baur-Signer GS 98 source and a Balzers electron multiplier. The gas extraction of single grains and whole rocks was carried out by a Coherent Innova 70-4 continuous laser. It must be noticed that for the sanidine single grains, it was often necessary to interrupt the degassing before the usual heating time of 60 s in order to avoid a sudden unexpected fusing of the grain, for the last or 2 last steps before the fusion step. The mass spectrometer is a VG 3600 working with a Daly detector system. The typical blank values of the extraction and purification laser system are ranging from 9 to \(5 \times 10^{-13}\ \text{ccSTP}\) for \(^{40}\text{Ar}\), 8 to \(1 \times 10^{-14}\ \text{ccSTP}\) for \(^{39}\text{Ar}\), 2 to \(1 \times 10^{-13}\ \text{ccSTP}\) for \(^{37}\text{Ar}\), and 7 to \(3 \times 10^{-14}\ \text{ccSTP}\) for \(^{36}\text{Ar}\), measured every third step. The criteria for defining plateau ages were the following: (1) it should contain at least 70% of released \(^{39}\text{Ar}\), (2) there should be at least three successive steps in the plateau, and (3) the integrated age of the plateau should agree with each apparent age of the plateau within a 2 sigma (2\(\sigma\)) error confidence interval. All errors are quoted at the 1\(\sigma\) level and do not include the errors on the age of the monitor. The error on the \(^{40}\text{Ar}*/^{39}\text{Ar}_{e}\) ratio of the monitor is included in the plateau age error bar calculation. A summary of the geochronological data is given in Table 1. In Table 1 and Fig. 1, only the ages which are considered as valid (and discussed in the following section) are reported. The detailed table of data may be obtained upon request.

4.1. The Rio Negro and Chubut provinces

The investigated rocks from Rio Negro and the north of Chubut belong to the Marifil group. Plateau ages of 187.2 \(\pm\) 0.3 Ma and 185.3 \(\pm\) 0.3 Ma (except the seventh step, affected by an analytical problem) were respectively obtained on sanidine single grains from a rhyolitic lava (PAT50) and an ignimbrite (PAT49) from the Salina El Gualicho area (Rio Negro Province) (Fig. 4). A second grain of PAT49 displayed a more disturbed age spectrum with a weighted mean age of 186.3 \(\pm\) 0.3 Ma concordant with the plateau age. An ignimbrite (M2) located about 400 km southwards in the Marifil massif gave a plateau age of 186.2 \(\pm\) 1.5 Ma on one sanidine grain whereas a second grain gave a concordant fusion step age of 187.4 \(\pm\) 0.6 Ma. Two concordant younger plateau ages of 181.6 \(\pm\) 0.3 and 181.7 \(\pm\) 0.4 Ma where obtained on sanidine single grains of an ignimbrite (PAT34) from the same massif (Fig. 4).

The analyzed rocks from central Chubut belong to the Marifil group except the samples PAT31 and PAT27 from the Lonco Trapial group, east of Las Plumas. A plateau age of 186.2 \(\pm\) 1.5 Ma was displayed by the biotite PAT32 (on 91% of \(^{39}\text{Ar}\) released), but because of the decreasing of the age...
Table 1: Summary of geochronological data (error bars are given at the 1σ level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Rock</th>
<th>(^{39}\text{Ar}) (%)</th>
<th>Accepted age (Ma)</th>
<th>Plateau age</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>Rio Negro</td>
<td>PAT 49</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>185.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>Rio Negro</td>
<td>PAT 50</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (lava)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>187.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>NE Chubut</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>186.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>NE Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 34</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>187.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>one step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>E. Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 51</td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>Andesite (dyke)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonco Trapial</td>
<td>Central Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 27</td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>Andesite (lava)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>E. Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 55</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>182.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>E. Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 53</td>
<td>Amphibole</td>
<td>Trachyte (dyke)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>178.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>E. Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 31</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>178.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>E. Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 4</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>Trachy-basalt (lava)</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>177.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>SE Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 39</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Trachyte (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>175.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marifil</td>
<td>E. Chubut</td>
<td>PAT 32</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>185.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>w.m. fusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajo Pobre</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 118</td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>Basaltic andesite (lava)</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>164.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>may be affected by chloritization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajo Pobre</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 144</td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>Basaltic andesite (lava)</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>160.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>w.m. high temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajo Pobre</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>GEO 0</td>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>Andesite (lava)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>152.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajo Pobre</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>GEO 2</td>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>Andesite (lava)</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>152.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 126</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>168.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 111</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>153.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>E. Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 42</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>177.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>w.m. high temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>E. Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 43</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>177.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>one step lacking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 48</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>154.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 47</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>151.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 89</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>158.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chon Aike</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 90</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>157.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Quemado</td>
<td>W. Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 104</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>144.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Quemado</td>
<td>W. Santa Cruz</td>
<td>PAT 106</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>Rhyolite (ignimbrite)</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>147.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

w.m. = weighted mean.
Fig. 4. $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age spectra obtained on single grains of sanidine from ignimbrites and rhyolites from the Rio Negro province and the north Chubut province (Patagonia). Plateau ages are indicated by (P).

spectrum which probably indicates a chloritization of the mineral (as observed on thin sections), we prefer to retain the high-temperature weighted mean of $185.5 \pm 1.0$ Ma calculated on the three concordant high-temperature steps (Fig. 5). Nevertheless, although we shall consider this age in the following discussion, it must be taken cautiously. In the same region, lower plateau ages ranging from $177.0 \pm 0.8$ to $182.7 \pm 0.3$ Ma (PAT4, 31, 53, 55), were obtained on amphibole, sanidine and biotite single grains from rocks varying from andesitic basalt (PAT4) to rhyolite and ignimbrite. On one of the two analyzed biotites of PAT4, a disturbed age spectrum, which may be the result of a chloritization and subsequent $^{39}$Ar recoil effect, shows a high-temperature apparent age concordant with the plateau age obtained on the other grain.

The andesitic whole rocks PAT51 and PAT 27 displayed complex age spectra probably due (at least partly) to a recoil of $^{39}$Ar during the irradiation, but the apparent ages at intermediate temperature (before the degasing of low-K minerals, as shown by the higher $^{37}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ratio, enriched in $^{39}$Ar by recoil (Fig. 5), are around 174 Ma for PAT51 (similar
to previously mentioned plateau ages from the same region), and 165 Ma for PAT 27 (located more westwards in the Chubut valley). These ages will not be considered as valid ages. Nevertheless, because of its crucial location (see below), the intermediate temperature age of PAT 27, which must be taken cautiously, is reported in Fig. 7.

At last, sanidine single grains of the ignimbrite PAT 39 from the Camarones region (southeast Chubut) displayed one plateau age at 175.1 ± 0.5 Ma concordant (at the 2σ level) with the weighted mean of 176.2 ± 0.3 Ma calculated from total fusion ages measured on three other sanidine single grains (Fig. 5).

4.2. The Santa Cruz province

Most of the dated ignimbrites belong either to the Chon Aike formation or to the undifferentiated Bahia Laura group, and the investigated andesites are from the Bajo Pobre formation. The westernmost samples PAT 104 and PAT 106 belong to the El Quemado group [18].

At the furthest east of the province, near Puerto Deseado (Fig. 1), the sanidine bulk sample from the ignimbrite PAT 43 displays a plateau age (despite an analytical problem on one step) of 177.8 ± 0.4 Ma (calculated on 21 steps) (Fig. 6b). An other sample from the same area (ignimbrite PAT 42) did not show plateau ages on each of the three analyzed single grains, but the last or two last steps, representing more than 73% of the $^{39}$Ar, released display concordant ages ranging from 177.5 ± 0.5 Ma to 178.5 ± 0.9 Ma, with a weighted mean of 177.7 ± 0.4 Ma. This age, indistinguishable from the accepted age for the previous sample, is considered as a valid age.

Further to the west, a sanidine from the ignimbrite PAT 126 displays a plateau age of 168.5 ± 0.4 Ma (Fig. 6a). The two andesite whole rocks PAT 118 and PAT 144 displayed disturbed age spectra probably due to alteration phases released at low temperature, but a plateau age of 164.1 ± 0.3 Ma could be obtained.

Fig. 5. $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age and $^{37}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ratio spectra obtained on amphibole, sanidine, biotite single grains and whole rocks from andesites, rhyolite and ignimbrites of the central Chubut province (Patagonia). Plateau ages are indicated by (P).
Fig. 6. $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age and $^{37}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ratio spectra obtained on plagioclase and sanidine bulk samples, sanidine and biotite single grains and whole rocks from andesites, rhyolites and ignimbrites of the Deseado and El Quemado formations from Santa Cruz Province (Patagonia). Plateau ages are indicated by (P); $\text{w.m.*} = \text{weighted mean ages calculated on high-temperature steps (see text).}$
on PAT118. The weighted mean of 160.5 ± 0.5 Ma, calculated on the seven last steps of PAT144, does not represent a plateau age and must be cautiously considered, but because of the concordance of its apparent high-temperature ages with the PAT118 plateau age, this age is probably not far from the true age. We shall retain this age in the discussion because of the crucial location of this sample (Fig. 1).

Two plagioclase bulk samples separated from the andesites GEO2 and GEO8, displayed concordant plateau ages of 152.8 ± 2.6 and 152.7 ± 1.2 Ma, respectively (Fig. 6a). Single grains (PAT111, Fig. 6a; PAT47, Fig. 6b) and bulk sample (PAT48, Fig. 6b) of sanidine gave plateau ages of 153.4±0.3, 151.5±0.5, and 154.6 ± 0.5 Ma.

The sanidine single grains from the southernmost investigated ignimbrites PAT89 and PAT90 displayed two concordant plateau ages of 158.4 ± 0.3 Ma and 157.9 ± 0.5 Ma, respectively (Fig. 6b).

At the furthest west of the province (El Quemado group), one biotite single grain displayed a plateau age of 147.1 ± 0.5 Ma (ignimbrite PAT106, Fig. 6b), whereas sanidine single grains (four analyses, two of them are shown on Fig. 6b) gave disturbed age spectra (ignimbrite PAT104). Nevertheless one plateau age at 144.2 ± 0.4 Ma could be obtained on one of the sanidines.

5. Comparison with previous age data

Previous geochronological data are reviewed in detail by Pankhurst et al. [5]. In the Chubut province, when compared to the Rb/Sr whole rock data [4, 19], several results are in reasonable agreement. For instance, we observe a good concordance of data in the Marifil complex (188 ± 1 Ma, compared to our 187.4 ± 0.6 and 186.2 ± 1.5 Ma obtained on the M2 sample), and in the center of the Chubut Province (location of PAT27), where the Rb/Sr age of 169 ± 2 Ma fits well with our general time–distance trend (see Fig. 7 and below), despite a lack of good quality 40Ar/39Ar data in this area. Nevertheless, on the same complex, our ages are older than the 174 ± 2 Ma Rb/Sr isochron [4], and showing an unusually high initial 87Sr/86Sr ratio of 0.7127. They are more in agreement with the 183 ± 2 Ma obtained on two of their Marifil samples, corresponding to a more usual initial Sr ratio.

In the Peninsula Camarones, our ages of 176.2 ±
0.3 and 175.1 ± 0.5 Ma are slightly younger than the 178 ± 1 Ma Rb/Sr age [19], whereas previous K–Ar conventional ages were scattered between 157 ± 3 Ma and 173 ± 3 Ma [2].

In the Santa Cruz province, our results differ significantly from previous Rb–Sr isochrons. In the region of Puerto Deseado, the Rb/Sr age of 168 ± 2 Ma [18] is distinctly younger than the two concordant ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar plateau ages of 177.7 and 177.8 (±0.4) Ma (PAT42, 43), whereas the Rb/Sr age of 162 ± 11 Ma [23] measured at the northwest of the Deseado massif is significantly higher than the three plateau ages of 151.5 ± 0.5, 154.6 ± 0.5 and 153.4 ± 0.2 Ma (PAT47, 48, 111) obtained in the same region. For the Bajo Pobre formation, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar plateau ages at 164 ± 2 Ma were previously obtained on plagioclase from basaltic andesites [30], of which the location is unknown, they are significantly older than our ages of 152.7 and 152.8 Ma (GEO2-8) but in agreement with that obtained on PAT118 (164.1 ± 0.3 Ma). Our data from El Quemado group are partly in agreement with K/Ar ages measured on biotites, ranging from 142 ± 4 and 159 ± 4 Ma [31] and from 144 ± 3 and 150 ± 4 Ma [32].

6. Time–space evolution

The present data set displays a regular decrease of ages from the ENE towards the WSW of the studied region, with ages varying from around 187 to 144 Ma. It was previously suggested a southward migration over 20 Ma, from 188 to 169 Ma [4]. Our data rather suggest a migration of volcanic activity with larger age brackets (our youngest ages are partly in agreement with recent K/Ar ages measured at the southwest of the investigated area [31]), and which seems related to the NNW–SSE-oriented half-graben structure [15,22]. This is clearly demonstrated by a plot of the 25 ages considered as valid (including 20 plateau ages: see the previous section), versus the distance to a NNW–SSE straight reference line (shown in Fig. 1) parallel to the half-grabens and located arbitrarily at the sites of the samples PAT49 and 50 in the Rio Negro province. A very regular migration of volcanism appears over 650 km and during 43 Ma without showing any significant gap in the volcanic activity. Note the overlapping of the westernmost data from Chubut and the easternmost data from Santa Cruz, precluding a simple N–S migration. This strong time–space correlation argues for a control of the volcanic activity by an extensional system propagating across the whole of Patagonia during part of the Jurassic. This interpretation is consistent with the successive paleogeographic reconstructions of the graben system through the Jurassic in southern South America [22].

When they are both present in the same area, rhyolites and andesites do not show systematic age difference. This suggests a broad contemporaneity of felsic and mafic magmas in a given place, rather than significantly younger ages for the former as assumed by Panza et al. [20] in Santa Cruz. This is consistent with the bimodal andesitic–rhyolitic dyke-in-dyke system observed in eastern Chubut: the age of igneous activity is clearly controlled by the distance to the reference line and not by the stratigraphic position within the lava pile.

7. Geodynamic implications

During the period of 187–144 Ma of volcanic activity in the studied area of Patagonia, the geodynamic environment of southernmost South America is characterized as follows.

(1) To the east, extensional features related to the initial stages of break-up of Gondwana, and the emplacement of the large KAT–CFB province (Fig. 1). The Ferrar group (Antarctica) was dated mostly between 176 and 184 Ma (see the review of [9]), and based on precise U/Pb data around 184 Ma, the emplacement of the Karoo basalts is supposed to be synchronous [7,10]. The first phases of seafloor spreading between Africa and Antarctica occurred about 155 Ma ago [34] and probably shortly later in the Weddell Sea [33].

(2) To the west, a subduction was supposed to be continuous from Southern America to Antarctica [34] (Fig. 1). In the Antarctic Peninsula, a magmatic arc is documented by plutons dated at 175–181 Ma [35]. By Middle to Late Jurassic times the magmatic focus migrated westwards as documented by volcanic rocks from Antarctica dated at 156 Ma [36]. In Patagonia, a magmatic arc is also documented.
by the volcanics from the Lago de La Plata group (western Chubut), which are stratigraphically considered as Toarcian to Tithonian [37], but accurate dating and constraints on the evolution of the subduction geometry through Jurassic times are lacking. In the latest Jurassic—earliest Cretaceous, a marginal oceanic basin (Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento) opened in back-arc setting behind this magmatic arc from 51°S to 56°S [13,14,38,39] (Fig. 2).

Therefore, the study area in Patagonia represents a transition zone between continental rifting associated to abundant flood basalt volcanism, and a subduction. Ultimately continental break-up occurred, forming an oceanic domain on both sides.

Without sufficient precise data on the silicic volcanism, particularly in geochronology, it was difficult to evaluate the relative contributions of the eastern mantle plume and the western subduction in the initiation and evolution of the silicic province. In this respect, the discovery of a widespread ENE to WSW migration related to extensional structures does represent a strong constraint to any model on both the mechanisms of Gondwana break-up, and the variation of the characteristics of the western subduction, and also to evaluate the interactions between them.

The KAT–CFBs and the oldest investigated silicic volcanism were nearly synchronous (184 and 187 Ma, respectively), and therefore both may appear as precursors of the same rifting event leading to the break-up between east and west Gondwana. Nevertheless, the Jurassic volcanic activity in Patagonia clearly migrated to the WSW, i.e. in the opposite direction with respect to this break-up, some 155 Ma ago, precluding a direct relationship between the two processes. On the other hand, we observe that the marginal basin of Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento is aligned on our space–time volcanic trend (Fig. 7). These observations more satisfactorily support that this migration was related to extension propagating across Patagonia (towards the WSW), culminating in a distinct continental disruption and formation of oceanic crust in the Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento basin, near the subduction zone.

This apparent relationship between the Patagonian Jurassic volcanism and the Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento marginal basin allows to relate this volcanism propagation to the evolution of the subduction of the Pacific margin. It has been previously suggested that “a change from Gondwanide compression to lithospheric extension in the Jurassic is linked to a change from flat [40] to steeply dipping subduction, or to slowing of subduction rates” [12]. The corresponding modifications of the physical characteristics of the subduction (dip angle, rollback, age and density of the subducting plate, relative direction, etc.) may induce a migration of tensile stress in the overriding lithosphere, and therefore a progressive formation or reactivation of the half-grabens. The regular migration of volcanism may result from such a tectonic evolution.

A remaining question is the unusually large volume of dominantly silicic magma in such a context which cannot be easily explained without an additional thermal supply. The nearby mantle plume head, which produced the KAT–CFBs (Fig. 1) contemporaneously with the oldest Patagonian lava, is a potential candidate. The plume could generate convective heat in the mantle wedge which would widen consequently to the deepening and rollback of the subducted slab previously suggested. The observed volcanic age progression towards the WSW would therefore be the result of the combined effect of both displacement of the front of the convective heat and the progressive half-graben reactivation. This would require a persistent thermal effect of the plume head over at least 40 Ma. Although unusual, such an hypothesis was recently proposed beneath Africa [42].

8. Conclusion

(1) Twenty-seven ages considered as reliable (as discussed in the text), including twenty plateau ages, were obtained on sanidine and biotite single grains, sanidine bulk samples and whole rocks from rhyolitic ignimbrites and andesitic lavas from the Jurassic silicic LIP of Patagonia, investigated over a region of more than 106 km2 from the Rio Negro to the Santa Cruz provinces of Argentina, and from the vicinity of the first Andean relieves to the eastern coast (Fig. 1). The data are in agreement with some previous Rb/Sr isochron data obtained on some common investigated formations [4,18,19] although some significant differences were observed.

(2) The similar ages obtained on rhyolitic and andesitic rocks from the same areas suggest a broad
contemporaneity of felsic and more mafic magmas, supporting a bimodal character of the Patagonian Jurassic volcanism.

(3) The space–time evolution of this dominantly silicic LIP strongly contrasts with the brevity of most basaltic LIPs. The data display a regular decrease of ages from the ENE (around 187 Ma) to the WSW (144 Ma) along about 650 km, which is probably correlated to the main tectonic structure in half-grabens oriented perpendicularly to the observed migration direction.

(4) This trend fits with the opening of a latest Jurassic—earliest Cretaceous back-arc marginal oceanic basin (named Rocas Verdes–Sarmiento), behind the magmatic arc. This suggests that the Patagonian Jurassic LIP is related to a propagating extensional feature (towards the WSW), and culminating in continental disruption near the subduction zone, 10 Ma later and reversely to the eastern Atlantic side. Therefore this dominantly silicic LIP would not be genetically a precursor of the Atlantic Ocean opening.

(5) The observed age progression of volcanism would be the result of the variations of the physical characteristics of the subduction. The spreading and thermal effect of the KAT plume may have an additional effect and also could account for the unusually large volume of magma.
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