



## Getting out of crises: Environmental, social-ecological and evolutionary research is needed to avoid future risks of pandemics

Delphine Destoumieux-Garzón<sup>a,\*</sup>, Franziska Matthies-Wiesler<sup>b,\*</sup>, Nicolas Bierne<sup>c</sup>, Aurélie Binot<sup>d</sup>, Jérôme Boissier<sup>a</sup>, Anaïs Devouge<sup>e</sup>, Jeanne Garric<sup>f</sup>, Kim Gruetzmacher<sup>g</sup>, Christoph Grunau<sup>a</sup>, Jean-François Guégan<sup>d,h</sup>, Sylvie Hurtrez-Boussès<sup>h,i</sup>, Anke Huss<sup>j</sup>, Serge Morand<sup>k</sup>, Clare Palmer<sup>l</sup>, Denis Sarigiannis<sup>m,n</sup>, Roel Vermeulen<sup>j</sup>, Robert Barouki<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Montpellier, France

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Germany

<sup>c</sup> ISEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

<sup>d</sup> Animals, health, Territories, Risks and Ecosystem (ASTRE), University of Montpellier, Agricultural Research for Development (CIRAD), National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food and the Environment (INRAE), Montpellier, France

<sup>e</sup> INSERM, Université de Paris, France

<sup>f</sup> Institut national de recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE), UR Riverly, F-69625 Villeurbanne, France

<sup>g</sup> Museum für Naturkunde – Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Berlin Germany

<sup>h</sup> MIVEGEC, Univ Montpellier, IRD, CNRS, Montpellier, France

<sup>i</sup> Département de Biologie-Ecologie, Faculté des Sciences, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France

<sup>j</sup> Utrecht University, Netherlands

<sup>k</sup> Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique – UMR ASTRE, CIRAD, INRAE - Faculty of Veterinary Technology, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>l</sup> Department of Philosophy, YMCA Building, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>m</sup> Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki 54164, Greece

<sup>n</sup> University School for Advanced Study IUSS, Pavia, Italy

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Emerging infectious disease  
Climate change  
Biodiversity loss  
Ecology  
Evolution  
Nature-based solutions

### ABSTRACT

The implementation of One Health/EcoHealth/Planetary Health approaches has been identified as key (i) to address the strong interconnections between risk for pandemics, climate change and biodiversity loss and (ii) to develop and implement solutions to these interlinked crises. As a response to the multiple calls from scientists on that subject, we have here proposed seven long-term research questions regarding COVID-19 and emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) that are based on effective integration of environmental, ecological, evolutionary, and social sciences to better anticipate and mitigate EIDs. Research needs cover the social ecology of infectious disease agents, their evolution, the determinants of susceptibility of humans and animals to infections, and the human and ecological factors accelerating infectious disease emergence. For comprehensive investigation, they include the development of nature-based solutions to interlinked global planetary crises, addressing ethical and philosophical questions regarding the relationship of humans to nature and regarding transformative changes to safeguard the environment and human health. In support of this research, we propose the implementation of innovative multidisciplinary facilities embedded in social ecosystems locally: ecological health observatories and living laboratories. This work was carried out in the frame of the European Community project HERA ([www.HERAresearchEU.eu](http://www.HERAresearchEU.eu)), which aims to set priorities for an environment, climate and health research agenda in the European Union by adopting a systemic approach in the face of global environmental change.

### 1. Introduction

The majority of emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) have a zoonotic

origin; their incidence has either increased over past decades or threatens to increase in the near future (Woolhouse and Gowtage-Sequeria, 2005; Woolhouse et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2008). Increases

\* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: [ddestoum@ifremer.fr](mailto:ddestoum@ifremer.fr) (D. Destoumieux-Garzón), [franziska.matthies@helmholtz-muenchen.de](mailto:franziska.matthies@helmholtz-muenchen.de) (F. Matthies-Wiesler).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106915>

Received 12 July 2021; Received in revised form 29 September 2021; Accepted 30 September 2021

Available online 8 October 2021

0160-4120/© 2021 The Authors.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

have been observed both in the frequency of epidemic events and the proportion of zoonotic EIDs, 72% of which are caused by pathogens originating in wildlife (Jones et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2014; Woolhouse et al., 2005). Zoonosis refers to a disease or infection shared between animals – including livestock, wildlife and pets – and humans. Such emerging zoonotic events have occurred repeatedly in the history of human populations.

Broad scientific consensus suggests that COVID-19, whose etiological agent is SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2), has a probable zoonotic origin. Based on phylogenetic analysis of currently known virus sequences, it is believed that SARS-CoV-2 probably emerged from a coronavirus present in China and other Asian countries, and its closest relative is hosted by horseshoe bats (Latinne et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). However, unlike rabies, Ebola or West Nile virus, the disease is now characterized by strains of SARS-CoV-2 that circulate among human populations, independent of animal reservoirs. It has become a contagious, person-to-person disease agent. In that sense, it can be compared to human measles virus (MeV) or human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The former emerged from the Rinderpest virus (RDV) harbored by different mammal species 2,400 years ago during classical antiquity, well before the previously estimated 11th century date (Düx et al., 2020). The latter emerged from simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) infecting nonhuman primates at the end of the 19th or during the 20th century (Hemelaar, 2012). It is unknown at this stage whether SARS-CoV-2 passed through an animal host other than horseshoe bats before infecting humans (Lu et al., 2020). In 2019, a significant number of initial cases (66%) were connected to a local market selling seafood and wildlife products in Wuhan, China (Huang et al., 2020). The virus then spread in China before it crossed borders and eventually became a pandemic within a few months. Its global spread was accelerated by international transportation and travel. In most countries, the pandemic revealed important weaknesses in pandemic preparedness, although we have been warned several times by similar threats over the past decades with the emergence and spread of viruses such as HIV, Nipah virus, avian influenza type A viruses, SARS-CoV-1 (causing SARS), Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome - Coronavirus (MERS), Zika, chikungunya, and Ebola.

As human infections are naturally transmitted from animal hosts, zoonotic EIDs are likely to occur repeatedly. Indeed, a majority of known viruses have been found in both humans and at least one other mammalian species, indicating that they have the potential to become zoonotic (Olival et al., 2017). Moreover, it has been estimated that several hundred thousand mammalian viruses are still to be discovered (Anthony et al., 2013). Others have estimated that there are approximately 1.7 million viruses from 25 high-risk viral families yet to be discovered in mammals and birds, of which approximately 700,000 are predicted to have zoonotic potential (Carroll et al., 2018). However, most of these studies tend to focus on viruses as single disease risk parameters without accounting for exposure and vulnerability factors in human populations that are paramount to estimate infection risk (Hosseini et al., 2017). Zoonotic disease emergence requires direct or indirect contact between an animal host and humans (Plowright et al., 2017), the microbe needs to be infective for humans, and human habits must favor the transmission and spread of the pathogen. Known drivers of zoonotic disease emergence, such as agricultural land conversion and developmental activities, leading to ecosystem degradation, wildlife trade, intensive farming, changes in climate patterns, international travel, trade and commerce, are constantly accelerating. Not surprisingly, then, EID events have risen significantly over time, with different drivers, land use changes and associated practices as the main lever (Guégan et al., 2020) (Jones et al., 2008). This trend is likely to continue and possibly to intensify if drivers are not mitigated (Smith et al., 2014).

Since SARS-CoV-2 emerged, understandably, most research efforts have focused on the immediate pandemic response to the virus and on short-term control strategies. Emerging research on the interactions between the COVID-19 pandemic and global environmental change

have been identified and described (Barouki et al., 2021). Additional questions arise concerning mechanisms that have led to SARS-CoV-2 emergence and that contribute to the emergence of new, potentially more transmissible and/or more virulent variants. Research effort is also needed in the middle and long term to be able to prevent, mitigate and prepare for future pandemics (Guégan et al., 2020). Much of this long-term research relies on often neglected environmental, social-ecological and evolutionary research needs (Roche et al., 2020) and possibly on the development of action research frameworks.

The aim of this document is to identify and put forward important long-term research questions that build on and connect to already compiled emerging research needs (Barouki et al., 2021). The scope has been broadened to include EIDs more generally, and ethical, philosophical, societal and transformational aspects to help develop accounts of mitigation, predictive scenarios, prevention and better preparedness for and response to potential future pandemic risks. This work was carried out in the frame of the EC project *Health Environment Research Agenda for Europe* (HERA, www.HERAresearchEU.eu)<sup>1</sup>, which aims to set priorities for an environment, climate and health research agenda in the EU by adopting a system-based approach in the face of global environmental change (GEC). A COVID-19 working group was formed among HERA partners together with scientists specializing in relevant areas to formulate, collect and discuss which long-term research questions arise from the current COVID-19 pandemic, with the goal of ultimately reducing the risks of future pandemic emergences and related disastrous effects.

Here, we discuss seven main areas of long-term research needs. These will have to be addressed by multidisciplinary and participatory approaches (Fig. 1). An international research and implementation network is proposed in order to bring together these seven main areas, providing a way of handling changes of perspective as well as new research structures. This proposal includes open access to information and to results as research progresses, the acceleration of pre-emptive policy-making and the implementation of actions aiming at enhanced prevention and preparedness.

Research areas 1–3 investigate key determinants of infectious disease emergence, research area 4 tackles causes and drivers of future pandemics, and research areas 5–7 investigate ways of preventing future pandemics. Operational facilities are proposed, such as *ecological health observatories* and *living laboratories*, to support the proposed research. These could be linked through a global network of research centers providing foresight and supporting prevention and preparedness in view of future pandemics facilitating data exchange and validation, interoperability and applicability of computational tools addressing the environmental health risk of pandemics.

## 2. Long term research need #1: The social ecology of SARS-CoV-2 and other pathogens

An understanding of what pathogens (e.g., viruses, bacteria, eukaryotes, prions) circulate in ecosystems and how and why they succeed in crossing species barriers is required to develop and implement effective mitigation and prevention strategies. This calls for a better knowledge of the ecology of microbes and their transmission routes. A much larger number of wildlife and livestock samples need to be analyzed for various pathogens, including viruses from high-risk viral families, which could feed into risk ranking frameworks and web tools such as Spill Over: Viral Risk Ranking (<https://spillover.global>) (Grange et al., 2021). For that, we need field observations for data acquisition. Analysis of this data needs to be supported by complex risk and data analysis platforms that implement foresight methodologies in order to be able to capture and quantify the resulting environmental health risk

<sup>1</sup> an EU H2020 programme that includes 24 partner institutes across Europe and hundreds of collaborating researchers and wider community stakeholders




Fig. 1. Mapping of long-term research areas in relation to future risks of pandemics.

ahead of time.

### 2.1. What do the dynamics of zoonotic pathogens at the human-animal interface look like?

The COVID-19 pandemic has established the importance of characterizing the reservoirs of pathogens in areas where human populations and livestock live in close contact with wildlife and/or where human exposures and vulnerability are documented (e.g., where human or environmental perturbations occur; see Guégan et al. (2020) for a recent mapping). This goes beyond a simple survey of SARS-CoV-2 in wild fauna, livestock, pets, water and air and extends to the diverse pathogens circulating in ecosystems. Monitoring the temporal and spatial patterns of pathogen occurrence in natural land, agricultural, urban and other human-dominated ecosystems is urgently needed to gain a better knowledge of disease ecology capable of contributing to risk assessment and management. The importance of having ecological literacy about virus circulation for controlling disease transmission and/or preventing the risk of emergence has been well exemplified for the Nipah virus in Malaysia and Bangladesh where it circulates in ecosystems with varying socioecological dynamics (Cappelle et al., 2020) (Plowright et al., 2019).

The establishment of *ecological health observatories* is an important operational priority, as highlighted earlier (Barouki et al., 2021). Observatories should implement interdisciplinary and intersectoral approaches, with three main objectives (i) to provide robust data for fundamental research on hot-spots of emergence and/or in environments with high levels of perturbations leading to high risks of emergence; these data are needed to understand the effect of both environmental changes and human practices on emergence; (ii) to assist the development and transfer of accurate pathogen monitoring methods that can be deployed at a larger scale to monitor pathogens in urban and wild ecosystems (e.g., wastewater-based epidemiology through sewage surveillance or surface water monitoring at the mouths of rivers) (Larsen and Wiginton, 2020; Trottier et al., 2020); and (iii) to share data and cobuild projects between local communities, administrations, other stakeholders and multidisciplinary teams of scientists, veterinarians and medical doctors to anticipate and mitigate epidemics. The latter would warrant the employment of formal foresight methodologies to address the societal, environmental and ecological dynamics and interactions that underpin the emergence of novel pathogens and the respective public health risk management options within a single, integrative framework.

With the objective of discovering novel viruses with zoonotic potential and anticipating future disease emergence, observatories should collect (with dedicated campaigns), archive, share (through open access databases) and explore metagenomic data for viral sequences. In addition, immunogenetic data for hosts are needed to explore pathogen evolution (long-term research need #2) and uncover the determinants of tolerance/resistance to the virus in animal populations (long-term research need #3). Observatories could therefore feed ambitious interdisciplinary research, including both laboratory and field programs, by providing knowledge on the ecology of pathogens. This includes information on the natural host range (broad versus narrow), the transmission routes (direct versus vector-borne), and the biology of these pathogens, which are critical parameters for estimating their zoonotic potential (Olival et al., 2017). This basic knowledge is also needed to clarify what human and ecological factors favor and accelerate pathogen spillovers and transmission to humans (long-term research need #4). This research can lead to setting up *living laboratories* involving rural actors and aiming at changes in agricultural practices and environmental management, transitioning to agroecology (long-term research need #7).

Without excluding the rest of the world, *ecological health observatories* should be implemented in hot spots of host reservoirs, disease diversity and emergence. Asian countries are particularly concerned with several viruses of animal origin that have emerged over the past few years, in addition to the still high burden of neglected tropical diseases. Similarly, Central America appears important to survey, as it hosts a melting pot between Nearctic and Neotropical fauna and harbors a large diversity of bat species that serves as pathogen reservoirs. In addition, northern countries of South America and several countries of Central and East Africa are predicted to host a high number of undiscovered zoonotic viruses (Olival et al., 2017). Such observatories are not necessarily intended to last over time and can move, according to the conditions of emergence and spread.

Moreover, as far as zoonotic agents are concerned, anticipating future emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) requires expanding ecological research from investigating single host-pathogen systems to taking a multihost-multipathogen research perspective. The role of the interactions between hosts, vectors, and pathogenic and nonpathogenic agents in the dynamics of zoonotic pathogens at the human-animal interface remains incompletely understood. However, Gortazar et al. (2014) developed a useful framework for the analysis of pathways and interactions between pathogens and host or vector species. In addition, it is essential to integrate studies of humans and their different levels of

exposure and vulnerabilities, both for single individuals and whole communities, since human activities and practices can influence the potential for infection from wildlife. As social and ecological factors play a key role in the epidemiology of infectious diseases, a systems-based approach that takes these key factors into account is needed (Box. 1). Monitoring the health effects of exposures in both humans and wildlife can be used as an early-warning/sentinel system (Calvignac-Spencer et al., 2012).

## 2.2. What is the actual human exposure to zoonotic pathogens, and how can it be measured?

As repeated contacts are required for transmission, it is important to determine whether pathogens and reservoir hosts share the same geographic area with humans and to estimate the risks of contacts and thus transmission. To date, most evidence for the role of anthropogenic changes in the emergence of pathogens originating from wildlife, e.g., encroachment into natural habitats, is anecdotal or indirect (Gortazar et al., 2014). However, more knowledge is needed on causal relationships between changes in host population and species dynamics, the presence of some particular animal species in man-made ecosystems or interspecies contact and pathogen emergence in humans. Existing studies in this area are generally limited in scope and typically lack quantitative assessment of human exposure and vulnerability to zoonotic pathogens at the human-animal interface. The best metrics by which to measure exposure still need to be determined.

To define the actual human exposure to zoonotic pathogens, further assessment, e.g., by serology, is suggested, which would lead to a better understanding of the complete chain of emergence. With the objective of both accurately detecting positive cases and implementing molecular epidemiology, DNA diagnostic methods should be implemented according to the progress of the epidemic. DNA detection and amplification methods adapted to several matrices, either environmental (water, soil, sewage, etc.) or biological (feces, urine, serum, etc.), need to be established before emergencies arise. These methods have to be developed both in humans and animals. Targeted approaches (e.g., quantitative PCR, digital PCR, pathochips based on gene expression microarrays and *in situ* microsequencing) as well as global approaches (i.e., metagenomics, metatranscriptomics) to assess pathogen communities and infer potential interactions between microorganisms, human and animal populations need to be developed.

In addition to molecular techniques, contact estimation between humans and animals could also be improved in areas endemic for zoonotic diseases through the development of new technologies and the sharing of data. Technologies such as biologging are increasingly used

by both ecologists and farmers to record behavioral, physiological and ecological variables in both wild and domestic animals (Destoumieux-Garzón et al., 2021). Smartphones and social media networks to monitor movements of human populations (Finger et al., 2016) are promising methodologies to be further developed for research purposes at the interface of epidemiology and ecology. Effective sharing of pathogen sequences circulating in animals (through ecological health observatories) and human populations (through hospitals) would open avenues to monitor pathogen transmission and evolution in nature. This information is important for infection risk management; it requires governments to establish publicly available repositories of pathogen sequences.

## 3. Long term research need #2: The evolution and spread of SARS-CoV-2

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic can be used as a case study to learn from and prevent future EIDs from developing into pandemics. Therefore, there is a critical need to understand how and why SARS-CoV-2 has emerged and spread. A more effective use of evolutionary sciences is also needed to track the emergence of more infectious and/or more virulent variants and to measure the speed of propagation of the virus (Jones and Manrique, 2020). Paradoxically, while the processes of infectious disease emergence rely on basic evolutionary principles, population biology and evolutionary sciences remain insufficiently considered in health research. Evolutionary sciences are essential to understand the factors leading to the emergence of new pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2, the occurrence of new variants such as those with enhanced transmissibility, virulence or resistance to drugs or vaccines, and the variations in compatibilities between populations of hosts and strains of pathogens. They can also provide tools for disease management (Roche et al., 2020).

### 3.1. Where did SARS-CoV-2 evolve before it infected humans?

Questions related to evolutionary science are not yet present in the international research agenda. An important factor requiring study is whether reservoir hosts facilitate viral evolution, as this is likely to favor host switches (Latinne et al., 2020). Important field and sequencing research is needed to obtain this key information, which is currently incomplete as many viruses or host associations have completely evaded human detection to date (see long-term research need #1). This is well exemplified by SARS-CoV-2. Indeed, while scientific evidence supports the animal origin of SARS-CoV-2, we are still ignorant about which animal species it emerged from. A rather ancient recombination event between bat and pangolin variants has been suspected (Wang et al.,

### BOX 1

Toward a social-ecology of health.

A social-ecological system can be defined as an ecological system (composed of independent biological units in interactions) intricately linked with and affected by one or more social systems (Anderies et al., 2004; Ostrom, 2009; Colding and Barthel, 2019). Clearly, EIDs, public and animal health, social responses and governance could be analyzed using a social-ecological health framework, as recently advocated by De Garine-Wichatitsky et al. (2021). A better approach to social-ecological systems needs to improve assessment of (i) “the biophysical environment”, including land productivity, soil erosion, climate factors, or biodiversity; (ii) “the socioecosystem”, comprising land use management and economic development pathways; and (iii) “governance”, referring to rights (access and uses), justice and laws (e.g., environmental law, health law) (Ebbesson and Hey, 2013). A social-ecological approach should first describe how the local social economy, governance, environment (land use transformation, climate change) and ecology (community and functional ecology) in relation to global trade and governance may explain the emergence of infectious diseases and epidemic outbreaks. Second, assuming that the adaptive process links ecological function and the social system, EID forecasts and scenarios can be developed based on the description of this social-ecological health system through the methodological lens of formal foresight approaches and the respective computational tools. Third, mitigation measures can be implemented through participatory processes involving local communities and administrations, stakeholders and interdisciplinary teams of scientists. Social ecology is not only a technical science dealing with technical problems that can be managed by instrumental decisions (Boer, 1984). Social ecology and health may help policies and laws adapt when facing evolving socioenvironments such as EIDs by acknowledging different values, ethics and responsibilities (Morand and Lajaunie, 2019)

2021), but these cannot be traced back to the host(s) from which the virus passed to the human species. Screening wild fauna for viral genomes (metagenomics) and implementing open-access databases are necessary steps to trace the thread of transmission and viral evolution within the intermediary hosts of SARS-CoV-2. As the number of sequences increases, we should be more successful in identifying the origins of SARS-CoV-2, new variants and other emerging viruses. Thus, a recent phylogenetic study by Lattine et al. (2020), which included 630 coronavirus sequences isolated from China, suggests that the pangolin is less likely to be the origin of SARS-CoV-2 than the horseshoe bats (*Rhinolophus* spp.). Nevertheless, the time to the most recent common ancestor of SARS-CoV-2 and bat variants is inferred to be 37 years (Wang et al., 2021), and we have an important missing gap to fill to reconstruct the route of transmission from animals to humans. While sequencing the biodiversity of viruses circulating in wild fauna cannot be used to predict disease emergence, it can definitely help reconstruct transmission routes, a level of information that will also be needed for future EIDs. In addition, phylodynamics makes it possible to date outbreaks with confidence, rule out some hypotheses (e.g., rumors about SARS-CoV-2 circulation outside China before November 2019), and identify the host taxa and geographic regions that define hotspots of coronavirus evolutionary diversity as done in China by Latinne et al. (2020). Incorporating phylodynamic inferences into predictive models with the help of machine and deep learning techniques appears highly relevant. This could help target coronavirus discovery in wildlife for proactive zoonotic disease surveillance.

### 3.2. Can we predict SARS-CoV-2 evolution (without laboratory experiments) and anticipate future pathogen emergences?

Evolutionary sciences are needed to identify selective pressures that drive the emergence of new variants. Variants spread poorly over the first year of the pandemic, but since late 2020, some have emerged independently and spread very efficiently in different countries (e.g., the UK, South Africa, Brazil, USA, India). This raises a series of important questions. How can we identify variants with a true fitness advantage (Box 2)? Are SARS-CoV-2 variants emerging in chronic patients during a long battle with imperfect immune responses? Will more variants emerge in already partly immunized populations as a consequence of the coevolutionary arms race between the virus and the human immune system (antigenic drift)? This may include imperfect vaccines that may select not only for immune-proof viruses but also potentially for more virulent ones. Identifying the drivers of such emergences is required to adapt COVID-19 mitigation strategies and to anticipate the evolutionary potential of SARS-CoV-2 that threatens current vaccination strategies (Barnes et al., 2020; Wibmer et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2021). Part of the questions can be addressed through modeling pathogen evolution (see below).

### 3.3. What are the challenges of modeling pathogen evolution and the epidemic?

There is an important research need to build and validate mathematical models, not only to understand the dynamics of COVID-19 disease but also to understand SARS-CoV-2 emergence/evolution, virulence, and transmission. Ecological, biological, evolutionary, environmental, climatic, physiological, clinical and socioeconomic data are needed both to implement and validate models of emergence, transmission and severity of the corresponding health risk. At the beginning of the COVID-19 epidemic, basic biological and medical data were lacking to inform models (e.g., the fraction of asymptomatic cases, the relative infectiousness of asymptomatic individuals, the time between infection and the onset of symptoms, the infectiousness before symptom onset, the effect of age, etc.) (Day et al., 2020). Nevertheless, it was expected that the virus would evolve and that transmission and immune resistance would increase (Day et al., 2020); models are now needed to predict what variant traits will confer fitness advantages as the epidemic evolves. The socioeconomic factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 circulation and spread also remain insufficiently characterized.

Modeling SARS-CoV-2 evolution is critical for the success of public health measures, particularly vaccination. It is both a research need and an important challenge. As discussed above, a series of variants carrying mutations on the spike (recognized by the ACE-2 receptor of host cells) spread worldwide as a consequence of increased transmissibility approximately one year after the beginning of the pandemic. However, it is still poorly known to what extent mutations that increase transmissibility affect (either positively or negatively) viral replication or virulence. This level of information is needed for modeling viral evolution. It is also unknown to what extent natural selection for antigenic escape mutations will be increasing as a result of viral circulation in human populations that are largely immunized, either naturally or through vaccination campaigns (Day et al., 2020) and what viral traits (transmissibility, virulence, immune evasion) will become more favorable for the virus as the percentage of immunized hosts increases worldwide. Testing these diverse scenarios deserves an important, coordinated and inclusive research effort based on extensive data sharing.

From an epidemiological point of view, we also need prospective scenarios that take into account global changes and political actions. Beyond demographic and epidemiological data, there is an important challenge in incorporating ecological, evolutionary, climatic, social, economic, behavioral and cultural data, which are key factors influencing epidemics in these scenarios (Barouki et al., 2021). Such scenarios are needed to help decide which mitigation measures to adopt. Integrative approaches incorporating a round trip from the field to the model and back should be promoted to validate epidemiology-based health risk management models. Such research should include the experimental study of mechanisms on systems where we have access to

#### BOX 2

The use of evolutionary sciences to characterize variants with fitness advantages.

A major challenge in the context of an epidemic is to distinguish variants with a true fitness advantage over their ancestors and variants with little fitness increase, but which gained a stochastic advantage by surfing on an epidemic wave thanks to founder events or superspreading. A first characteristic of these new variants is to have a significant boost of amino acid mutations that makes them substitution rate outliers relative to the molecular clock, often with an excess of nonsynonymous over synonymous substitutions. A second characteristic is the presence of key mutations with functional effects, such as in the receptor binding domain of the spike protein. Finally, a third characteristic is parallel/convergent evolution with different variants of concern sharing the same mutations (point mutations or indels) that appeared independently in different genetic backgrounds. These three characteristics are known footprints of adaptive evolution and have allowed anticipated detection of variants in countries with significant sequencing efforts and immediate data sharing, such as the COG-UK Consortium. These variants can then be followed to verify whether they consistently increase in frequency each time they are introduced in a new place or whether this increase depends on some environmental conditions (the proportion of immunized hosts, climate, etc.). Functional assays in laboratory experiments can subsequently test the effects of key mutations, including affinity to human receptors, antibody avoidance or resistance to vaccines or treatments.

all the parameters, including knowledge of human activities/practices. Designed/implemented at a local scale (a territory), they would ensure a good knowledge of the parameters and engage local actors who can benefit from practical recommendations around the management of the health risk with which they are confronted at the level of their territory.

From the perspective of the social sciences, it will also be important to question the extent to which epidemiological modeling has actually contributed to political decisions, and what data decision-makers lacked or missed that could have clarified the situation and provided relevant information for health crisis management (beyond epidemiological data, what ecological, social, economic, behavioral, cultural information is needed?). This could be used to create a study highlighting the “missing” data, both from the perspective of modelers and decision-makers. Furthermore, adopting a socioecological health framework adopting foresight methodologies will contribute to filling the knowledge gaps for effective decision-making, relying on an in-depth understanding of the biological, ecosystem and social dynamics at play. Participatory modeling approaches complementary to epidemiological modeling can contribute to collective management for EID prevention. Social sciences (including citizen science) are needed in the framework of such transdisciplinary approaches.

#### 4. Long term research need #3: The determinants of Tolerance/Resistance to and spreading of SARS-CoV-2 in human and animal populations

The genetics of virus/host compatibility are expected to be a key determinant of COVID-19 epidemiology whether hosts are human populations or reservoir animal species.

The potential to host and transmit zoonotic pathogens varies according to animal species (see long term research need #1). A high diversity of zoonotic viruses was reported in mammals, particularly primates, bats and rodents, which are host species extensively studied. The host traits that predict total viral richness have been studied by Olival et al. (2017). Not surprisingly, the proportion of zoonotic viruses per species was found to increase with host phylogenetic proximity to humans, probably due to evolutionarily related species that share host cell receptors for virus binding (e.g., the ACE-2 receptor for SARS-CoV-2). Understanding how animal reservoirs (particularly bats) tolerate viruses without developing symptoms could be a source of innovation for the development of antiviral therapies (Irving et al., 2021). This requires support from comparative genomics or comparative immunology programs, which are often neglected. Knowledge of the pathogen host range (long-term research need #1) is key for developing comparative immunology programs. In addition, more research is needed on the diversity and mechanisms of reservoir host immune systems and the pathways that maintain the coevolutionary balance between host tolerance and resistance and pathogen virulence.

As SARS-CoV-2 has become transmissible from human to human with major variations in the severity of the disease observed worldwide and on an individual scale, there is much to learn from population genetics about the diversity of humans' susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 and their ability to transmit it. Data are already available on the genetic determinants of resistance/susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2. Very recently, genetic determinants of disease severity in humans (infected individuals who develop pathology) have been identified. Factors determining disease severity appear to depend on human evolutionary history (Zeberg and Pääbo, 2020). Population genetics coupled to genome-wide association studies should be promoted to identify genetic determinants of disease severity in human populations (Casanova et al., 2020). Beyond genetically encoded information, nongenetic information can cause phenotypic differences between individuals and account for differences in tolerance/resistance to diseases. Two parameters deserve a research effort. First, we still largely ignore how much the structure and function of the microbiota, i.e., the symbiotic microbial cells (bacteria, Archaea, viruses, and eukaryotes) hosted by animal species account for the

susceptibility of individuals to infectious diseases. The social interactions (cooperative and competitive behavior) of microorganisms and the many factors that shape variation in their effects on host functions, including defense against pathogens, remain elusive (Figueiredo and Kramer, 2020). Second, many epigenetic mechanisms that mediate the response of individuals to environmental modifications, including exposure to pathogens, remain to be explored. Epigenetic modifications are chemical additions to the DNA and histones that are stably maintained and do not change the primary DNA sequence of a genome; they contribute to the modulation of gene expression (Feil and Fraga, 2012). Previous pandemics have taught us that coronavirus infections (MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV) cause important epigenetic modifications in infected populations (Schäfer and Baric, 2017). These changes affect the innate immune system, whose dysregulated response contributes to severe SARS-CoV-2 infections. Based on these findings, it was hypothesized that coronaviruses actively modulate the epigenetic landscape of the human genome. It is expected that epigenetic signatures will provide markers for past viral infections, vaccination response and for the capacity of the innate immune system to fight off coronavirus infections, opening avenues for future research in immunoepigenetics (Box 3).

#### 5. Long term research need #4: Human and ecological factors accelerating infectious disease emergence

Scientific evidence shows that human activities such as greenhouse gas emissions, overuse of natural resources, pollution, expansion of agriculture and livestock, wildlife exploitation and changes in land use (deforestation, mining and infrastructure development) are causing climate change and climate variability, biodiversity loss, habitat destruction, land degradation and landscape modifications. In turn, these environmental changes have been hypothesized to be direct or indirect factors causing disease emergence and expansion, i.e., epidemics and pandemics, including SARS-CoV-2 (Morand, 2020; Sironi et al., 2020; Beyer et al., 2021; Halonen et al., 2021; Morand and Lajaunie, 2021). Indeed, global change (including global environmental change) promotes more frequent and novel contacts between people, domestic animals, wildlife and the potential pathogens they carry. It thus has the potential to trigger local host shifts and spillovers and to enhance disease transmission (Patz et al., 2004; IPBES, 2020; OECD, 2020). A better knowledge of the factors that influence pathogen ecology, transmission and evolution, on one hand, and host susceptibility, on the other hand, is needed to anticipate, prevent and mitigate the emergence and spread of infectious diseases as much as possible. National and international environmental/agricultural/industrial/societal policies, mitigation, preparedness and response need to be adapted accordingly..

##### 5.1. What are the effects of human activities on pathogen evolution and transmission to humans and host susceptibility?

The risk of zoonotic EIDs is elevated in forested tropical regions experiencing rapid land-use changes, where mammalian biodiversity is high (Allen et al., 2017; Guégan et al., 2020) and where people are highly vulnerable and constitute the most deprived communities today (Guégan et al., 2020). Investigating the role of habitat destruction on the one hand and human activities/behaviors on the other hand is needed to determine how much they have contributed to recent EIDs originating from animal species, including SARS-CoV-2 (Barouki et al., 2021). This research is needed in the long term, as it may help anticipate future crises. At a local scale, it is urgent to characterize the social-ecological conditions prone to favor spillover and transmission (Box 1).

In a world where livestock expansion is correlated with the emergence of infectious diseases (Morand, 2020), it is also of prime importance to determine to what extent intensive farming contributes to the rapid evolution and spread of infectious agents in promiscuous and highly genetically similar hosts (Mennerat et al., 2010). As recently seen

**BOX 3****Immuno-epigenetics in coronavirus infections.**

There is compelling evidence that a dysregulated innate immune response contributes to the clinical presentation of patients with severe COVID-19 infections. Myeloid cells are central players in innate immunity. Their properties are imprinted during their development by modifications in their epigenome and influenced by environmental cues. These epigenetic mechanisms include histone modifications and changes in DNA methylation (reviewed, e.g., Mantovani and Netea, 2020). It was shown that DNA methylation modifications regulate the expression of key immune system genes in both innate and adaptive immune responses (Obata et al., 2015). Several studies have also shown that DNA methylation changes upon infection with viruses, bacteria and parasites. Epigenetic changes that affect the host immune system were reported in populations infected with parasites such as *Plasmodium falciparum* (Quin et al., 2017) or *Schistosoma mansoni* (DiNardo et al., 2018) as well as bacteria such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (DiNardo et al., 2019). Changes following bacterial infections have been reviewed before (Bierne et al., 2012). Aberrant DNA methylation was also observed in several viral infections (e.g., hepatitis (Song et al., 2016; Okamoto et al., 2014), human papilloma virus (Jiménez-Wences et al., 2014), choriomeningitis virus (Scharer et al., 2013), herpes simplex (Liang et al., 2009) and others). DNA methylation was even used to predict disease progression and fatality of HIV infection (Chen et al., 2019). Concerning viruses that cause respiratory syndromes, distinct epigenetic signatures were observed upon infection with H1N1-09, SARS-CoV, H5N1-VN1203 and MERS-CoV (see Schäfer and Baric (2017) for a detailed review on coronavirus infections and epigenetic changes). Remarkably, MERS-CoV infection resulted in complete DNA methylation of three antigen-presentation-associated gene promoters (*HLA-A*, *B2M*, and *PDIA3*) *in vitro* (Menachery et al., 2018). It was hypothesized that the virus actively modulates the epigenetic landscape of the human genome (Gordon et al., 2020).

with SARS-CoV-2, reverse zoonosis (i.e., anthropogenic infection of animals) is possible. This virus has infected mink farms in Western Europe, as well as pets and species of wild fauna, particularly felines (Hosie et al., 2021). Similar to animal-to-human transmissions, human-to-animal transmissions are likely favored by repeated contacts and the sharing of similar ACE-2 receptors for SARS-CoV-2 between human and animal species (Palmer et al., 2021). Therefore, it is important to measure the risk for human and animal health when the virus spreads and evolves in mammals subjected to breeding in dense populations. To some extent, humans are a dense population of hosts for the virus where it may evolve into more infectious and more virulent variants. This should motivate research on host animal densities and the risk of epidemics.

With the increase in chemical pollution from the industrial and postindustrial era, it is also necessary to assess the potential role of water and soil degradation in infectious disease emergence. Chemical pollution indeed participates in biodiversity decline (see below), it modifies trophic chains, and it accelerates pathogen evolution (through mutation or recombination), drug-resistance in pathogens and tolerance to pathogens in host species, which are in turn likely to help with the dispersion of zoonotic diseases. Chemical pollution is likely to increase human vulnerability via immune toxicity (including changes in immune-epigenetic landscapes), thereby contributing to the emergence of still poorly understood multifactorial diseases. We previously discussed the increased risk of infectious diseases as a result of exposure to toxicants in human and animal species when the immune system is directly or indirectly affected (Destoumieux-Garzón et al., 2018). Understanding the effects of toxicants on the viability of human and animal populations and how they can promote the transmission of zoonotic agents by increasing the prevalence of pathogens in animal reservoirs or intermediary hosts is of primary importance to better mitigate EIDs.

### 5.2. What are the relationships between climate change, biodiversity loss and infectious diseases?

As we are confronted with rapid global environmental change (GEC), we also need to better characterize the role of climate change in driving biodiversity loss and disease emergence (Keesing et al., 2010; Morand and Lajaunie, 2018; World Health Organization, 2015; IPBES, 2020). Further characterization of the relationships between environmental conditions, especially climate and weather, and host and/or vector population dynamics, as well as exploration of pathogen survival and propagation in the environment, is required (Gortazar et al., 2014). A recent literature review points to research gaps such as the detection and prediction of links between drivers like climate change or weather

variability and pathogen emergence (Evans et al., 2020). Specifically, investigation is needed into the effects of species diversity and function, disturbance and human-wildlife contacts on the emergence and spread of infectious diseases. Biodiversity loss (e.g., extinctions or local extirpations of some species) is considered a driver of zoonotic agent emergence as it can increase the risk of disease transmission among remaining species. Indeed, multihost pathogens are expected to benefit from biodiversity loss. One underlying principle is the dilution effect: as host species can differ dramatically in their quality as a reservoir, a larger number of poor-quality reservoir species is expected to dilute events of pathogen transmission, as opposed to a small number of high-quality reservoir species amplifying transmission. This process has been documented in vector-borne diseases (Keesing et al., 2009). Moreover, when the number of species decreases, intraspecific density is expected to increase, thereby facilitating transmission among reservoir species. The increase in density of reservoir species can also favor transmission to humans as a consequence of augmented contacts. However, to date there is insufficient evidence to confirm how common 'dilution' and 'amplification' effects are a consequence of biodiversity loss (Keesing et al., 2009; Randolph and Dobson, 2012). Recent evidence suggests that for several disease systems, e.g., cutaneous leishmaniasis, Hantavirus syndrome, Buruli ulcer/*Mycobacterium ulcerans*, and Lyme disease, both dilution and amplification can happen in space and in time, emphasizing the need for a critical and balanced approach to that question (Luis et al., 2018; de Thoisy et al., 2021; Guegan et al., 2021).

### 6. Long term research need #5: Nature-based solutions and approaches to interlinked crises

Due to the intricate links that exist between climate change, biodiversity loss and disease emergence (in both human and animal populations), nature-based solutions will be required. Nature-based solutions are defined as actions that protect, sustainably manage, and restore natural or modified ecosystems and that address societal challenges effectively and adaptively, simultaneously providing human well-being and biodiversity benefits (Cohen-Shacham, E., Walters, G., Janzen, C. and Maginnis, 2016). Research into effective nature-based solutions is important as a spearhead in addressing the ecological and health crises jointly. Indeed, beyond reducing the risk of pandemics, nature-based solutions have the potential to reduce the impact of interlinked crises (biodiversity loss, climate change, etc.) that unequally and inequitably affect human populations. For instance, protecting tropical forests would have much-needed ancillary benefits for climate protection, natural resource conservation and autochthonous tribes and traditional beliefs (Guégan et al., 2020). Strategies and methods to

proactively conserve and restore our remaining intact ecosystems (e.g., forests) to halt climate change, biodiversity loss, and - we must hope - the next pandemic need to be further explored (Oakes, L.E. et al., 2020), as well as ways to implement them. Research to develop, foster and implement multisectoral and transdisciplinary action in line with planetary health and one health approaches needs to be strengthened. Actions and measures need to be implemented, monitored and evaluated at national and often territorial levels. This includes identifying and assessing opportunities and benefits for lives, activities, well-being and health together with local communities, requiring a strong integration of social sciences in the framework of living laboratories implementation, for example. An example of an initiative that can trigger international and multisectoral actions is the FAO-OIE-WHO Tripartite guide "Taking a Multisectoral, One Health Approach: A Tripartite Guide to Addressing Zoonotic Diseases in Countries" in 2019 (FAO-OIE-WHO, 2019), which now involves the UN environment programme (UNEP) in a quadripartite task force (de Garine-Wichatitsky et al., 2020).

### 7. Long term research need #6: Ethical and philosophical questions related to the relationship of humans and nature and the implications for change

The current pandemic provides a window through which to examine broader philosophical and ethical questions about human relationships to the nonhuman world. The emergence of new infectious diseases raises profound questions about the industrial development of wild environments, including tropical forests, the use of wildlife, the intensification of animal husbandry, the impacts of climate change, and the promotion of economies and lifestyles that contribute to these effects. If we want to reduce the risk of future pandemics, reconsidering the frameworks of the values that underpin such risk will be necessary.

This kind of reconsideration of values will need to be very broad. It will need to encompass issues of environmental and social justice and equity, grounded in recognition of our mutual interdependence and shared vulnerability (Ruger, 2020) and take into account, for instance, how the environmental destruction and wildlife use that increase the risk of EIDs are related to poverty and distributive and participative injustice. Such a reconsideration of value frameworks should also take into account justice between human generations, in particular what is owed by present people to future people with respect to reducing the risk of pandemic disease that they face, as well as what kind of environment and associated society and economy we will be leaving to them.

This reconsideration should move beyond humans to consider human relationships with both wild and domesticated animals (Rock and Degeling, 2015). The questions here are certainly complicated and are likely to reveal tensions between the values of animal welfare, human food systems, cultural values, and the values of threatened and endangered ecosystems and species. However, the complex nature of these ethical questions is an indication of both how important and urgent it is to begin exploring them now and of the need to make such exploration a key target for long-term research.

Research into ideas about the relationships between humans and nature more generally will be needed. It should address questions such as the following: Do anthropocentric attitudes of human superiority and separation help to bring about the destruction of nature that increases the risk of pandemics (Crist, 2018)? Does the natural world have "intrinsic value", and what do we mean by this term (Palmer et al., 2014; Dasgupta, 2021)? To what degree should humans intervene in the environment to decrease disease risks to humans - for instance, by gene editing organisms so that they pose less risk of transmitting disease? How far do human beliefs, attitudes and values underpin the destructive environmental practices that increase the risk of EIDs - or are other social and political factors more directly important? Humility is needed instead of the assumption that humans can investigate, predict and control all risks emerging from viruses, bacteria and other microorganisms on Earth (as discussed in research need #2).

This kind of research could be pursued within One Health and Planetary Health ethical frameworks that recognize the ways in which concerns about public health, climate change, biodiversity loss, environmental justice and animal welfare are inextricably entwined (Destoumieux-Garzón et al., 2018; Gruetzmacher et al., 2021) and that attempt to tackle these issues collaboratively and across disciplines, drawing on expertise not only from medicine and the natural sciences but also from ethics, law, religion, indigenous knowledge, behavioral economics, psychology and sociology.

### 8. Long term research need #7: Mechanisms for the implementation of profound changes to safeguard the environment and human health and to reduce future risks of EIDs

Links between the integrity of ecosystems and emerging zoonotic diseases still require further research (long-term research need #1), and in the shorter term, the precautionary principle necessitates that strong action be taken while this additional research is conducted (Evans et al., 2020).

Research reviewed in the global report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) in 2019 suggests that there is growing knowledge available that provides a pathway to predicting and preventing pandemics (IPBES, 2019). The connections between land use and climate change as key drivers of biodiversity loss and the links to disease emergence and pandemic risks provide opportunities for prevention, control and response measures (IPBES, 2020). Therefore, reducing the risks of disease emergence and pandemics requires addressing these root causes, implying fundamental changes in society toward sustainability (IPBES, 2019; HERA WP3 results). The IPBES has put forward potential policy options that support these transformational changes to reduce pandemic risks and potentially prevent future pandemics (IPBES, 2019, 2020; Box 4).

To achieve transformational changes and the implementation of recommended policy options, transdisciplinary implementation research involving social sciences is particularly needed on i) the social, ethical, psychological and cultural factors influencing behavioral change in times of a national/international health crisis; ii) improving the science to policy to public risk communication, better dialogue on the need for transformational change toward sustainable development and ways to make it happen, considering the lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic (Ref. to WP3/workshop results; forthcoming); iii) the most effective way of communicating uncertainty between and beyond disciplines to donors and policy-makers; iv) the links among gender, environment, social factors and exposure and disease; v) the multiple barriers to change and the identification of leverage points; and vi) the influence of types of governance to influence transformation. "Living laboratories" bring together public and private actors, companies, associations and individuals, with the aim of testing new services, tools or uses that bring about profound change in practice. They may be defined as a user-centered, iterative, open-innovation ecosystem, often operating in a territorial context (e.g., city, agglomeration, region or campus) and integrating concurrent research and innovation processes within a public-private-people partnership. They are a potential format for including all sectors of society and for allowing innovative practices to emerge. The results can potentially provide good practice examples and identify and characterize technological and social feasibility. Limitations as well as spatial and ecological conditions of transformational changes involving nature and people need to be further investigated (IPBES, 2019).

Findings will, for example, inform concerted international and national action to improve pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. A planetary health approach needs to focus on reducing inequalities, including of women in decision-making, especially in LMICs (de Paula et al., 2021). A foresight paradigm encompassing both participatory methodologies and foresight computational tools could



further enhance our capacity to capture the social, economic, environmental, ecological dynamics that determine the emergence of infectious health risks in different socioeconomic and cultural contexts.

For example, hunting and dismembering bushmeat usually pose a higher risk for pathogen transmission. Even the 'luxury bushmeat' sold in urban areas will have been hunted and dismembered, and for every bushmeat item sold there was a hunter in contact with an animal (their secretions and possibly getting scratched/wounded), which adds another layer, that of injustice because it puts the hunters and people from poorer rural areas at higher risk to satisfy nonessential demand in urban areas. Additionally, despite few studies of the mechanisms that drive risk, recent data demonstrates that coronavirus transmission risk increases along wildlife supply chains for human consumption in Vietnam, 2013–2014. Thus, the percentage of bamboo rats infected by coronavirus increases from 6% in rat farms to 21% in large live animal markets and 56% at the point of slaughter in restaurants (Huong et al., 2020).

Economic arguments are important when investigating and discussing options for the implementation of ambitious policies that safeguard nature (tackling climate change and biodiversity loss), strengthen the resilience of health systems, improve the protection of health and support the reduction of inequalities (World Health Organization, 2020). With respect to reducing the risks of pandemics, comparing economic assessments of the disease and prevention plans is an important aspect to be considered in health and environmental impact assessments and the development and improvement of respective impact assessment tools. It was estimated in 2020 that the COVID-19 pandemic would likely cost between US\$8.1 and US\$15.8 trillion globally. Dobson et al. (2020) estimated that in comparison, the present value of prevention costs for 10 years amounts to only approximately 2% of the current pandemic cost; a significant reduction in the transmission of new diseases from tropical forests would cost approximately US\$25 billion/year. How to successfully make the case for a green, healthy and just recovery for people and the planet and to focus on what is driving human health and well-being needs to be investigated. This includes exploring the transformational potential of a great mindshift toward mainstreaming economics for the agenda of sustainable development (Göpel, 2016).

## 9. Discussion and conclusions

"The problems of pandemics, climate and biodiversity loss are strongly interconnected - but so are the solutions" (Oakes et al., 2020). A core cause of these massive crises is the destructive relationship of most humans to nature. A new framework is needed to identify and quantify associations, causal relationships, and risks between ecological change and pathogen emergence (Gortazar et al., 2014). In line with this statement and the multiple calls for a more integrated action on health, we have identified seven long-term research needs that promote an effective integration of environmental, ecological, evolutionary, and social sciences to better anticipate and mitigate EIDs. Together with innovative multidisciplinary facilities embedded in social ecosystems, *ecological health observatories* and *living laboratories*, they should respond to an increasing demand of society for the sustainable management of ecosystems in which the human species is an integral part and on which

it strongly depends.

Various recommendations for healthy and green recovery from the current COVID-19 pandemic have been put forward on the basis of what is known today about interconnections, drivers and consequences. The World Health Organization, for example, has compiled a set of prescriptions and actions for a healthy and green recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic (World Health Organization, 2020): protecting and preserving nature as the source of human health; investing in essential services such as water and sanitation and clean energy; ensuring a quick and healthy energy transition; promoting healthy and sustainable food systems; and building healthy, livable cities and divestment from harmful activities. These are in line with what has been suggested by the OECD in its report entitled "Biodiversity and the economic response to COVID-19: Ensuring a green and resilient recovery" (OECD, 2020): "(i) ensure that COVID-19 economic recovery measures do not compromise biodiversity; (ii) scale up investment in biodiversity conservation, sustainable use and restoration; (iii) put a price on biodiversity loss; and (iv) foster cross-sectoral and integrate international collaboration by adopting the One Health approach, supporting developing countries to safeguard their biodiversity, and developing an ambitious post-2020 global biodiversity framework". Overall, it has been estimated that the cost of the response to COVID-19 will be significantly higher than that of prevention (Dobson et al., 2020). In addition, the pandemic has increased poverty and hunger, and it threatens global stability (Omtzigt and Pople, 2020). The stimulus measures to support the economies around the globe announced were on the order of USD 10 trillion (OECD, 2020). These amounts are potentially powerful levers toward a healthy recovery when invested in sectors and measures that, respectively, safeguard nature, strengthen the resilience of health systems, improve the protection of health and support the reduction of inequalities (World Health Organization, 2020), toward achieving the sustainable development goals.

All of these activities are linked to further long-term research as well as the need for urgent and immediate action. Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic can help to tackle future pandemics as well as other global emergencies (Vinke et al., 2020). Recovery measures will need to be assessed for their short- and long-term effects on the drivers of potential pandemics, and it is important to keep track of constantly emerging results of research on COVID-19 and global environmental change to be able to link them to new research questions aligned with societal needs. To ensure multidisciplinary, interdisciplinary, cross-sectoral and participatory research to answer emerging questions in relation to pandemic risk and environmental change, we propose setting up an international scientific and strategic network of scientists and stakeholders to jointly work toward the prevention of, preparedness and response to potential EIDs. Open access to and exchange of emerging knowledge is key for an accelerated translation of knowledge into policy and practice.

In agreement with the conclusion of Barouki et al. (2021) for emerging research needs in the context of COVID-19 and the environment, mid- and long-term research into EIDs and environmental change will also need to adopt an integrative approach with the aim of reducing the risk of future pandemics. Research efforts need to be translated into solutions jointly to protect and restore ecosystems and biodiversity to safeguard human health, including from potential EIDs (World Health

### BOX 4

Policy options to reduce pandemic risks (IPBES, 2019).

Topics to be considered in policies to reduce pandemic risks include: setting up enabling mechanisms; increasing sustainability and reducing pandemic risk due to land use change and agricultural expansion; monitoring and protecting "protected areas" including through the use of legal frameworks; and reducing pandemic risk due to the wildlife trade. In this context, emphasizing high quality alternatives to wild meat, particularly banning or replacing luxury bushmeat in urban areas, while fully respecting the legitimate rights of Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities who still depend on wildlife as a vital source of food, income and cultural identity is a suggested option.

Organization, 2015). The key justifications for comprehensive transformative action to safeguard the planet and human health lie in the planetary boundaries and the interactions between the Earth system's processes (Steffen et al., 2015; Lade et al., 2020). Supporting a One Health and Planetary Health scientific research approach linking human, animal and ecosystem health to design and test better strategies to prevent pandemics and an agreement to strive for the aims and goals of these approaches are required (IPBES, 2020). We must not lose sight of the bigger challenges ahead in view of the COVID-19 pandemic: In a world where the human population is expanding at unprecedented rates, the excess consumption of resources goes far beyond what planet Earth can cope with; human activities are also driving global warming and the loss of biodiversity, two major threats to life on our planet. Tackling crises jointly presents unique opportunities to rethink current economic models as well (Halonen et al., 2020, 2021; World Health Organization, 2020).

In the context of EIDs and environmental change – as for other challenges we face to safeguard livable conditions - research needs to be consequential and solution oriented. It has to embrace the complexity of the issues addressed and focus on the most vulnerable (Frumkin, 2015). Acting and advocating with a level of urgency proportionate to the scale of the threat, adhering to the best available science, and practicing clear and consistent communication are principles that apply to critical health crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic and global climate change (Watts et al., 2021). To reach these ambitious long-term goals, the establishment of an international scientific and strategic network for the prevention of, preparedness for and response to EIDs, including researchers, stakeholders and decision-makers, could lead the way to foster the transdisciplinary and cross-sectoral collaboration that is required. Offering a discussion platform and open access and exchange of emerging knowledge and solutions can accelerate the translation of research into policy and practice in this regard.

#### Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Delphine Destoumieux-Garzón on behalf of all the authors of the manuscript entitled "Getting out of crises: Environmental, social-ecological and evolutionary research is needed to avoid future risks of pandemics".

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the HERA project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 825417. DDG and JB received support from the Laboratoires d'Excellence (LABEX) Tulip (ANR-10-LABX-41). JFG has benefited from one "Investissement d'Avenir" grant managed by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (CEBA: ANR-10-LABX-25-01). The National Institutes of Health - National Science Foundation Ecology of Infectious Disease Program Grant NSF-1911457 provided support to J-FG. J-FG is also supported by IRD, INRAE, the French School of Public Health (EHESP) and University of Montpellier. S.M. is supported by French ANR FutureHealthSEA (ANR-17-CE35-0003-01) and the Thailand International Cooperation Agency (TICA) project "Animal Innovative Health". We are grateful to Dr. François Renaud and Dr. Ana Rivero (CNRS, France) for fruitful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.

#### References

Allen, T., Murray, K.A., Zambrana-Torrel, C., Morse, S.S., Rondinini, C., Di Marco, M., et al., 2017. Global hotspots and correlates of emerging zoonotic diseases. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1–10.

Anderies, J.M., Janssen, M.A., Ostrom, E., 2004. A framework to analyze the robustness of social-ecological systems from an institutional perspective. *Ecol. Soc.* 9, 18.

Anthony, S.J., Epstein, J.H., Murray, K.A., Navarrete-Macias, I., Zambrana-Torrel, C. M., Solovyov, A., Ojeda-Flores, R., Arrigo, N.C., Islam, A., Ali Khan, S., Hosseini, P., Bogich, T.L., Olival, K.J., Sanchez-Leon, M.D., Karesh, W.B., Goldstein, T., Luby, S.P., Morse, S.S., Mazet, J.A.K., Daszak, P., Lipkin, W.I., Moscona, A., 2013. A strategy to estimate unknown viral diversity in mammals. *MBio* 4 (5). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00598-13>.

Barnes, C.O., Jette, C.A., Abernathy, M.E., Dam, K.-M., Esswein, S.R., Gristick, H.B., Malyutin, A.G., Sharaf, N.G., Huey-Tubman, K.E., Lee, Y.E., Robbiani, D.F., Nussenzweig, M.C., West, A.P., Bjorkman, P.J., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody structures inform therapeutic strategies. *Nature* 588 (7839), 682–687.

Barouki, R., Kogevinas, M., Audouze, K., Belesova, K., Bergman, A., Birnbaum, L., et al., 2021. The COVID-19 pandemic and global environmental change: emerging research needs. *Environ. Int.* 146, 106272.

Beyer, R.M., Manica, A., Mora, C., 2021. Shifts in global bat diversity suggest a possible role of climate change in the emergence of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2. *Sci. Total Environ.* 767, 145413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145413>.

Bierne, H., Hamon, M., Cossart, P., 2012. Epigenetics and bacterial infections. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 2.

Boer, B., 1984. Social ecology and environmental law. *Environ. Plan. Law J.* 1, 233–257.

Calvignac-Spencer, S., Leendertz, S.A.J., Gillespie, T.R., Leendertz, F.H., 2012. Wild great apes as sentinels and sources of infectious disease. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 18, 521–527.

Cappelle, J., Hoem, T., Hul, V., Furey, N., Nguon, K., Prigent, S., Dupon, L., Ken, S., Neung, C., Hok, V., Pring, L., Lim, T., Bumrungsri, S., Duboz, R., Buchy, P., Ly, S., Duong, V., Tarantola, A., Binot, A., Dussart, P., 2020. Nipah virus circulation at human–bat interfaces, Cambodia. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 98 (8), 539–547.

Carroll, D., Daszak, P., Wolfe, N.D., Gao, G.F., Morel, C.M., Morzaria, S., et al., 2018. The Global virome Project. *Science* (80-) 359, 872–874.

Casanova, J.-L., Su, H.C., Abel, L., Aiuti, A., Almuhsen, S., Arias, A.A., Bastard, P., Biggs, C., Bogunovic, D., Boisson, B., Boisson-Dupuis, S., Bolze, A., Bondarenko, A., Bousfiha, A., Brodin, P., Bustamante, J., Butte, M., Casari, G., Ciancanelli, M., Cobat, A., Condino-Neto, A., Cooper, M., Dalgard, C., Espinosa, S., Feldman, H., Fellay, J., Franco, J.L., Hagin, D., Itan, Y., Jouanguy, E., Lucas, C., Mansouri, D., Meys, I., Milner, J., Mogensen, T., Morio, T., Ng, L., Notarangelo, L.D., Okada, S., Ozcelik, T., Soler Palacín, P., Planas, A., Prando, C., Puel, A., Pujol, A., Redin, C., Renia, L., Rodriguez Gallego, J.C., Quintana-Murci, L., Sancho-Shimizu, V., Sankaran, V., Seppänen, M.R.J., Shahrooei, M., Snow, A., Spaan, A., Tangye, S., Tur, J.P., Turvey, S., Vinh, D.C., von Bernuth, H., Wang, X., Zawadzki, P., Zhang, Q., Zhang, S., 2020. A Global effort to define the human genetics of protective immunity to SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Cell* 181 (6), 1194–1199.

Chen, J., Huang, Y., Hui, Q., Mathur, R., Gwinn, M., So-Armah, K., et al., 2019. Epigenetic associations with estimated glomerular filtration rate among men with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Infect. Dis.* 70, 667–673.

Cohen-Shacham, E., Walters, G., Janzen, C. and Maginnis, S. ed. (2016) Nature-based solutions to address global societal challenges IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.

Colding, J., Barthel, S., 2019. Exploring the social-ecological systems discourse 20 years later. *Ecol. Soc.* 24, 2.

Crist, E., 2018. Re-imagining the human. *Science* (80-) 362, 1242–1244.

Dasgupta, P., 2021. The economics of biodiversity: the dasgupta review. HM Treasury, London.

Day, T., Gandon, S., Lion, S., Otto, S.P., 2020. On the evolutionary epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2. *Curr. Biol.* 30 (15), R849–R857.

Destoumieux-Garzón, D., Bonnet, P., Teplitsky, C., Criscuolo, F., Henry, P.-Y., Mazurais, D., et al., 2021. OneARK: strengthening the links between animal production science and animal ecology. *Animal* 15, 100053.

Destoumieux-Garzón, D., Mavingui, P., Boetsch, G., Boissier, J., Darriet, F., Duboz, P., et al., 2018. The one health concept: 10 years old and a long road ahead. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 5, 1–13.

DiNardo, A.R., Nishiguchi, T., Mace, E.M., Rajapakshe, K., Mtetwa, G., Kay, A., Maphalala, G., Secor, W.E., Mejia, R., Orange, J.S., Coarfa, C., Bhalla, K.N., Graviss, E.A., Mandalakas, A.M., Makedonas, G., 2018. Schistosomiasis induces persistent dna methylation and tuberculosis-specific immune changes. *J. Immunol.* 201 (1), 124–133.

DiNardo, A.R., Rajapakshe, K., Nishiguchi, T., Mtetwa, G., Maphalala, G., Makedonas, G., et al., 2019. Epigenetic mediated immune exhaustion persists after successful tuberculosis therapy. *J. Immunol.* 202, 194.

Dobson, A.P., Pimm, S.L., Hannah, L., Kaufman, L., Ahumada, J.A., Ando, A.W., et al., 2020. Ecology and economics for pandemic prevention. *Science* (80-) 369, 379–381.

Düx, A., Lequime, S., Patrono, L.V., Vrancken, B., Boral, S., Gogarten, J.F., et al., 2020. Measles virus and rinderpest virus divergence dated to the rise of large cities one sentence summary: HHS public access. *Science* (80-) 368, 1367–1370.

Ebbesson, J., Hey, E., 2013. Introduction: where in law is social-ecological resilience? *Ecol. Soc.* 18, 1–4.

Evans, T., Olson, S., Watson, J., Greutzmacher, K., Pruvot, M., Jupiter, S., et al. (2020) Links between ecological integrity, emerging infectious diseases and other aspects of human health - an overview of the literature.

FAO-OIE-WHO, 2019. Taking A Multisectoral, One Health Approach: A Tripartite Guide to Addressing Zoonotic Diseases in Countries. FAO-OIE-WHO, pp. 1–166. ISBN: 978-92-5-131236-0.

Feil, R., Fraga, M.F., 2012. Epigenetics and the environment: emerging patterns and implications. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 13, 97–109.

Figueiredo, A.R.T., Kramer, J., 2020. Cooperation and conflict within the microbiota and their effects on animal hosts. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 8, 132.

Finger, F., Genolet, T., Mari, L., De Magny, G.C., Manga, N.M., Rinaldo, A., Bertuzzo, E., 2016. Mobile phone data highlights the role of mass gatherings in the spreading of cholera outbreaks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 6421–6426.

- Frumkin, H., 2015. Towards consequential environmental epidemiology, Commentary. *Epidemiology* 26, 137–140.
- de Garine-Wichatitsky, M., Binot, A., Morand, S., Kock, R., Roger, F., Wilcox, B.A., Caron, A., 2020. Will the COVID-19 crisis trigger a one health coming-of-age? *Lancet Planet. Heal.* 4, e377–e378.
- De Garine-Wichatitsky, M., Binot, A., Ward, J., Caron, A., Perrotton, A., Ross, H., et al., 2021. “Health in” and “Health of” social-ecological systems: a practical framework for the management of healthy and resilient agricultural and natural ecosystems. *Front. Public Heal.* 8, 1–15.
- Göpel, M. (2016) How to Work a Great Mindshift for Sustainability Transformations.
- Gordon, D.E., Jang, G.M., Bouhaddou, M., Xu, J., Obernier, K., O’Áomeara, M.J., et al. (2020) A SARS-CoV-2-Human Protein-Protein Interaction Map Reveals Drug Targets and Potential Drug-Repurposing. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.22.002386.
- Gortazar, C., Reperant, L.A., Kuiken, T., de la Fuente, J., Boadella, M., Martínez-Lopez, B., et al., 2014. Crossing the Interspecies Barrier: Opening the Door to Zoonotic Pathogens. *PLoS Pathog.* 10, e1004129.
- Grange, Z.L., Goldstein, T., Johnson, C.K., Anthony, S., Gilardi, K., Daszak, P., et al. (2021) Ranking the risk of animal-to-human spillover for newly discovered viruses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 118: e2002324118.
- Gruetzmacher, K., Karesh, W.B., Amuasi, J.H., Arshad, A., Farlow, A., Gabrysch, S., et al., 2021. The Berlin principles on one health – bridging global health and conservation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 764.
- Guegan, J.-F., Roche, B., and Morand, S. (2021) Biodiversity and human health: Go back to the basics of medicine and public health! In: Michel Loreau, A.H. and F.I. (ed), The ecological and societal consequences of biodiversity loss. ISTE Editions, London, UK.
- Guégan, J.F., Ayoub, A., Cappellet, J., De Thoisy, B., 2020. Forests and emerging infectious diseases: unleashing the beast within. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 15.
- Halonen, J.I., Erhola, M., Furman, E., Haahtela, T., Jousilahti, P., Barouki, R., Bergman, Å., Billo, N.E., Fuller, R., Haines, A., Kogevinas, M., Kolossa-Gehring, M., Krauze, K., Lanke, T., Vicente, J.L., Messerli, P., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Paloniemi, R., Peters, A., Posch, K.-H., Timonen, P., Vermeulen, R., Virtanen, S.M., Bousquet, J., Antó, J.M., 2021. A call for urgent action to safeguard our planet and our health in line with the helsinki declaration. *Environ. Res.* 193, 110600. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110600>.
- Halonen, J.I., Erhola, M., Furman, E., Haahtela, T., Jousilahti, P., Barouki, R., et al., 2020. The Helsinki declaration 2020: europe that protects. *Lancet Planet. Heal.* 4, e503–e505.
- Hemelaar, J., 2012. The origin and diversity of the HIV-1 pandemic. *Trends Mol. Med.* 18, 182–192.
- Hosie, M.J., Hofmann-Lehmann, R., Hartmann, K., Egberink, H., Truyen, U., Addie, D.D., Belák, S., Boucraut-Baralon, C., Frymus, T., Lloret, A., Lutz, H., Marsilio, F., Pennisi, M.G., Tasker, S., Thiry, E., Möstl, K., 2021. Anthropogenic infection of cats during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. *Viruses* 13 (2), 185. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020185>.
- Hosseini, P.R., Mills, J.N., Prieur-Richard, A.-H., Ezenwa, V.O., Bailly, X., Rizzoli, A., Suzán, G., Vittecoq, M., García-Peña, G.E., Daszak, P., Guégan, J.-F., Roche, B., 2017. Does the impact of biodiversity differ between emerging and endemic pathogens? the need to separate the concepts of hazard and risk. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 372 (1722), 20160129. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0129>.
- Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., Cheng, Z., Yu, T., Xia, J., Wei, Y., Wu, W., Xie, X., Yin, W., Li, H., Liu, M., Xiao, Y., Gao, H., Guo, L., Xie, J., Wang, G., Jiang, R., Gao, Z., Jin, Q., Wang, J., Cao, B., 2020. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 395 (10223), 497–506.
- Huong, N.Q., Nga, N.T.T., van Long, N., Luu, B.D., Latinne, A., Pruvot, M., et al., 2020. Coronavirus testing indicates transmission risk increases along wildlife supply chains for human consumption in Viet Nam, 2013–2014. *PLoS One* 15, 2013–2014.
- IPBES (2019) Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H.T.N. (ed) Bonn, Germany.
- IPBES (2020) Workshop Report on Biodiversity and Pandemics of the Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Daszak, P., Amuasi, J., das Neves, C. G., Hayman, D., Kuiken, T., Roche, B., Zambrana-Torrel, C., Buss, P., Dunderova, H. (ed) Bonn, Germany.
- Irving, A.T., Ahn, M., Goh, G., Anderson, D.E., Wang, L.-F., 2021. Lessons from the host defences of bats, a unique viral reservoir. *Nature* 589 (7842), 363–370.
- Jiménez-Wences, H., Peralta-Zaragoza, O., Fernández-Tilapa, G., 2014. Human papilloma virus, DNA methylation and microRNA expression in cervical cancer. *Oncol. Rep.* 31, 2467–2476.
- Jones, K.E., Patel, N.G., Levy, M.A., Storeygard, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J.L., Daszak, P., 2008. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* 451 (7181), 990–993.
- Jones, L.R., Manrique, J.M., 2020. Quantitative phylogenomic evidence reveals a spatially structured SARS-CoV-2 diversity. *Virology* 550, 70–77.
- Keesing, F., Belden, L.K., Daszak, P., Dobson, A., Harvell, C.D., Holt, R.D., Hudson, P., Jolles, A., Jones, K.E., Mitchell, C.E., Myers, S.S., Bogich, T., Ostfeld, R.S., 2010. Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence and transmission of infectious diseases. *Nature* 468 (7324), 647–652.
- Keesing, F., Brunner, J., Duerr, S., Killalea, M., LoGiudice, K., Schmidt, K., et al., 2009. Hosts as ecological traps for the vector of Lyme disease. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 276, 3911–3919.
- Lade, S.J., Steffen, W., de Vries, W., Carpenter, S.R., Donges, J.F., Gerten, D., et al., 2020. Human impacts on planetary boundaries amplified by earth system interactions. *Nat. Sustain.* 3, 119–128.
- Larsen, D.A., Wigginton, K.R., 2020. Tracking COVID-19 with wastewater. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 1151–1153.
- Latinne, A., Hu, B., Olival, K.J., Zhu, G., Zhang, L., Li, H., et al., 2020. Origin and cross-species transmission of bat coronaviruses in China. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 4235.
- Liang, Y., Vogel, J.L., Narayanan, A., Peng, H., Kristie, T.M., 2009. Inhibition of the histone demethylase LSD1 blocks  $\text{E}\alpha\text{-herpesvirus}$  lytic replication and reactivation from latency. *Nat. Med.* 15, 1312–1317.
- Lu, R., Zhao, X., Li, J., Niu, P., Yang, B., Wu, H., Wang, W., Song, H., Huang, B., Zhu, N. a., Bi, Y., Ma, X., Zhan, F., Wang, L., Hu, T., Zhou, H., Hu, Z., Zhou, W., Zhao, L., Chen, J., Meng, Y., Wang, J., Lin, Y., Yuan, J., Xie, Z., Ma, J., Liu, W.J., Wang, D., Xu, W., Holmes, E.C., Gao, G.F., Wu, G., Chen, W., Shi, W., Tan, W., 2020. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet* 395 (10224), 565–574.
- Luis, A.D., Kuenzi, A.J., Mills, J.N., 2018. Species diversity concurrently dilutes and amplifies transmission in a zoonotic host-pathogen system through competing mechanisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 7979–7984.
- Mantovani, A., Netea, M.G., 2020. Trained innate immunity, epigenetics, and Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 383, 1078–1080.
- Menachery, V.D., Schäfer, A., Burnum-Johnson, K.E., Mitchell, H.D., Einfeld, A.J., Walters, K.B., Nicora, C.D., Purvine, S.O., Casey, C.P., Monroe, M.E., Weitz, K.K., Stratton, K.G., Webb-Robertson, B.-J., Gralinski, L.E., Metz, T.O., Smith, R.D., Waters, K.M., Sims, A.C., Kawaoka, Y., Baric, R.S., 2018. MERS-CoV and H5N1 influenza virus antagonize antigen presentation by altering the epigenetic landscape. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Natl Acad Sci USA* 115 (5), E1012–E1021.
- Mennerat, A., Nilsen, F., Ebert, D., Skorpung, A., 2010. Intensive farming: evolutionary implications for parasites and pathogens. *Evol. Biol.* 37 (2-3), 59–67.
- Morand, S., 2020. Emerging diseases, livestock expansion and biodiversity loss are positively related at global scale. *Biol. Conserv.* 248, 108707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108707>.
- Morand, S., Lajaunie, C., 2019. Linking biodiversity with health and wellbeing: consequences of scientific pluralism for ethics, values and responsibilities. *Asian Bioeth. Rev.* 11, 153–168.
- Morand, S., Lajaunie, C., 2018. Loss of biological diversity and emergence of infectious diseases. *Biodiver. Health* 29–47.
- Morand, S., Lajaunie, C., 2021. Outbreaks of vector-borne and zoonotic diseases are associated with changes in forest cover and oil palm expansion at global scale. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 8, 661063.
- Oakes, L.E., Olson, S.H., Watson, J., 2020. Preventing pandemics, global warming and environmental degradation all at once. *CNN*.
- Obata, Y., Furusawa, Y., Hase, K., 2015. Epigenetic modifications of the immune system in health and disease. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 93, 226–232.
- OECD (2020) Biodiversity and the economic response to COVID-19 : Ensuring a green and resilient recovery.
- Okamoto, Y., Shinjo, K., Shimizu, Y., Sano, T., Yamao, K., Gao, W., Fujii, M., Osada, H., Sekido, Y., Murakami, S., Tanaka, Y., Joh, T., Sato, S., Takahashi, S., Wakita, T., Zhu, J., Issa, J.-P., Kondo, Y., 2014. Hepatitis virus infection affects DNA methylation in mice with humanized viruses. *Gastroenterology* 146 (2), 562–572.
- Olival, K.J., Hosseini, P.R., Zambrana-Torrel, C., Ross, N., Bogich, T.L., Daszak, P., 2017. Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. *Nature* 546 (7660), 646–650.
- Omtzigt, D.-J., Pople, A., 2020. The cost of doing nothing: the price of inaction in response to the COVID-19 crisis. *United Nation (UN), Off. Coord. Humanit. Aff.*
- Ostrom, E., 2009. A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social ecological systems. *Science* (80-) 325, 419–422.
- Palmer, C., McShane, K., Sandler, R., 2014. Environmental ethics. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 39, 419–442.
- Palmer, M.V., Martins, M., Falkenberg, S., Buckley, A., Caserta, L.C., Mitchell, P.K., et al., 2021. Susceptibility of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) to SARS-CoV-2. *J. Virol.*
- Patz, J.A., Daszak, P., Tabor, G.M., Aguirre, A.A., Pearl, M., Epstein, J., Wolfe, N.D., Kilpatrick, A.M., Foutopoulos, J., Molyneux, D., Bradley, D.J., 2004. Unhealthy landscapes: policy recommendations on land use change and infectious disease emergence. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 112 (10), 1092–1098.
- de Paula, N., Jung, L., Mar, K., Bowen, K., Maglakelidze, M., Funderich, M., Otieno, M., et al., 2021. A planetary health blind spot : the untapped potential of women to safeguard nature and human resilience in LMICs. *Lancet Planet. Heal.* 109–110.
- Plowright, R.K., Becker, D.J., Crowley, D.E., Washburne, A.D., Huang, T., Nameer, P.O., et al., 2019. Prioritizing surveillance of nipah virus in India. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 13, 1–17.
- Plowright, R.K., Parrish, C.R., McCallum, H., Hudson, P.J., Ko, A.I., Graham, A.L., Lloyd-Smith, J.O., 2017. Pathways to zoonotic spillover. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 502–510.
- Quin, J.E., Bujala, I., Chérif, M., Sanou, G.S., and Qu..., Y. (2017) Major transcriptional changes observed in the Fulani, an ethnic group less susceptible to malaria. *Elife*.
- Randolph, S.E., Dobson, A.D., 2012. Pangloss revisited: a critique of the dilution effect and the biodiversity-buffers-disease paradigm. *Parasitology* 139 (7), 847–863.
- Roche, B., Garchitorena, A., Guégan, J.F., Arnal, A., Roiz, D., Morand, S., et al., 2020. Was the COVID-19 pandemic avoidable? a call for a “solution-oriented” approach in pathogen evolutionary ecology to prevent future outbreaks. *Ecol. Lett.* 23, 1557–1560.
- Rock, M.J., Degeling, C., 2015. Public health ethics and more-than-human solidarity. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 129, 61–67.
- Ruger, J.P., 2020. Positive public health ethics: toward flourishing and resilient communities and individuals. *Am. J. Bioeth.* 20, 44–54.
- Schäfer, A., Baric, R., 2017. Epigenetic landscape during coronavirus infection. *Pathogens* 6 (1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens6010008>.
- Scharer, C.D., Barwick, B.G., Youngblood, B.A., Ahmed, R., Boss, J.M., 2013. Global DNA methylation remodeling accompanies CD8 T cell effector function. *J. Immunol.* 191 (6), 3419–3429.

- Sironi, M., Hasnain, S.E., Rosenthal, B., Phan, T., Luciani, F., Shaw, M.A., et al., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a genetic, epidemiological, and evolutionary perspective. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 84, 104384.
- Smith, K.F., Goldberg, M., Rosenthal, S., Carlson, L., Chen, J., Chen, C., Ramachandran, S., 2014. Global rise in human infectious disease outbreaks. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 11, 1–6.
- Song, M.-A., Kwee, S.A., Tiirikainen, M., Hernandez, B.Y., Okimoto, G., Tsai, N.C., Wong, L.L., Yu, H., 2016. Comparison of genome-scale DNA methylation profiles in hepatocellular carcinoma by viral status. *Epigenetics* 11 (6), 464–474.
- Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, S.E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E.M., et al., 2015. Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science (80-)* 347, 736.
- de Thoisy, B., Duron, O., Epelboin, L., Musset, L., Quénel, P., Roche, B., et al., 2021. Ecology, evolution, and epidemiology of zoonotic and vector-borne infectious diseases in french guiana: transdisciplinarity does matter to tackle new emerging threats. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 93.
- Trottier, J., Darques, R., Ait Mouheb, N., Partiot, E., Bakhache, W., Deffieu, M.S., Gaudin, R., 2020. Post-lockdown detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the wastewater of Montpellier, France. *One Heal.* 10, 100157.
- Vinke, K., Gabrysch, S., Paoletti, E., Rockström, J., Schellnhuber, H.J., 2020. Corona and the climate: a comparison of two emergencies. *Glob. Sustain.* 3, 1–7.
- Wang, H., Pipes, L., Nielsen, R., 2021. Synonymous mutations and the molecular evolution of SARS-CoV-2 origins. *Virus Evol.* 7, 1–11.
- Watts, N., Amann, M., Arnell, N., Ayeb-Karlsson, S., Beagley, J., Belesova, K., et al., 2021. The 2020 report of the lancet countdown on health and climate change: responding to converging crises. *Lancet* 397 (10269), 129–170.
- Wibmer, C.K., Ayres, F., Hermanus, T., Madzivhandila, M., Kgagudi, P., Oosthuysen, B., et al., 2021. SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. *Nat. Med.* 27, 622–625.
- Woolhouse, M.E.J., Gowtage-Sequeria, S., 2005. Host range and emerging and reemerging pathogens. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11, 1842–1847.
- Woolhouse, M.E.J., Haydon, D.T., Antia, R., 2005. Emerging pathogens: the epidemiology and evolution of species jumps. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 20, 238–244.
- World Health Organization, 2015. Connecting Global Priorities: Biodiversity and Human Health, a State of Knowledge Review. WHO 1–344.
- World Health Organization, 2020. WHO manifesto for a healthy recovery from COVID-19: Prescriptions and Actionables for a Healthy and Green Recovery. WHO, pp. 1–5.
- Xie, X., Zou, J., Fontes-Garfias, C.R., Xia, H., Swanson, K.A., Cutler, M., et al., 2021. Neutralization of N501Y mutant SARS-CoV-2 by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited sera Xuping. *bioRxiv* 53, 2021.
- Zeberg, H. and Pääbo, S. (2020) The major genetic risk factor for severe COVID-19 is inherited from Neandertals Authors. *bioRxiv* 2020.07.03.186296.
- Zhou, P., Yang, X.-L., Wang, X.-G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., et al., 2020. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 579 (7798), 270–273.