

# 

**Citation:** Whittaker C, Chesnais CB, Pion SDS, Kamgno J, Walker M, Basáñez M-G, et al. (2022) Factors associated with variation in single-dose albendazole pharmacokinetics: A systematic review and modelling analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 16(10): e0010497. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pntd.0010497

Editor: Husain Poonawala, Tufts Medical Center, UNITED STATES

Received: May 16, 2022

Accepted: October 10, 2022

Published: October 28, 2022

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010497

**Copyright:** © 2022 Whittaker et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All data collated as part of this study, as well as the analytical code used to generate the analyses reported in the Main **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Factors associated with variation in singledose albendazole pharmacokinetics: A systematic review and modelling analysis

Charles Whittaker <sup>1,2</sup>\*, Cédric B. Chesnais<sup>3</sup>, Sébastien D. S. Pion<sup>3</sup>, Joseph Kamgno<sup>4</sup>, Martin Walker<sup>2,5</sup>, Maria-Gloria Basáñez<sup>1,2‡</sup>, Michel Boussinesq<sup>3‡</sup>

1 MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, 2 London Centre for Neglected Tropical Disease Research, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, 3 Recherches Translationnelles sur le VIH et les Maladies Infectieuses (TransVIHMI), University of Montpellier, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Montpellier, France, 4 Centre for Research on Filariasis & other Tropical Diseases, and Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon, 5 Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, Hatfield, United Kingdom

‡ These authors are joint senior authors on this work.
\* charles.whittaker16@imperial.ac.uk

# Abstract

# Background

Albendazole is an orally administered anti-parasitic medication with widespread usage in a variety of both programmatic and clinical contexts. Previous work has shown that the drug's pharmacologically active metabolite, albendazole sulfoxide, is characterised by substantial inter-individual pharmacokinetic variation. This variation might have implications for the efficacy of albendazole treatment, but current understanding of the factors associated with this variation remains incomplete.

# Methodology/Principal findings

We carried out a systematic review to identify references containing temporally disaggregated data on the plasma concentration of albendazole and/or (its pharmacologically-active metabolite) albendazole sulfoxide following a single oral dose. These data were then integrated into a mathematical modelling framework to infer albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetic parameters and relate them to characteristics of the groups being treated. These characteristics included age, weight, sex, dosage, infection status, and whether patients had received a fatty meal prior to treatment or other drugs alongside albendazole. Our results highlight a number of factors systematically associated with albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetic variation including age, existing parasitic infection and receipt of a fatty meal. Age was significantly associated with variation in albendazole sulfoxide systemic availability and peak plasma concentration achieved; as well as the clearance rate (related to the half-life) after adjusting for variation in dosage due to differences in body weight between children and adults. Receipt of a fatty meal prior to treatment was associated with Text and Supplementary File can be found at the following link: https://github.com/cwhittaker1000/ albendazole\_pk.

Funding: C.W. acknowledges funding from the Wellcome Trust (224190/Z/21/Z). C.W. and M.G.B. acknowledge funding from the Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis (MR/R015600/1), jointly funded by the UK MRC and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO), under the MRC/FCDO Concordat agreement and is also part of the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP2) programme supported by the European Union. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

increased albendazole sulfoxide systemic availability (and by extension, peak plasma concentration and total albendazole sulfoxide exposure following the dose). Parasitic infection (particularly echinococcosis) was associated with altered pharmacokinetic parameters, with infected populations displaying distinct characteristics to uninfected ones.

# **Conclusions/Significance**

These results highlight the extensive inter-individual variation that characterises albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics and provide insight into some of the factors associated with this variation.

# Author summary

Albendazole is a broad-spectrum anti-parasitic medication widely used in the treatment of a variety of parasitic worm infections. Previous studies have demonstrated marked variation in the pharmacokinetics of albendazole (and its pharmacologically active metabolite albendazole sulfoxide), leading to substantial inter-individual variability in blood plasma concentrations of albendazole sulfoxide following an oral dose of albendazole. This variation is thought to have consequences for treatment success but our understanding of the factors driving this variation remains incomplete. In this study, we carried out a systematic review to identify references with data on albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide concentrations in plasma following a single oral dose. We then fitted a mathematical model of albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics to these data to infer key pharmacokinetic parameters and relate them to characteristics of the groups being treated. We found that: 1) receipt of a fatty meal prior to treatment was associated with increased albendazole sulfoxide systemic availability; 2) the half-life of albendazole sulfoxide varied significantly with age, and 3) both echinococcosis and neurocysticercosis were associated with altered albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetic profiles compared to healthy individuals. Our work provides insight into some of the factors systematically associated with variation in albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics.

# Introduction

Albendazole is a broad-spectrum medication used widely in the treatment of a variety of parasitic worm infections. This includes usage in a clinical context, where multiple-dose regimens are used to treat infections with the larval stages of *Taenia solium* ((neuro-)cysticercosis) [1] or of *Echinococcus* spp. (principally cystic and alveolar echinococcosis due to, respectively, *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis*) [2]. It has also been used extensively in neglected tropical disease (NTD) programmatic contexts, for which albendazole is being/has been delivered (as a single-dose treatment) to communities in mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns against soil-transmitted helminthiases [3] (STHs, due to *Ascaris lumbricoides* (roundworm), *Trichuris trichiura* (whipworm), and *Necator americanus* and/or *Ancylostoma duodenale* (hookworm)), and delivered alone [4] or alongside ivermectin and/or diethylcarbamazine [5,6]) against lymphatic filariasis [7] (LF, due to *Wuchereria bancrofti* or *Brugia malayi*). In addition, albendazole has also been offered to individuals with loiasis [8,9], frequently those whose *Loa loa* microfilarial densities are high enough to preclude safe treatment with microfilaricidal anthelmintics [10,11] (such as diethylcarbamazine or ivermectin [12]). Whilst the therapeutic efficacy of albendazole has been established for a wide array of helminth parasites, the pharmacokinetics of the drug's pharmacologically-active metabolite, albendazole sulfoxide, are characterised by extensive inter- and intra-individual variation. This variation has been consistently observed across a wide range of studies (see [13] for a review and its implications for treatment). It is typically attributed to the drug's limited solubility in the gastrointestinal tract (thought to be driven, in part, by inter-individual variability in gastric pH and intestinal metabolism, which can significantly impact the drug's absorption and bioavailability [14–16]) as well as extensive first-pass metabolism by the liver (responsible for rapid conversion of albendazole to albendazole sulfoxide).

Previous work has highlighted a relationship between higher albendazole sulfoxide blood plasma (henceforth referred to as plasma) levels and increased antiparasitic efficacy in patients with neurocysticercosis [17]. Similarly, higher dosages of albendazole have been associated with higher efficacy against hookworm [18], as have utilisation of triple-dose regimens over single-dose ones [19]. In this context, substantial inter-individual pharmacokinetic variability might contribute to the failure of cure observed in some treated patients. Indeed, across both individual treatment contexts in healthcare facilities [13,20,21] and field studies [22], highly variable impact of the drug on clearing treated infections has been observed. However, some of these observations might also be driven by other factors, such as variation between settings in the prevalence of different STH species which are thought to show variable responses to albendazole treatment [23].

A number of factors are thought to underlie this pharmacokinetic variation. Several studies have examined the influence of different drivers, including sex [24], co-administered drugs [14,25], delivery of albendazole alongside a fatty meal [26–29] and infection status [30,31] on the pharmacokinetic profile of albendazole sulfoxide. However, these studies typically only analyse a single factor, and therefore a systematic understanding of the respective comparative impact of different factors on albendazole's (and particularly albendazole sulfoxide's) pharmacokinetics remains outstanding. Given albendazole's widespread usage in NTD programmatic contexts, insight into mechanisms that influence the pharmacokinetic profile of albendazole sulfoxide sulfoxide could have significant public health relevance.

Motivated by this, we conducted a systematic review of the literature to identify references containing temporally disaggregated information on albendazole sulfoxide (and albendazole where available) concentrations in plasma following treatment with a single oral dose (the typical regimen used in NTD programmatic contexts). To these data, we fitted a mathematical model of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide's pharmacokinetics following receipt of the dose that captures key phenomena associated with the drug's metabolism. These include albendazole's extensive first-pass metabolism [32] and its established low systemic availability [15]. We fitted this model to data collated as part of the systematic review to infer key pharmacokinetic parameters, including albendazole sulfoxide systemic availability, albendazole sulfoxide exposure to albendazole sulfoxide across time (commonly described as the area under the curve or AUC). We then related these parameter estimates to characteristics of the patient groups being treated and the treatment regimen received.

#### Methods

#### Systematic review of albendazole pharmacokinetics literature

Web of Science and PubMed databases were searched on 22<sup>nd</sup> July 2022 with no limitations on date range using the keywords "albendazole" AND (treatment\* OR dose\* OR pharma\* OR "half-life") in order to identify references containing temporally disaggregated

data detailing the concentration of albendazole and/or albendazole sulfoxide in plasma following treatment with a single dose of the drug. A total of 6,855 unique records were identified through this search process, with 246 records retained for full text evaluation following Title and Abstract screening (Fig 1). Exclusion criteria comprised studies lacking the required information on plasma concentration levels over time; those that had been carried out *in vitro* or in non-human subjects, or were not in English. Following this, a total of 36 references were included, yielding 113 time-series describing the evolution of plasma concentrations of albendazole and/or albendazole sulfoxide following treatment with a single dose. Of these, 19 timeseries contained information on both albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide levels, and 94 contained information on albendazole sulfoxide levels only. A total of 105 of these time-series



**Fig 1. PRISMA diagram illustrating the systematic review workflow.** Web of Science and PubMed were searched on 22<sup>nd</sup> July 2022 using the keywords albendazole AND (treatment\* OR dose\* OR pharma\* OR "half-life" OR "half life"). This produced a total of 6,855 results after duplicate removal, of which 246 were retained for full text screening. A total of 210 of the retained articles were subsequently excluded based on pre-defined exclusion criteria (see Systematic review of albendazole pharmacokinetics literature, in Methods), yielding 36 studies containing temporally disaggregated data on albendazole sulfoxide plasma concentrations following treatment with a single dose of albendazole. These 36 references contained a total of 113 time-series measuring albendazole and/or albendazole sulfoxide blood plasma concentrations over time in different groups.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010497.g001

were studies in which only plasma albendazole sulfoxide concentrations following a single oral dose of albendazole were presented. In eight studies, measured plasma albendazole sulfoxide concentrations were presented that spanned a course of multiple doses, also containing information on albendazole plasma concentration levels immediately following the first dose. For these eight time-series, we extracted data following receipt of the first dose up until receipt of the second dose. For each time-series, we also extracted the data describing evolution of albendazole/albendazole sulfoxide plasma concentrations over time, as well as an array of metadata. These included characteristics of the treatment regimen (dose, fasting state, co-administered drugs), as well as information and metadata on the patients receiving treatment (sex, age, infection status and weight). In the majority of instances, presented data were reported for a group of patients rather than individuals. In these instances, group averages for factors such as age, weight, etc., were extracted. A full list of these references, as well as further information about each study and how the data were extracted are available in *Text A in* S1 File.

#### Mathematical model construction and fitting

We developed a model describing the evolution of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide concentrations in plasma following receipt of a single oral dose of the drug, based on a series of linked ordinary differential equations (ODEs) of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide (Fig 2). The model incorporates a number of relevant pharmacokinetic phenomena, including the drug's well-established, limited systemic availability (thought to be a product of its poor solubility along the gastrointestinal tract [15]) and the extensive first-pass metabolism of albendazole to albendazole sulfoxide known to occur in the liver [32]. This model was fitted individually to each of the 113 collated time-series within a Bayesian framework, utilising an adaptive Metropolis-Hastings based Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling scheme for parameter inference. Uninformative priors were used for each of the parameters being



**Fig 2. Schematic representation of the model describing albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics.** A compartmental model consisting of a series of linked ordinary differential equations (ODEs) was developed to simulate the pharmacokinetics of albendazole and its pharmacodynamically-active metabolite, albendazole sulfoxide, in plasma following a single oral dose. (A) Schematic representation illustrating the model structure and the way in which the different compartments are linked. (B) Overview of the ODEs governing the model, representing the amount of albendazole in the gut (G) and concentration of the drug (or its metabolite albendazole sulfoxide) in the liver (L) and systemic circulation, plasma (P). The received dose is further scaled by a systemic availability parameter not shown here (see *Text B in* S1 File for further details and full description of the model equations).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010497.g002

subsequently related to the collected metadata. For each dataset, a total of 25,000 iterations were run, with the first 5,000 discarded as burn-in, leaving 20,000 samples available for parameter inference. The median value based on these (posterior) samples was then used as an input into the multiple linear regression analysis described below. Further information on the exact formulation of the model and the fitting process is available in *Text B in* S1 File.

# Regression linking pharmacokinetic properties to patients' characteristics

From the 113 fitted time-series, we extracted estimates of key pharmacokinetic parameters and regressed them onto the collected metadata (describing aspects of the patient group and treatment regimen received) to assess the influence of various factors on variation in albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide's pharmacokinetics. The pharmacokinetic parameters were  $k_{Albeo}$  (the clearance rate of albendazole sulfoxide, the reciprocal of which i.e.  $1/k_{Albso}$  is the albendazole sulfoxide half-life), the systemic availability of albendazole sulfoxide (the proportion of administered albendazole absorbed from the gut and detected in the plasma as albendazole sulfoxide),  $C_{Max}$  (the peak concentration of albendazole sulfoxide in plasma), and AUC ("area under the curve", reflecting the total exposure to albendazole sulfoxide after administration of the dose, calculated over a time-period of 50 hours).  $k_{Albso}$  and the systemic availability of albendazole sulfoxide are model parameters directly estimated during the fitting process outlined above, and so for each time-series, the median parameter estimate from each time-series was used in the regression. For C<sub>Max</sub> and AUC, in order to control for differences in dosages between studies (which would directly impact estimates of these two quantities), we used the fitted model (and median parameter estimates) for each time-series to simulate and generate a hypothetical pharmacokinetic curve assuming a standardised dose of 400 mg. We then calculated  $C_{Max}$  and AUC from this hypothetical pharmacokinetic curve to give estimates of the two parameters standardised by the dose received. We subsequently refer to these quantities as  $C_{Max400}$  and  $AUC_{400}$ .

# Results

### Systematic review results and study characteristics

A total of 36 references containing 113 time-series detailing the concentration of albendazole and/or albendazole sulfoxide in plasma following treatment with a single dose of albendazole were identified [14,25–30,33–61]. Forty-four time-series were data for a single individual and 69 time-series described average plasma concentrations through time for a group of individuals (mean group size = 13.4, interquartile range = 6-18), with the data comprising a total number of 967 individuals who had received a single dose of albendazole. Of the 113 time-series identified, information on the sex of participants was available for 88 time-series (37 from male participants, 45 including a mixture of males and females, and 6 from female participants), with information allowing calculation of mean age and weight available for 94 and 97 time-series respectively. A total of 23 time-series were from children under the age of 18 years. Information on whether treatment was taken with a fatty meal was available for 91 time-series (39 received a fatty meal, the remainder did not), whilst infection status was available for 111 time-series (58 were from uninfected patient groups; 16 were from individuals with neurocysticercosis; 14 from individuals with echinococcosis; 11 from individuals with soil-transmitted helminth infections (either whipworm or hookworm); 7 from individuals with onchocerciasis; 3 from individuals with LF, and 2 from individuals with giardiasis). The median dose received was 400 mg (range 200 mg-2,205 mg). Co-administered drugs included ivermectin (n = 9), diethylcarbamazine (DEC, n = 9), praziquantel (n = 4), ritonavir (n = 2), dexamethasone (n = 2), amoxicillin (n = 1), gentamycin (n = 1), metronidazole (n = 1), ceftriaxone (n = 1), levamisole (n = 1) and oxantel pamoate (n = 1). Table A in S1 File provides full details of each included study and time-series.

## Pharmacokinetic modelling of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide

To each of these collated time-series, we fitted a model describing the pharmacokinetics of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide concentrations in plasma following receipt of a single oral dose and before a second dose in the case of treatment regimens using multiple doses (see Fig 2 for model structure and formulation). This model was fitted individually to each time-series within a Bayesian MCMC-based framework (see *Fig A in S1 File* for individual model fitting results for each time-series). Our results highlighted significant variation in model estimates of key pharmacokinetic parameters including  $k_{Albso}$ , the systematic availability of albendazole sulfoxide,  $C_{Max400}$  (peak modelled concentration of albendazole sulfoxide in plasma following receipt of a hypothetical 400 mg dose) and  $AUC_{400}$  (total modelled exposure to albendazole sulfoxide following receipt of a hypothetical 400 mg dose of albendazole). Stratifying the modelled pharmacokinetic profiles by various characteristics of the patient groups suggested possible systematic pharmacokinetic differences associated with patient- and treatment regimen-related factors, although also extensive between-study variation in pharmacokinetics and plasma concentration of albendazole sulfoxide (Fig 3).



**Fig 3.** Albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetic variability, stratified by patient and dosage features. In all panels displayed above, each pale line represents the fitted model output for a single time-series, with the darker lines representing the average of the time-series for a given category. Factors explored were (A) Sex; (B) Feeding Status (according to whether groups had received the single dose of albendazole alongside a fatty meal or not); (C) Dose (with time-series crudely categorised into high/low strata based on whether the dose was higher or lower than 400 mg); (D) Infection Status (defined, following the article associated with each time-series, as whether the patient group were receiving treatment for a known infection or not); (E) Co-Administered Drugs (i.e. whether albendazole was delivered alone or in tandem with other drugs); and (F) Age Group, defined as to whether the average age of the patients was under 18 years (children) or  $\geq$ 18 years (adults).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010497.g003

	Systemic availability of albendazole sulfoxide	AlbSO clearance rate	AUC <sub>400</sub> (for standardised 400 mg dose)	C <sub>Max400</sub> (for standardised 400 mg dose)
Fatty meal	p = 0.03 (+14%  in those receiving fatty meal)	p = 0.97	p<0.01 (2.8x higher)	p = 0.001 (+314ng/ml)
Sex (Ref = male)	p = 0.38	p = 0.78	p = 0.33	p = 0.19
Age group (Ref = adults)	p = 0.03 (+15% in children)	p = 0.11	p = 0.87	p = 0.05 (+203 ng/ml)
Dose (mg)	p = 0.11	p = 0.13	p = 0.29	p = 0.08
Parasitic infection (Ref = none)	p = 0.54	p = 0.26	p = 0.90	p = 0.61
Neurocysticercosis	p = 0.21	p = 0.04 (+1 hour longer half-life)	p = 0.34	p = 0.97
Echinococcosis	p = 0.13	p = 0.93	p = 0.002 (2.9x higher)	p = 0.02 (+391ng/ml)
Onchocerciasis	p = 0.52	p = 0.49	p = 0.78	p = 0.25
STH/LF/Giardiasis	p = 0.50	p = 0.62	p = 0.17	p = 0.17
Co-administered drugs (Ref = none)	p = 0.15	p = 0.52	p = 0.55	p = 0.28

Table 1. Regression outputs (p-values) relating pharmacokinetic properties to patients' characteristics. Inferred parameters from the fitted pharmacokinetic model, specifically the median values of albendazole sulfoxide (AlbSO) systemic availability, albendazole sulfoxide clearance rate, albendazole sulfoxide  $AUC_{400}$  and  $C_{Max400}$  were regressed onto various patient group demographic and treatment metadata.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010497.t001

In order to explore these relationships more formally, we carried out a multiple linear regression analysis to assess which of the factors in Fig.3 were statistically significantly associated with differences in these pharmacokinetic parameters. The results of this regression are displayed in Table 1. Receipt of a fatty meal prior to treatment increased the systemic availability of albendazole sulfoxide by 14% on average (p = 0.03) and resulted in a significantly higher peak plasma concentration ( $C_{Max400}$  being 314 ng/ml higher in individuals receiving a fatty meal on average, p<0.001). Receiving a fatty meal prior to treatment was also associated with a 2.8-fold higher overall  $AUC_{400}$  than in fasted individuals (p<0.01). We did not observe any significant differences in pharmacokinetic parameters that depended on sex, but observed an influence of age. Systemic availability was 15% higher in children than in adults (p = 0.03), and  $C_{Max400}$  was 203ng/ml higher in children than in adults (p = 0.05). The dose received was not statistically significantly associated with differences in pharmacokinetic parameters.

Parasitic infection was associated with significant differences in pharmacokinetic parameters compared to uninfected individuals. Whilst we did not detect any significant differences when considering infection status as a binary indicator (i.e. whether an individual had a parasitic infection or not), stratifying the infected groups further by specific causal agent revealed significant associations between particular (manly cestode) infections. There was a significant association between neurocysticercosis and albendazole sulfoxide half-life (but the effect was marginal, with the estimate of the half-life longer by 1 hour in infected individuals, p = 0.04). There were also significant effects of echinococcosis on  $C_{Max400}$  and  $AUC_{400}$  (increased by 391ng/ml and 2.9 fold respectively, p<0.01 in both instances). We did not observe any significant association between the considered pharmacokinetic parameters and either (i) onchocerciasis; or (ii) soil-transmitted helminthiasis, LF, or giardiasis (considered as a single category due a paucity of data) and the considered pharmacokinetic parameters.

As a sensitivity analysis, we repeated the analyses described above controlling for the dose of albendazole received per kilogram of body weight (available only for a subset of the timeseries due to a lack of complete information about participants' weight), rather than the raw amount (in mg, not standardised by body weight) given to an individual. All significant associations described above were retained when conducting this subset sensitivity analysis (see *Table B in* S1 File). Additionally, we observed a difference between age groups in the modelled estimates of  $k_{Albso}$ , with the median clearance rate of albendazole sulfoxide 0.15 per hour higher than in adults, corresponding to a half-life of 12.4 hours in adults compared to only 7.6 hours in children under the age of 18 years (p = 0.01). We also observed significant associations between STH/LF/Giardiasis and both  $C_{Max400}$  and  $AUC_{400}$  (increased by 198ng/ml and 1.14-fold respectively, p = 0.04 and p = 0.02 respectively).

We did not detect a significant effect of co-administered drugs on albendazole's pharmacokinetics, though it is important to note that the heterogeneous array of drugs co-administered across the collated dataset, and the comparative paucity of time-series featuring each of the drugs precluded a stratified analysis of each drug separately (as was possible with infectious agent). This lack of data necessitated combining them into the binary category or yes/no coadministration. The corollary of this is that these analyses were not powered to reliably detect drug-drug interactions with albendazole (which are well documented in the literature).

## Discussion

Despite widespread usage, significant uncertainty surrounds the factors underlying variation in the pharmacokinetics of albendazole sulfoxide. Whilst other studies have previously examined these factors individually (e.g. [27,44,49,52,55] amongst others), a systematic analysis of different factors together remained outstanding. Integrating the results of a systematic review of the literature with a mathematical model of albendazole/albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics, our work highlights the extensive inter-individual pharmacokinetic variation known to characterise albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics, and the impact of a number of different factors in shaping the pharmacokinetic profile of albendazole sulfoxide in plasma following receipt of a single oral dose of albendazole.

In keeping with previous work [26,27,34,53,62], consumption of a fatty meal prior to receiving the dose was associated with increased systemic availability of albendazole sulfoxide (concomitantly elevating the AUC and  $C_{Max}$  values achieved) [28,29], a phenomenon thought to be attributed to changes in the drug's solubility (previously shown to be the rate-limiting step in albendazole's absorption [15]) when delivered alongside a fatty meal [62]. Whilst prior results from the literature have suggested (modest) differences between men and women in albendazole's pharmacokinetics (specifically with regards to the AUC and  $C_{Max}$  [24]), we did not observe any statistically significant differences here. However, important caveats to our results are that the lack of individual data in many cases precluded examination of men and women separately. Therefore, we constructed a crude proxy for comparison (between men and groups in which the group comprised mixtures of men and women), which may not have been powered to detect the (minor) differences previously reported [24]. Together, our results also suggest that different factors impact different pharmacokinetic properties of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide. For example, whilst receipt of a fatty meal was associated with increases to systemic availability, AUC400 and CMax400 (in agreement with previous work exploring its effect [26,29]), age was significantly associated with systemic availability, albendazole sulfoxide half-life and C<sub>Max400</sub>, with significant differences observed between adults and children even after controlling for differences in effective dosage due to differences in body weight.

Our analyses also suggested significant effects of parasitic infection on albendazole pharmacokinetics, with the exact impact dependent on the infection being considered. Previous work in sheep has highlighted that gastrointestinal nematode infection can influence the kinetics of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide, leading to increased *AUC* values [63]. Other work has noted increased rates of transformation from albendazole to albendazole sulfoxide in infected compared to uninfected sheep [64], though this has been inconsistently observed and the exact influence (or lack thereof) likely depends on the particular infecting parasite [65]. Work in humans has suggested that the precise impact of infection depends on the interaction between the drug (particularly its absorption and elimination) and the infecting parasite's impact on the host. For example, whilst recent work comparing the pharmacokinetics of albendazole in uninfected and Wuchereria bancrofti-infected adults showed no differences [30], previous work exploring albendazole kinetics in 19 patients with echinococcosis (8 with E. granulosus and 11 with E. multilocularis) demonstrated delayed absorption and impaired elimination of the drug (with this latter effect contributing to increases in the AUC of albendazole sulfoxide, particularly in patients with extra-hepatic obstruction and cholestasis due to the disease) [38]. Consistently with these results, we observed a significant effect of echinococcosis on albendazole's pharmacokinetic parameters, with infection being associated with increases in both  $AUC_{400}$  and  $C_{Max400}$  of albendazole sulfoxide; likely driven by the same factors described previously. For neurocysticercosis, we observed alterations to the apparent half-life of albendazole sulfoxide though the effect was marginal. However, these results should be interpreted with caution. Sample sizes for each of the individual infections were small; for example, the largest was for echinococcosis with 14 time-series drawn from a total of four studies. The estimates presented here are therefore uncertain, and it is possible that study-specific variation not accounted for might explain the observed results. Relatedly, whilst we attempted to control for co-administered drugs, our ability to do this was limited (see below). It is, therefore, possible that the results presented here might be confounded by the receipt of treatment for an infection that is not described in the associated reference.

There are a number of limitations to the analyses presented here. Firstly, and perhaps most notably, the available data in the literature were highly heterogeneous, involving a diversity of treatment regimens (i.e. other co-administered drugs) and patients (i.e. characteristics), with data available at different levels of aggregation (i.e. individual vs. average profiles). This constraint limits the statistical power of our analyses to characterise the effects of different individual drugs on albendazole's/albendazole sulphoxide's pharmacokinetics. For example, whilst our binary indicator for co-administered drugs was not found to be significantly associated with any of the pharmacokinetic parameters explored here, numerous interactions between albendazole and other drugs such as cimetidine [14], azithromycin [66] and various anti-epileptic drugs [67] are well-documented in the literature. Relatedly, whilst we were able to explore the association of some factors with albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetic variability, information on other factors known to influence the pharmacokinetics of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide (such as inter-individual variation in gastric pH which can significantly influence the drug's absorption given the pH dependence of its solubility [14-16]) was not present in the collated references, and so we were unable to quantify its impact on albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics.

In addition to these constraints posed by population-level data, the results presented here are limited in that they only describe the pharmacokinetics following treatment with a single dose of albendazole. This holds programmatic relevance given usage of albendazole in MDA programmes targeting STH [68] and/or LF [69] amongst others, but other treatment regimen exist, most notably the use of albendazole in dedicated clinical settings to treat individuals for diseases such as cysticercosis and echinococcosis. These regimens typically utilise multiple doses delivered over consecutive days. Previous results have indicated that albendazole appears to induce its own metabolism through induction of key enzymes in the liver [31], and that multiple doses given over sequential days can lead to changes in pharmacokinetic properties over the course of multiple dose regimens; specifically, reductions in the maximum plasma concentrations of albendazole sulfoxide reached following each dose [40]. However, the magnitude of this effect and the frequency of dosing required to elicit pharmacologically-relevant reductions in plasma concentrations remain far from clear and have, to date, been addressed in only a

limited number of studies. Exploration of this phenomenon and its consequences for anthelmintic treatment regimens using multiple doses of the drug would require both further clinical research and an extension of the mathematical model developed here, and likely represents an instructive avenue of future investigation. Indeed, whilst the overall modelling framework used here is similar to previously published models of albendazole sulfoxide pharmacokinetics (e.g. the use of explicit gut, peripheral and central compartments to capture relevant properties of albendazole's absorption and pharmacokinetics [70]), there are a number of additions to this framework that would likely provide new insight into albendazole sulfoxide's pharmacokinetics. These include the intestinal bioconversion of albendazole to albendazole sulfoxide known to occur [71], as well as infrequent but reported pharmacokinetic phenomena associated with albendazole treatment, such as biphasic pharmacokinetic profiles (thought possibly to be a product of inter-individual variation in frequency of gastric emptying affecting release of ingested albendazole into the gut, as well as other related characteristics [72]).

The availability of studies explicitly exploring the pharmacokinetics of drugs used to treat NTDs is limited [73]. Despite the limitations described above, our work begins to address this gap for albendazole. It suggests potential useful avenues for improvements to programmatic delivery of albendazole, and perhaps more importantly, highlights the existence of significant inter-individual variation in albendazole/albendazole sulphoxide pharmacokinetics. Whilst we provide insight into some of the factors underlying this variation, further quantification and exploration will be essential. This is particularly crucial for understanding and interpreting the results of studies exploring programmatic usage of the drug to treat parasitic infections. Having an understanding of the degree of inter-individual variation will be vital for establishing whether observed sub-optimal responses to the drug are due to parasitic factors (e.g. possible resistance potentially developed through cumulative exposure to treatment over multiple rounds [74]) or, instead, simply reflect a high degree of variation between individuals in total exposure to the drug (and hence anti-parasitic effect) that follows ingestion of the same oral dose. Together, these results support and underscore recent calls highlighting the need for the collection, collation and analysis of individual participant data (IPD) to generate robust evidence on efficacy and safety of anti-parasitic treatment regimens [75]. Given the increasing frequency with which albendazole is being utilised as part of community-based MDA programmes aimed at controlling a wide array of parasitic infections and NTDs, such an understanding would hold important public health relevance.

# **Supporting information**

**S1 File. Additional methods and results.** (DOCX)

# Acknowledgments

We deeply thank Dr Annette C. Kuesel for her hugely insightful and helpful comments on earlier versions of this manuscript, which have materially improved and contributed to the work now presented here.

# **Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Charles Whittaker, Cédric B. Chesnais, Sébastien D. S. Pion, Joseph Kamgno, Martin Walker, Maria-Gloria Basáñez, Michel Boussinesq.

Data curation: Charles Whittaker.

Formal analysis: Charles Whittaker.

- Investigation: Charles Whittaker, Cédric B. Chesnais, Sébastien D. S. Pion, Joseph Kamgno, Martin Walker, Maria-Gloria Basáñez, Michel Boussinesq.
- Methodology: Charles Whittaker, Maria-Gloria Basáñez, Michel Boussinesq.
- Project administration: Maria-Gloria Basáñez, Michel Boussinesq.

Resources: Michel Boussinesq.

Software: Charles Whittaker.

Supervision: Maria-Gloria Basáñez, Michel Boussinesq.

Visualization: Charles Whittaker.

Writing - original draft: Charles Whittaker.

Writing – review & editing: Cédric B. Chesnais, Sébastien D. S. Pion, Joseph Kamgno, Martin Walker, Maria-Gloria Basáñez, Michel Boussinesq.

#### References

- Carpio A, Kelvin EA, Bagiella E, Leslie D, Leon P, Andrews H, et al. Effects of albendazole treatment on neurocysticercosis: a randomised controlled trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008; 79: 1050– 1055. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2008.144899 PMID: 18495737
- Teggi A, Lastilla MG, De Rosa F. Therapy of human hydatid disease with mebendazole and albendazole. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1993; 37: 1679–1684. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.37.8.1679 PMID: 8215283
- Vlaminck J, Cools P, Albonico M, Ame S, Ayana M, Cringoli G, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of albendazole against soil-transmitted helminthiasis in children measured by five diagnostic methods. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13: e0007471. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007471 PMID: 31369562
- Pion SDS, Chesnais CB, Weil GJ, Fischer PU, Missamou F, Boussinesq M. Effect of 3 years of biannual mass drug administration with albendazole on lymphatic filariasis and soil-transmitted helminth infections: a community-based study in Republic of the Congo. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017; 17: 763–769. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30175-5">https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30175-5</a> PMID: 28372977
- Macfarlane CL, Budhathoki SS, Johnson S, Richardson M, Garner P. Albendazole alone or in combination with microfilaricidal drugs for lymphatic filariasis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019; 1: CD003753. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003753.pub4 PMID: 30620051
- 6. Dubray CL, Sircar AD, Beau de Rochars VM, Bogus J, Direny AN, Ernest JR, et al. Safety and efficacy of co-administered diethylcarbamazine, albendazole and ivermectin during mass drug administration for lymphatic filariasis in Haiti: results from a two-armed, open-label, cluster-randomized, community study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14: e0008298. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008298 PMID: 32511226
- Simonsen PE, Pedersen EM, Rwegoshora RT, Malecela MN, Derua YA, Magesa SM. Lymphatic filariasis control in Tanzania: effect of repeated mass drug administration with ivermectin and albendazole on infection and transmission. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010; 4: e696. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.</u> 0000696 PMID: 20532226
- Klion AD, Massougbodji A, Horton J, Ekoué S, Lanmasso T, Ahouissou NL, et al. Albendazole in human loiasis: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Infect Dis. 1993; 168: 202–206. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/168.1.202 PMID: 8515109
- Klion AD, Horton J, Nutman TB. Albendazole therapy for loiasis refractory to diethylcarbamazine treatment. Clin Infect Dis. 1999; 29: 680–682. https://doi.org/10.1086/598654 PMID: 10530467
- Arrey-Agbor DB, Nana-Djeunga HC, Mogoung-Wafo AE, Mafo M, Danwe C, Kamgno J. Case report: probable case of spontaneous encephalopathy due to loiasis and dramatic reduction of *Loa loa* microfilaraemia with prolonged repeated courses of albendazole. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2018; 99: 112–115.
- Kamgno J, Nguipdop-Djomo P, Gounoue R, Téjiokem M, Kuesel AC. Effect of two or six doses 800 mg of albendazole every two months on *Loa loa* microfilaraemia: a double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016; 10: e0004492.
- Gardon J, Gardon-Wendel N, Demanga-Ngangue, Kamgno J, Chippaux JP, Boussinesq M. Serious reactions after mass treatment of onchocerciasis with ivermectin in an area endemic for *Loa loa* infection. Lancet. 1997; 350: 18–22.

- Jung-Cook H. Pharmacokinetic variability of anthelmintics: implications for the treatment of neurocysticercosis. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2012; 5: 21–30. https://doi.org/10.1586/ecp.11.72 PMID: 22142156
- Schipper HG, Koopmans RP, Nagy J, Butter JJ, Kager PA, Van Boxtel CJ. Effect of dose increase or cimetidine co-administration on albendazole bioavailability. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2000; 63: 270–273. PMID: 11421376
- Jung H, Medina L, García L, Fuentes I, Moreno-Esparza R. Absorption studies of albendazole and some physicochemical properties of the drug and its metabolite albendazole sulphoxide. J Pharm Pharmacol. 1998; 50: 43–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7158.1998.tb03303.x PMID: 9504433
- Kohri N, Yamayoshi Y, Iseki K, Sato N, Todo S, Miyazaki K. Effect of gastric pH on the bioavailability of albendazole in rabbits. Pharm Pharmacol Commun. 1998; 4: 267–270.
- Arroyo G, Bustos JA, Lescano AG, Gonzales I, Saavedra H, Rodriguez S, et al. Albendazole sulfoxide plasma levels and efficacy of antiparasitic treatment in patients with parenchymal neurocysticercosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2019; 69: 1996–2002. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz085 PMID: 30715265
- Patel C, Coulibaly JT, Hofmann D, N'Gbesso Y, Hattendorf J, Keiser J. Efficacy and safety of albendazole in hookworm-infected preschool-aged children, school-aged children, and adults in Côte d'Ivoire: a phase 2 randomized, controlled dose-finding trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2021; 73: e494–e502. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1093/cid/ciaa989 PMID</u>: 32668456
- Steinmann P, Utzinger J, Du Z-W, Jiang J-Y, Chen J-X, Hattendorf J, et al. Efficacy of single-dose and triple-dose albendazole and mebendazole against soil-transmitted helminths and *Taenia* spp.: a randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2011; 6: e25003.
- Del Brutto OH. Albendazole therapy for subarachnoid cysticerci: clinical and neuroimaging analysis of 17 patients. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1997; 62: 659–661. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.62.6.659 PMID: 9219761
- García HH, Evans CAW, Nash TE, Takayanagui OM, White AC Jr, Botero D, et al. Current consensus guidelines for treatment of neurocysticercosis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2002; 15: 747–756. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/CMR.15.4.747-756.2002 PMID: 12364377
- Mrus J, Baeten B, Engelen M, Silber SA. Efficacy of single-dose 500 mg mebendazole in soil-transmitted helminth infections: a review. J Helminthol. 2018; 92: 269–278. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/</u> S0022149X17000426 PMID: 28716158
- Basáñez MG, French MD, Walker M, Churcher TS. Paradigm lost: how parasite control may alter pattern and process in human helminthiases. Trends Parasitol. 2012; 28: 161–171. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2012.02.004</u> PMID: 22425708
- Mirfazaelian A, Dadashzadeh S, Rouini MR. Effect of gender in the disposition of albendazole metabolites in humans. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2002; 58: 403–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-002-0488-8 PMID: 12242599
- Awadzi K, Edwards G, Duke BOL, Opoku NO, Attah SK, Addy ET, et al. The co-administration of ivermectin and albendazole—safety, pharmacokinetics and efficacy against *Onchocerca volvulus*. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2003; 97: 165–178.
- Lange H, Eggers R, Bircher J. Increased systemic availability of albendazole when taken with a fatty meal. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1988; 34: 315–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00540964 PMID: 3396623
- Mares SS, Jung CH, López AT, González-Esquivel DF. Influence of a Mexican diet on the bioavailability of albendazole. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2005; 97: 122–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-7843. 2005.pto\_172.x PMID: 15998360
- Ceballos L, Krolewiecki A, Juárez M, Moreno L, Schaer F, Alvarez LI, et al. Assessment of serum pharmacokinetics and urinary excretion of albendazole and its metabolites in human volunteers. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018; 12: e0005945. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005945 PMID: 29346367
- 29. Ceballos L, Nieves E, Juárez M, Aveldaño R, Travacio M, Martos J, et al. Assessment of diet-related changes on albendazole absorption, systemic exposure, and pattern of urinary excretion in treated human volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2021; 65: e0043221. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00432-21 PMID: 34152813</u>
- 30. Edi C, Bjerum CM, Ouattara AF, Chhonker YS, Penali LK, Méité A, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of a single co-administered dose of diethylcarbamazine, albendazole and ivermectin in adults with and without *Wuchereria bancrofti* infection in Côte d'Ivoire. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13: e0007325.
- Steiger U, Cotting J, Reichen J. Albendazole treatment of echinococcosis in humans: effects on microsomal metabolism and drug tolerance. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1990; 47: 347–353. https://doi.org/10. 1038/clpt.1990.38 PMID: 2311336

- Lawrenz A, Eglit S, Kroker R. The metabolism of albendazole in the isolated perfused intestine of rats. Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr. 1992; 99: 416–418.
- 33. Awadzi K, Edwards G, Opoku NO, Ardrey AE, Favager S, Addy ET, et al. The safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of levamisole alone, levamisole plus ivermectin, and levamisole plus albendazole, and their efficacy against *Onchocerca volvulus*. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2004; 98: 595–614.
- Awadzi K, Hero M, Opoku NO, Büttner DW, Coventry PA, Prime MA, et al. The chemotherapy of onchocerciasis XVII. A clinical evaluation of albendazole in patients with onchocerciasis; effects of food and pretreatment with ivermectin on drug response and pharmacokinetics. Trop Med Parasitol. 1994; 45: 203–208. PMID: 7899788
- Chen X, Zhao L, Xu H, Zhong D. Simultaneous determination of albendazole and its major active metabolite in human plasma using a sensitive and specific liquid chromatographic-tandem mass spectrometric method. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2004; 35: 829–836. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2004.02. 022 PMID: 15193727
- Chhonker YS, Edi C, Murry DJ. LC–MS/MS method for simultaneous determination of diethylcarbamazine, albendazole and albendazole metabolites in human plasma: application to a clinical pharmacokinetic study. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2018; 151: 84–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2017.12.037 PMID: 29310051
- Corti N, Heck A, Rentsch K, Zingg W, Jetter A, Stieger B, et al. Effect of ritonavir on the pharmacokinetics of the benzimidazoles albendazole and mebendazole: an interaction study in healthy volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2009; 65: 999–1006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-009-0683-y PMID: 19562329
- Cotting J, Zeugin T, Steiger U, Reichen J. Albendazole kinetics in patients with echinococcosis: delayed absorption and impaired elimination in cholestasis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1990; 38: 605–608. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF00278590 PMID: 2373135
- Delatour P, Benoit E, Besse S, Boukraa A. Comparative enantioselectivity in the sulphoxidation of albendazole in man, dogs and rats. Xenobiotica. 1991; 21: 217–221. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/</u> 00498259109039463 PMID: 2058177
- Hoaksey PE, Awadzi K, Ward SA, Coventry PA, Orme ML, Edwards G. Rapid and sensitive method for the determination of albendazole and albendazole sulphoxide in biological fluids. J Chromatogr. 1991; 566: 244–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4347(91)80131-u PMID: 1885718
- Hofmann D, Brussee JM, Schulz JD, Coulibaly JT, Pfister M, Keiser J. Pharmacokinetic modelling and simulation to optimize albendazole dosing in hookworm- or *Trichuris trichiura*-infected infants to adults. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2022; 77: 1082–1093.
- 42. John LN, Bjerum C, Martinez PM, Likia R, Silus L, Wali C, et al. Pharmacokinetic and safety study of coadministration of albendazole, diethylcarbamazine, ivermectin and azithromycin for the integrated treatment of Neglected Tropical Diseases. Clin Infect Dis. 2020; ciaa1202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/</u> ciaa1202 Online ahead of print. PMID: 32818264
- Jung H, Hurtado M, Sanchez M, Medina MT, Sotelo J. Clinical pharmacokinetics of albendazole in patients with brain cysticercosis. J Clin Pharmacol. 1992; 32: 28–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1552-4604.1992.tb03783.x PMID</u>: 1740534
- 44. Jung H, Sánchez M, González-Astiazarán A, Martínez JM, Suástegui R, González-Esquivel DF. Clinical pharmacokinetics of albendazole in children with neurocysticercosis. Am J Ther. 1997; 4: 23–26. https://doi.org/10.1097/00045391-199701000-00005 PMID: 10423586
- Kitzman D, Cheng K-J, Fleckenstein L. HPLC assay for albendazole and metabolites in human plasma for clinical pharmacokinetic studies. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2002; 30: 801–813. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0731-7085(02)00382-5 PMID: 12367706</u>
- 46. Lima RM, Ferreira MAD, de Jesus Ponte Carvalho TM, Dumêt Fernandes BJ, Takayanagui OM, Garcia HH, et al. Albendazole-praziquantel interaction in healthy volunteers: kinetic disposition, metabolism and enantioselectivity. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2011; 71: 528–535. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2010.03874.x PMID: 21395645</u>
- 47. Marriner SE, Morris DL, Dickson B, Bogan JA. Pharmacokinetics of albendazole in man. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1986; 30: 705–708. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00608219 PMID: 3770064
- Mingjie W, Shuhua X, Junjie C, Bin L, Cheng F, Weixia S, et al. Albendazole-soybean oil emulsion for the treatment of human cystic echinococcosis: evaluation of bioavailability and bioequivalence. Acta Trop. 2002; 83: 177–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-706x(02)00096-7 PMID: 12088859
- Mirfazaelian A, Rouini MR, Dadashzadeh S. Dose dependent pharmacokinetics of albendazole in human. Biopharm Drug Dispos. 2002; 23: 379–383. https://doi.org/10.1002/bdd.327 PMID: 12469331
- Mirfazaelian A, Rouini MR, Dadashzadeh S. Time dependent pharmacokinetics of albendazole in human. Biopharm Drug Dispos. 2003; 24: 199–204. https://doi.org/10.1002/bdd.355 PMID: 12784319

- Na-Bangchang K, Kietinun S, Pawa KK, Hanpitakpong W, Na-Bangchang C, Lazdins J. Assessments of pharmacokinetic drug interactions and tolerability of albendazole, praziquantel and ivermectin combinations. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2006; 100: 335–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2005.05.017 PMID: 16271272
- Nagy J, Schipper HG, Koopmans RP, Butter JJ, Van Boxtel CJ, Kager PA. Effect of grapefruit juice or cimetidine coadministration on albendazole bioavailability. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2002; 66: 260–263. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2002.66.260 PMID: 12139218
- 53. Ochoa D, Saiz-Rodríguez M, González-Rojano E, Román M, Sánchez-Rojas S, Wojnicz A, et al. Highfat breakfast increases bioavailability of albendazole compared to low-fat breakfast: single-dose study in healthy subjects. Front Pharmacol. 2021; 12: 664465. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.664465 PMID: 33935787
- Okelo GB, Hagos B, Ng'ang'a JN, Ogeto JO. Pharmacokinetics of albendazole in children with hydatid disease. East Afr Med J. 1993; 70: 643–645. PMID: 8187662
- Pengsaa K, Na-Bangchang K, Limkittikul K, Kabkaew K, Lapphra K, Sirivichayakul C, et al. Pharmacokinetic investigation of albendazole and praziquantel in Thai children infected with *Giardia intestinalis*. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2004; 98: 349–357.
- 56. Rathod DM, Patel KR, Mistri HN, Jangid AG, Shrivastav PS, Sanyal M. Liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometry method for simultaneous determination of albendazole and albendazole sulfoxide in human plasma for bioequivalence studies. J Pharm Anal. 2016; 6: 226–234. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jpha.2016.02.002</u> PMID: 29403987
- 57. Rigter IM, Schipper HG, Koopmans RP, van Kan HJM, Frijlink HW, Kager PA, et al. Relative bioavailability of three newly developed albendazole formulations: a randomized crossover study with healthy volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004; 48: 1051–1054. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.3.</u> 1051-1054.2004 PMID: 14982808
- Sarin R, Dash AP, Dua VK. Albendazole sulphoxide concentrations in plasma of endemic normals from a lymphatic filariasis endemic region using liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2004; 799: 233–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2003.10.040 PMID: 14670741
- Schulz JD, Neodo A, Coulibaly JT, Keiser J. Pharmacokinetics of albendazole, albendazole sulfoxide, and albendazole sulfone determined from plasma, blood, dried-blood spots, and Mitra samples of hookworm-infected adolescents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019; 63. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC. 02489-18 PMID: 30745388
- Shenoy RK, Suma TK, John A, Arun SR, Kumaraswami V, Fleckenstein LL, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of the co-administration of diethylcarbamazine and albendazole. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2002; 96: 603–614. https://doi.org/10.1179/000349802125001663 PMID: 12396323
- Thomsen EK, Sanuku N, Baea M, Satofan S, Maki E, Lombore B, et al. Efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of coadministered diethylcarbamazine, albendazole, and ivermectin for treatment of Bancroftian filariasis. Clin Infect Dis. 2016; 62: 334–341. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ882 PMID: 26486704
- Schmidt LE, Dalhoff K. Food-drug interactions. Drugs. 2002; 62: 1481–1502. https://doi.org/10.2165/ 00003495-200262100-00005 PMID: 12093316
- Alvarez LI, Sánchez SF, Lanusse CE. Modified plasma and abomasal disposition of albendazole in nematode-infected sheep. Vet Parasitol. 1997; 69: 241–253. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4017(96)</u> 01118-1 PMID: 9195734
- Skálová L, Krízová V, Cvilink V, Szotáková B, Storkánová L, Velík J, et al. Mouflon (*Ovis musimon*) dicrocoeliosis: effects of parasitosis on the activities of biotransformation enzymes and albendazole metabolism in liver. Vet Parasitol. 2007; 146: 254–262.
- McKellar QA, Jackson F, Coop RL, Baggot JD. Plasma profiles of albendazole metabolites after administration of netobimin and albendazole in sheep: effects of parasitism and age. Br Vet J. 1993; 149: 101–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1935(05)80213-1 PMID: 8439796
- 66. Amsden GW, Gregory TB, Michalak CA, Glue P, Knirsch CA. Pharmacokinetics of azithromycin and the combination of ivermectin and albendazole when administered alone and concurrently in healthy volunteers. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 76: 1153–1157. PMID: 17556628
- 67. Perucca E. Clinically relevant drug interactions with antiepileptic drugs. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2006; 61: 246–255. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2005.02529.x PMID: 16487217
- Echazú A, Juarez M, Vargas PA, Cajal SP, Cimino RO, Heredia V, et al. Albendazole and ivermectin for the control of soil-transmitted helminths in an area with high prevalence of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and hookworm in northwestern Argentina: A community-based pragmatic study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11: e0006003.
- 69. Weil GJ, Bogus J, Christian M, Dubray C, Djuardi Y, Fischer PU, et al. The safety of double- and tripledrug community mass drug administration for lymphatic filariasis: a multicenter, open-label, cluster-

randomized study. PLoS Med. 2019; 16: e1002839. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002839 PMID: 31233507

- 70. Turner JD, Sharma R, Al Jayoussi G, Tyrer HE, Gamble J, Hayward L, et al. Albendazole and antibiotics synergize to deliver short-course anti-*Wolbachia* curative treatments in preclinical models of filariasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017; 114: E9712–E9721.
- Villaverde C, Alvarez AI, Redondo P, Voces J, Del Estal JL, Prieto JG. Small intestinal sulphoxidation of albendazole. Xenobiotica. 1995; 25: 433–441. https://doi.org/10.3109/00498259509061863 PMID: 7571717
- 72. Davies NM, Takemoto JK, Brocks DR, Yáñez JA. Multiple peaking phenomena in pharmacokinetic disposition. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2010; 49: 351–377. https://doi.org/10.2165/11319320-00000000-00000 PMID: 20481648
- 73. Verrest L, Dorlo TPC. Lack of clinical pharmacokinetic studies to optimize the treatment of neglected tropical diseases: a systematic review. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2017; 56: 583–606. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-016-0467-3 PMID: 27744580</u>
- 74. Walker M, Cools P, Albonico M, Ame SM, Ayana M, Dana D, et al. Individual responses to a single oral dose of albendazole indicate reduced efficacy against soil-transmitted helminths in an area with high drug pressure. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021; 15: e0009888. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009888</u> PMID: 34665810
- 75. Walker M, Freitas LT, Halder JB, Brack M, Keiser J, King CH, et al. Improving anthelminitic treatment for schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiases through sharing and reuse of individual participant data. Wellcome Open Res. 2022; 7: 5. <u>https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17468.1</u> PMID: 35493199