



Article Do Native and Alien Species Differ in Their Ecological Strategies? A Test with Woody Plants in Tropical Rainforests on Réunion Island (Mascarene Archipelago, Indian Ocean)

Lyse Heymans ^{1,2}, Jean-Yves Meyer ³, Claudine Ah-Peng ^{4,5}, Quentin Ethève ^{1,6}, Olivier Flores ⁴, Christophe Lavergne ⁷, Bertrand Mallet ⁷, Hilde Parlevliet ^{4,8}, Dominique Strasberg ^{4,5} and Robin Pouteau ^{1,*}

- ¹ AMAP, IRD, Pôle de Protection des Plantes, 97410 Saint-Pierre, Réunion, France;
- lyse.heymans@laposte.net (L.H.); quentinsciencethev@gmail.com (Q.E.)
- ² University of Réunion, 97430 Le Tampon, Réunion, France
- ³ Research Department, Government of French Polynesia, 98713 Papeete, Tahiti, French Polynesia; jean-yves.meyer@recherche.gov.pf
- ⁴ PVBMT, University of Réunion, 97410 Saint-Pierre, Réunion, France; claudine.ahpeng@univ-reunion.fr (C.A.-P.); hildecparlevliet@gmail.com (H.P.); dominique.strasberg@univ-reunion.fr (D.S.)
- ⁵ OSU-R, University of Réunion, 97744 Saint-Denis, Réunion, France
- ⁶ University Paul Sabatier Toulouse III, 31100 Toulouse, France
 ⁷ Conservatoire Botonique National de Maccarin 97/26 Saint Le
 - Conservatoire Botanique National de Mascarin, 97436 Saint-Leu, Réunion, France; clavergne@cbnm.org (C.L.); bmallet@cbnm.org (B.M.)
- ³ Van Hall Larenstein University of Applied Sciences, 6882 CT Velp, The Netherlands
- Correspondence: robin.pouteau@ird.fr

Abstract: Understanding the mechanisms of biological invasions (e.g., competitive exclusion) is a key conservation challenge, especially on islands. Many mechanisms have been tested by comparing the characteristics of native and alien species, but few studies have considered ecological strategies. Here we aim at comparing the competitive ability, stress tolerance, and ruderalism (CSR) of native and alien trees in the tropical rainforests of Réunion Island. A total of sixteen 100 m² plots (eight 'near-trail' and eight 'off-trail', at less disturbed sites) were established over a 2100 m elevational gradient. Three traits were measured in 1093 leaves from 237 trees: leaf area, leaf dry matter content and specific leaf area. They were converted into a CSR score assigned to each of the 80 surveyed tree species (70 native and 10 alien) using the 'Stratefy' ordination approach. C scores increased with basal area and S scores with elevation, but R scores were not higher along the trail, thus only partially validating Stratefy. Native and alien trees had similar CS strategies, thus challenging invasion hypotheses predicting a difference in ecological strategies and rather demonstrating the importance of environmental filtering. However, other differences falling outside the CSR theory may also explain the success of alien species on Réunion.

Keywords: biological invasion; elevational gradient; functional ecology; Grime's CSR theory; Réunion; leaf trait

1. Introduction

Globalisation leads to the transport and spread of species beyond their area of origin, some of which naturalise, i.e., maintain self-sustaining populations in the wild without human intervention, and in some cases become invasive, i.e., pose threats to biodiversity [1]. The number of alien species continues to increase on most continents, with no sign of saturation in the last two centuries [2]. Thus, almost 4% of the world's vascular flora (ca. 14,000 species) are now naturalised somewhere on the planet [3], and between 5 and 20% of alien species are considered invasive [4]. Invasive alien species are considered one of the



Citation: Heymans, L.; Meyer, J.-Y.; Ah-Peng, C.; Ethève, Q.; Flores, O.; Lavergne, C.; Mallet, B.; Parlevliet, H.; Strasberg, D.; Pouteau, R. Do Native and Alien Species Differ in Their Ecological Strategies? A Test with Woody Plants in Tropical Rainforests on Réunion Island (Mascarene Archipelago, Indian Ocean). *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 3990. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants12233990

Academic Editors: Valentí Rull and Jose María Fernández-Palacios

Received: 27 October 2023 Revised: 17 November 2023 Accepted: 21 November 2023 Published: 27 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). best indicators of global biodiversity decline [5,6] and contributed to 60% of recent species extinctions in synergy with various other threats [7], particularly in island ecosystems [8]. Indeed, invasive alien plants have overwhelmed oceanic islands worldwide [9], to the point where the number of alien species now equals or exceeds the number of native and endemic species on many islands or archipelagos in the Indian and Pacific Oceans [10,11]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to better understand the mechanisms of biological invasions in order to better predict and mitigate their impacts.

To investigate the reasons for the success of alien species, the search for fundamental differences from native species is a widely used approach [12]. Habitats, i.e., the environment to which a species is adapted [13] and functional traits, i.e., measurable morphological, physiological or phenological characteristics that influence growth, reproduction, or survival [14] are probably among the most commonly compared attributes. Habitat comparisons between native and alien species have delivered little consensus. In the Mediterranean region, for example, invasive alien plants and native plants have been found to occupy the same variety of islands and habitats [15]. In New Zealand, the distribution of plants of different origin has been shown to be shaped by the same set of environmental variables, but native and alien plants separate out into different regions of the space defined by these variables [16]. In fact, the main drawback of the habitat approach is that it focuses on the outcome of the species interactions without considering the underlying mechanisms per se [17].

The functional approach is more mechanistic than the habitat-focused approach. Certain differences in functional traits, often associated with the ability to acquire resources, appear to increase the potential for invasiveness. For example, alien trees found in Argentina have been shown to have a higher specific leaf area (SLA) than native trees [18]. Other functional traits appear similar between alien and native species, such as the ability of many Australian plants to sequester carbon [19]. Overall, only a limited number of functional traits, such as those related to fecundity or resource use, appear to be systematically more important in invasive alien species [20]. However, values of isolated functional traits are not always adequate to assess in detail the different trade-offs in resource allocation.

A multidimensional trait-based approach promises a more integrative understanding of the differences between native and alien species [21]. Ecological strategies, i.e., combinations and trade-offs between different trait values, reflect the processes by which species acquire, invest in, and use resources to survive and increase their fitness and survival [22]. Species may thus have different combinations of functional traits to pursue the same ecological strategy [23]. The ecological strategy approach also has the potential to be easier to link to invasion hypotheses than approaches focused on separate traits.

The competition, stress tolerance, and ruderalism (CSR) theory for plants formulated by Grime [24] is among the most well-known theories related to ecological strategies. This theory suggests that vegetation dynamics and structure result from adaptive tradeoffs among several correlated functional traits in response to competitive interactions, stress, and environmental disturbances [24,25]. Stress is defined as any constraint acting externally on vegetation that limits the production of dry matter on all or part of the plant [26]. Disturbance is described as a partial or complete destruction of plant biomass by herbivores, pathogens, humans, or climatic phenomena [26]. The evolutionary trade-offs between competition, resistance, and resilience to disturbance allow specific ecological strategies to be defined within an adaptive space consisting of three axes [27]. Competitors (C-strategists) survive in stable and productive habitats thanks to their ability to monopolise resources efficiently, especially through their spatial dynamics (large individuals and organs). Stress-tolerant plants (S-strategists) protect their metabolic performance in variable or resource-poor environments (often small individuals with dense and persistent tissues). They invest in their ability to conserve resources and withstand stress. Finally, ruderal plants (R-strategists) are pioneer species in disturbed areas (e.g., urban environments, wasteland, roadsides, agricultural fields) with rapid growth, a high reproductive rate, and long-distance dispersal [26,27]. Grime's triangle provides a practical and quantitative

approach to comparing plant functions and assessing how trade-offs between functional traits can facilitate the naturalisation or invasion of alien species [28,29].

Interestingly, some authors have proposed a standardised and generalisable method for positioning species within the CSR adaptive space based on 'soft traits', i.e., traits that are accessible and easily measurable in the field, such as leaf size and mass, and correlated with 'hard traits', i.e., traits related to basic physiology that are more difficult to access in the field, such as growth rate or leaf longevity [30–33]. In 2017, Pierce et al. developed a practical ordination tool calibrated at a global scale using thousands of vascular plant species (all life forms combined) called 'StrateFy' [34]. This tool estimates continuous CSR values based on the combination of three leaf traits: (1) leaf area (LA), which determines light interception capacity and is presumed to represent the size spectrum of the plant, (2) SLA, which reflects resource acquisition, and (3) leaf dry matter content (LDMC), which reflects resource conservation [34,35]. These three leaf traits are supposed to be representative of the variation in key functional traits of the whole plant [34–36]. So far, StrateFy has been mainly used at two levels of analysis: (1) either at the community level to assess the reliability of the method in predicting species assemblages, or (2) as a tool to compare the strategies of native and alien species. To our knowledge, however, no study has jointly considered the two levels.

Some authors have used StrateFy to identify how ecological strategies assemble within tree communities in contrasted environments [23,37–39]. In the subtropical forests of southern Brazil, temperature has been observed to influence the dominant ecological strategy of communities, being mainly C under warm conditions and S under cooler conditions [38]. In contrast, two other studies conducted at local scales have shown that tree communities in a resource-limited coastal ecosystem rather converge towards S/CS strategies [23,37].

More recently, three studies compared the ecological strategies of native and alien species using the StrateFy tool [34]. Dalle Fratte et al. compared, at a regional scale, in a temperate environment (Italy) and over a steep elevational gradient (from 10 to 4000 m a.s.l.), the differences in ecological strategies between different life forms [40]. Ecological strategies were found to be similar between native and alien non-woody plants. Alien trees were nevertheless significantly more competitive than native trees [40]. Furthermore, Guo et al. demonstrated that invasive alien species were globally more competitive than natives [28]. In another, more local study, Rojas-Sandoval et al. compared native and alien species in different forest types along a more modest elevation gradient on the tropical island of Puerto Rico [41]. Native and alien species showed converging ecological strategies in moist and wet forests but diverging strategies in dry forests, with aliens preferring a C strategy and natives an S strategy [41].

There are overall two opposing hypotheses on this subject. The 'limiting similarity' hypothesis proposes that the successful establishment of alien species would be unlikely if the native species of the recipient community hold similar functional traits and thereby similar resource acquisition strategies as the invader, resulting in increased competition for resources [42]. This means that aliens tend to differ phylogenetically or functionally from natives, which minimise competition [43]. This hypothesis is expected to result in native and alien woody plants diverging on one or more of the CSR axes. In contrast, the 'environmental filtering' hypothesis suggests that alien species need to be similar to native species occurring in the same habitat because a set of specific traits enables certain species, both native and alien, to establish and persist in a certain habitat [44]. This hypothesis is expected to result in converging CSR strategies.

Here, we combined the two approaches (analyses of ecological strategies at the community level along environmental gradients and among native and alien species) to answer the following questions: (1) Are the three soft leaf traits proposed by Pierce et al. robust in predicting the expected responses of ecological strategies along a triple environmental gradient of competition for space and resource availability, stress, and disturbance? Within a community and regardless of species origin, we would expect the C score to increase with forest stand basal area, the S score to vary with elevation (often associated with cold stress, insolation, or water deficit), and the R score to be higher under disturbed conditions; (2) Do native and alien species have diverging or converging ecological strategies? Given the local scale considered in our study, we expect the environmental filtering hypothesis to prevail over the limiting similarity hypothesis, which may rather act at a larger scale [43,45].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site

This study was conducted on Réunion, the largest (2512 km²) and youngest island (2–3 million years old) of the Mascarene Archipelago (Indian Ocean), which also comprises the islands of Mauritius and Rodrigues. Islands are recognised as suitable sites to explain the ecological and evolutionary mechanisms underlying community assemblages [46]. Oceanic islands such as Réunion are also particularly sensitive to biological invasions, possibly as a result of the so-called 'island syndrome', which predicts a reduction in competitive and reproductive abilities in island species [47]. In addition, long-distance dispersal filters lead to oceanic islands being disproportionately poor (or rich) in certain taxonomic or functional groups compared to continents ('disharmony'), which likely results in an unbalanced representation of certain traits in island species [48]. The study of ecological strategies offers an original perspective to understanding the interactions between native and alien island species, with the aim of predicting the success of alien species [49,50].

The climate of Réunion is tropical and characterised by two seasons: a warm and rainy austral summer in which the study was conducted (February–April 2023), followed by a relatively cool and dry winter [44]. Rainfall ranges from 500 mm/year on the leeward coast to >8000 mm/year on the windward coast (Appendix A). Réunion harbours a remarkable diversity of ecosystems zonated along an elevational gradient that extends from sea level to 3070 m a.s.l. on the slopes of the dormant volcano Piton des Neiges and to 2631 m on the lava flows of the active Piton de La Fournaise. The presence of strong environmental gradients over short distances theoretically makes the island a suitable study model for evaluating methods for estimating the ecological strategies of plants [34]. Although the island is one of the last places on Earth colonised by humans (350 years ago), its vegetation is profoundly influenced by anthropogenic impacts. Alien species, which include 474 plant taxa identified as invasive and 730 as potentially invasive, are among the main threats to native plants there [51].

2.2. Sampling Design

A network of plots located from sea level (300 m from the coast) to the vicinity of Piton de La Fournaise at 2100 m was set up in the commune of Saint-Philippe, on the southeast coast of Réunion (Figure 1A). Precipitation throughout the study area is quite evenly distributed (with annual rainfall between 6000 and 7000 mm/year) [44]. However, the average annual temperature ranges from 12 to 26 °C, resulting in a pronounced zonation of the vegetation. Within the study area, four main forest types are found, which are anthropogenically modified to varying degrees. From sea level to 900 m, the vegetation is a typical lowland moist forest with large trees forming a relatively continuous canopy [52,53]. This habitat is the most affected by human activities (logging, agriculture, forest plantations, and urbanisation) and consists of a hybrid assemblage of alien (e.g., Mangifera indica, Psidium cattleyanum, and Syzygium jambos) and native plant species (e.g., Labourdonnaisia calophylloides, Mimusops balata). Then, a dense submontane wet forest dominated by Casearia coriacea, Cordemoya integrifolia, Dombeya spp., and Molinaea alternifolia is found between 900 m and 1300 m, followed by a montane cloud forest between 1300 m and 1800 m, with a high abundance of tree ferns (Cyatheaceae), palms (Arecaceae) and low, lying, and twisted trees such as Monimia spp. covered with epiphytes [52,53]. These mid- to high-elevation habitats are hardly affected by human activities. At the highest elevations, between 1800 m and 2100 m, the vegetation is subalpine and dominated by shrubs, mostly of the Ericaceae (Erica reunionensis) and Asteraceae families (Hubertia spp.).



Figure 1. The study site. The red circle on the earth globe shows the location of Réunion within the Indian Ocean. (**A**) The topography of Réunion and the location of the plots (letters A to H) sampled in Saint-Philippe (on the southeastern side of the island). (**B**) A zoom in on the plots along an elevational gradient of 2100 m, from sea level to Piton de La Fournaise. The plots are established every 300 m, i.e., eight sampled elevations. (**C**) At each elevation, two 100 m² plots located at the edge of the trail ('near-trail') and 50 m away ('off-trail') were inventoried.

Inventories were carried out at every 300 m of elevation along the gradient, resulting in eight elevational levels labelled from A (0 m) to H (2100 m, Figure 1B). The different trails running along the plot network ('Jacques Payet', GRR2, and the Mare-Longue forest track) were used as proxies of anthropogenic pressure whose effects on the importance of R strategies was tested. Thus, at each elevation, two 10 m \times 10 m plots were established, one at the edge of the trail ('near-trail') and the other at least 50 m away ('off-trail') (Figure 1C). Trails have already been identified as corridors where alien species spread due to the opening up of the environment and the dispersal of seeds by hikers [54]. On Réunion, it has been shown that a large proportion of alien naturalised species were able to establish along trails in low-elevation rainforests [55]. This study is therefore based on a total of 16 plots of 100 m^2 .

2.3. Plot Structure and Composition

All woody individuals with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 1 cm or more were considered in each 100 m^2 plot. Palms, tree ferns, and pandanus meeting this criterion were also included. The basal area *G*, a measure of tree cover at breast height, was calculated for each plot as the sum of the basal area of all trees. The structural description of the vegetation was completed by measuring the maximum height (from the base of the trunk to the highest leaf of the highest branch) of each individual using a telescopic rod. To determine species composition, each tree was identified at the species level and given a unique number. Infraspecific taxa were grouped at the species level. The geographical origin of each species was based on the index of the vascular flora of Réunion [56].

2.4. Leaf Traits

All woody species (including trees, shrubs, monocot trees, and tree ferns, hereafter 'trees'; Appendix B) were measured for leaf traits (LA, LDMC, SLA). Four adult individuals of each species were selected for leaf sampling to limit the effects of ontogeny. The four individuals were selected based on the elevational range occupied by each species (Appendix C). Two individuals were selected from the 'near-trail' plots, one at the lowest elevation at which the species occurs and the other at the highest elevation. Similarly, two individuals were sampled at the two extremes of the species elevational range among the 'off-trail' plots. This selection method makes it possible to obtain trait values representative of the species while accounting for the potential intraspecific variability of the leaves.

Five mature and healthy leaves (without symptoms of disease or signs of herbivory), exposed as much as possible to direct light ('canopy' leaves), were collected from each individual, i.e., a total of 20 leaves per species. The leaves of legally protected species were sampled by the two authors holding collecting permits (Christophe Lavergne and Bertand Mallet). Collected leaves were stored and transported as recommended by Pérez-Harguindeguy et al. [57]. Some leaf collections were abandoned because the trees to be sampled were either too high or had too few leaves (e.g., palms and tree ferns), which could have been lethal for them. In total, 17 species (21%) were affected by partially incomplete collection of individuals (seven species, 9%) and/or leaves (13 species, 16%).

LA was determined using LI-COR instruments consisting of a portable scanner (LI-3000C) embedded in a conveyor belt (LI-3050C). Each LA value was determined by the average of three repeated surface measurements of the same leaf to ensure accuracy. Due to their particular area, the fronds of tree ferns and palms as well as the small-scale-leaves of *Erica reunionensis* were analysed from photographs using the Gimp image editing program. Each leaf was weighed with a precision scale to determine the leaf water-saturated fresh mass (LFM), placed in an oven at 60 °C for at least 48 h and re-weighed to determine the leaf dry mass (LDM) [57].

The LA, LFM, and LDM measured for each leaf were averaged at the individual level (average of the five leaves per individual) and then at the species level (average of the four individuals per species) to obtain a single value per species. These measurements were then used to calculate the SLA, defined as the ratio between LA and LDM, and the LDMC, defined as the ratio between LDM and LFM multiplied by 100. We then converted the three trait values (Appendix D) into three CSR scores for each species using the method of Pierce et al. [34]. These scores are calculated from the LA for the C score, the LDMC for the S score, and the SLA for the R score.

2.5. Data Analyses

First, we assessed the robustness of the three soft leaf traits for predicting local changes in ecological strategies based on environmental gradients specific to each axis of the CSR scheme. Competitiveness C was analysed as a function of the basal area of each plot, stress tolerance S as a function of elevation, and ruderalism R was compared between 'neartrail' and 'off-trail' plots. The similarity of the 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' communities was estimated at each elevation using the Sørensen index $S_{sim} = 2C/(A + B)$, where A and B refer to the number of species from the 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots, and C is the number of species shared by the two plots [58]. Values of the Sørensen index of similarity range from 0 to 1, with 0 indicating no common species and 1 indicating that all species are common. Values above the threshold of 0.70 indicate that the species in the two communities are similar [58].

CSR values were analysed at the community level (i.e., by combining the CSR scores of all species in an assemblage) to determine whether the stand as a whole has dominant strategies related to the specific constraints of each environment. Alien species were therefore not separated from native species at this stage. Four levels of analysis were used to aggregate CSR values at the community level: (1) the C, S, and R scores of a community were estimated as the average of the scores for the species present in each plot. All species present were thus weighted equally, regardless of their abundance in the community; (2) CSR scores were weighted by the relative abundance of each species; (3) CSR scores were weighted by the relative stem density of each species (different from 2 when there are multi-stemmed individuals); (4) CSR scores were weighted according to the relative basal area of each species.

Logarithmic models were used to fit the C score as a function of basal area and thirdorder polynomial models were used to fit the S score as a function of elevation. The comparison of R scores between 'near-trail' and the 'off-trail' plots was carried out with a non-parametric Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test since the conditions of use of a parametric *t*-test were not fulfilled.

Second, each species was positioned in a CSR ternary plot using the R software package 'ggtern' v3.4.2 [59]. To examine whether each of the three CSR scores and functional traits (LA, LDMC, and SLA values) varied between native and alien species while controlling for phylogenetic distances, ANOVA were built using the *phylANOVA* function of the package 'phytools' v2.0-3 [60]. A phylogenetic tree was constructed for the 77 seed plant species (the two Mascarene-endemic tree ferns *Cyathea borbonica* and *C. excelsa*, and the Réunion-endemic *C. glauca* were excluded) using the 'V.PhyloMaker' package [61]. The default settings of the function *phylo.maker* (node = 'build.nodes.1' and scenarios = 'S3'), which adds species missing from the backbone tree as polytomies in the middle of the branch of their genera, were used to build the tree. R scores and the LA and SLA values were log-transformed to approximate normality. *p*-values were obtained by phylogenetic simulation (i.e., simulating the trait on phylogeny using the Brownian motion model) with 10,000 runs and adjusted with a Bonferroni correction. *p*-values were found not to be sensitive to the unbalanced numbers of native and alien species (Appendix E).

3. Results

3.1. Plot Inventories

In a total area of 1600 m², 80 different tree species with 1642 individuals and 2062 stems were inventoried. Of these species, 70 were native (including 30 island endemics and 29 archipelago endemics, of which seven are legally protected on Réunion) and 10 are alien (Appendix B). The latter were represented by 183 individuals (11%) and 227 stems (11%).

Plot species richness followed a hump-shaped pattern along the elevation gradient (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.84$, p < 0.001, second order polynomial regression) with a maximum richness of 28–29 species/100 m² reached at 900 m (Figure 2A). Tree density (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.46$, p = 0.018, second order polynomial regression, Figure 2B) and stem density (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.42$, p = 0.029, second order polynomial regression, Figure 2C) followed a similar hump-shaped trend as species richness and also peaked at 900 m with an average of 290 trees/100 m² (Figure 2B) and 340 stems/100 m² (Figure 2C), respectively. However, the average DBH followed the opposite tendency with a minimum of 3 cm at 900 m and a maximum of 6–8 cm at sea level and at the top of the gradient (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.30$, p = 0.094,



second order polynomial regression, Figure 2D). Finally, the mean height decreased linearly with elevation ($R^2 = 0.56$, p < 0.001, linear regression, Figure 2E), reaching 6 m at 0–600 m and 4 m at 2100 m.

Figure 2. Change in structure and composition of the sixteen $10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$ plots established along a 2100 m elevational gradient in Saint-Philippe (Réunion). (**A**) Species richness (n = 80 species). (**B**) Tree density (n = 1642 trees). (**C**) Mean diameter at breast height (DBH) of all stems. (**D**) Stem density (n = 2062 stems). (**E**) Mean height of all individuals. (**F**) Proportion of native and alien species and individuals. Species richness, tree and stem densities, and mean DBH were fitted as a function of elevation using a second order polynomial model. Mean individual height was fitted with a simple linear model. The goodness of fit of each model is estimated using the explained variance pseudo-R² and *p*-value. 'Near-trail' plots are represented by triangles and 'off-trail' plots by circles.

The proportion of alien species and individuals decreased with elevation (Figure 2F). At sea level, seven species were found in the 'near-trail' plot, of which six were alien, corresponding to 97% of the individuals (Figure 2F). This proportion was also very high in the 'off-trail' plot with four out of seven species being alien and 77% of individuals. Half of the alien species (five out of 10) occurred only in the sea-level plots with *Adenanthera pavonina*, accounting for half of the trees in the 'near-trail' plot, and *Mangifera indica*, accounting for one third of the trees in the 'off-trail' plot. Only a few individuals (between one and four) of the other three species (*Aleurites moluccanus, Artocarpus heterophyllus* and *Syzygium jambos*) were sampled, mostly in the 'near-trail' plot. At 300 m in the 'near-trail' plot (B1), among the 20 sampled species, only three (15%) were alien (Figure 2F). The proportion of alien individuals in B1 (29%) was mainly represented by *Psidium cattleyanum* while only one individual of *Litsea glutinosa* and another of *Schinus terebinthifolia* were inventoried (Figure 2F). No alien species (out of 17 species) was sampled: *Psidium cattleyanum*,

which accounted for 8% of the individuals. In D1 (900 m, 'near-trail'), a quarter (26%) of the individuals were alien (Figure 2F), mainly of the species *Psidium cattleyanum* and to a lesser extent *Rubus alceifolius* and *Ardisia crenata*. Plot D2 (900 m, 'off-trail') had only 3% of alien individuals. Above 900 m, no alien trees were observed in our plots. *Psidium cattleyanum* was the only alien species sampled from sea level to 900 m.

3.2. CSR Strategies of Trees on Réunion

The relationship between the community-level C score (average C score over all species in the assemblage) and the plot basal area was significant when the community-level C score was calculated by averaging the values of all species without weighting (Figure 3A), by weighting C scores by the relative abundance (Figure 3B) and by the relative stem density (Figure 3C). As for the best model (Figure 3C), the least competitive communities were H1 and H2 (2100 m), with average C scores of 2 and 4%, respectively, for a basal area below 0.50 m²/100 m² (Figure 3C). The positive trend between C score and basal area seems largely due to these plots as there was no major variation in C score for higher values of basal area. In the other communities, average C scores varied from 44 to 53%. The most competitive communities were E1 (1200 m, 'near-trail') and A1 (sea level, 'near-trail') with average C scores of 53% for basal areas of 0.78 m²/100 m² and 0.58 m²/100 m², respectively. Plot C1 (600 m, 'near-trail') has the largest basal area of 1.16 m²/100 m² and an average C score of 48 %. The other two communities with a basal area greater than 1 m²/100 m² were plots B1 (300 m, 'near-trail') and F2 (1500 m, 'off-trail') whose average C scores were 50 and 46%, respectively (Figure 3C).



Figure 3. Competitiveness (C score) of tree assemblages sampled in Saint-Philippe (Réunion) as a function of stand basal area and for different community-level aggregation methods: (**A**) Average of species values (all species present have the same weight). (**B**) Average of species values weighted by their relative abundance. (**C**) Average of species values weighted by their relative stem density. (**D**) Average of species values weighted by their basal area. Logarithmic models were used to fit the data. The quality of the fit is estimated using the explained variance pseudo-R² of and the *p*-value. 'Near-trail' plots are represented by triangles and 'off-trail' plots by circles. Plots have been identified with letters A to H.

The community-level S score increased at increasing elevation with a plateau at midelevation (600–1500 m) at all levels of analysis (Figure 4). The lowest average S scores were found in the two plots at sea level A1 (34%) and A2 (40%). Subsequently, average S scores ranged from 41% to 53% between 300 m and 1800 m and reached their highest values at 2100 m with 63% in H2 ('off-trail') and 67% in H1 ('near-trail') (Figure 4B,C).



Figure 4. Stress tolerance (S score) of tree assemblages sampled in Saint-Philippe (Réunion) as a function of elevation and for different community-level aggregation methods: (**A**) Average of species values (all species present have the same weight). (**B**) Average of species values weighted by their relative abundance. (**C**) Average of species values weighted by their relative stem density. (**D**) Average of species values weighted by their basal area. Logarithmic models were used to fit the data. The quality of the fit is estimated using the explained variance pseudo-R² of and the P-value. 'Near-trail' plots are represented by triangles and 'off-trail' plots by circles.

Community-level R scores of 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots did not differ significantly regardless of the aggregation method used (Figure 5). The comparison with relative abundance weighting showed the largest differences between 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots (p = 0.31) (Figure 5B). According to this comparison, the median community-level R score for 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots was close to 7%. Values of Sørensen's index of similarity between 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots ranged from $S_{sim} = 0.28$ at 600 m to 0.88 at 900 m with a mean along the elevational gradient of 0.68, indicating that the species in the two conditions largely overlap (Appendix F).



Figure 5. Ruderalism (R score) of tree assemblages sampled in Saint-Philippe (Réunion) according to their distance from the trail and for different methods of aggregating the values: (**A**) Average of species values (all species present have the same weight). (**B**) Average of species values weighted by their relative abundance. (**C**) Average of species values weighted by their relative stem density. (**D**) Average of species values weighted by their basal area. *p*-values of a non-parametric test (Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney) comparing the means between 'off-trail' and 'near-trail' plots are indicated. The grey dotted lines represent the pairs of plots at each elevation.

3.3. CSR Strategies of Native and Alien Tree Species on Réunion

About 70% of the 80 species had a CS strategy (Figure 6). Native and alien species had similar CSR scores, and the centroid of the two groups in the CSR space largely overlapped (Figure 6). Native and alien species also had similar LA, LDMC, and SLA values (Appendix G). Among the native species, most (about 70%) showed a compromise between the C and S strategies (scores in the range 30–70%) (Figure 6). However, some species lay outside this area of CSR triangles. On the C axis, two species were found to be particularly competitive: *Badula borbonica* and *Polyscias repanda* (C = 81% in both cases). On the S axis, *Phylica nitida* was the only species with a value of 100%, followed by *Eugenia buxifolia* (92%), *Hubertia ambavilla* (86%), and *Acacia heterophylla* (81%). At the opposite end of the axis, some species had S scores below 20%, namely *Hubertia tomentosa* (0%), *Gymnanthemum fimbrilliferum* (7%), and *Ficus mauritiana* and *Adenanthera pavonina* (17%). On the R axis, *Hubertia tomentosa* had by far the highest value (94%) followed by *Erica*



reunionensis, Hypericum lanceolatum, and *Gymnanthemum fimbrilliferum* (R = 39, 34, and 27%, respectively).

Figure 6. Comparison between the ecological strategies of native and alien tree species sampled in Saint-Philippe (Réunion). The three-dimensional diagram shows the CSR scores of native (blue circles, n = 70) and alien species (red circles, n = 10) and their respective median (blue and red squares, respectively). The C (red), S (green), and R (blue) axes represent the competitiveness, stress tolerance, and ruderalism of each species, respectively. The boxplots compare of the CSR scores obtained for native (blue, n = 67, i.e., without tree ferns) and alien species (red, n = 10). *p*-values of ANOVA accounting for the phylogeny in which the R scores were log-transformed are indicated.

Among the aliens, four species that occur only at sea level had a dominant C strategy (C > 51%): Adenanthera pavonina, Aleurites moluccanus, Artocarpus heterophyllus, and Mangifera indica (Table 1). At higher elevations, all alien species appeared to be better adapted to stress (S > C and R), with *Rubus alceifolius* being the only exception (S < C). The most commonly sampled alien species, *Psidium cattleyanum* (found from 0 to 900 m), had a mixed SC strategy (Table 1).

Alien Species	Elevation (m)	Relative Abundance (%)	C:S:R (%)	Ecological Strategy
Adenanthera pavonina	0	38	58:17:25	C/CSR
Aleurites moluccanus	0	5	65:29:06	C/CS
Artocarpus heterophyllus	0	2	53:36:11	CS/CSR
Mangifera indica	0	23	51:47:02	CS
Syzygium jambos	0	3	42:58:00	CS
Litsea glutinosa	300	1	27:73:00	S/CS
Schinus terebinthifolia	300	1	45:48:06	CS
Ardisia crenata	900	1	29:71:00	S/CS
Rubus alceifolius	900	5	53:42:05	CS
Psidium cattleyanum	0; 300; 600; 900	16; 18; 8; 10	43:57:00	CS

Table 1. Elevational distribution and relative abundance ('near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots taken together) of the 10 sampled alien tree species with their associated ecological strategies.

4. Discussion

The CSR ecological strategies derived from the Stratefy ordination method were aggregated for each woody plant community and associated with a specific environmental variable. Two of the anticipated correlations, i.e., an increase in competitive ability (C) with the basal area of the forest stand and an increase in stress tolerance (S) with elevation, were found to be significant, although disproportionately influenced by high-elevation communities (Figures 3 and 4). However, ruderalism (R) was found not to be significantly higher in 'near-trail' plots than in 'off-trail' plots (Figure 5). The strategies of native and alien tree species were then compared and we showed that both groups share similar CSR trade-offs, irrespective of their origin, which results in a dominant CS strategy in our study site (Figure 6).

4.1. Assigned Ecological Strategies Were Only Partially Validated for Trees on Réunion

The use of basal area theoretically allows an indirect assessment of the intensity of competition for resources (e.g., space, light, nutrients) within a plot [62]. The increase in competitive ability as a function of basal area (Figure 3) therefore indicates that the C scores determined with the StrateFy method make sense overall. Community-level competitiveness was relatively high with the exception of the two highest-elevation plots (Figure 3). This can be explained by the fact that low- to mid-elevation tropical forests are usually relatively stable and productive, conditions that may favour competition between most woody species [27,34]. In contrast, there is a sharp transition zone between the montane cloud forest and the subalpine shrubland around 1800 m (pers. obs.), where plants might suddenly switch from a C to an S strategy.

Stress tolerance was studied as a function of elevation. Annual average temperature at sea level is around 24 °C over the study area and decreases linearly with elevation, reaching around 12 °C near Piton de La Fournaise, where the minimum temperature can be negative during the austral winter [44]. Temperature has already been identified as an environmental factor that strongly influences the ecological strategies of plants [38]. Our results show an increase in stress tolerance with elevation (Figure 4), suggesting that the S scores assigned by StrateFy are appropriate. This increase in stress tolerance is not linear, perhaps due to site effects (e.g., human land use, impact of cyclones or lava flows) or more positive species interactions (e.g., facilitation, symbioses) at species-rich mid-elevations (Figure 2A) [27,63]. Other oro-topographic stressors might also play a role in our gradient, such as exposure to sea spray at sea level, to wind in mountain ridges, or to high solar radiation at the highest elevations.

The expected difference in ruderalism between 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots was not confirmed for the tree stratum considered in our study. A possible explanation for this is that the trails of the study area are little disturbed, especially above 900 m [52,64], not very wide, which limits habitat fragmentation, and little used by hikers, which could explain the relatively low R scores that we found. In addition, sea-level plots contained many alien species of agricultural or forestry interest (*Mangifera indica, Aleurites moluccanus, Artocarpus heterophyllus*), whose distance from the trail may reflect a cultivation bias rather than a preference or not for ruderal conditions. Moreover, we noticed that the species with the highest R scores were native shrubs restricted to subalpine scrub (*Hubertia tomentosa, Erica reunionensis,* and *Hypericum lanceolatum*), which might reflect their adaptation to a natural regime of frequent disturbances (such as lava flows, fire, or cyclones) rather than to anthropogenic environments. Finally, the absence of alien trees above 900 m (Figure 2F) also reveals the good conservation of the study site, compared to other sites on Réunion, and may contribute to the difficulty of assessing the extent of R strategies.

4.2. Native and Alien Trees Use Similar Ecological Strategies on Réunion

Our comparison of ecological strategies between native and alien species revealed that the latter invade by occupying similar functional spaces to the former (Figure 6). This result tends to refute a number of invasion hypotheses that predict a difference in ecological strategies. The limiting similarity hypothesis, for instance, according to which a divergence between native and alien species on at least one of the CSR axes would have been expected, does not seem to apply to our study site. Indeed, niche differentiation and thus functional divergence appear to prevail at the regional scale more than at the local scale, where the role of environmental filtering and thus the convergence of functional traits seems more important [43,45].

Furthermore, alien species do not appear to be more competitive than native species (Figure 6A), contrary to what has sometimes been observed in temperate climates [40]. Therefore, our findings tend not to support the 'global competition' or 'evolution of increased competitive ability' hypotheses. These assumptions have often been used to explain the high degree of invasion of oceanic islands [20], as island species are often considered to be less competitive than continental species due to their isolated evolutionary history [65]. However, as argued by Barton and Fortunel, there does not appear to be a prevailing trend demonstrating the competitive inferiority of native island species compared to alien continental species [49]. The measure of competitiveness proposed by Pierce et al. is based on a single trait, LA, which correlates with canopy height and other reproductive traits (namely, seed mass, and volume). Yet, a meta-analysis by van Kleunen et al. showed that invasive alien plants do not have higher values for LA allocation, unlike many other performance-related traits [66]. The raw LA values that we measured on Réunion's trees (from which C scores were derived) were also similar between native and alien species (Appendix F).

Other potentially beneficial traits for competition that were not considered in the StrateFy approach could explain the success of some alien species [67]. Traits related to reproduction and dispersal appear to play a key role in the success of the alien species studied. For example, the high reproductive capacity (associated with an early reproductive age, a large number of seeds produced and/or a continuous production of flowers and fruits) is known in many species such as *Schinus terebinthifolia*, *Adenanthera pavonina*, and *Ardisia crenata* [68,69]. The massive production of attractive fleshy fruits also means that frugivores (especially birds) prefer to disperse them [70], as this is clearly the case with *Psidium cattleyanum*, which reduces at the same time the dispersal of native species [71].

The majority of species, regardless of their origin, converged towards a CS strategy in our study site (Figure 6). This may support the prediction of abiotic environmental filtering of species leading to functional similarity between native and alien species [72]. The study by Rojas-Sandoval et al. reached the same conclusion that native and alien species share the CS functional space in similar tropical ecosystems (mesic to wet forests) [41]. Moreover, global data from Pierce et al. confirm the predominance of an intermediate CS strategy for trees in tropical forests [34].

Nevertheless, the convergence of ecological strategies between native and alien species may actually hide marked differences that the StrateFy approach is not able to capture. The use of three leaf morphological traits is useful and attractive for embracing and comparing a wide range of plants along environmental gradients [34]. However, considering different types of independent (i.e., uncorrelated) functional traits that relate to different organs often proves important for a more realistic assessment of strategies [37,73]. For instance, clonal propagation is common in the alien species that we inventoried (e.g., *Psidium cattleyanum*, *Rubus alceifolius, Schinus terebinthifolia, Litsea glutinosa, Ardisia crenata*) and likely contributes to their success. As an example, alien clonal plants were found to be more competitive in terms of nitrogen monopolisation than native clonal plants under controlled conditions in China [74]. Moreover, allelopathic characteristics are exhibited by several alien species sampled in our study site (e.g., *Psidium cattleyanum, Schinus terebinthifolia*), which potentially limits native species recruitment [75,76] and might explain the success of some of these alien species [77]. Interestingly, the most successful alien tree in our study site (*Psidium cattleyanum*) exhibits both clonal recruitment and allelopathic leaves [78]. Mutualisms such as associations with soil microorganisms [79] could also facilitate the establishment of some of the alien plants studied, such as the formation of mycorrhizae, which facilitates resource acquisition in *Ardisia crenata* [80].

Our comparison of native and alien tree species on Réunion showed no difference in stress tolerance (Figure 6B). Although the study area offers many advantages for this type of study (e.g., a forest continuum along a strong elevation gradient on a small spatial scale with homogeneous rainfall), our 10 alien tree species certainly did not provide a complete picture of the diversity in ecological strategies developed by the alien flora on Réunion. Indeed, the study area is dominated by extremely wet forests, which are potentially less favourable for many of the worst invasive alien plants [64]. Repeating this study on the same elevation gradient, but this time on the leeward coast (north-west), would make it possible to broaden the range of habitats (including semi-dry to mesic forests) and humaninduced disturbances considered. This would also allow us to compare a larger number of alien species and measure the general scope of our results. Finally, only woody species (including trees, shrubs, monocot trees, and tree ferns) were considered in this study. The inclusion of the herbaceous layer, which also includes many problematic alien species, such as those belonging to the Poaceae, Melastomataceae, or Begoniaceae families [64,81], would allow for further analyses on differences in ecological strategies (especially ruderalism) between life forms [29].

5. Conclusions

The study of plant ecological strategies provides a multidimensional approach to functional traits that is more integrative in terms of understanding the mechanisms of biological invasions. Here we used an ordination approach to assign ecological strategies based on three soft leaf traits of native and alien woody species. Competitive ability and stress tolerance were positively correlated with the intensity of competition (basal area) and cold stress (elevation), respectively. However, ruderalism was not associated with the variable that we selected to estimate the level of anthropogenic disturbance (distance from trails). We then showed that the CSR adaptive space is similarly occupied by native and alien species over our study area, which does not support the hypothesis that alien species are more competitive or benefit from vacant or under-exploited ecological niches, and rather suggests a key role of environmental filtering. Nevertheless, other traits that do not fall within the theoretical framework of Grime's CSR theory could explain the success of alien species such as clonal reproduction or allelopathy. Further studies in other ecosystems and on non-woody plants are needed to reinforce our finding that native and alien plants share common ecological strategies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.H., J.-Y.M. and R.P.; methodology, L.H., J.-Y.M., C.A.-P., O.F., D.S. and R.P.; formal analysis, L.H.; investigation, L.H., J.-Y.M., C.A.-P., Q.E., C.L., B.M., H.P., D.S. and R.P.; writing—original draft preparation, L.H., J.-Y.M. and R.P.; writing—review and editing, J.-Y.M., C.A.-P., O.F., B.M., H.P., D.S. and R.P.; supervision, J.-Y.M. and R.P.; project administration, R.P.; funding acquisition, R.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the French National Research Agency under the framework of the EDENE project (ANR-22-CE32-0006) and by the French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development (IRD).

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: Leaf collection within the Réunion National Park was conducted under the special authorisation no. DIR-I-2023-030 granted to R.P. and his team. Samples of protected plants were made possible by prefectoral order no. DEAL/SEB/UBIO/2022-05 dated from 26 January 2022, granting an exemption to the prohibitions set out in article L. 411-1 of the Environment Code relating to protected species for the Conservatoire Botanique National de Mascarin. We appreciate the helpful comments by three anonymous referees.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.



Appendix A

Figure A1. Distribution of annual rainfall (mm) and mean annual temperature (°C) over Réunion Island (adapted from Réchou et al., 2019 [44]).

Appendix B

Table A1. List of the 80 woody species sampled in Saint-Philippe (Réunion) for this study. Local IUCN status: DD = data deficient, LC = least concern, NT = near threatened, VU = vulnerable, EN = endangered. Biogeographic status (taken from Boullet 2020 [56]): R = Réunion, M = Mascarenes, IO = Indian Ocean. Habit: T = small and large trees; S = shrubs and subshrubs; F = tree ferns; P = palms, V = vines.

Species	Family	IUCN Status	Protected	Biogeographic Status	Habit	Species ID
Mangifera indica	Anacardiaceae		-	Alien	Т	MANIND
Schinus terebinthifolia	Anacardiaceae		-	Alien	Т	SCHTER
Xylopia richardii	Annonaceae	LC	Х	Endemic (M)	Т	XYLRIC
Aphloia theiformis	Aphloiaceae	LC	-	Native	Т	APHTHE
Tabernaemontana mauritiana	Apocynaceae	NT	-	Endemic (M)	S	TABMAU
Polyscias cf. sessiliflora	Araliaceae	EN	Х	Endemic (R)	S	POLSES
Polyscias repanda	Araliaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	Т	POLREP

Acardhoptomic crinina Arecaccae VU - Endemic (R) P ACACRI Cordigine marithan Asparagaccae LC - Endemic (N) S CORMAN Qumanthelment Asparagaccae LC - Endemic (N) S GYMEIM Juberis introntosa Asteraccae LC - Endemic (N) S HUBERIM Remector origisum Rois de bala LC - Endemic (N) S HUBERIM Caliphyllian itaannakaa Caliphyllaccae LC - Endemic (N) T CALIAC Casilistin formatscae LC - Endemic (N) T CALIAC Casilistin formatscae LC - Endemic (N) F CYALAC Cyaline cockin Cyaline cockee LC - Endemic (N) F CYALAC Cyaline cockin Cyaline cockee LC - Endemic (N) F CYALAC Cyaline cockin Cyaline cockee LC - Endemic (N)	Species	Family	IUCN Status	Protected	Biogeographic Status	Habit	Species ID
Cordulation matriliana Asparagaceae I.C. - Endemic (M) S CORNAU Dracear refuea Asteraceae I.C. - Endemic (R) S Gymeline finibilityon Asteraceae I.C. - Endemic (R) S HUBAMB Huberia anabarilla Asteraceae I.C. - Endemic (R) S HUBAMB Construction Bois de balai I.C. - Endemic (R) S HUBAMB Constructions Bois de balai I.C. - Endemic (M) T CAITAC Constructions Constructions I.C. - Endemic (M) T CAITAC Weammanic Intervitiv Contrataceae I.C. - Endemic (M) F CVAEXC Cyalthae stoches I.C. - Endemic (M) F CVAEXC Cyalthae stoches I.C. - Endemic (M) F CVAEXC Cyalthae stoches I.C. - Endemic (M) S ERREU Erythroxylaceae I.C. - Endemic (M) S ERREU Cyalthae stoches I.C. - Endemic (M) S ERVALA Agrites analeciplis Fr	Acanthophoenix crinita	Arecaceae	VU	-	Endemic (R)	Р	ACACRI
Dracewar reflewar Asparagiaceae LC · Endemix (R) T DPRARET Gymnanthlomman Asteraceae LC - Endemix (R) S GYMFIM Huberia nomeniesan Asteraceae LC - Endemix (R) S HUBRAM Memeculao consissan Rois de bala LC - Endemix (R) S MEMCON Cabiptyllawa tacanabaca Cabiptyllawa Calophyllawa Calo	Cordyline mauritiana	Asparagaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	CORMAU
Communiteering Asteraceae LC - Endemic (R) S GYMFIM Huberia ambavilla Asteraceae LC - Endemic (R) S HUBANB Muberia instructiva Asteraceae LC - Endemic (R) S HUBRIG Constinguinta Bois de balai LC - Endemic (R) T CALTAC Constinguinta Connaraceae LC - Endemic (R) T CALTAC Weinnemaint Intorinta Connaraceae LC - Endemic (R) F CVAEXC Cyathag stance Cyathascaeae LC - Endemic (R) F CVAEXC Cyathag stance Cyathascaeae LC - Endemic (R) S ERVIAU Frice remoneses Escaloniaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ENVIAU Frice remoneses Escaloniaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ELVIAU Contensors Fescaloniaceae LC -	Dracaena reflexa	Asparagaceae	LC	-	Endemic (IO)	Т	DRAREF
Hideria inducila Asteraceae LC - Endemic (M) S HUEAMB Huberia tomentosa Asteraceae LC - Endemic (R) S HUETOM Mencylon confustm Bois de balai LC - Endemic (M) T CALTAC Constis glabra Connarceae LC - Endemic (M) T WEINTRAINS Weintrannia interbai Cunoniaceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathae torbonica Cyathaeceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathae torbonica Cyathaeceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathae torbonica Cyathaeceae LC - Endemic (M) S ERREU Agaristis attrifibita Fricaceae LC - Endemic (M) S ERREU Forgesia racemesa Escalloniaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLAGIA Alteria T Alteria T Alteria T Alteria Clanzylon guainidisam Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLAGIA Clanzylon guainidisam Euphorbiaceae LC - <td< td=""><td>Gymnanthemum fimbrilliferum</td><td>Asteraceae</td><td>LC</td><td>-</td><td>Endemic (R)</td><td>S</td><td>GYMFIM</td></td<>	Gymnanthemum fimbrilliferum	Asteraceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	GYMFIM
Huberia tomentos Asteraceae I.C - Endemic (R) S HUBTOM Cabeplyllum tacanaliza Calophyllaceae LC - Endemic (R) S MEMCON Cabeplyllum tacanaliza Calophyllaceae LC - Endemic (N) T CALTAC Weinmannia tinctoria Cunoniaceae LC - Endemic (N) F CYABOR Cyathae toebmina Cyathaecaee LC - Endemic (N) F CYABOR Cyathae falua Cyathaecaee LC - Endemic (N) F CYABOR Cyathae falua Cyathaecaee LC - Native T AGASAL Erythonylaceau LC - Endemic (N) S ERYLAU Torgesin ransos Escaloniaceae LC - Altern ALENOL Alternitis moliccause LC - Endemic (N) S CLACLA Cordenog integrifoin Exphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (N) T ACCA	Hubertia ambavilla	Asteraceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	HUBAMB
Menecylon confusium Bois de balai LC - Endemic (R) S MEMCON Calaptyllun taxamahaa Calaptyllun taxamahaa Contarceae LC - Endemic (N) T CAITAC Coestis gibba Contarceae LC - Endemic (N) T WEITINN Cyathac borbonica Cyathacceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathac texclos Cyathacceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathac texclos Cyathacceae LC - Endemic (M) S ERREU Agarists isficiola Ericacceae LC - Endemic (M) S ERREU Forgesia racenosa Escalloniacceae LC - Endemic (M) S ERREU Forgesia racenosa Escalloniacceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLACLA Clavardon pareiforum Emphorbiacceae LC - Endemic (M) T CORNT Advantifier paphorbiacceae LC - Endemic (M) T CACLA Clavardon pareiforum Emphorbiacceae LC - Endemic (M) T CORNT Advantifiera papontia Fabaccae	Hubertia tomentosa	Asteraceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	HUBTOM
Calophyllum tacamahaa Calophyllaceae I.C - Endemic (M) T CALTAC Crestis glaba Cunoniaceae L.C - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyatha excelsa Cyathaceae L.C - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyatha excelsa Cyathaceae L.C - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyatha excelsa Cyathaceae L.C - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyatha escelsa L.C - Native T AGASAL Frica reminensis Ericaceae L.C - Endemic (N) S ERRU Foreysin ransosa Escalloniaceae - Alien T ALENOL Clauxyob myaciforum Euphorbiaceae L.C - Endemic (M) S CLAPAR Cordenaya integriforum Euphorbiaceae L.C - Endemic (M) T ACAGIA Clauxyob myaciforum Euphorbiaceae L.C - Endemic (M) T ACAGIA Clauxyob myaciforum Euphorbiaceae L.C <t< td=""><td>Memecylon confusum</td><td>Bois de balai</td><td>LC</td><td>-</td><td>Endemic (R)</td><td>S</td><td>MEMCON</td></t<>	Memecylon confusum	Bois de balai	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	MEMCON
Censtis glabraConnaraceaeLC-Endemic (M)VCNECLAWinnmarin introbraCyatheaceaeLC-Endemic (M)FCYABORCyatheaceaeLC-Endemic (M)FCYABORCyatheaceaeLC-Endemic (M)FCYABORCyatheaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SERREUEydnosginuEricaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SERREUEydnosginuIntrojonasiaEricaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SERREUFogesia racemosaEscalloniaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SERREUClawydm glandulsumEuphorbiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SCLAGLAClawydm glandulsumEuphorbiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SCLAGLAClawydm glandulsumEuphorbiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SCLAGLAVar. pavorinaFabaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SCLAGLAAdemathea provninaFabaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SCLAGLAVar. pavorinaFabaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TCORINTAdemathea provninaFabaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TCORINTAdemathea provninaFabaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TCORINTAdemathea provninaFabaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TCOCONTCorten oblusataLauraceaeLC-E	Calophyllum tacamahaca	Calophyllaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	CALTAC
Weinmannia tinctoria Cunoniaceae LC - Endemic (M) T WEITIN Cyathea excelsa Cyatheaceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathea excelsa Cyatheaceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathea glaux Cyatheaceae LC - Endemic (R) F CYACLA Agarista salicificia Ericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERREU Erythroxylanceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERREU Foresciar accosa Earloniaceae - Alien T MASINDD Alearies molucarums Euphorbiaceae I.C - Endemic (M) S CLAPAR Calcacydor parofforum Euphorbiaceae I.C - Endemic (M) S CLAPAR Cardemong integrifolia Euphorbiaceae I.C - Endemic (M) S CLAPAR Caracinar paronina Fabaceae I.C - Endemic (M) S CLAPAR Acara hertoriphila Euphorbiaceae I.C - Endemic (M) S CLAPAR Var. gravinina Fabaceae I.C - Endemic (M) T	Cnestis glabra	Connaraceae	LC	-	Endemic (IO)	V	CNEGLA
Coulled borbonica Cyathacacae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Cyathacacae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Agarista silicifui Ericaceae LC - Endemic (M) F CYABOR Agarista silicifui Ericaceae LC - Endemic (M) S ERIRU Eritar runnomsis Ericaceae LC - Endemic (M) S ERIVLAU Forgesia racemosa Escalloniaceae - Alien T MANNIND Alterrites molucarus Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLACLA Classylon gardiforum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLACLA Classylon gardiforum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLACLA Classylon gardiforum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) T CARIT Ademither provinin Fabaceae - Alien T LIA	Weinmannia tinctoria	Cunoniaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	WEITIN
Cyuthen excelsa Cyatheaceae LC - Endemic (R) F CYAEXC Agarista salicificia Ericaceae LC - Endemic (R) F CYAEXC Agarista salicificia Ericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERIREU Erica reunimensis Ericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERIREU Foresia ratemessa Ecalloniaceae LC - Endemic (R) S FORRAC Mangifera indica Anacardiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAGLA Altern T MANIND Alternite mellocanus Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAGLA Claoxylon glandulosum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAGLA Claoxylon gravitoria Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAGLA Claoxylon gravitoria Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAGLA Claoxylon gravitoria Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) T CORINT Acarine trephorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) T CORINT Acarine trephorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Advantem paronina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Acarine trephorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum Integrifolia Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum Integrifolia Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum Integrifolia Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum Autoroace LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum Evolution Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) S GENDO Geniasiona Instant Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R) S GENDOR Dombeya clauts Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T UTGLU Orota altusata Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMFLC Dombeya relinata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T TONORA Advatus finitista Mettentisseceae VU - Endemic (R) T TAREEL Artocaripus Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) S MONOVA Monimia contaut Molvaceae LC - Endemic (R) S MONOVA Monimia rothatighia Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S MONOVA Monimia rothatighia Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (R	Cyathea borbonica	Cyatheaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	F	CYABOR
Cyalter glauca Cyalteraceae LC - Endemic (R) F CYACIA Agarista salicibila Ericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERRU Erica runionensis Ericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERVLAU Forgesia racemsa Escalioniaceae LC - Endemic (R) S FORRAC Claozying piarulousus Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAPAR Claozying piarulousus Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAPAR Cardenogi piarulousus Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Adenanthera paronina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Adenanthera paronina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericun lancoultarus Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Lipser glaininosa Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMEQuianoinaceae LC -	Cyathea excelsa	Cyatheaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	F	CYAEXC
Agarsta salletjöla Ericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERRREU Erythrozylum laurijölum Erythrozylaceae LC - Endemic (R) S ERRREU Forges ar accessa LC - Endemic (R) S EVRLAU Forges ar accessa - Alien T MANIND Aleurite moluccanus Euphorbiaceae - Alien T ALEMOL Claozylon glandulosum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLAGLA Claozia heterynhila Fabaceae LC - Endemic (M) T CORINT Adaruntinen paronina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (M) T CORINT Adaruntinen paronina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lanceolatum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lanceolatum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (R) T OCOOBT Corten obtrissin Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R)	Cyathea glauca	Cyatheaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	F	CYAGLA
Erra reumonensis Ercheceae LC - Endemic (K) S ERVILAU Forgesia racemosa Escalloniaceae LC - Endemic (K) S ERVILAU Forgesia racemosa Escalloniaceae LC - Alien T MANNDD Aleurites molucanus Euphorbiaceae LC - Alien T MANNDD Aleurites molucanus Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (K) S CLAGLA Claoxylon pareiflorum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLAGLA Consolutes Theyrologia Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLAGLA Consolution pareiflorum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) T CORNT Accain heterophylla Fabaceae LC - Endemic (K) T ACAHET Advantum paronina Fabaceae EN X Endemic (K) S SOPDEN Hypericam Intercolatum Hypericaceae LC - Alien T ADEPAV var, paronina Fabaceae EN X Endemic (K) S SOPDEN Hypericam Intercolatum Hypericaceae LC - Alien T ADEPAV Lister glutinosa Lauraceae LC - Alien T COCOBT Consolution Intercolatum Hypericaceae LC - Alien T LITCLU Ocota doitsala Lauraceae LC - Endemic (K) S SOPDEN Hypericam Intercolatum Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S GENBOR Consolution Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) T OCOOBT Consolution Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S GENBOR Dombega clinita Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S GENBOR Dombega clinita Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S GENBOR Dombega clinita Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S DOMELE Dombega functata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S DOMELE Dombega functata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S TUROVA Apodytes dimidiata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S TUROVA Apodytes dimidiata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S TUROVA Apodytes dimidiata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S MONOVA Monimia coelifolia Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S TUROVA Apodytes dimidiata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (M) S MONOVA Monimia coelifolia Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S SUCAT Syzgetim bordonicum Mytaceae LC - Endemic (M) S SUCAT Syzgetim bordonicum Mytacea	Agarista salicifolia	Ericaceae	LC	-	Native	Т	AGASAL
Erythroxylam laurydnum Erythroxylaceae LC - Endemic (M) S FYLAU Forgesia racemosa Escalloniaceae LC - Endemic (M) S FORAC Mangifera india Anacardiaceae - Alien T MANIND Mangifera india Anacardiaceae - Alien T ALEMOL Claoxylon glandulosum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAPAR Claoxylon grifforum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S CLAPAR Codemonya integriffora Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) T CORINT Acacia heterphyla Fabaceae LC - Endemic (M) T ACAHET Adeunther pavonina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Var. paronina Fabaceae EN X Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lancedutum Hypericaceae LC - Alien T ADEPAV var. paronina Fabaceae EN X Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lancedutum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Litss gluthosa Lauraceae - Alien T LITCLU Ocotea obtissta Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R) T CCOOBF Dombeya clidat Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMCIL. Dombeya clidat Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMCIL. Dombeya clidat Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMCIL Dombeya fucultura Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMREC Turreae coata Metiaceae VU - Native T ARODIM Monimia coalificita Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S MONOVA Monimia coalificita Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SPICAT Syzggium industa Myrtaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SYZCYM Noronita burdificita Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SYZCYM Noronita burdificita Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (Erica reunionensis	Ericaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	ERIREU
Progesta fracemesa Escalionaceae - Alien T MANIND Aleurites moluceanus Euphorbiaceae - Alien T MALND Clavaylon parvifforum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (N) S CLAGLA Cavaylon parvifforum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (N) S CLAPAR Cordenoya integrifolia Fubaceae LC - Endemic (N) T CORNNT Acacia heterophylla Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Adounthen patronina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lanceolatum Hypericum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Listsa glutinosa Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R) S GENBOR Coreta obtistata Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R) T DONCIL Dombeya cligans Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DONCIL Dombeya ficultan Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DONHCIL Dombeya ficultan Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) <td< td=""><td>Erythroxylum laurifolium</td><td>Erythroxylaceae</td><td>LC</td><td>-</td><td>Endemic (M)</td><td>S</td><td>ERYLAU</td></td<>	Erythroxylum laurifolium	Erythroxylaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	ERYLAU
Adargigra indica Anacardiaceae - Alien I MANIND Aleuries moluccanus Euphorbiaceae I.C - Alien T ALEMOL Claxylon glandilosum Euphorbiaceae I.C - Endemic (R) S CLAPAR Cordenoya integriforin Euphorbiaceae I.C - Endemic (M) T CORINT Acacia heterophylla Fabaceae I.C - Endemic (R) S CSOPEN Aderanthen paronina Fabaceae EN X Endemic (R) S SOPDPEN Hyperican almodulum Hypericaceae I.C - Endemic (R) S SOPDPEN Hypericana almodulum Hypericaceae I.C - Endemic (M) T OCCOOBT Geniestoma borbonicum Loganiaceae I.C - Endemic (R) T DOMCII Dombeya cilinta Malvaceae I.C - Endemic (R) T DOMCII Dombeya cilinta Malvaceae I.C - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombeya cilinta Malv	Forgesia racemosa	Escalloniaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	FORRAC
Autenties molucianius Euphorbiaceae IC - Endemic (R) S CLAGLA Claoxylon parvifforum Euphorbiaceae IC - Endemic (M) S CLAGLA Cordenogy integrifolia Fabaceae IC - Endemic (M) T CORINT Acacia heterophylla Fabaceae IC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Adenothera paronina Fabaceae ILC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lancelatum Hypericaceae ILC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lancelatum Hypericaceae ILC - Endemic (R) T DORD Cotea oblusata Lauraceae ILC - Endemic (R) T DONCOL Dombega cillata Malvaceae ILC - Endemic (R) T DOMCIL Dombega cillata Malvaceae ILC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombega punctata Malvaceae ILC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombega punctata	Mangifera indica	Anacardiaceae		-	Alien	T	MANIND
Claoxylon gamulusiim Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) S CLAALA Claoxylon garvillorum Euphorbiaceae LC - Endemic (M) T CORINT Acacia heterophylla Fabaceae LC - Endemic (M) T ACAHET Acacia heterophylla Fabaceae LC - Alien T ADEPAV so, paronina Fabaceae LC - Alien T ADEPAV Sophora deuudata Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericane lanceolatum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S HYPLAN Litsag glutinosa Lauraceae LC - Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericane lanceolatum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (R) S COCOBE Coctea obtustata Lauraceae LC - Endemic (M) T OCCOBE Coctea obtustata Lauraceae LC - Endemic (M) S GENBOR Dombeya clutata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) S DOMCLL Dombeya clutata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) S DOMCLE Dombeya clutata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMCLE Dombeya ficultata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMCLE Dombeya precisita Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombeya ficulta Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Monimia rotundifolia Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (R) S MONNOVA Monimia rotundifolia Monimiaceae LC - Endemic (R) T TAMELL Artoarpus heterophyllus Moraceae LC - Endemic (R) T TAMELL Artoarpus heterophyllus Moraceae LC - Endemic (R) T FICLAT Ficus mauritima Moraceae LC - Endemic (R) T SYZBOR Syzgium obtonicum Myrtaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SYZCOR Syzgium cymosum Myrtaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SYZCOR Syzgium borbonicum Myrtaceae LC - Endemic (R) S SYZCOR Syzgium	Aleurites moluccanus	Euphorbiaceae	IC	-	Alien		ALEMOL
Cardenoya integrifolia Cordenoya integrifolia Acacia heterophylla Acacia heterophylla Acacia heterophylla Acacia heterophylla Ademathera pavonina Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACAHET Acacia heterophylla Hyperican Intercolatum Yar, pavonina Fabaceae EN X Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hyperican Intercolatum Hyperica Intercolatum Hyp	Claoxylon glanaulosum	Euphorbiaceae		-	Endemic (K)	S	CLAGLA
Contenting integription Extension - Endemic (R) T ACARIHET Acacai heterophylla Fabaceae LC - Endemic (R) T ACARHET Ademanthera paronina Fabaceae - Alien T ADEPAV Sophora derudata Fabaceae EN X Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hyperican lanceolatum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (R) T OCOOS Cotea obtustat Lauraceae LC - Endemic (M) T OCOOS Geniostoma obronicum Loganiceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMCIL Dombeya ciliata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombeya pruntata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombeya pruntata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombeya pruntata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMFIC Dombeya pruntata Malvaceae LC -	Cuoxyton purotitorum	Euphorbiaceae		-	Endemic (M)	5 T	CLAFAK
Adematheter paronina Fabaceae - Allein T ADEPAV Var. paronina Fabaceae - Alien T ADEPAV Sophora denudata Fabaceae EN X Endemic (R) S SOPDEN Hypericum lanceolatum Hypericaceae LC - Endemic (IO) S HYPLAN Litsen glutinosa Lauraceae - Alien T UTGLU Ocotea obtusata Lauraceae LC - Endemic (M) S GENBOR Dombeya ciliata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMVLI Dombeya piculata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMPUN Dombeya punctata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMPUN Dombeya punctata Malvaceae LC - Endemic (R) T DOMPUN Dombeya punctata Malvaceae UC - Endemic (R) T DOMPUN Dombeya ficinta Malvaceae UC - Endemic (R) T DOMPUN Dombeya ficinta Molvaceae UC - Endemic (R) T APODIM Monimia cotundifolia	Acacia hataronhulla	EupriorDiaceae		-	Endemic (N)	T	ACAHET
Numbra provintal var, patoninaFabaceae-AlienTADEPAVSophon denudataFabaceaeENXEndemic (R)SSOPDENHypericum lanceolatumHypericum (CO)SHYP/LANLitsea glutinosaLauraceae-AlienTLITCLUOcotar obtusataLauraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TOCOOBTGeniostoma borbonicumLoganiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SGENBORDombeya cilataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMELEDombeya ficulataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya pructataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya pructataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya pructataMalvaceaeU-Endemic (R)SMONOVAApodytes dimidiataMetinusceaeVU-Endemic (M)SMONOVAMonimia coalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TAPODIMMonimia coalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC<	Adenanthera navonina	Tabaceae	LC	-	Endenne (K)	1	ACALIEI
Sophor denudataFabaceaeENXEndemic (R)SSOPDENHypericum lanceolatumHypericaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SHYPLANLitsea glutinosaLauraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TOCOOBTGeniostoma borbonicumLoganaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SGENBORDombeya ciliataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SDOMCILDombeya ciliataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SDOMCILDombeya fullnaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya fullnaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeUC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya sitiatianMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia coulifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia coulifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTATHETFicus lateriftoraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TFICMAUFicus interrophilusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsiduim cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCOR	var. pavonina	Fabaceae		-	Alien	Т	ADEPAV
Hypericum lanceolatumHypericaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SHYPLANLisea glutinosaLauraceae-AlienTLITGLUOcotea obtusataLauraceaeLC-Endemic (M)SGENBORGeniostoma borbonicumLoganiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SGENBORDombeya ciliataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMELEDombeya ficultneaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFLCDombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFUNDombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFUNDombeya ficultneaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFUNDombeya ficultnaMalvaceaeUC-Endemic (R)TDOMFUNApodytes dimidiataMeterniusaceaeVU-Endemic (M)SMONOVAMonimia oralifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia calipticaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TSUGAUSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORS	Sophora denudata	Fabaceae	EN	х	Endemic (R)	S	SOPDEN
Jitsea glutinosaLauraceae-AlienTLITGLUOcclea obtusataLauraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TOCOOBTGeniostoma borbonicumLoganiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SGENBORDombeya cilataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMCILDombeya cigansMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya pinuctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMRECTurraea ovataMeliaceaeVU-Endemic (R)STUROVAApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia coralifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia coralifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia rotandifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TFICLATFicus nauritianaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCYATSyzygium indobuicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCYATSyzygium andburginumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCYATSyzyg	Hypericum lanceolatum	Hypericaceae	LC	-	Endemic (IO)	S	HYPLAN
OcoteaOcoteaDendenic (M)TOCOOBTGeniostoma borbonicumLoganiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SGENBORDombeya ciliataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMCILDombeya ficulneaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya ficulneaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)STUROVAApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia coalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONROTTambourisse ellipticaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium contelopingliusMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZZORSyzygium porbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZZORSyzygium cordenoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZZORSyzygium inhosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZZORSyzygium inhos	Litsea glutinosa	Lauraceae		-	Alien	Т	LITGLU
Geniostoma borbonicumLoganiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SGENBORDombeya ciliataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMCILDombeya ficulneaMalvaceaeLCXEndemic (R)TDOMELEDombeya ficulneaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya prunctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMRECTurnea ovataMetiaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SMONOVAMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUCBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZEORSyzygium ordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TSYZEORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORSyzygium inambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORSyzygium inambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORSyzygium	Ocotea obtusata	Lauraceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	OCOOBT
Dombeya cligansMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMCILDombeya legansMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya ficulneaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeVU-Endemic (M)STUROVAApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-Endemic (M)SMONOVAMonimia coalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia orburificiaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia coalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus nauritinaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium iambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium iambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium ianbosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCYM<	Geniostoma borbonicum	Loganiaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	GENBOR
Dombeya pleugansMalvaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SDOMELEDombeya ficulneaMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeU-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia coulifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia rotundifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia rotundifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONROTTambourissa ellipticaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPaidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZEORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeEIC-Endemic (R)SSYZEORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZEORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZZORSyzygium porbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)S <td< td=""><td>Dombeya ciliata</td><td>Malvaceae</td><td>LC</td><td>-</td><td>Endemic (R)</td><td>Т</td><td>DOMCIL</td></td<>	Dombeya ciliata	Malvaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	Т	DOMCIL
Dombeya pinctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMFICDombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMRECTurraea ovataMetiaceaeVU-Endemic (M)STUROVAApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TARTHELTFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus nauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus nauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZORSyzygium iambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZORPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus	Dombeya elegans	Malvaceae	LC	Х	Endemic (R)	S	DOMELE
Dombeya punctataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMPUNDombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMRECTurraea ovataMeliaceaeVU-Endemic (M)STUROVAApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOVAMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLAntocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus hauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus nauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPANUTIAntidesmaPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPANUTI	Dombeya ficulnea	Malvaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	Т	DOMFIC
Dombeya reclinataMalvaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TDOMRECATurraea ovataMeliaceaeVU-Endemic (M)STUROVAApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPODIMMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SMONOVAMonimia rotundifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMOROTTambourissa ellipticaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATUEugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeELC-Endemic (R)SSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeELC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZJAMNoronthia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPANUTIAntidesmaPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPANUTIAdianus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPANUTIArtidesmaPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPANUTI<	Dombeya punctata	Malvaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	Т	DOMPUN
Iurraea ovataMeliaceaeVU-Endemic (M)SIUROVAApodytes dimidiataMetteniusaceaeVU-NativeTAPOOIIMMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SMONOVAMonimia rotundifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONROTTambourissa ellipticaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZEORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TSYZZYMNorohia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANUTIAntidesmaPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPANUTIAntidesmaPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHI<	Dombeya reclinata	Malvaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	Т	DOMREC
Apoaytes atminiatiatMetternusaceaeVU-Native1APODIMMonimia ovalifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SMONROTTambourissa ellipticaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLAUEugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeELC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZDORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZDORSyzygium indosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZZYMSyzygium indosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZZYMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPHYPHIPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhy	Turraea ovata	Meliaceae	VU	-	Endemic (M)	S	TUROVA
Monimia rotundijoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SMONOVAMonimia rotundifoliaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCYANoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANUTIAntidesma madagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO) <td>Apoaytes aimiaiata</td> <td>Metteniusaceae</td> <td>VU LC</td> <td>-</td> <td>Native</td> <td>I</td> <td>APODIM</td>	Apoaytes aimiaiata	Metteniusaceae	VU LC	-	Native	I	APODIM
Monimia folial individual MonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SMONOTTambourissa ellipticaMonimiaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TTAMELLArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)TFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXEugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium condemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZZORNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZJAMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPHYHIPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPHYHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHI <td>Ivionimia ovalifolia Monimia notun difolia</td> <td>Monimiaceae</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>Endemic (NI)</td> <td>S</td> <td>MONUVA</td>	Ivionimia ovalifolia Monimia notun difolia	Monimiaceae		-	Endemic (NI)	S	MONUVA
Artocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeIC-Endemic (R)IIAMILLEArtocarpus heterophyllusMoraceaeIC-AlienTARTHETFicus laterifloraMoraceaeIC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeIC-Endemic (M)TFICMAUEugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeIC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cymosumMyrtaceaeIC-Endemic (M)SSYZCYMSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeIC-Endemic (M)SSYZJAMNoronhia broomcanaOleaceaeIC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus montanusPandanaceaeIC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeIC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthaceaeIC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeIC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporaceaIC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporaceaIC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporaceaIC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporaceaIC-Endemic (IO)S	Tambourisca alliptica	Monimiaceae		-	Endemic (R)	5 T	TAMELI
Antoda pus introdupuisMoraceaeLC-File fullTFICLATFicus laterifloraMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICLATFicus mauritianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXEugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZJAMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhyllanthouricaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SBADBOR	Artocarnus heteronhullus	Moraceae	LC	-	Alien	T	ARTHET
Ficus matrifianaMoraceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICMAUEugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TFICMAUEugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCVMSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBRONoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhitosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhitosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhitosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhitosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhitosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO) </td <td>Ficus lateriflora</td> <td>Moraceae</td> <td>IC</td> <td>-</td> <td>Endemic (M)</td> <td>Т</td> <td>FICI AT</td>	Ficus lateriflora	Moraceae	IC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	FICI AT
Findemin minimInstructionECEndemic (R)FFundamic (R)Eugenia buxifoliaMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SEUGBUXPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium bobonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium condemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCYMSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADmadagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula brobonicaPrimulaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SBADBOR	Ficus mauritiana	Moraceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Ť	FICMALI
Psidium cattleyanumMyrtaceae-AlienSPSiCATPsidium cattleyanumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium condemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCYMSyzygium jambosMyrtaceae-AlienTSYZJAMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADmadagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeAlienSBADBOR	Eugenia huxifolia	Myrtaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	ŝ	EUGBUX
Syzygium borbonicumMyrtaceaeENXEndemic (R)TSYZBORSyzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cymosumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCYMSyzygium jambosMyrtaceae-AlienTSYZJAMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADmadagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Psidium cattlevanum	Myrtaceae		-	Alien	S	PSICAT
Syzygium cordemoyiMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SSYZCORSyzygium cymosumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCYMSyzygium jambosMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TSYZJAMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-NativeTPANUTIAntidesma madagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPITSENArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Syzygium borbonicum	Myrtaceae	EN	Х	Endemic (R)	Т	SYZBOR
Syzygium cymosumMyrtaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SSYZCYMSyzygium jambosMyrtaceae-AlienTSYZJAMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-NativeTPANUTIAntidesma madagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Syzygium cordemoyi	Myrtaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	SYZCOR
Syzygium jambosMyrtaceae-AlienTSYZJAMNoronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-NativeTPANUTIAntidesmaPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Syzygium cymosum	Myrtaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	SYZCYM
Noronhia broomeanaOleaceaeLC-Endemic (M)TNORBROPandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-NativeTPANUTIAntidesma madagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Syzygium jambos	Myrtaceae		-	Alien	Т	SYZJAM
Pandanus montanusPandanaceaeLC-Endemic (R)SPANMONPandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-NativeTPANUTIAntidesma madagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Noronhia broomeana	Oleaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	NORBRO
Pandanus utilisPandanaceaeLC-NativeTPANUTIAntidesma madagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPITSENBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Pandanus montanus	Pandanaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	PANMON
Antidesma madagascariensePhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SANTMADPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPHYPHIArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula bribinicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Pandanus utilis	Pandanaceae	LC	-	Native	Т	PANUTI
madagascarienseLitLiterationSPHYPHIPhyllanthus phillyreifoliusPhyllanthaceaeLC-Endemic (M)SPHYPHIPittosporum senaciaPittosporaceaeLC-Endemic (IO)SPITSENArdisia crenataPrimulaceae-AlienSARDCREBadula borbonicaPrimulaceaeLCXEndemic (R)SBADBOR	Antidesma	Phyllanthaceae	LC	-	Endemic (IO)	S	ANTMAD
Pryuantnus pnuyreijonus Phyliantnaceae LC - Endemic (M) S PHYPHI Pittosporum senacia Pittosporaceae LC - Endemic (IO) S PITSEN Ardisia crenata Primulaceae - Alien S ARDCRE Badula borbonica Primulaceae LC X Endemic (R) S BADBOR	madagascariense	Dhallan (1			Enders' (M)	S	
Ardisia crenata Primulaceae LC - Endemic (IO) 5 PHISEN Badula horbonica Primulaceae LC X Endemic (R) S ARDCRE	Phylianthus phillyreifolius	Phylianthaceae		-	Endemic (M)	5	PHYPHI
Badula horbonica Primulaceae I.C X Endemic (R) S RADROR	Putosporum senucu Ardisia crenata	Primulaceae	LC	-	Alien	5	ARDORE
	Badula borhonica	Primulaceae	LC	x	Endemic (R)	S	BADBOR

Table A1. Cont.

Species	Family	IUCN Status	Protected	Biogeographic Status	Habit	Species ID
Phylica nitida	Rhamnaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	PHYNIT
Rubus alceifolius	Rosaceae		-	Alien	V	RUBALC
Antirhea borbonica	Rubiaceae	LC	-	Endemic (IO)	Т	ANTBOR
Bertiera borbonica	Rubiaceae	DD	Х	Endemic (R)	S	BERBOR
Chassalia corallioides	Rubiaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	CHACOR
Chassalia gaertneroides	Rubiaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	CHAGAE
Coffea mauritiana	Rubiaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	COFMAU
Gaertnera vaginata	Rubiaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	GAEVAG
Melicope borbonica	Rutaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	S	MELBOR
Melicope obtusifolia	Rutaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	MELOBT
Casearia coriacea	Salicaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	S	CASCOR
Homalium paniculatum	Salicaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	HOMPAN
Doratoxylon apetalum	Sapindaceae	LC	-	Endemic (IO)	Т	DORAPE
Molinaea alternifolia	Sapindaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	MOLALT
Labourdonnaisia calophylloides	Sapotaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	LABCAL
Mimusops balata	Sapotaceae	LC	-	Endemic (M)	Т	MIMBAL
Sideroxylon borbonicum	Sapotaceae	LC	-	Endemic (R)	Т	SIDBOR

Table A1. Cont.

Appendix C



Figure A2. Elevational range at which each species occurs within our network of plots set up in Saint-Philippe (Réunion) (n = 80). Vertical bars indicate minimum and maximum elevations. Species are ranked by increasing mean elevation (blue dots for native woody plants and red dots for aliens). Species ID (the first three letters of the genus followed by the first three letters of the species in Latin) are given in Appendix B.



Appendix D

Figure A3. Boxplots showing the distribution of the three leaf functional traits that we measured in the field as a function of elevation and distance from the trail ('off-trail' or 'near-trail').

Appendix E. Procedure Used to Test the Sensitivity of Our Comparisons between Native and Alien Species to Their Unbalanced Numbers

As we collected much more native than alien species, we simulated balanced datasets by randomly selecting the same number of native species as alien species to be inputted in the phylogenetic ANOVA, and repeated this procedure 999 times. Based on these simulations, we then computed the probability of arriving at no significant difference between native and alien species, i.e., a *p*-value higher than 0.05. In all cases (namely, comparisons of CSR scores, LA, LDMC, and SLA), this probability was 1. This means that native and alien species have similar strategies and trait values whatever the randomly selected native species.

Appendix F

Table A2. Values of the Sørensen's index of similarity *S* between 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' communities at each elevation. *A* and *B* refer to the number of species from the 'near-trail' and 'off-trail' plots, respectively, and *C* is the number of species shared by the two plots. *S* is expressed by S = 2C/(A + B).

Elevation (m)	Α	В	С	S _{sim}
0	7	7	4	0.57
300	20	29	4	0.28
600	17	16	12	0.73
900	29	28	25	0.88
1200	25	26	18	0.71
1500	20	23	15	0.70
1800	14	15	12	0.83
2100	4	7	4	0.73



Figure A4. Comparison of three leaf functional traits between native (blue, n = 67, i.e., without tree ferns) and alien woody species (red, n = 10). *p*-values of ANOVA accounting for phylogeny in which the LA and SLA values were log-transformed are indicated.

References

1. Richardson, D.M.; Pyšek, P.; Carlton, J.T. A Compendium of Essential Concepts and Terminology in Invasion Ecology. In *Fifty Years of Invasion Ecology*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2010; pp. 409–420. ISBN 978-1-4443-2998-8.

Appendix G

- 2. Seebens, H.; Blackburn, T.M.; Dyer, E.E.; Genovesi, P.; Hulme, P.E.; Jeschke, J.M.; Pagad, S.; Pyšek, P.; Winter, M.; Arianoutsou, M.; et al. No Saturation in the Accumulation of Alien Species Worldwide. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. van Kleunen, M.; Dawson, W.; Essl, F.; Pergl, J.; Winter, M.; Weber, E.; Kreft, H.; Weigelt, P.; Kartesz, J.; Nishino, M.; et al. Global Exchange and Accumulation of Non-Native Plants. *Nature* 2015, 525, 100–103. [CrossRef]
- 4. Lockwood, J.L.; Hoopes, M.F.; Marchetti, M.P. Invasion Ecology; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2013; ISBN 1-118-57082-0.
- Butchart, S.H.; Walpole, M.; Collen, B.; Van Strien, A.; Scharlemann, J.P.; Almond, R.E.; Baillie, J.E.; Bomhard, B.; Brown, C.; Bruno, J. Global Biodiversity: Indicators of Recent Declines. *Science* 2010, *328*, 1164–1168. [CrossRef]
- 6. Pouteau, R.; Brunel, C.; Dawson, W.; Essl, F.; Kreft, H.; Lenzner, B.; Meyer, C.; Pergl, J.; Pyšek, P.; Seebens, H.; et al. Environmental and Socioeconomic Correlates of Extinction Risk in Endemic Species. *Divers. Distrib.* **2022**, *28*, 53–64. [CrossRef]
- IPBES Thematic Assessment Report on Invasive Alien Species and Their Control. Available online: https://www.ipbes.net/ias (accessed on 25 October 2023).
- Fernández-Palacios, J.M.; Kreft, H.; Irl, S.D.H.; Norder, S.; Ah-Peng, C.; Borges, P.A.V.; Burns, K.C.; de Nascimento, L.; Meyer, J.-Y.; Montes, E.; et al. Scientists' Warning—The Outstanding Biodiversity of Islands Is in Peril. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 2021, 31, e01847. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kueffer, C.; Daehler, C.C.; Torres-Santana, C.W.; Lavergne, C.; Meyer, J.-Y.; Otto, R.; Silva, L. A Global Comparison of Plant Invasions on Oceanic Islands. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 2010, 12, 145–161. [CrossRef]
- Meyer, J.-Y. Critical Issues and New Challenges for Research and Management of Invasive Plants in the Pacific Islands. *Pac. Conserv. Biol.* 2014, 20, 146–164. [CrossRef]
- Meyer, J.-Y.; Lavergne, C. Beautés Fatales: Acanthaceae Species as Invasive Alien Plants on Tropical Indo-Pacific Islands. *Divers. Distrib.* 2004, 10, 333–347. [CrossRef]
- Van Kleunen, M.; Dawson, W.; Schlaepfer, D.; Jeschke, J.M.; Fischer, M. Are Invaders Different? A Conceptual Framework of Comparative Approaches for Assessing Determinants of Invasiveness. *Ecol. Lett.* 2010, 13, 947–958. [CrossRef]
- 13. Grinnell, J. The Niche-Relationships of the California Thrasher. Auk 1917, 34, 427–433. [CrossRef]
- 14. Violle, C.; Navas, M.-L.; Vile, D.; Kazakou, E.; Fortunel, C.; Hummel, I.; Garnier, E. Let the Concept of Trait Be Functional! *Oikos* 2007, *116*, 882–892. [CrossRef]
- Lambdon, P.W.; Lloret, F.; Hulme, P.E. Do Alien Plants on Mediterranean Islands Tend to Invade Different Niches from Native Species? *Biol. Invasions* 2008, 10, 703–716. [CrossRef]
- 16. Pouteau, R.; Hulme, P.E.; Duncan, R.P. Widespread Native and Alien Plant Species Occupy Different Habitats. *Ecography* 2015, *38*, 462–471. [CrossRef]
- 17. Gallien, L.; Münkemüller, T.; Albert, C.H.; Boulangeat, I.; Thuiller, W. Predicting Potential Distributions of Invasive Species: Where to Go from Here? *Divers. Distrib.* 2010, *16*, 331–342. [CrossRef]
- Zeballos, S.R.; Giorgis, M.A.; Cingolani, A.M.; Cabido, M.; Whitworth-Hulse, J.I.; Gurvich, D.E. Do Alien and Native Tree Species from Central Argentina Differ in Their Water Transport Strategy? *Austral Ecol.* 2014, 39, 984–991. [CrossRef]
- Leishman, M.R.; Thomson, V.P.; Cooke, J. Native and Exotic Invasive Plants Have Fundamentally Similar Carbon Capture Strategies. J. Ecol. 2010, 98, 28–42. [CrossRef]
- 20. Pyšek, P.; Richardson, D.M. The Biogeography of Naturalization in Alien Plants. J. Biogeogr. 2006, 33, 2040–2050. [CrossRef]
- Ordonez, A.; Wright, I.J.; Olff, H. Functional Differences between Native and Alien Species: A Global-Scale Comparison. *Funct. Ecol.* 2010, 24, 1353–1361. [CrossRef]
- 22. Wright, I.J.; Reich, P.B.; Westoby, M.; Ackerly, D.D.; Baruch, Z.; Bongers, F.; Cavender-Bares, J.; Chapin, T.; Cornelissen, J.H.C.; Diemer, M.; et al. The Worldwide Leaf Economics Spectrum. *Nature* **2004**, *428*, 821–827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- da Costa, H.D.J.A.; Gurgel, E.S.C.; do Amaral, D.D.; Vasconcelos, L.V.; Rebelo, L.G.B.; Teodoro, G.S. CSR Ecological Strategies, Functional Traits and Trade-Offs of Woody Species in Amazon Sandplain Forest. *Flora* 2020, 273, 151710. [CrossRef]
- 24. Grime, J.P. Vegetation Classification by Reference to Strategies. Nature 1974, 250, 26–31. [CrossRef]
- 25. Grime, J.P. Evidence for the Existence of Three Primary Strategies in Plants and Its Relevance to Ecological and Evolutionary Theory. *Am. Nat.* **1977**, *111*, 1169–1194. [CrossRef]
- Grime, J.P. Plant Strategies, Vegetation Processes, and Ecosystem Properties; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2006; ISBN 0-470-85040-X.
- 27. Grime, J.P.; Pierce, S. *The Evolutionary Strategies That Shape Ecosystems*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2012; ISBN 1-118-22327-6.
- Guo, W.-Y.; van Kleunen, M.; Winter, M.; Weigelt, P.; Stein, A.; Pierce, S.; Pergl, J.; Moser, D.; Maurel, N.; Lenzner, B.; et al. The Role of Adaptive Strategies in Plant Naturalization. *Ecol. Lett.* 2018, *21*, 1380–1389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guo, W.-Y.; van Kleunen, M.; Pierce, S.; Dawson, W.; Essl, F.; Kreft, H.; Maurel, N.; Pergl, J.; Seebens, H.; Weigelt, P.; et al. Domestic Gardens Play a Dominant Role in Selecting Alien Species with Adaptive Strategies That Facilitate Naturalization. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 2019, 28, 628–639. [CrossRef]
- Caccianiga, M.; Luzzaro, A.; Pierce, S.; Ceriani, R.M.; Cerabolini, B. The Functional Basis of a Primary Succession Resolved by CSR Classification. *Oikos* 2006, 112, 10–20. [CrossRef]
- Hodgson, J.G.; Wilson, P.J.; Hunt, R.; Grime, J.P.; Thompson, K. Allocating CSR Plant Functional Types: A Soft Approach to a Hard Problem. Oikos 1999, 85, 282–294. [CrossRef]

- 32. Pierce, S.; Brusa, G.; Vagge, I.; Cerabolini, B.E.L. Allocating CSR Plant Functional Types: The Use of Leaf Economics and Size Traits to Classify Woody and Herbaceous Vascular Plants. *Funct. Ecol.* **2013**, *27*, 1002–1010. [CrossRef]
- 33. Pierce, S.; Luzzaro, A.; Caccianiga, M.; Ceriani, R.M.; Cerabolini, B. Disturbance Is the Principal A-scale Filter Determining Niche Differentiation, Coexistence and Biodiversity in an Alpine Community. *J. Ecol.* **2007**, *95*, 698–706. [CrossRef]
- Pierce, S.; Negreiros, D.; Cerabolini, B.E.L.; Kattge, J.; Díaz, S.; Kleyer, M.; Shipley, B.; Wright, S.J.; Soudzilovskaia, N.A.; Onipchenko, V.G.; et al. A Global Method for Calculating Plant CSR Ecological Strategies Applied across Biomes World-Wide. *Funct. Ecol.* 2017, *31*, 444–457. [CrossRef]
- 35. Díaz, S.; Kattge, J.; Cornelissen, J.H.; Wright, I.J.; Lavorel, S.; Dray, S.; Reu, B.; Kleyer, M.; Wirth, C.; Colin Prentice, I. The Global Spectrum of Plant Form and Function. *Nature* 2016, 529, 167–171. [CrossRef]
- 36. Pierce, S.; Bottinelli, A.; Bassani, I.; Ceriani, R.M.; Cerabolini, B.E.L. How Well Do Seed Production Traits Correlate with Leaf Traits, Whole-Plant Traits and Plant Ecological Strategies? *Plant Ecol.* **2014**, *215*, 1351–1359. [CrossRef]
- Rosado, B.H.P.; de Mattos, E.A. On the Relative Importance of CSR Ecological Strategies and Integrative Traits to Explain Species Dominance at Local Scales. *Funct. Ecol.* 2017, 31, 1969–1974. [CrossRef]
- Rosenfield, M.F.; Müller, S.C.; Overbeck, G.E. Short Gradient, but Distinct Plant Strategies: The CSR Scheme Applied to Subtropical Forests. J. Veg. Sci. 2019, 30, 984–993. [CrossRef]
- Wen, Y.; Chen, C.; He, B.; Lu, X. CSR Ecological Strategies and Functional Traits of the Co-Existing Species along the Succession in the Tropical Lowland Rain Forest. *Forests* 2022, 13, 1272. [CrossRef]
- 40. Dalle Fratte, M.; Bolpagni, R.; Brusa, G.; Caccianiga, M.; Pierce, S.; Zanzottera, M.; Cerabolini, B.E.L. Alien Plant Species Invade by Occupying Similar Functional Spaces to Native Species. *Flora* **2019**, 257, 151419. [CrossRef]
- 41. Rojas-Sandoval, J.; Ackerman, J.D.; Marcano-Vega, H.; Willig, M.R. Alien Species Affect the Abundance and Richness of Native Species in Tropical Forests: The Role of Adaptive Strategies. *Ecosphere* **2022**, *13*, e4291. [CrossRef]
- 42. Macarthur, R.; Levins, R. The Limiting Similarity, Convergence, and Divergence of Coexisting Species. *Am. Nat.* **1967**, *101*, 377–385. [CrossRef]
- 43. Tecco, P.A.; Urcelay, C.; Diaz, S.; Cabido, M.; Pérez-Harguindeguy, N. Contrasting Functional Trait Syndromes Underlay Woody Alien Success in the Same Ecosystem. *Austral Ecol.* **2013**, *38*, 443–451. [CrossRef]
- Réchou, A.; Flores, O.; Jumaux, G.; Duflot, V.; Bousquet, O.; Pouppeville, C.; Bonnardot, F. Spatio-Temporal Variability of Rainfall in a High Tropical Island: Patterns and Large-Scale Drivers in Réunion Island. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 2019, 145, 893–909. [CrossRef]
- 45. Gross, N.; Börger, L.; Duncan, R.P.; Hulme, P.E. Functional Differences between Alien and Native Species: Do Biotic Interactions Determine the Functional Structure of Highly Invaded Grasslands? *Funct. Ecol.* **2013**, 27, 1262–1272. [CrossRef]
- Whittaker, R.J.; Fernández-Palacios, J.M.; Matthews, T.J.; Borregaard, M.K.; Triantis, K.A. Island Biogeography: Taking the Long View of Nature's Laboratories. *Science* 2017, 357, eaam8326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Carlquist, S.J. Island Biology; Columbia University Press: New York, NY, USA, 1974; pp. 1–686. ISBN 978-0-231-03562-0.
- Whittaker, R.J.; Fernández-Palacios, J.M. Island Biogeography: Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2007; ISBN 0-19-856611-5.
- Barton, K.E.; Fortunel, C. Island Plant Functional Syndromes and Competition with Invasive Species. J. Biogeogr. 2023, 50, 641–653. [CrossRef]
- Schrader, J.; Wright, I.J.; Kreft, H.; Westoby, M. A Roadmap to Plant Functional Island Biogeography. *Biol. Rev.* 2021, 96, 2851–2870. [CrossRef]
- DEAL Liste Des Plantes Invasives et Potentiellement Invasives à La Réunion. Available online: https://www.especesinvasives. re/focus/article/liste-especes-flore (accessed on 25 October 2023).
- Strasberg, D.; Rouget, M.; Richardson, D.M.; Baret, S.; Dupont, J.; Cowling, R.M. An Assessment of Habitat Diversity and Transformation on La Réunion Island (Mascarene Islands, Indian Ocean) as a Basis for Identifying Broad-Scale Conservation Priorities. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 2005, 14, 3015–3032. [CrossRef]
- Cadet, T. La Végétation de l'Ile de La Réunion: Étude Phytoécologique et Phytosociologique; Université d'Aix-Marseille: Aix-en Provence, France, 1977.
- 54. Liedtke, R.; Barros, A.; Essl, F.; Lembrechts, J.J.; Wedegärtner, R.E.M.; Pauchard, A.; Dullinger, S. Hiking Trails as Conduits for the Spread of Non-Native Species in Mountain Areas. *Biol. Invasions* **2020**, *22*, 1121–1134. [CrossRef]
- Baret, S.; Strasberg, D. Les Effets de l'ouverture de Sentiers Sur l'invasion Des Zones Protégées de l'île de La Réunion (Archipel Des Mascareignes, Océan Indien) Par Des Espèces Végétales Exotiques. *Rev. D'écologie* 2005, 60, 325–332.
- 56. Boullet, V. Index de La Flore Vasculaire de La Réunion (Trachéophytes): Statuts, Menaces et Protections; Conservatoire Botanique National de Mascarin: Saint-Leu (Réunion), France, 2020.
- Pérez-Harguindeguy, N.; Díaz, S.; Garnier, E.; Lavorel, S.; Poorter, H.; Jaureguiberry, P.; Bret-Harte, M.S.; Cornwell, W.K.; Craine, J.M.; Gurvich, D.E.; et al. New Handbook for Standardised Measurement of Plant Functional Traits Worldwide. *Aust. J. Bot.* 2013, 61, 167–234. [CrossRef]
- 58. Magurran, A.E. Measuring Biological Diversity; Blackwell Publishing: Malden, MA, USA, 2004.
- 59. Hamilton, N.E.; Ferry, M. Ggtern: Ternary Diagrams Using Ggplot2. J. Stat. Softw. 2018, 87, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- 60. Revell, L.J. Phytools: An R Package for Phylogenetic Comparative Biology (and Other Things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **2012**, *3*, 217–223. [CrossRef]

- 61. Jin, Y.; Qian, H.V. PhyloMaker: An R Package That Can Generate Very Large Phylogenies for Vascular Plants. *Ecography* **2019**, *42*, 1353–1359. [CrossRef]
- 62. Kunstler, G.; Falster, D.; Coomes, D.A.; Hui, F.; Kooyman, R.M.; Laughlin, D.C.; Poorter, L.; Vanderwel, M.; Vieilledent, G.; Wright, S.J.; et al. Plant Functional Traits Have Globally Consistent Effects on Competition. *Nature* **2016**, *529*, 204–207. [CrossRef]
- Chesson, P.; Gebauer, R.L.E.; Schwinning, S.; Huntly, N.; Wiegand, K.; Ernest, M.S.K.; Sher, A.; Novoplansky, A.; Weltzin, J.F. Resource Pulses, Species Interactions, and Diversity Maintenance in Arid and Semi-Arid Environments. *Oecologia* 2004, 141, 236–253. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Baret, S.; Rouget, M.; Richardson, D.M.; Lavergne, C.; Egoh, B.; Dupont, J.; Strasberg, D. Current Distribution and Potential Extent of the Most Invasive Alien Plant Species on La Réunion (Indian Ocean, Mascarene Islands). *Austral Ecol.* **2006**, *31*, 747–758. [CrossRef]
- 65. MacArthur, R.H.; Diamond, J.M.; Karr, J.R. Density Compensation in Island Faunas. Ecology 1972, 53, 330–342. [CrossRef]
- Van Kleunen, M.; Weber, E.; Fischer, M. A Meta-Analysis of Trait Differences between Invasive and Non-Invasive Plant Species. *Ecol. Lett.* 2010, 13, 235–245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Hulme, P.E.; Bernard-Verdier, M. Comparing Traits of Native and Alien Plants: Can We Do Better? *Funct. Ecol.* **2018**, *32*, 117–125. [CrossRef]
- 68. Panetta, F.D.; Mckee, J. Recruitment of the Invasive Ornamental, Schinus Terebinthifolius, Is Dependent upon Frugivores. *Aust. J. Ecol.* **1997**, *22*, 432–438. [CrossRef]
- 69. Yang, Q.-H.; YE, W.-H.; Wang, Z.-M.; Yin, X.-J. Seed Germination Physiology of Ardisia Crenata Var. Bicolor. *Seed Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *37*, 291–302. [CrossRef]
- 70. Gleditsch, J.M.; Carlo, T.A. Fruit Quantity of Invasive Shrubs Predicts the Abundance of Common Native Avian Frugivores in Central Pennsylvania. *Divers. Distrib.* 2011, 17, 244–253. [CrossRef]
- 71. Spotswood, E.N.; Meyer, J.-Y.; Bartolome, J.W. An Invasive Tree Alters the Structure of Seed Dispersal Networks between Birds and Plants in French Polynesia. *J. Biogeogr.* 2012, *39*, 2007–2020. [CrossRef]
- Weiher, E.; Clarke, G.D.P.; Keddy, P.A. Community Assembly Rules, Morphological Dispersion, and the Coexistence of Plant Species. *Oikos* 1998, *81*, 309–322. [CrossRef]
- 73. Laughlin, D.C. The Intrinsic Dimensionality of Plant Traits and Its Relevance to Community Assembly. J. Ecol. 2014, 102, 186–193. [CrossRef]
- 74. Wang, Y.-J.; Chen, D.; Yan, R.; Yu, F.-H.; van Kleunen, M. Invasive Alien Clonal Plants Are Competitively Superior over Co-Occurring Native Clonal Plants. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **2019**, *40*, 125484. [CrossRef]
- 75. Chapla, T.E.; Campos, J.B. Allelopathic Evidence in Exotic Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* **2010**, *53*, 1359–1362. [CrossRef]
- 76. Morgan, E.C.; Overholt, W.A. Potential Allelopathic Effects of Brazilian Pepper (Schinus Terebinthifolius Raddi, Anacardiaceae) Aqueous Extract on Germination and Growth of Selected Florida Native Plants1. J. Torrey Bot. Sci. 2005, 132, 11–15. [CrossRef]
- 77. Callaway, R.M.; Ridenour, W.M. Novel Weapons: Invasive Success and the Evolution of Increased Competitive Ability. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **2004**, *2*, 436–443. [CrossRef]
- Foster Huenneke, L.; Vitousek, P.M. Seedling and Clonal Recruitment of the Invasive Tree Psidium Cattleianum: Implications for Management of Native Hawaiian Forests. *Biol. Conserv.* 1990, 53, 199–211. [CrossRef]
- Clerissi, C.; Chaïb, S.; Raviglione, D.; Espiau, B.; Bertrand, C.; Meyer, J.-Y. Metabarcoding and Metabolomics Reveal the Effect of the Invasive Alien Tree Miconia Calvescens DC. on Soil Diversity on the Tropical Island of Mo'orea (French Polynesia). *Microorganisms* 2023, 11, 832. [CrossRef]
- 80. Bray, S.R.; Kitajima, K.; Sylvia, D.M. Mycorrhizae Differentially Alter Growth, Physiology, and Competitive Ability of an Invasive Shrub. *Ecol. Appl.* **2003**, *13*, 565–574. [CrossRef]
- Macdonald, I.A.; Thébaud, C.; Strahm, W.A.; Strasberg, D. Effects of Alien Plant Invasions on Native Vegetation Remnants on La Réunion (Mascarene Islands, Indian Ocean). *Environ. Conserv.* 1991, 18, 51–61. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.