

Ole Mertz<sup>1</sup> | Maya Pasgaard<sup>1</sup> | Daniel Müller<sup>2,9</sup> | Maria Brockhaus<sup>3</sup> | Louis V. Verchot<sup>3</sup> | Zhanli Sun<sup>2</sup> | Thomas Sikor<sup>4</sup> | Meine van Noordwijk<sup>5</sup> | Cornelia Hett<sup>6</sup> | Jean-Christophe Castella<sup>7</sup> | Thilde Bech Bruun<sup>8</sup> | Dirk Pflugmacher<sup>9</sup> | Finn Danielsen<sup>10</sup>



## Lessons for REDD+ from complex mosaic landscapes

The GLP endorsed research project I-REDD+ (Impacts of reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks) ended formally in December 2014. Six main lessons emerge from the research conducted mainly in Southeast Asia and they all indicate a rapidly closing window of opportunity for REDD+. This is especially the case in mosaic landscapes where many types of mature and degraded forests co-exist with agriculture and other land uses and where land use changes are occurring very rapidly.

### 1) Reference emission levels may not predict uncertain futures

Sudden or unanticipated changes in land systems make it challenging to establish credible reference emission levels that allow for prediction of 'business-as-usual' changes in future carbon stocks as a benchmark for compensating emission reductions. Therefore, the current approach to market-based national level REDD+ relying on performance-based payments and prediction of future carbon dynamics is highly risky and may not lead to the expected emission reductions. Payments or investments in better forest management and co-benefits may be more efficient than a mechanism based on emission reductions compared to unknown future emissions.

### 2) Drivers of deforestation and degradation are difficult to address

Many underlying drivers of carbon emissions from tropical land-use change originate from the global

level and are beyond the control of national or sub-national institutions (e.g., demand for rubber, palm oil and other globally traded cash crops). Interventions to mitigate emissions that are an indirect result of increases in world market prices are costly and difficult to tackle by the currently proposed REDD+ interventions. Moreover, these drivers are mostly decoupled from the forestry sector and expansive land development of cash crops often co-occurs with efforts to promote REDD+ without cross-sector coordination.

### 3) Carbon stocks in mosaic landscapes and secondary forests may be underestimated

Large areas of forests in the tropics are secondary and still being used occasionally for cultivation. Carbon stocks in such mosaic landscapes may be larger than what has been previously assumed in allometric equations because high belowground biomass under secondary forest is not captured. Small trees in these forests often reveal large underground root and horizontal stem systems, from which they are resprouting and that are not proportional to their small aboveground stems.

### 4) Forest degradation must be monitored at different scales

The use of dense Landsat time series for temporal analyses of individual pixels is recommended for mosaic landscapes as it can better capture forest degradation associated with felling and regrowth of secondary forests over large areas. It has also been demonstrated that measuring sub-national and local carbon-stocks – needed for verification of broader national measurement efforts – can

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 10, 1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark, email: om@ign.ku.dk

<sup>2</sup> Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies (IAMO), Theodor-Lieser-Str. 2, 06120, Halle (Saale), Germany

<sup>3</sup> Center for International Forestry Research, PO Box 0113 BOCBD, 16000, Bogor, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup> School of International Development, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup> World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), 16000 Bogor, Indonesia

<sup>6</sup> Centre for Development and Environment (CDE) NCCR, University of Bern, Hallerstrasse 10, CH-3012, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>7</sup> Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, UMR GRED – PO Box 5992, Vientiane, Lao PDR

<sup>8</sup> Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Thorvaldsensvej 40, 1871, Frederiksberg, Denmark

<sup>9</sup> Geography Department, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, 10099, Berlin, Germany

<sup>10</sup> NORDECO, Skindergade 23, 1159, Copenhagen K, Denmark

include community-based measurement for enhancing feasibility, efficiency and potential equity benefits. Community members can monitor above ground carbon as accurately as professional foresters and should be considered in local REDD+ projects, but also if national REDD+ integrate sub-national approaches to monitoring. With repeated rounds of measurement, both the reliability and the cost-effectiveness of community monitoring increase.

### 5) Just benefit distribution needs elements of both state and community control

Benefit distribution mechanisms for REDD+ are important in relation to effectiveness, efficiency, equity and their trade-offs. From the local perspective, the combination of state and community control is considered more just, while top-down state control is more effective, but only where states commit significant resources in the form of specialized staff and operating budgets. However, state control performs badly from a justice perspective in terms of distribution, participation and recognition. Decisions about payment distribution at the local level should take into account tenure arrangements (private or collective), which affect the tolerance and perceived equity of payment methods. The risk of elite capture and harming the poorest households, who rely the most on forest resources and have limited power in local actor-networks, remains high in many potential REDD+ countries and should be addressed openly before REDD+ is implemented.

### 6) Locating REDD+ activities should match desirable qualities for REDD+

Desirable qualities for REDD+, both at national level and for localized interventions, include a high degree of dense forests, low population density, low level of losses from foregone opportunities, high biodiversity benefits, high poverty reduction potential and commitment to engage in REDD+. However, so far locations for REDD+ pilot activities have typically been selected on the basis of specific interests of the external implementing agencies and other powerful players – with or without the potential to reach the intended climatic, ecological and social objectives in REDD+. This is likely to remain an issue if national REDD+ has an important sub-national/nested component.


REDD+ activities on the ground in the four countries studied by I-REDD+ are still under preparation. However, there is considerable scope for co-design and co-production of new research as local Pilot REDD+ programmes are being implemented and if an international REDD+ agreement will make national REDD+ programmes get off the ground. This is especially relevant for monitoring systems, which have been set up in many areas and countries, but need to be evaluated jointly by researchers and implementing agencies once they are operational.

## References

- Ankersen J, Mertz O, Fensholt R, Castella JC, et al. (2015): Vietnam's forest transition in retrospect: demonstrating weaknesses in business-as-usual scenarios for REDD+. *Environmental Management*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0443-y>
- Brofeldt S, Theilade I, Burgess ND, Danielsen F, et al. (2014). Community monitoring of carbon stocks for REDD+: Does accuracy and cost change over time? *Forests* 5(8): 1834–1854.
- Danielsen F, Adrian T, Brofeldt S, van Noordwijk M, et al. (2013). Community monitoring for REDD+: international promises and field realities. *Ecology and Society* 18(3): 41.
- Fox J, Castella JC, Ziegler AD (2013). Swidden, rubber and carbon: Can REDD+ work for people and the environment in Montane Mainland Southeast Asia? *Global Environmental Change* 29: 318-326.
- Mertz O, Müller D, Sikor T, Hett C, et al. (2012). The forgotten D: challenges of addressing forest degradation in complex mosaic landscapes under REDD+. *Geografisk Tidsskrift-Danish Journal of Geography* 112(1): 63-76.
- Müller D, Sun Z, Vongvisouk T, Pflugmacher D, et al. (2014). Regime shifts limit the predictability of land-system change. *Global Environmental Change* 28: 75-83
- Sikor T (2013). REDD+: Justice Effects of Technical Design. Pp 46-68 in Sikor T (ed.) *The Justices and Injustices of Ecosystem Services*. London: Routledge.
- Vongvisouk T, Broegaard RB, Mertz O, Thongmanivong S. (In review) Rush for cash crops and forest protection: neither land sparing nor land sharing. In review in *Land Use Policy*.
- Yuen JQ, Ziegler AD, Webb EL, Ryan CM. 2013. Uncertainty in below-ground carbon biomass for major land covers in Southeast Asia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 310: 915–926.
- See all I-REDD+ publications on <http://www.i-redd.eu>



## PERSPECTIVE

**Co-design and  
co-production  
of knowledge  
in land system  
science**A photograph showing two men in a rural, hilly landscape. One man, wearing a brown jacket and a wide-brimmed hat, is bent over, working on a wall made of large, reddish-brown mud bricks. The other man, wearing a colorful patterned sweater and a brown hat, stands next to him, looking down at the work. The background shows a vast, open landscape with rolling hills and a clear blue sky with some clouds.

Contributing to a more sustainable world requires more integrated and socially relevant science. This is especially true for land systems. How can academics and non-academics interact to produce joint knowledge on the land? This issue presents some experiences of co-design and co-production of knowledge related with land systems.

## Scientific Steering Committee – SSC

### **Peter Verburg (Chair of GLP, 2011-2015)**

Institute for Environmental Studies - VU University Amsterdam  
De Boelelaan 1087 - 1081 HV Amsterdam - Netherlands  
**Email:** peter.verburg@ivm.vu.nl

### **Roy Rinku Chowdbury**

Department of Geography - Indiana University (IN)  
Student Building 120 - 701 E. Kirkwood Ave. - Bloomington, IN 47405  
United States of America  
**Email:** rroychow@indiana.edu

### **Patrick Meyfroidt**

SST/ELI - Earth and Life Institute (ELI) - ELIC - Earth & Climate (ELIC) - Université Catholique de Louvain (UCL)  
ELIC - Place Louis Pasteur 3 bte - L4.03.08 à 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve - Belgium  
**Email:** patrick.meyfroidt@uclouvain.be

### **Allison M. Thomson**

Science and Research Director  
Field to Market, The Alliance for Sustainable Agriculture  
777 North Capitol Street, NE, Suite 803  
Washington, DC 20002  
**Email:** athomson@fieldtomarket.org

### **Souleymane Konaté**

IUCN –Central and West Africa - University of Abobo-Adjame  
UFR-SN/CRE, 02 BP 801 Abidjan 02, Côte d'Ivoire - Ivory Coast  
**Email:** skonate2@yahoo.fr

### **Karlheinz Erb**

Institute for Social Ecology - University of Klagenfurt  
Schottenfeldgasse 29/5t - A-1070 Vienna - Austria  
**Email:** karlheinz.erb@aau.at

### **Nancy Golubiewski**

Land Use Carbon Analysis System (LUCAS) - Ministry for the Environment – Manatu Mo Te Taiao  
23 Kate Sheppard Place, PO Box 10362, Wellington 6143 - New Zealand  
**Email:** golubiewskiN@gmail.com

### **Jonathan Morgan Grove**

Northern Research Station - USDA Forest Service  
5200 Westland Blvd. TRC 171 - MD 21227, Baltimore - United States of America  
**Email:** mgrove@fs.fed.us

### **Andreas Heinimann**

Centre for Development and Environment - University of Berne  
Hallerstrasse 10, 3012 Bern, Switzerland  
**Email:** andreas.heinimann@cde.unibe.ch

### **Harini Nagendra**

Azim Premi University - PES Institute of Technology Campus  
Electronics City, Hosur Road, Bangalore - India  
**Email:** harini.nagendra@apu.edu.in

### **Erle C. Ellis**

Dept. of Geography & Environmental Systems - University of Maryland  
1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250 - United States of America  
**Email:** ece@umbc.edu

### **Lin Zhen**

Institute of Geographic Science and Natural Resources Research, Chinese - Academy of Sciences  
Deputy Director of Research Unit for Resource Ecology and Biomass Resources  
11A Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100101 - PR China  
**Email:** zhenl@igsnr.ac.cn, linlinzhen@yahoo.com

### **Neville D. Crossman**

Senior Research Scientist, Team Leader, CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences  
CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences, Private Bag 2, Glen Osmond, SA, 5064 - Australia  
**Email:** neville.crossman@csiro.au

### **Ole Mertz**

Department of Geography and Geology, University of Copenhagen, Oster  
Voldgade 10, 1350 Copenhagen K. - Denmark  
**Email:** om@geo.ku.dk

### **Patrick H. Hostert**

Head of Geomatics Lab, Deputy Director of Geography Department  
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Geography Department / Geomatics Lab  
Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin - Germany  
**Email:** patrick.hostert@geo.hu-berlin.de

### **Héctor Ricardo Grau**

Instituto de Ecología Regional - Universidad Nacional de Tucumán-CONICET  
Casilla de Correo 34, (4107) Yerba Buena, Tucumán - Argentina  
**Email:** chilograu@gmail.com

11

GLP  
news



### Coverpage

**Rural builders in Waka Playa, Bolivia**

Photo by Sébastien Boillat

**GLP News is a newsletter of the Global Land Project**

### Editors:

Sébastien Boillat

Fabiano Micheletto Scarpa

Peter Verburg

Jean Pierre Henry

Balbaud Ometto

### International Project Office - GLP IPO

National Institute for Space Research - INPE

Earth System Science Centre - CCST

Av. dos Astronautas, 1758  
CCST Building, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Room 22  
Jd. Granja - 12227-010  
São José dos Campos  
São Paulo - Brazil

Office phone: +55 12 3208 7938

[www.globallandproject.org](http://www.globallandproject.org)

### **Dr. Jean Pierre Henry Balbaud Ometto**

*INPE Liason Researcher*

Office phone: +55 12 32087903

### **Dr. Sébastien Boillat**

*Executive Officer*

Office phone: +55 12 3208 7931

### **Dr. Fabiano Micheletto Scarpa**

*Project Officer*

Office phone: +55 12 3208 7942



# CONTENTS

## Perspective

### 06 Urban Experiences from the U.S. Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) Network.

J. Morgan Grove | Rinku Roy Chowdhury | Daniel Childers.

## Feature - Article

### 12 RegioPower - an online platform for interactive tools for participatory landscape assessment and planning.

Susanne Frank | Christine Fürst | Anže Japelj | Primož Simončič | Kyle Eyvindson | Annika Kangas | Jussi Rasinmäki | Renats Trubins | Ljusk-Ola Eriksson | Robert Barkowski

### 16 Co-innovation for water management in New Zealand.

MS Srinivasan | Graham Elley | Denise Bewsell

### 19 Climate Related Risks (droughts and floods) on Agriculture: Awareness on building resilience and increasing mitigation in Côte d'Ivoire.

Pauline A. Dibi Kangah | Moussa Koné

### 23 GeoBolivia, the public geographic data portal of the Plurinational State of Bolivia: a tool for the co-production of spatially explicit knowledge.

Louca Lerch | Fernando Molina

### 27 The co-production of ecosystem service knowledge: experiences from large inter- and transdisciplinary projects.

Christoph Görg | Josef Settele | Joachim H. Spangenberg

### 30 A legal tool for participatory methods in land systems science: the Thai model of Health Impact Assessment and the consideration of zoonotic diseases concerns into policies.

Claire Lajaunie | Serge Morand

### 34 Lessons for REDD+ from complex mosaic landscapes.

Ole Mertz | Maya Pasgaard | Daniel Müller | Maria Brockhaus | Louis V. Verchot | Zhanli Sun | Thomas Sikor | Meine van Noordwijk | Cornelia Hett | Jean-Christophe Castella | Thilde Bech Bruun | Dirk Pflugmacher | Finn Danielsen

### 36 Tools for Co-Creation of New Knowledge for Transformation to Sustainable Urban Landscapes.

Aliyu Salisu Barau

### 40 Transition to sustainability: are participatory multi-scale scenarios a useful tool?

Ana Paula Dutra Aguiar

## News

### 45 Global Land Project Asia Conference 2014

### 46 GLP endorsed by Future Earth Three GLP Nodal Offices created

## Noticeboard

### 47 The Kwongan Foundation: Bridging community needs and biological conservation

Hans Lambers

## Announcements

### 49 Publications

### 50 Conferences



**GLP International Project Office**

National Institute for Space Research- INPE  
Earth System Science Centre- CCST

Av. dos Astronautas, 1758  
Earth System Science Center (CCST),  
1<sup>st</sup> floor, room 22

Jd. Granja - 12227-010  
São José dos Campos - São Paulo - Brazil  
Fone: +55 12-3208 7931/7942

[www.globallandproject.org](http://www.globallandproject.org)

**Graphic design:** Luciano Urizzi, Magno Studio, Brazil

GLP is a joint research project of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) and Future Earth.



**GLP International Project Office** is thankful for the financial support from the National Institute for Space Research – INPE, Brazil, the Earth System Science Center (CCST) and VU University Amsterdam (VUA)

