Theor Appl Genet (1998) 97: 1145-1154

© Springer-Verlag 1998

Pole 5

L. Albar · M. Lorieux · N. Ahmadi · I. Rimbault A. Pinel · A. A. Sy · D. Fargette · A. Ghesquière

Genetic basis and mapping of the resistance to rice yellow mottle virus. I. QTLs identification and relationship between resistance and plant morphology

Received: 20 April 1998 / Accepted: 30 April 1998

Abstract Rice yellow mottle virus (RYMV) resistance QTLs were mapped in a doubled-haploid population of rice, 'IR64/Azucena'. Disease impact on plant morphology and development, expression of symptoms and virus content were evaluated in field conditions. Virus content was also assessed in a growth chamber. RYMV resistance was found to be under a polygenic determinism, and 15 QTLs were detected on seven chromosomal fragments. For all of the resistance QTLs detected, the favourable allele was provided by the resistant parent 'Azucena'. Three regions were determined using different resistance parameters and in two environments. On chromosome 12, a QTL of resistance that had already been detected in this population and another indica/japonica population was confirmed both in the field and under controlled conditions. Significant correlations were observed between resistance and tillering ability, as measured on control non-inoculated plants. In addition, the three genomic fragments involved in resistance were also involved in plant architecture and development. In particular, the semi-dwarfing gene sd-1, on chromosome 1, provided by the susceptible parent, 'IR64', mapped in a region

Communicated by H. F. Linskens

L. Albar (⊠) · M. Lorieux · I. Rimbault · A. Ghesquière Unité de Génétique, GeneTrop, ORSTOM, BP5045, 34032 Montpellier cedex 1, France E-mail: albar@mpl.orstom.fr

N. Ahmadi¹ IER/CIRAD, BP183, Sikasso, Mali

A. Pinel • D. Fargette LPRC, ORSTOM/CIRAD, BP5035, 34032 Montpellier cedex 1, France

A. A. Sy² WARDA, 01 BP 2551, Bouaké 01, Ivory Coast

Present addresses: ¹ CIRAD CA/CALIM, BP5035, 34032 Montpellier cedex 1, France ² IITA Benin, BP08-0932, Cotonou, Republic of Benin



where resistance QTLs were detected with most of the resistance parameters. In contrast, the QTL of resistance mapped on chromosome 12 was found to be independent of plant morphology.

Key words Rice yellow mottle virus • Disease resistance • Plant morphology • Quantitative trait locus • Mapping

Introduction

First described in 1974 (Bakker 1974) rice yellow mottle virus (RYMV) is now, with blast, the most damaging pest of rice, Oryza sativa L., in Africa. The main symptoms are yellowing and mottling, with susceptible varieties showing stunting and sterility. With early infection, a yield loss of nearly 100% has been reported (Fomba 1988). RYMV is a sobemovirus (Hull 1988; Ngon A Yassi et al. 1994), and under natural field conditions it seems to be essentially transmitted by chrysomelid beetles. As RYMV is also found in African wild rice species and in many grasses, there are ample natural reservoirs (Bakker 1974). RYMV causes the most severe damage on high-yielding varieties from Asia that were introduced into Africa with the intensification of rice culture. Vector control by chemical treatments and cultural practices are of limited use against RYMV spread, and the most promising method of control is the selection of resistant varieties.

In O. sativa species, indica varieties adapted to irrigated cultivation are very susceptible, whereas partial resistance is generally found in upland japonica varieties (Thottappilly and Rossel 1993; Rasaonary 1990). During the last decade, breeders have tried to introduce resistance into indica varieties, but as yet no variety with both a high level of resistance and adaptation to irrigated cultivation has been released (Singh 1995). Resistance is found in traditional upland varieties in

> Fonds Documentaire ORSTOM Cote: 13 # -1 7-827 Ex: -1



Africa and in Asia where RYMV is not present. As resistance does not result from a co-evolution between host and pathogen, some resistance components may be associated with developmental and morphological traits specific to upland *japonica* varieties, such as great height and little tillering.

We previously mapped a quantitative trait locus (QTL) involved in virus accumulation assessed by the ELISA test (Ghesquière et al. 1997). This QTL was detected in two populations of doubled-haploid (DH) lines derived from crosses between indica and upland japonica varieties, 'IR64/Azucena' and 'IRAT177/ Apura'. It mapped on chromosome 12 in a region containing a cluster of blast resistance genes (Yu et al. 1996) and close to a marker differentiating indica and japonica subspecies (Qian et al. 1995). However, the distribution of RYMV resistance in Ghesquière et al. (1997) suggested a polygenic control, and probably OTLs with minor effects were not detected because of the low number of DH lines used in our earlier study. Besides, it was of interest to study the genetic basis of RYMV resistance, in particular the effect of the OTL of chromosome 12, at the field level.

The purpose of the study presented here was: (1) mapping resistance QTLs in a larger part of the 'IR64/Azucena' population in order to detect minor QTLs, (2) comparing the ELISA test performed under controlled conditions and evaluating resistance at the field level and (3) assessing the impact of plant morphology on RYMV resistance.

Materials and methods

Plant material

The population 'IR64/Azucena' was used in this study. 'IR64' is an elite *indica* line susceptible to RYMV and 'Azucena' is a traditional upland variety from the Philippines with partial resistance (mild symptoms, limited impact on plant development and reduced virus content). One hundred and eighty three DH lines were derived from F_1 anther culture by Guiderdoni et al. (1992).

Evaluation of resistance at the field level

One hundred and fifteen DH lines were evaluated in 1996 at the IER/CIRAD Research Station of Sikasso, Mali, for their resistance under field conditions. The experimental design included two replicates. DH lines were disposed according to their line number inside each replicate. Each line was represented by two rows of 1 m facing each other with 5 plants per row. The first row was mechanically inoculated, while the second row served as a control. Inoculation was performed 4 weeks after sowing using leaves infected with RYMV ground in water and abrasive. A local isolate was used to avoid the introduction of another isolate into the experimental area. The following observations were made during the trial: plant height 8 weeks after sowing and at maturity, number of fullers 8 weeks after sowing, number of fertile tillers at maturity (number of panicles), heading date and grain weight. Eight weeks after sowing corresponded approximately to the end of the vegetative phase and to panicle

initiation for DH lines having a medium cycle duration. Control non-inoculated lines were used to characterise plant morphology. As the morphology of the control plants showed great variation, we evaluated field resistance using the ratio (score obtained on inoculated line)/(score obtained on controls). In addition, leaf discolouration on inoculated plants was noted 3 weeks after inoculation according to a rating scale ranging from 1 to 9: 1 corresponded to infected but symptomless plants and 3–9 to increasing yellowing. For all these parameters, the 5 plants of each row were noted independently and an average value was calculated. The different traits noted and their corresponding symbols are summarised in Table 1.

Evaluation of virus titre

During field evaluation of resistance, samples of inoculated plants were collected 11 weeks after inoculation to evaluate virus titre using an ELISA test. The samples consisted of one piece of the last emerging leaf of the 5 inoculated plants. The leaves were ground in 0.15 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T). The tests were performed at WARDA, Bouake, Ivory Coast.

Another evaluation of virus content in the plants was conducted under controlled conditions at Montpellier, France. One hundred and sixty-eight DH lines were evaluated in seven experiments. Each experiment involved 24 DH lines and vars 'IR64', 'Azucena' and 'Tox3219', used as controls. DH lines were repeated twice and the controls were repeated four times. 'Tox3219' was chosen as it had a level of resistance intermediate between that of 'IR64' and 'Azucena' (Rasaonary 1990). Plants were sown in lines of 12-15 plants, which constituted the elementary sample. They were grown in a growth chamber under controlled conditions (24°-26°C for 12 h in the dark and 28°-30°C for 12 h in the light) and mechanically inoculated with an isolate of virus from Burkina Faso 10 days after sowing. This isolate was found to be the most aggressive among the isolates available in the laboratory and was used in order to obtain a rapid multiplication of virus. The inoculum was prepared with 1 g of infected leaves ground in 30 ml of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.2) containing carborundum. One week after inoculation, the whole plants were cut and ground in PBS-T. The Double Antibody Sandwich ELISA test was performed essentially as described by Clark and Adams (1977). Two polyclonal antisera were prepared following the protocol of Fauquet and Thouvenel (1977). For practical reasons, the antiserum used for the growth chamber test was directed against a RYMV isolate from Mali, and the one used for the field

Table 1 Traits observed to characterise morphology and RYMV resistance and corresponding symbols. Date of inoculation and scoring are indicated in weeks after sowing (was) or days after sowing (das)

Trait	Date	Morphology	Resistance		
Field evaluation (inoculati	on 4 was)	ł			
Height (cm)	8 was	H8c	H8r		
Height (cm)	Maturity	HMc	HMr		
Number of tillers	8 was	T8c	T8r		
Number of fertile tillers	Maturity	TMc	TMr		
Heading date (days)	-	HDc	HDr		
Grain weight (g/plant)		GWc	GWr		
Symptoms	7 was		S		
Virus content (ELISA)	11 was		VC1		
Evaluation in growth char	nber (inocula	tion 10 das)			
Virus content (ELISA)	17 das		VC2		

trials was directed against an isolate from Madagascar. However, these two antisera recognised the two isolates. A volume of 100 μ l of antiserum was incubated in microtitre plates for 2 h at 37°C. After each step, the plates were washed three times with PBS-T. Wells were saturated with 200 μ l of milk solution (3 g of powdered milk in 100 ml PBS-T) for 1 h at 37°C. One gram of leaf extract was diluted in 5000 ml and 10000 ml of buffer in the field trial and growth chamber tests, respectively, as these dilutions gave the most reliable results in the preliminary experiments. Then, 100 μ l of antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Boehringer Manheim) was added to the wells. After 1 night of incubation at 4°C, the specific antigen-antibody reaction was assessed by adding *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma Chemical) at 1 mg/ml in diethanolamine buffer (pH 9.8). Absorbance at 405 nm was measured after varying lengths of incubation at 37°C.

For tests performed on plants grown in the field, the optical density obtained after 30 min was retained for analysis (VC1). For the evaluation of plants cultivated in the growth chamber, optical density read after 2 h of incubation was retained and transformed to correct for plate and experiment effects. Firstly, on each plate a scale of diluted virus, purified following the protocol of Fauquet and Thouvenel (1977), was used to correct for plate effect, and results were expressed as virus titre. Secondly, in order to correct for experimental effect, we adjusted virus titre to $X_2 = (X_1.X_{ct}/X_{cm})$, where X_1 was the titre of the sample, X_{ct} the average titre of the controls of the seven experiments and X_{cm} the average titre of the controls of the experiment. The results were then expressed as $VC2 = \log_{10}(X_2 + 100)$ to normalise the distribution and to obtain a variance independent of the mean.

Statistical analysis

Heritability for each trait was calculated using the equation $h^{2*} = \sigma_G^2/(\sigma_G^2 + \sigma_e^2/2)$ in which σ_G^2 and σ_e^2 were estimates of genetic and residual variances as given by STATISTICA (V5.1) software. However, as the lines were not randomly distributed in the trials, these estimators of heritabilities were biased. Nevertheless, they gave an approximation of the non-biased heritabilities.

Inoculation effect and phenotypic correlations between characters were determined using STATISTICA (V5.1) software. Correlations involving resistance parameters expressed as ratios were calculated using the ratios or their opposite value so that an increasing value corresponded to an increasing level of susceptibility.

DNA extraction and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) procedure

Huang et al. (1997) developed a core RFLP map using 135 DH lines of the 'IR64/Azucena' population. This map served as our reference for marker analysis, and additional marker data were generated for all the 183 lines available. DNA extraction was based on the method of Hoisington (1994). RFLP probes were obtained from Cornell University, USA, or the MAFF DNA Bank of the Rice Genome Program, Japan. The preparation of filters and probes and hybridisations were performed as described by Ghesquière et al. (1997). The signal was detected with anti-digoxygenine antibody (dilution 1/10000) and CSPDTM or CDPStarTM (1/500) using procedures recommended by Boehringer Manheim. Probes were removed from the membranes by washing in 0.1% SDS at 80°C for 20 min. Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analyses were performed as described in Ghesquière et al. (1997).

Linkage analysis

The map of Huang et al. (1997) was used as a basis to map new RFLP and RAPD markers. The mapping of new RFLP markers

was made with MAPMAKER 3.0 (Lander et al. 1987), and markers were ordered using two-point (LOD = 3) and multipoint analysis. Chromosomes were oriented as in Singh et al. (1996). QTL analysis was performed according to the method of interval mapping (Lander and Bottein 1980) wing MARMAKER (OTL director at all

was performed according to the method of interval mapping (Lander and Botstein 1989) using MAPMAKER/QTL (Lincoln et al. 1993). In the first step, a threshold of LOD = 2.6 was selected. In the second step, the QTLs detected were fixed to increase sensitivity, and a new analysis was performed (Lincoln et al. 1993). New QTLs were retained with a threshold of LOD score = (LOD score obtained with the fixed QTLs + 2.6). Simplified composite interval mapping using MQTL (Tinker and Mather 1995) was performed in order to localise more precisely some QTLs on chromosome 2. Markers regularly distributed along the chromosome and markers linked to QTLs on other chromosomes were selected as cofactors. The statistic test used by MQTL, in simple or composite interval mapping, is the statistic test described by Haley and Knots (1992). All the QTLs detected were then confirmed using a multi-QTLs model on MAPMAKER/QTL, as described in Li et al. (1997), and each QTL was confirmed if it contributed to the model by an additional LOD > 2. The percentage of variance explained by each QTL and by the multi-QTLs model was estimated with MAPMAKER/QTL.

Results

Morphological traits

As we were interested in studying relationships between resistance and plant morphology, we first studied height, tillering and heading date on non-inoculated control plants.

Segregation of morphological traits

Height, number of tillers and heading date showed great variation in the population (Table 2), reflecting the high differences observed for these characters between the parents. The variation between DH lines for grain weight depended on grain filling and sterility

Table 2 Phenotypic values of the traits noted to characterise morphology and resistance level. For each trait mean, standard deviation and heritabilities (h^{2*}) are indicated

Trait	Mean	SD	h ² *		
H8c (cm)	56	8	0.81		
HMc (cm)	86	16	0.88		
T8c	10.0	3.0	0.70		
TMc	4.6	1.52	0.45		
HDc (days)	94	8	0.91		
GWc (g/plant)	5.0	2.6	0.56		
H8r	0.84	0.08	0.37		
HMr	0.82	0.09	0.57		
T8r	0.70	0.19	0.19		
TMr	0.93	0.43	0.31		
HDr	1.11	0.07	0.62		
GWr	0.40	0.39	0.47		
S	3.7	1.3	0.48		
VC1	1014	287	0.60		
VC2	6.51	0.61	0.92		

level, since a high sterility is often observed in indica × japonica crosses. Under field conditions, however, outcrossing limited the sterility effect to some extent, and no DH line with high sterility was found. The semi-dwarfing gene sd-1, provided by 'IR64', segregated in this population, but the continuous distribution of plant height at maturity suggested that minor genes were also involved in this trait. The distribution of other characters suggested a polygenic determinism (for HDc see Fig. 1). Estimated heritabilities (h^{2*}) were high for tillering 8 weeks after sowing, height and heading date and were smaller for the number of fertile tillers and grain weight (Table 2), as was expected since number of fertile tillers and grain weight generally depend to a large extent on the environment. Plant height 8 weeks after sowing and plant height at maturity were highly correlated, as was tillering at those two stages (Table 3a). Plant height and tillering were correlated at maturity, but not 8 weeks after sowing. Heading date and grain weight were correlated both to height and tillering.

Mapping of QTLs controlling morphology

Results of QTLs analysis were summarised in Table 5 and Fig. 2.

A major QTL on chromosome 1 explained 38% of the variation in plant height at maturity (Table 5). We tried to map it as a Mendelian marker using the 20 taller and the 20 smaller DH lines: it mapped between RG109, and RZ14, at 5 cM from RG109, and corresponded to the semi-dwarfing gene sd-1 previously mapped very close to RG109 (Cho et al. 1994). Three other QTLs involved in plant height at maturity were detected on chromosomes 3, 4 and 9. These 3 QTLs and sd-1 explained 77% of the phenotypic variation. Four QTLs on chromosomes 2, 3, 9 and 12 explained 57% of the variation of plant height 8 weeks after sowing. QTLs of chromosomes 3 and 9 were co-located with QTLs explaining the height at maturity. No QTL



Fig. 1 Distribution of heading date for control and inoculated plants

Table 3 Phenotypic correlations (a) between the different components of plant morphology, (b) between the different parameters of field resistance and (c) between field resistance and morphology. Correlations involving resistance parameters expressed as ratios were calculated using the ratios or their opposite value so that an increase in the value corresponded to an increasing level of susceptibility

(a)	H8c	HMc	T8c	TMc	HDc	
HMc T8c TMc HDc GWc	0.66 ^b 0.05 0.04 - 0.21 ^b 0.37 ^b	- 0.15 - 0.28 ^b 0.31 ^b 0.27 ^b	0.70 ^b - 0.21 ^b 0.32 ^b	— 0.43 ^ь 0.47 ^ь	0.08	
(b)	-H8r	-HMr	-T8r	-TMr	HDr	
-HMr -WT8r -TMr HDr -GWr	0.44 ^b 0.57 ^b 0.22 ^a 0.47 ^b 0.28 ^b	0.29 ^b 0.44 ^b 0.52 ^b 0.59 ^b	0.43 ^b 0.53 ^b 0.24 ^b	0.50 ^b 0.49 ^b	0.36 ^b	
(c)	H8c	HMc	T8c	TMc	HDc	
-H8r -HMr -T8r -TMr HDr -GWr	0.23 ^a 0.03 0.06 0.08 0.00 0.21 ^a	$-0.04 - 0.17 - 0.10 - 0.01 - 0.22^{n} - 0.17$	0.24 ^a - 0.02 0.39 ^b 0.03 0.33 ^a - 0.06	0.25 ^a 0.23 ^a 0.45 ^b 0.32 ^b 0.49 ^b 0.19	- 0.21 ^a - 0.18 - 0.26 ^b - 0.08 - 0.41 ^b 0.02	

^{a,b}Correlations were significant at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively

was detected in the region of sd-1 at this growth stage. This was expected since the effect of sd-1 on internode elongation becomes visible only after panicle initiation, and consequently later than H8c scoring. No QTL was detected for tillering 8 weeks after sowing. Two QTLs on chromosome 1 and 7 explained 31% of the phenotypic variation of fertile tillering. Three QTLs were detected for heading date on chromosomes 1, 3 and 4, explaining 46% of the phenotypic variation. The QTLs of chromosome 1, involved in the number of fertile tillers and heading date, mapped in the region of sd-1, close to marker RZ14. Two QTLs were detected for grain weight, on chromosome 9 and 12, and explained 26% of the variation. These QTLs could be implicated in grain filling or in sterility.

For most of these QTLs, the alleles coming from 'Azucena' were associated with an increase in height and grain weight, a smaller number of tillers and a delay in heading date. However, the alleles coming from 'Azucena' were correlated to a reduced height 8 weeks after sowing for the QTL of chromosome 2 and to an earlier heading date for the QTL of chromosome 4.

Field resistance

Virus resistance terminology is a contentious issue on which there is no general agreement. The term

1148

1149



Fig. 2 Map position of QTLs involved in plant morphology (on the right of chromosomes) and RYMV resistance (on the left) in 'IR64/Azucena' DH population- QTLs are represented by boxes

covering a 1-LOD confidence interval. Percentages of variance explained by the multi-QTL model are mentioned in the *legend* in front of each trait "resistance" is used here in the general sense of Fraser (1986) for "any inhibition of virus multiplication or of its pathogenic effects on the host". When used in this way, we have followed different components of resistance: virus content, symptoms expression, impact on growth and yield. "Field resistance" refers here to disease impact on growth and yield under field conditions (H8r, HMr, T8r, TMr, HDr, GWr).

Characterisation of field resistance and correlations with plant morphology

RYMV was responsible for stunting, slow development and yield loss. For instance, on inoculated plants, heading occurred on average 10 days later than on controls (Fig. 1). The inoculation effect was highly significant (P < 0.0001) for height, heading date, grain weight and tillering 8 weeks after sowing. This is less clear for tillering at maturity (P = 0.03). Heritabilities were smaller for ratio than for measures on control plants and were particularly small for the tillering ratio (Table 2). This observation, combined with the small effect of disease on fertile tillering could explain that TMr was above 1 for 45% of DH lines. However, for most of the DH lines with TMr > 1, the number of fertile tillers was not significantly different between inoculated plants and controls. None of the inoculated DH lines was significantly higher than the control (ratio ≤ 1.05 for H8r and HMr, Table 2), and very few (<5%) inoculated DH lines headed earlier or had more tillers 8 weeks after sowing than the controls. According to the heritabilities and the effect of the disease on the different traits, ratio values for plant height at maturity, heading date and grain weight seemed to be the best estimators of field resistance. The distribution of these different traits suggested a polygenic determinism

Significant correlations were observed between the ratios (Table 3b). The highest correlations were observed between the ratios scored at the same growth stage (H8r and T8r or HMr, TMr and GWr). This indicated the importance of growth stage in the evaluation of resistance. High correlations were also observed between ratios based on the same trait but scored at different stages (H8r and HMr or T8r and TMr). The impact on heading date was highly correlated to the impact on tillering and height. We studied the relationship between field resistance and plant morphology (Table 3c). Some correlations were expected between a ratio and the corresponding trait on control plants, since the two data were not independent, but correlations were also observed between independent traits. Particularly, all of the ratios except GWr were correlated to tillering at maturity (TMc), suggesting that tillering ability had an impact on field resistance to RYMV.

Mapping of QTLs involved in field resistance

Analysis of QTLs based on HMr, T8r, H8r and HDr detected QTLs on chromosome 1, in the region of sd-1 (Table 5, Fig. 2). These QTLs explained 17%, 22%, 12% and 15% of the phenotypic variance observed on HMr, T8r, H8r and HDr, respectively. The allele conferring resistance was provided by 'Azucena'. In order to better understand Fig. 2, we have chosen to represent only QTLs detected for T8r and HMr. These two characters were chosen as they represent the effect of the disease on two different components of architecture and at two different growth stages. For GWr, OTLs were detected on chromosome 4 and chromosome 1, in the region of sd-1. These 2 OTLs explained 31% of the variation. The QTL mapped on chromosome 4 was co-located with a QTL implicated in heading date, and the favourable allele come from 'Azucena'.

Resistance estimated with symptoms and virus titre

Expression of resistance and correlation with plant morphology

The symptoms and virus content of the plants were also measured to evaluate resistance since they are independent from plant morphology. Symptoms ranged on a scale between 1 and 7 on the inoculated lines, with most of the DH lines rated 3 or 5. The infection was not very severe. However, a measure of the virus content confirmed that no plant had escaped the infection or was immune. The symptoms and the virus titre evaluated on the same DH lines at different growth stages were not correlated (P > 0.05). However they were correlated to the ratios, estimators of field resistance (Table 4). This suggested that the disease impact on plant morphology and development depended on virus accumulation in the plant.

An evaluation of virus titre was also performed on plants in the growth chamber. The conditions of that

 Table 4 Correlations between symptoms and virus content with field resistance and plant morphology

	VC2	VC1	S
VC1	. 0.24ª	. ¹ 1	
S	0.38 ^b	0.18	
-HMr	0.27 ^b	0.31 ^b	0.20 ^a
-T8r	0.36 ^b	0.41 ^b	0.51 ^b
H8c	- 0.21ª	- 0.05	- 0.09
HMc	- 0.26 ^b	- 0.28 ^b	- 0.17
T8c	0.25 ^b	0.10	0.23 ^a
TMc	0.24ª	0.29 ^b	0.32 ^b
HDc	- 0.13	- 0.35 ^b	-0.10
GWc	0.04	0.00	0.02

^{a, b}Correlations were indicated for P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively

test were much more controlled than in the field evaluation and heritability was far higher for this character than for any other estimator of resistance. (Table 2). 'IR64' and 'Azucena' were evaluated as controls and no DH line had a transgressive value. The virus content of plants in the growth chamber had a normal distribution in the population. It was correlated to symptoms, virus titre and ratios scored under field conditions (except TMr), eventhough the two tests were performed under highly different environmental conditions (Table 4).

As observed with field resistance, symptoms and virus titre were correlated to the number of fertile tillers (TMc). Moreover, the virus titre, both in the field and in the growth chamber, was correlated to plant height. As these estimators were measured independently of plant morphology scoring, especially for the growth chamber test, this confirmed the high relationship between resistance and morphology. Besides, virus titre evaluated in the growth chamber on young plants was correlated to the morphology of the 8-week-old plants, whereas virus titre evaluated later was not.

Mapping of QTLs controlling symptoms and virus titre

QTL analysis based on symptoms distinguished 3 QTLs on chromosomes 2, 9 and 12 which explained 37% of the phenotypic variation (Table 5, Fig. 2). For virus content assessed on plants grown in the field, only 1 QTL was detected. It mapped on chromosome 1, in

Chromosome interval

1 RZ730-C112

12 G2140-RG958

Table 5 QTLs controlling

morphology and resistance in 'IR64/Azucena' DH population.

For each QTL, the trait, the position of the LOD peak on the

map, the LOD score and the

the region where QTLs controlling field resistance had been detected, near sd-1. This QTL explained 28% of the phenotypic variation observed on this character. Three QTLs on chromosomes 1, 8 and 12, and 1 or more QTLs on chromosome 2 controlled virus content in plants grown in the growth chamber. As the LOD score never decreased under 2 on the major part of chromosome 2 (Fig. 3), it was not clear whether there were 1, 2 or 3 QTLs on this chromosome. Composite Interval Mapping was performed in selecting as cofactors 8 markers distributed along chromosome 2 each 25 cM and markers located on the OTLs of chromosomes 1, 8 and 12. The presence of at least 2 QTLs was confirmed (Fig. 3). The first one mapped near marker RG171. At least 1 QTL mapped between CDO1380 and RG256, but it was not clear if there were 1 or 2 closely linked QTLs in this region. One QTL involved in the expression of symptoms was also mapped on this fragment. The model explained 58% of the phenotypic variation observed for VC2. The QTL of chromosome 12 involved in symptoms in the field and virus content in the growth chamber corresponded to the QTL reported by Ghesquière et al. (1997) near RFLP markers RG341 and RG869.

Discussion

Morphology

Pos^a

207

LOD

10.7

%

38

23

10

5.4 23

Trait

HMc

The two parents of the population used in this study present a very distinct pattern of response to RYMV

Resistance

Pos

215

LOD

3.2

%

12

17

22

15

9

28

19

11

12

15

8

Trait

H30r

percentage of variance explained (%) are indicated. The QTLs with	х 1 х х	TMc HDc	213 213	4.2 4.3	17 19	HMr T30r	210 215	4 5.6	
LOD < 2.6 were detected when						HDr	215	3.7	
QTLS with LOD ≥ 2.6 were						GWr	210	2.3	
fixed						VC1	211	7.4	
						VC2	215	4.2	
	2 RG476H-G1314B					VC2	25	4.8	
	2 RZ318-RG95	H30c	135 '	1.1	5	S	97	2	
	:					VC2	129	4.8	
	3 RG104-RG100	HDc	9	4.2	23				
	3 RZ630-RG418A	H30c	226	4.6	24				
<i>.</i>		HMc	231	1.9	11				
	4 RG190-RG788	HDc	24	1.7	8	GWr	24	4.1	
	4 RG163-RG143	HMc	113	1.2	7		,		
	7 CD0418-RG351	TMc	136	3.2	20				
	8 RZ66-CD099					VC2	139	3.7	
	9 CD0590-RZ422	H30c	18	2.6	15	102	200	211	
	y 000000 ne no	HMC	42	2.1	23				
		GWc	18	3	16	•			
	9 RZ228-RG667	0.00	10	5	10	S	88	2.3	
	12 R617-Y6854R					S	41	3.7	-
						VC2	39	2.9	

^a Pos, Distance in centiMorgans from the first marker of the chromosome

90

61

H30c

GWc

1151

1152



Fig. 3 Comparison of simple and composite interval mapping on chromosome 2 for virus content in growth chamber (VC2), using MQTL. In composite interval mapping, markers used as cofactors are represented by *black arrows*. The values of the statistic test obtained in simple interval mapping using MQTL are proportional to the LOD score calculated with MAPMAKER/QTL

and have a quite different morphology: 'Azucena' is a traditional upland *japonica* variety, and 'IR64' is a semi-dwarf *indica* adapted to irrigation. As RYMV resistance has often seemed to be linked to the *japonica* upland morphotype, we were interested in evaluating the relationship between resistance and morphology. We subsequently have studied the genetic basis of some morphological traits in the 'IR64/Azucena' population.

Nine chromosomal fragments involved in plant height, tillering, grain weight and heading date were detected. Five fragments were involved in at least two characters, which can be explained by linkage between QTLs or by pleiotropy. The hypothesis of pleiotropy is the most likely of the two to explain co-locations of QTLs involved in height at maturity and 8 weeks after sowing on chromosomes 3 and 9. Moreover, Yadav et al. (1997) reported that sd-1 has an effect on tillering and, in this study, the QTL of chromosome 1 involved in tillering probably corresponded to a pleiotropic effect of sd-1. In this work, QTL mapping for morphological traits was highly consistent with other studies. Particularly, Huang et al. (1996) detected QTLs controlling plant height on the same regions of chromosomes 1, 2, 3 and 4 on the same population, and they also attributed the detection of a QTL on chromosome 1 to sd-1. As the threshold was less strict in their study than in ours they detected more QTLs. However, we detected an additionnal QTL on chromosome 9, probably explained by genotype × environment interactions (Paterson et al. 1991; Zhuang et al. 1997). In the cross indica × japonica 'Lemont' × 'Teging' (Li et al. 1995b), OTLs involved in height and heading date on chromosomes 2, 3 and 9 were co-located with the OTLs detected here for the same traits.

In this work, RYMV resistance was estimated by the impact of the disease on morphological and agronomical traits, by the expression of symptoms and by virus content. These different estimators of resistance, were correlated, at the field level or in the growth chamber, suggesting that one or two estimators are sufficient to roughly evaluate the resistance level of the lines. In particular, virus titre evaluated on young plants in the growth chamber was correlated to characters measured during the field experiment, indicating that the ELISA test can be used successfully in quantitative analysis. As this character had a high heritability and was measured independently of plant morphology, it provides a very useful tool to assess RYMV resistance for plant breeding applications. When resistance was studied more precisely, growth stage seemed important in characterising the resistance level; higher correlations were noted between traits scored at the same date. This observation is also supported by another experiment conducted in ADRAO, Ivory Coast, on a subset of 48 DH lines of this population (our unpublished data). This suggests that some resistance components may be stage-dependent.

The different estimators of resistance used here revealed seven chromosomal fragments. Three regions on chromosome 1, 2 and 12 were involved in several resistance parameters. In addition, the QTL of chromosome 12 has already been detected by Ghesquière et al. (1997) using an isolate from Mali and the ELISA test. For all these co-locations, the genetic effects of the alleles are in the same direction. While we cannot exclude the hypothesis of several linked QTLs being involved in different resistance mechanisms, on the basis of our data we consider that a single factor of resistance was probably underlying the detection of several of the resistance QTLs co-located. The detection of common QTLs in different experiments is often hampered by genotype × environment interactions (Paterson et al. 1991; Zhuang et al. 1997) or by genotype × isolate (or strain) interactions in the case of resistance QTLs (Caranta et al. 1997; Jung et al. 1996). Here, 4 QTLs, on chromosomes 2, 4, 8 and 9, were detected only for one trait and may depend on parameter, environment or/and isolate. In contrast, the QTLs mapped on chromosomes 1, 2 and 12 were detected for different resistance estimators, under two or three environmental conditions and with two or three RYMV isolates. These QTLs appear to be the most interesting ones for further studies and for commencing an introgression process. QTL analyses are a powerful tool by which to dissect the genetic basis of a complex resistance. For instance, Lefebvre and Palloix (1996) have identified different sets of resistance OTLs controlling the different interaction steps between pepper and Phytophthora capsici, and Danesh et al. (1994) have detected a QTL of tomato which participates in resistance to Ralstonia solanacearum only when a particular inoculation method is used. Here, the OTL of chromosome 1 was involved both in field resistance and in resistance to virus accumulation and the expression of symptoms, whereas QTLs of chromosomes 2 and 12 might be more specific of mechanisms involved in virus accumulation and symptom expression. However, further studies are necessary to clarify the impact of these QTLs at the field level, since the results described in Ghesquière et al. (1997) suggest that the QTL of chromosome 12 could be also involved in field resistance.

The different parameters used here to evaluate RYMV resistance, except for disease impact on grain weight, were correlated with tillering: the more tillers the plants had, the less resistant they were. Symptoms and virus titre were also correlated with plant height. Dirlewanger et al. (1994) found a common genetic basis between components of pea morphology to explain correlations and, consequently, we expected co-located QTLs to explain the correlations between resistance and morphology that we observed. Indeed, 3 QTLs on chromosomes 1, 2 and 4 were implicated both in plant height, tillering or heading date and in resistance. In the middle of chromosome 2, Li et al. (1995a) have also found co-location of QTLs implicated in height and in resistance to Rhizoctonia solani, but they explained this co-location by their system of scoring and a direct effect of plant height on the epidemiology of the pathogen. Here, we ask ourselves if co-locations between QTLs involved in morphology and QTLs involved in RYMV resistance were due to (1) a direct effect of morphology on resistance, (2) the pleiotropy of one gene involved in morphology on resistance or (3) a linkage between QTLs. A direct impact of height or heading date on resistance is unlikely, as supported by the following observations. Firstly, heading date and the different resistance parameters were not significantly correlated, neither were plant height and field resistance. Secondly, favourable alleles for resistance were associated with the allele correlated to an increase in height on chromosome 1 and the allele correlated to a decrease in height on chromosome 2. Thirdly, the QTL of resistance on chromosome 1 was detected on very young plants, whereas the effect of sd-1 on plant height was only detected at maturity. However, we can not determine if sd-1 and the QTLs of morphology on chromosomes 2 and 4 have a pleiotropic effect on resistance or if they are linked to QTLs involved in resistance. In contrast, the co-location of a tillering QTL and a resistance QTL on chromosome 1 explained only partly the correlations between the two traits, and a direct effect of tillering on resistance was suspected. Indeed, for most of the field resistance parameters, correlations between tillering and resistance were still significant in the subpopulation of lines with sd-1 and the sub-population of lines without sd-1 (results not shown).

RYMV resistance may be under a complex determinism involving plant morphology and, in particular, tillering, an important trait which strongly separates *indica* from *japonica* upland varieties. So, a diversity of

QTLs explaining resistance is expected with respect to the plant developmental stage and the resistance parameters used. In our first study, only a single QTL on chromosome 12 was detected to explain virus content, while in the present analysis, additional OTLs were observed for this trait. These additional QTLs are unlikely to be due to more favourable experimental conditions for QTL detection (extended population size, more sensitive ELISA test), but they may depend on the morpho-physiological conditions of the plants at inoculation and test dates. Morpho-physiological differences between indica and japonica varieties can partly hide actual resistance mechanisms, independent of morphology. This may explain why conventional breeding has failed to transfer resistance from upland to irrigated varieties in combining in a single genotype a high level of RYMV resistance with adaptation to irrigated conditions. The specific selection of resistance factors independent of morphology may be very useful in breeding programmes. As the OTL of chromosome 12 was stable under different test conditions and did not appear to be co-located with morphological traits, it seems to be a particularly good candidate for introgression in indica varieties.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y., and MAFF DNA Bank of the RGP, Japan, for providing DNA probes.

References

- Bakker W (1974) Characterisation and ecological aspects of rice yellow mottle virus in Kenya. PhD thesis, Agricultural University, Wageningen, the Netherlands
- Caranta C, Lefebvre V, Palloix A (1997) Polygenic resistance of pepper to potyviruses consists of a combination of isolate-specific and broad-spectrum quantitative trait loci. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 10:872-878
- Cho YG, Eun MY, McCouch SR, Chae YA (1994) The semidwarf gene, sd-1, of rice (Oryza sativa L.). II. Molecular mapping and marker-assisted selection. Theor Appl Genet 89:54-59
- Clark MF, Adams RN (1977) Characteristics of the microplate method of Enzyme Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay for the detection of plant viruses. J Gen Virol 34:475-483
- Danesh D, Aarons S, McGill GE, Young ND (1994) Genetic dissection of oligogenic resistance to bacterial wilt in tomato. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 7:464-471
- Dirlewanger E, Isaac PG, Ranade S, Belajouzza M, Cousin R, de Vienne D (1994) Restriction fragment lenght polymorphism analysis of loci associated with disease resistance genes and developmental traits in *Pisum sativum* L. Theor Appl Genet 88:17-27
- Fauquet C,Thouvenel JC (1977) Isolation of the rice yellow mottle virus in Ivory Coast. Plant Dis Rep 61:443-446
- Fomba SN (1988) Screening for seedling resistance to rice yellow mottle virus in some rice cultivars in Sierra Leone. Plant Dis 72:641-642
- Fraser RSS (1986) Genes for resistance to plant viruses. CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci 3:257-294
- Ghesquière A, Albar L, Lorieux M, Ahmadi N, Fargette D, Huang N, McCouch SR, Notteghem JL (1997) A major quantitative trait locus for rice yellow mottle virus resistance maps to a cluster of blast resistance genes on chromosome 12. Phytopathology 87:1243-1249

- Guiderdoni E, Galinato E, Luistro J, Vergara G (1992) Anther culture of tropical *japonica* × *indica* hybrids of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Euphytica 62:219-224
- Haley CS, Knott SA (1992) A simple regression method for mapping quantitative trait loci in line crosses using flanking markers. Heredity 69:315-324
- Hoisington D, Khairallah M, González-de-Léon D (1994) Laboratory protocols. CIMMYT Applied Molecular Genetics Laboratory, (2nd edn.). CIMMYT, El Batan, Mexico DF, Mexico
- Huang N, Courtois B, Khush G, Lin H, Wang G, Wu P, Zheng
 K (1996) Association of quantitative trait loci for plant height with major dwarfing genes in rice. Heredity 77:130-137
- Huang N, Parco A, Mew T, Magpantay G, McCouch SR, Guiderdoni E, Xu J, Subudhi P, Angeles ER, Khush GS (1997) RFLP mapping of isozymes, RAPD and QTLs for grain shape, brown planthopper resistance in a doubled haploid rice population. Mol Breed 3:105-113
- Hull R (1988) The sobemovirus group. In: Koenig R (ed) The plant viruses. III. Polyedral virions with monopartite RNA genomes. Plenum Press, New York, pp 113-146
- Jung G, Coyne DP, Skroch PW, Nienhuis J, Arnaud-Santana E, Bokosi J, Ariyarathne HM, Steadman JR, Beaver JS, Kaeppler S (1996) Molecular markers associated with plant architecture and resistance to common blight, web blight, and rust in common beans. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 121: 794-803
- Lander ES, Botstein D (1989) Mapping Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. Genetics 121:185-199
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Newburg L (1987) An interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174–181
- Lefebvre V, Palloix A (1996) Both epistatic and additive effects of QTLs are involved in polygenic induced resistance to disease: a case study, the interaction pepper *Phytophthora capsici* Leonian. Theor Appl Genet 93: 503–511
- Li Z, Pinson SRM, Marchetti MA, Stansel JW, Park WD (1995a) Characterization of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) in cultivated rice contributing to field resistance to sheath blight (*Rhizoctonia* solani). Theor Appl Genet 91:382–388
- Li Z, Pinson SRM, Stansel JW, Park WD (1995b) Identification of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for heading date and plant height in cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Theor Appl Genet 91: 374–381

- Li Z, Pinson SRM, Park WD, Paterson AH, Stansel JW (1997) Epistasis for three grain yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Genetics 145:453-465
- Lincoln SE, Daly MJ, Lander ES (1993) Mapping genes controlling quantitative traits with MAPMAKER/QTL version 1.1: a tutorial and reference manual, 2nd edn. Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research Technical Report, Cambridge, Mass.
- Ngon A, Yassi M, Ritzenthaler C, Brugidoux C, Fauquet C, Beachy R (1994) Nucleotide sequence and genome characterisation of rice yellow mottle virus RNA. J Gen Virol 75:249–257
- Paterson AH, Damon S, Hewitt JD, Zamir D, Rabinowitch HD, Lincoln SE, Lander ES, Tanksley SD (1991) Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits in tomato: comparison across species, generations, and environments. Genetics 127:181–197
- Qian HR, Zhuang JY, Lin HX, Lu J, Zheng KL (1995) Identification of a set of RFLP probes for subspecies differentiation in *Oryza* sativa L. Theor Appl Genet 90:878-884
- Rasaonary M (1990) Application d'un test immunoenzymatique a l'étude de la résistance au rice yellow mottle virus. MSc thesis, CNEARC, Montpellier, France
- Singh BN (1995) Breeding for RYMV resistance. In: WARDA eds, First Int Symp Rice Yellow Mottle Virus (RYMV). Mbé/Bouaké, Ivory Coast
- Singh K, Ishii T, Parco A, Huang N, Brar DS, Khush GS (1996) Centromere mapping and orientation of the molecular linkage map of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 6163-6168
- Thottappilly G, Rossel HW (1993) Evaluation of resistance to rice yellow mottle virus in Oryza species. Indian J Virol 9:65-73
- Tinker NA, Mather DE (1995) MQTL: software for simplified composite interval mapping of QTL in multiple environments. J QTL 1, http://probe.nalusda.gov:8000/otherdocs/jqtl/index.html
- Yadav R, Courtois B, Huang N, McLaren G (1997) Mapping genes controlling root morphology and root distribution in a doubled-haploid population of rice. Theor Appl Genet 94:619-632
- Yu ZH, Mackill DJ, Bonman JM, McCouch SR, Guiderdoni E, Notteghem JL, Tanksley SD (1996) Molecular mapping of genes for resistance to rice blast (*Pyricularia grisea* Sacc.). Theor Appl Genet 93:859–863
- Zhuang JY, Lin HX, Lu J, Qian HR, Hittalmani S, Huang N, Zheng KL (1997) Analysis of QTL x environment interaction for yield components and plant height in rice. Theor Appl Genet 95: 799-808

·

THEORETICAL AND APPLIED GENETICS

A 20255

International Journal of Plant Breeding Research

Volume 97 Number 7 November 1998

Shishido R, Apisitwanich S, Ohmido N, Okinaka Y, Mori K, Fukui K: Detection of specific chromosome reduction in rice somatic hybrids with the A, B, and C genomes by multi-color genomic *in situ* hybridization - 1013

Tsugawa H, Otsuki Y, Suzuki M: Efficient transformation of rice protoplasts mediated by a synthetic polycationic amino polymer 1019

Lim KY, Leitch U, Leitch AR: Genomic characterisation and the detection of raspberry chromatin in polyploid *Rubus* 1027

Joobeur T, Viruel MA, Vicente MC de, Jauregui B, Ballester J, Dettori MT, Verde I, Truco MJ, Messeguer R, Batlle I, Quarta R, Dirlewanger E, Arús P: Construction of a saturated linkage map for *Prunus* using an almond × peach F₂ progeny 1034

Qi LL, Wang SL, Chen PD, Liu DJ, Gill BS: Identification and physical mapping of three *Haynaldia villosa* chromosome 6V deletion lines 1042

Matthews BF, MacDonald MH, Gebhardt JS, Devine TE: Molecular markers residing close to the *Rhg4* locus conferring resistance to soybean cyst nematode race 3 on linkage group A of soybean 1047

Emebiri LC, Devey ME; Matheson AC, Slee MU: Age-related changes in the expression of QTLs for growth in radiata pine seedlings 1053

Emebiri LC, Devey ME, Matheson AC, Slee MU: Interval mapping of quantitative trait loci affecting NESTUR, a stem growth efficiency index of radiata pine seedlings 1062

Martinant JP, Cadalen T, Billot A, Chartier S, Leroy P, Bernard M, Saulnier L, Branlard G: Genetic analysis of water-extractable arabinoxylans in bread wheat endosperm 1069

1)97

752(1998'

Tixler MH, Sourdille P, Charmet G, Gay G, Jaby C, Cadalen T, Bernard S, Nicolas N, Bernard M: Detection of QTLs for crossability in wheat using a doubledhaploid population ...1076

Spada A, Caporali E, Marziani G, Portaluppi P, Restivo FM, Tassi F, Falavigna A: A genetic map of *Asparagus* officinalis based on integrated RFLP, RAPD and AFLP molecular markers 1083

Barreneche T, Bodenes C; Lexer C, Trontin J-F, Fluch S, Streiff R, Plomion C, Roussel G, Steinkellner H, Burg K, Favre J-M, Glössi J, Kremer A: A genetic linkage map of *Quercus robur* L. (pedunculate oak) based on RAPD, SCAR, microsatellite, minisatellite, isozyme and 5S rDNA markers 1090

Gallego FJ, Calles B, Benito C: Molecular markers linked to the aluminium tolerance gene *Alt1* in rye (*Secale* cereale L.) 1104

Wu R, Bradshaw Jr. HD, Stettler RF: Developmental quantitative genetics of growth in *Populus* (1110

Yang D, Sanchez A, Khush GS, Zhu Y, Huang N: Construction of a BAC contig containing the *xa5* locus in rice 1120

Golmirzale AM; Ortiz R, Atlin GN, Iwanaga M: Inbreeding and true seed in tetrasomic potato. I. Selfing and open pollination in Andean landraces (*Solanum tuberosum* Gp. Andigena): 1125

Golmirzale AM, Bretschneider K, Ortiz R: Inbreeding and true seed in tetrasomic potato. II. Selfing and sib-mating in heterogeneous hybrid populations of *Solanum* tuberosum 1129

Foolad MR, Chen FQ, Lin GY: **RFLP** mapping of QTLs conferring salt tolerance during germination in an interspecific cross of tomato 1133



(Continued on page A3)

·

. . .