Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association, 16(2):114–123, 2000 Copyright © 2000 by the American Mosquito Control Association, Inc.

PEOPLE'S KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICE ABOUT DENGUE, ITS VECTORS, AND CONTROL MEANS IN BRASILIA (DF), BRAZIL: ITS RELEVANCE WITH ENTOMOLOGICAL FACTORS

NICOLAS/DÉGALLIER, ¹ PAULO DE TARSO RIBEIRO VILARINHOS,² MARIA SOCORRO LAURENTINO DE CARVALHO,² MONIQUE BRITTO KNOX² AND JORGE CAETANO, JR.³

ABSTRACT. In South America, the epidemiology and ecology of dengue fever are strongly associated with human habits because the vector Aedes aegypti is strictly urban. Thus, the evaluation of people's knowledge and practice (PKP) is of great importance to improve integrated control measures. A PKP evaluation has been done in a suburb of Brasilia. Thirty questions were submitted to 130 habitants about income level, education, sources of information, specific knowledge about dengue, vector biology, and control measures applied. Other questions were about the responsibility of dengue control and the opportunity of applying a fine to people who would not cooperate with the control measures. Level of PKP was fairly high, either for housekeepers, workers, or students. The mosquito bite was cited as source of infection by 60.8% of interviewed people but 22.3% had no knowledge about this topic. The most cited symptoms in association with dengue were fever (73.1%), headache (66.2%), and rash (35.4%). Knowledge about mosquito biology and control was also fairly accurate, as demonstrated by 96.9% of answers. Elimination of water containers was the most efficient means according to 73% of people. Such action should be done mainly by the citizen (75.3% of answers). Despite the good PKP, correlations existed only between the PKP about vector biology and presence of potential breeding containers in March, and between the PKP about the disease and potential breeding containers in April. In conclusion, global educational campaigns may have a real impact on the PKP but this did not result in effective control of the mosquito breeding containers by the people.

KEY WORDS community, educational campaign, Aedes aegypti, entomological survey, questionnaire

114

INTRODUCTION

Since 1991 imported dengue cases have been detected and confirmed in the Federal District (FD) of Brazil (Pires et al. 1991): Since 1994 an increase was observed in the number of imported cases, with autochtonous transmission in the Brasilia area and Goiás State border since 1997 (Dusi et al. 1996). To increase community knowledge and awareness about dengue and its prevention, a national mass education campaign was promoted by various public health sectors and levels (country, states, municipalities), each summer (November-March) since 1995, mainly through television (TV) and radio. According to data provided by the Ministry of Health (Assessoria de Comunicação Social), TV spots were transmitted 73 times (49 30-sec-long spots and 24 60-sec-long spots) for Brasilia (FD) into 17 programs (4 channels) during February 1997. At the country-wide level, 30-sec-duration spots were transmitted 27 times (6 programs in 1 channel), also during February 1997. During the same period, a 30-sec-duration spot was transmitted 30 times by radio in the FD.

Because no evaluation of people's knowledge and practice (PKP) has been previously conducted in the FD, the present work was aimed at studying

¹I.R.D., C.P. 7091 Lago Sul, 71619-970, Brasilia, DF, Brazil.

² Instituto de Saúde do Distrito Federal/Gerência de Controle de Zoonoses, SAIN, Estrada do Contorno do Bosque lote 04, 70620-000, Brasilia, DF, Brazil.

³ Instituto de Saúde do Distrito Federal/SGAN 601, lotes "O" e "P," 70830-010, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. a community under risk, with regard to dengue etiopathogeny and control, and to associate these data with vector prevalence in the same area. Because the educational campaign was released on a national scale, comparing the situation of the chosen sample with any control population was not possible. However, any association between the PKP and presence or absence of the vector in the houses of interviewed people was thought to be of interest for detecting some communication failure or success of the campaigns. Another goal of the present work was to establish some reference data for future comparative studies of PKP at the community level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area was a small neighborhood called DVO, with ca. 300 homes, which resulted from a recent housing development in the city of Gama (Fig. 1). Each house usually has a backyard where containers may be found (nonuseful containers, water reservoirs, hollow trunks, and so on). Residents are served with piped water and weekly garbage collection. The distribution of mosquito breeding sites was evaluated through house-to-house inspection, as during routine surveys, with collection of larval specimens for further identification in the laboratory. In all homes attended to by the surveyors, all domestic collections of water were recorded as "potential" and classified according to 13 categories. The houses with at least 1 immature of Aedes aegypti (L.) were considered to be positive. A questionnaire was applied to the community living in the same houses, with 30 questions, of which 5

Cote: B×22776

Documentaire

IRD

Ex:

Fonds

JUNE 2

Fig.

Federa

Table

Female

Primar

Sécond

Presen

Present

Presen

No. of

No. of

No. of

No. of

No. of

No. of

Car (ye

Car (no

Monthl

Monthl


Garbag

Freque

Freque

Freque

Male Äge (y



500 km

ĎVÒ ∢

Fig. 1.

Federal District, Brazil.

IN SHORING OTHER OTHER

were open ended and 25 had specific choices, covering socioeconomic status, education, availability and frequency of garbage collection, knowledge of dengue symptoms, vector biology, and control measures. Incompletely answered questionnaires were discarded from analysis.

Potential and positive mosquito breeding sites and answers to the questionnaire were considered as the variables, which were submitted to multifactorial analysis methods, aiming to demonstrate eventual associations between PKP variables and entomological factors. The ADE-4 statistical package (Thioulouse et al. 1997) was used. Data structure was studied by principal component analysis (PCA: column normalization and row ponderation by number of rows) and correlations between entomological and PKP variables were looked for by coinercia analysis (COI). The significance of the latter was tested by Monte-Carlo simulations (n =1,000/run).

RESULTS

Socioeconomic pattern of the sampled population


The socioeconomic pattern of the population was evaluated through 17 topics (Table 1). The 97 women and 33 men who answered the PKP survey were 5-74 years old (mean = 33.6 ± 14.7 years). Only 1 man explicitly refused to answer the questionnaire. The mean monthly income by family was 4.4 times the minimum salary, that is, approximately US\$572. The mean level of education was 4 years of primary school (64.6%), and 22.3% of the peo-

Table 1. Values of social variables characterizing the DVO population, obtained from a questionnaire submitted in

100 m

Map of the DVO neighborhood, Gama City,

Socioeconomic pattern of the population sample	No. or range		%	or mean	ı i
Female	. 97	··· ',		74.6	
Male	33		1	25.3	· ·
Age (years)	5-74	2.		.33.5	
Primary school (years)	0-8	4 4		4	· ·
Secondary school (years)	1–3	• •		1	
Presence at home (morning)	104			80	
Presence at home (afternoon)	94			72.3	· . ·
Presence at home (night)	79	٠		60.7	
No. of people/house	1–14			5.1	
No. of people working outside	0-7	•	1	1.6	
No. of people working at home	0–6	· · · · ·	• •	1.9	1.1
No. of rooms	1–7		*.	2.6	· · · *
No. of bathrooms	0-4			1.2	
No. of TVs	0-3			1.2	
Car (yes)	46	· · · ·	1997 - 19	35.3	
Car (no)	83	1.1.1		63.8	
Monthly income (number of minimum salaries)	0-26		1 A.	4.4	
Monthly income (do not know)	9		5.1	6.9	
Garbage collecting (yes)	130		•	100	2
Frequency of garbage collecting (daily)	45	۰.,	, *	34.6	
Frequency of garbage collecting (days)	13			1.7	,
Frequency of garbage collecting (do not know)	5			3.8	1 .



ate-Aee. A ving ch 5

S

JR.3

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MOSQUITO CONTROL ASSOCIATION

Results of entomological surveys done in DVO in March and April 1997, in the houses of people

Vol. 16, No. 2

	Potential containers C				Con	ontainers with Aedes aegypti			
	March		A	pril	March			April	
Types of containers	n	%	n	~ %	n	%	n	%	
Bottle, can, plasticware	512	40.3	524	39.8	3	8.3	8	33.3	
Plant pots	238	18.7	270	20.5	1	2.7	· 0	. 0	
Drum, barrel, tub, tank, clay deposit	227	17.8	244	18.5	2	5.5	0	0	
Car junk, construction material	137	10.8	113	8.5	. 4	11.1	. 1	4.1	
Tires	99	7.8	88	6.6	23	63.8	12	50.0	
Phytothelmata (plant and tree trunk)	. 32	2.5	18	1.3	0	0	0	0	
Water reservoir	11	0.8	23	1.7	-1	2.7	3	12.5	
Well, cistern	7.	0.5	. 20	1.5	0	0	· 0	0	
Gutter	6	0.4	16	1.2	2	5.5	0	0	
Total	1,269	100	1,316	100	.: 20	16.6	17	13.2	

Iotal1,269100ple had attended secondary school. At least 15 dif-
ferent types of occupations were represented. The
majority of respondents were houseworkers
(46.1%), students (21.5%), and manual workersho
ho
the
content of the secondary school. At least 15 dif-
ferent types of occupations were represented. The
majority of respondents were houseworkers
houseworkers
houseworkers

(15.3%). The mean number of TVs per home was




Fig. 2. Containers potential for *Aedes aegypti* in DVO neighborhood, Gama City, Federal District, Brazil, April 2–30, 1997.

Entomological surveys

A preliminary entomological survey of 177 houses, conducted from February 17 to February 25, 1997, revealed 33 positive houses (house index number of positive houses/number visited = _ 18.6%); all containers in the positive houses were treated with Abate® (Cyanamid, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). During a 2nd and more extensive survey, from March 10 to March 19, 1997, 295 houses were visited, where 2,398 and 31 containers were potential and positive, respectively. No treatment was applied. Considering only the 120 houses where people responded to the questionnaire the next month (see below), 36 of 1,269 containers. were positive, and the house index was 16.6% (Table 2). Of the positive containers, 63.8% were tires, which are generally considered very productive. During a 3rd entomological survey, from April 2 to April 30, 1997, the PKP questionnaire was also applied and 130 people from 128 houses answered it fully. The house index was 13.2%, with 24 pos-

Table 3. Values of people's knowledge and practice variables about sources of information on dengue and its prevention, obtained from questionnaire submitted in April 1997.

Sources of information	n	%
TV	123	.94.6
Radio	.96	73.8
National Health Foundation workers	94	72.3
Newspapers	42	32.3
Friends or neighborhood	26	20
School	. 25	19.2
Leaflets	16	12.3
Posters	· 11	8.4
Family	13	10
Community meetings	5	3.8
Secapoça dengue prevention competition	5	3.8
Work colleagues	5	3.8
Hospital	2	1.5
Books	2	. 1.5
Church	2	1.5

Table 2.

Kn Кn Frc Frc Fre Fro Fre Frc Fro Frc Fre Syı Syı Syı Syı Syı

Syi

Syı

Syı

Syı

Syı

Syı

Syı

Sуı

Syı Syı

Sic

Sic

Sic

Do

No

F

We

Wc

itiv 2).

2 s tai (12

car

sor wa

(94 (72 sch

ma

how

mo

re o, y, se nt se rs a s, é 2 so

ed

S-

its

3

3

4

8 8

.8 .5 .5

COMMUNITY, AE. AEGYPTI, AND DENGUE PREVENTION

Table 4.	Values of	people's l	cnowledge a	nd practice	variables	about	dengue	disease,	obtained from	questionnaire
	÷			submitte	d in April	1997.		2.1		

Subin	nico il Apii 1997	<u> </u>			
Dengue disease and symptoms		n		%	
Know how dengue is contracted (yes)		101		77.7	
Know how dengue is contracted (no)	5.	29		22.3	$C_{2} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$
From mosquito bite		79.		60.8	
From still water	22	10		7.7	ate e la
From accumulated water		10		7.7	50 g (1 g
From garbage		4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3.1	
From contaminated water		2		1.5	·
From cans		2		1.5	
From the bite of Aedes aegypti		$1_{[i]}$,		0.8	
From clean water		, 1		0.8	
From tires		1	, 1946 - <u>1</u> 94	0.8	
Symptoms: fever	이 가 아니 한 모양	95		73.1	
Symptoms: headache		. 86		66.2	
Symptoms: rash		46	State State States	35.4	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Symptoms: body pains		25		19.2	1. 14.
Symptoms: lack of appetite	and the second second	19		14.6	
Symptoms: vomiting		16	and a straight second	12.3	
Symptoms: nausea		15		11.5	
Symptoms: tiredness and weakness	ی از این میں میں ایک میں اور	15		11.5	
Symptoms: eye pain		14		10.8	
Symptoms do not know		12	1	9.2	1.1.1.1.
Symptoms: diarrhea		.10	A Standard Street	7.7	
Symptoms: sickness		7,		5.4	
Symptoms: giddiness		5		3.8	
Symptoms: pain at back of the neck		4		3.1	
Symptoms: stomach pain	n in the shirt of a star The Start of the start	1		0.8	
Sick people would be taken to the hospital		64		49.2	
Sick people would be taken to a first aid post		34		26.2	di la
Sick people would be taken to a physician		29		22.3	
Do not know what to do with sick people		4		3.1	
Notify the disease to National Health		•		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	194 [°] - 1
Foundation		3		2.3	
Would give water to sick people		2	internet stati	1.5	
Would leave sick people at rest		1	n an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna	0.8	
					· · · · ·

itive (Fig. 3) among 1,414 potential containers (Fig. 2). A comparison between the distributions of the 2 successive surveys of potential and positive containers in the houses of the people interviewed (128) did not show a significant change. Bottles and cans with water rose from 3rd to 2nd rank, and positive water reservoirs from last to 3rd. Despite their high prevalence, plant pots, drums, tanks, and so on became negative on the last survey.

PKP survey

A high proportion of people who answered the questionnaire stated that they were at home during the afternoon (72.5%), when the risk of dengue transmission is highest. The PKP results about sources of information are shown in Table 3: TV was the main source of information about dengue (94.6%), followed by radio (73.8%) and health staff (72.3%). All students (25) received information at school. Answers about dengue disease are summarized in Table 4: 22.3% of people did not know how dengue is contracted, but 60.8% mentioned mosquito bites. Three symptoms for dengue pre-

dominantly were cited: fever (73.1%), headache (66.2%), and skin rash (35.4%). Nobody cited dengue hemorrhagic fever. Hospitals were the place most cited for attendance of sick people. Table 5 gives the PKP about the mosquito vector: 96.9% of the people have heard about mosquitoes, 60% associated them with still water (irrespective of container type), and 29.2% had already seen mosquito larvae. Only 30.8% knew that the dengue mosquito has a diurnal biting habit. Knowledge about prevention and control of dengue is reported in Table 6: 73% of people thought that elimination of containers is the best control method and that it is mainly the responsibility of the citizen (75.3%); the same proportion approved the idea of fining contraveners. The following control methods were reported to have been used effectively by the people interviewed: 45.3% eliminated bottles and cans and 19.2% changed the water in plant pots weekly; however, 10.7% did not apply any measure.

Statistical analysis

Because multiple responses (= modalities) were allowed for some questions, the associations beJOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MOSQUITO CONTROL ASSOCIATION

Vol. 16, No. 2




Fig. 3. Containers positive for *Aedes aegypti* in DVO neighborhood, Gama City, Federal District, Brazil, April 2–30, 1997.

tween these modalities were 1st considered by principal components analysis (PCA), in order to recode these groups as new variables before performing further treatment (Appendix 1). Thus, the answers about sources of information (Table 3) were grouped into 3 blocks: "FNS staff-radio-TV," "school-friends-newspapers." and "others." 'school-friends-newspapers," and "others." Questions about the source of infection by dengue virus (Table 4) were also grouped into 3 blocks: "mosquito bite," "do not know," and the "containers." The open-ended question on the disease resulted in 15 different modalities, including answers of "do not know." Respondants cited 4 distinct groups of symptoms: "fever-headache," "vomiting-lack of appetite-body pain," "eye pain-weakness-nausea," and rash. Hemorrhagic fever was not cited, despite the information given during the TV spots. When the question was about the best place for treating people with dengue; 2 groups of answers appeared opposite on the 1st principal component of the PCA: hospital and private physician-health post (Table 4). The PCA done on the data about entomological knowledge (Table 5) showed only a mild association between

Table 5.	Values of people's knowledge and practice
variab	les about vector biology, obtained from
qu	estionnaire submitted in April 1997.

Biology of the dengue vector	n	%
Have heard about dengue vector (yes)	126	96.9
Have heard about dengue vector (no)	4	3.1
Breeding in still water	78	60.0
Breeding in clean water	- 30	23.1
Breeding in (do not know)	18	13.8
Breeding in tires	12	9.2
Breeding in water	9	6.9
Breeding in plant pots	6	4.6
Breeding in water on the ground	5	3.8
Breeding in cans	4	3.1
Breeding in bottles	2	1.5
Breeding in containers with water	2	1.5
Breeding in garbage	, 1	0.8
Breeding in drain of bathroom	1 -	0.8
Have seen the mosquito larva (no)	.92	70.8
Have seen the mosquito larva (yes)	38	29.2
Where (in plants)	8	6.2
Where (in a tire)	7	5.4
Where (in the water reservoir)	6	4.6
Where (in a can)	4	3.1
Where (in a bucket)	1	0.8
Where (in a bottle)	1	0.8
Where (in a tile)	1.	0.8
Where (in the garden)	1	0.8
When the mosquito bites (do not know)	72 🖯	55.4
The mosquito bites during the day	40	30.8
The mosquito bites at night	19	14.6

the "plant pots-bottles-cans-tires" group of modalities and 1st principal component. However, when considering the questions about control measures, the 1st principal component of the PCA showed clear oppositions between "space spraying-insecticide use" and "container elimination" on one hand, and "government agencies" and "citizen" on the other hand. This fact may suggest that much is yet to be done to integrate various control strategies.

The data that were transformed as described above were then examined for correlation (COI analysis) between entomological variables and PKP, that is, positive or potential containers vs. sources of information, knowledge on disease, vector, and control. No significant correlation was found bétween the presence of the mosquito and PKP except between "potential containers in March" vs. "information about vector biology" and between "potential containers in April" vs. "information about the disease." However, the nature of these interactions is unknown and perhaps incidental.

h

ŧ

a

tl

re

S1

it

tł

a

C

ti

n fi

a

ne

DISCUSSION

Studies conducted in Puerto Rico (Clark et al. 1992) and Honduras (Fernandez et al. 1993) showed a significant reduction in the infestation index for *Ae. aegypti* after prevention campaigns that included education. Kroeger et al. (1995) in Colom-

JUNE 2000

S

d

١ť

ìt

1

3)

1-

at

<u>n</u>-

COMMUNITY, AE. AEGYPTI, AND DENGUE PREVENTION

Prevention of dengue and vector control	n	%	
Eliminated bottles	59	45.3	
Prevented accumulation of rain water	47	36.1	1
Eliminated cans	32 -	24.6	·
Changed water in plant pots weekly	25	19.2	
Covered water tanks	. 25	19.2	
Covered barrels	23	17.6	
Maintained tires dry	22	16.9	
Maintained plant pots dry	16	12.3	
Jsed aerosol insecticide	14	10.7	
Did nothing	14	10.7	
Chrew away plants	14.	10.7	
Covered tires	14	10.7	
Put tires in a closed place	10	7.6	
Jsed repellent	8	6.1	1 . A. A.
Cleaned the garden		2.3	
Put insecticide in tires:	3 3 3	2.3	9
furned over the bottles	3	2.3	العمي الجميرة في المراجع
Cleared gutter and drainpipe	2	1.5	
closed the bottles		0.7	a start and the
Chrew away the tires	1	0.7	이 사람이 생활
Dried off the barrel	1	0.7	
Put insecticide in plants	i i i	0.7	
Control is continued (yes)	112	86.1	15 20 1
Control is continued (no)	10	7.6	
Best control methods (remove containers)	95	73	
Best control methods (space fogging)	30	23	the second second
Best control methods (insecticide use)	20	15.3	
Best control methods (mosquito netting)	5	3.8	
Best control methods (cleaning)	4	3	
Best control methods (do not know)	4	3	a section of the
Best control methods (avoiding water and waste accumulation)	3	2.3	
Best control methods (individual means)	1	0.7	
Responsibility (citizen)	98	75.3	
Responsibility (government)	50	38.4	
Responsibility (National Health Foundation)	36	27.6	1. ¹ . ¹
Responsibility (Secretary of Health)	26	27.0	· · · · · ·
Responsibility (do not know)	4	20	
Responsibility (nobody)	4	0.7	
ine (yes)	98	75.3	
ine (yes)	29	22.3	
Inc (no)	29	22.3	

Table 6 Values of neonle's knowledge and r atics variables about denous prevention methods affectively applied

bia found a high infestation index, correlated with limited knowledge of the community with regard to dengue, its vectors, and control. The same facts were deduced from an educational campaign aimed at controlling malaria (Kroeger et al. 1996). Authors working in Malaysia (Ayyamani et al. 1986) reported similar facts as those observed in this study, showing that information about dengue and its prevention reach the community mainly through the TV and radio; part of the population does not associate the mosquito and the disease; and the best control solution is to prevent mosquito proliferation. Similar results were also observed concerning mosquito control responsibility and opportunity of fining. However, their work did not evaluate the actual presence of the vector in the studied houses.

In Trinidad and Tobago (Rosenbaum et al. 1995), no correlation was demonstrated between preventive measures adopted by citizens and their knowledge about dengue. The situation in DVO is seemingly the same, where the distribution of potential containers was correlated with knowledge about the mosquito (March) or the disease (April) only, but never with the preventive measures although, as shown by the analysis of results of the questionnaire, the population has fairly good knowledge about dengue prevention and control. The decrease in the house index found during our last 2 surveys probably was due to meteorological factors, that is, lower relative humidity and night temperatures during the end of summer.

So far, few studies have been conducted on PKP on dengue and its prevention in Brazil. National educational campaigns, done through TV and other mass communication means, certainly contribute to growing knowledge about dengue and its vector in

the population but have little impact on mosquito infestation (Yasumaro et al. 1998). The present study confirmed this. With the exception of a study done in Goiania City (Goias State), where 60% of 30 sampled districts have shown a lowering of larval indexes after an educational and refuse-collecting campaign (Santos et al. 1998), the impact of the latter could not be objectively estimated because of lack of a PKP survey before the education campaign. Even in the case of the last cited study, no control area (without specific information and refuse collecting) was defined, thus limiting the scope of the conclusions. The same drawback occurred during our study, where no control area (without mass media) was available. As shown by Macoris et al. (1997), future studies should place more emphasis on the implementation of community-based control actions than on education, at least in large cities where PKP levels are higher. The same research team has tested local newsletters as channels for communication and information about dengue and concluded that these did not result in any increase in PKP (Mazine et al. 1996). Despite the lack of studies, at least in Brazil, it would be better (and perhaps more cost-effective) to conduct educational campaigns at the government level and to look for community-based projects for prevention of the disease. A pilot study on control of filariasis (Regis et al. 1996) has shown that the participation of schools may be essential for long-term community-based prevention, combining short- and long-term effects. Distribution of positive containers may depend upon many social or human factors, apart from vector behavior or meteorologic factors, and only studies integrating all these aspects would ascertain their relative importance and relationships (Dias 1991).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Research Institute for Development (IRD, formerly ORSTOM), France, the Health Institute of Federal District (ISDF), and the National Health Foundation (FNS-MS), Brazil.

REFERENCES CITED

- Ayyamani UD, Ying GC, San OG. 1986. A knowledge attitude and practice (KAP) study on dengue/dengue haemorrhagic fever and the Aedes mosquitoes. Med J Malays 41(2):108-115.
- Clark GG, Nieves H, Bonilla L, Seda H. 1992. Community and civic organizations join for dengue prevention in Mayaguez, Puerto Rico (Movilización de la comunidad para la prevención del dengue en Mayaguez, Puerto Rico). J Am Mosq Control Assoc 8:317.

Dias, R. B. 1991. Envolvimento comunitário na pesquisa

e no manejo das doenças tropicais—a questão da modernidade. Rev Soc Brasil Med Trop 24(Suppl II):203.

- Dusi RM, Vilarinhos P de TR, Assis AL, Lima Camara G, Carvalho MS. 1996. Epidemiology of dengue in Federal District, Brazil, from 1994 to 1996. In: Summaries Proceedings of the 1st dengue-Rio. International Seminar on Dengue. 1996 October 6-9; Hotel Gloria Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: IOC/FIO-CRUZ. p 65.
- Fernandez E, Lagos I, Sherman C. 1993. Advances in the Aedes aegypti community-based control project in El Progreso, Honduras (Advances en el proyecto de control de Aedes aegypti con base comunitaria en El Progreso, Honduras). J Am Mosq Control Assoc 9:449.
- Kroeger A, Dehlinger U, Burkhardt G, Atehortua W, Anaya H, Becker N. 1995. Community based dengue control in Colombia: people's knowledge and practice and the potential contribution of the biological larvicide Bti (Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis). Trop Med Parasitol 46:241-246.
- Kroeger A, Meyer R, Mancheno M, González M. 1996. Health education for community-based malaria control: an intervention study in Ecuador, Colombia and Nicaragua. *Trop Med Int Health* 1(6):836–846.
- Macoris MLG, Mazine CAB, Andrighetti MTM, Yasumaro S, Silva ME, Nelson MJ, Winch PJ. 1997. Factors favoring houseplant container infestation with Aedes aegypti larvae in Marília, São Paulo, Brazil. Rev Panam Salud Publica 1(4):280-286.
- Mazine CAB, Yasumaro S, Macoris MLG, Andrighetti MTM, da Costa VP, Winch PJ. 1996. Newsletters as a channel for communication in a community-based Aedes aegypti control program in Marília, Brazil. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 12:732-735.
- Pires MFC, Teixeira JMS, Silva NC, Câmara GNL. 1991. Investigation on dengue in Distrito Federal, Brazil. In: Virológica 91 Proceedings of the II simpósio internacional sobre arbovírus dos trópicos e febres hemorrágicas. 1991 November 17-23; CENTUR (Fundação Cultural Tancredo Neves), Belém, Pará, Brasil. Apresentação de resumos. Belém, Brazil: IEC/FNS-UFPA-Sociedade Brasileira de Virologia. p 2.
- Regis L, Furtado AF, de Oliveira CMF, Bezerra CB, da Silva LRF, Araújo J, Maciel A, Silva-Filha MH, Silva SB: 1996. Controle integrado do vetor da filariose com participação comunitária, em uma área urbana do Recife, Brasil. Cad Saúde Públ 12(4):473-482.
- Rosenbaum J, Nathan MB, Ragoonanansingh R, Rawlins S, Gayle C, Chadee DD, Lloyd LS. 1995. Community participation in dengue prevention and control: a survey of knowledge, attitudes, and practice in Trinidad and Tobago. Am J Trop Med Hyg 53(2):111–117.
- Santos AH dos, Camargo M de F, Silva EA e, Oliveira AWS, Faria CR de, Araújo LAO, Isac E. 1998. Influência da participação popular no controle dos vetores da dengue e febre amarela urbana. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 31(Suppl):214.
- Thioulouse J, Chessel D, Dolédec S, Olivier J-M. 1997. ADE-4: a multivariate analysis and graphical display software. *Stat Comput* 7(1):75-83.
- Yasumaro S, Silva ME, Andrighetti MTM, Macoris MLG, Mazine CAB, Winch PJ. 1998. Community involvement in a dengue prevention project in Marília, São Paulo State, Brazil. *Hum Organ* 57(2):209–214.

JUNE 2000

1 ŝ

. 2

bd-3. ara in :mnal ria lOthe El onro-Ánonind Bti itol 96. rol: icaisutors des iam ıetti is a Ae-Am 191. In: rnaági-Culsen--So-

APPENDIX 1 People's knowledge and practice and entomological variables used in statistical analysis. Those original variables (left column) that were not recoded or grouped together (right column) did not contribute significantly in the preliminary analysis, and were thus discarded for subsequent treatments.

Code of initial variable	Description	New variable
ITV	Media sources of information about dengue, vectors, and con-	Media
T 1	trol	Media
Irad		Media
Ijorn Ifol		Media
Ipan		Media
Iseca		Media
Iliv		Media
IFNS	Social (other people) sources of informations about dengue,	Social
- IFINS	vectors, and control	SUCIAI
Iami	vociois, and control	Social
Iesc		Social
Ifam		Social
İreu		Social
Icol		Social
Ihosp	· 동·· 동· 신 전 문화 전 것도 것 같은 원감가 않는 것 같이 생각한 것	Social
ligr.		Social
PgPic	The biting mosquito is the source of infection	MosqBite
PgNS	Do not know how the disease is contracted	DnKDis
PgAgPa	Containers are the source of infection	ContInf
PgAgPo	Containers are the source of meetion	Commi
PgLix		
PgAgCo		
PgLata		
PgAeAe		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
PgAgLi		
PgPneu		
SinFeb	Dengue symptoms	Sympt .
SinDoCab		
SinMan		
SinDoCo		
SinFaAp		
SinVom		
SinEnj SinFraq		
SinFraq SinDoOl		
SinNS		
SinDiar		Sec. March 1997
SinMaEs		
SinTon		
SinDoNuc		
SinDoEst		
DoenHosp	Where will sick people be attended?	
DoenPost	가지 않는 것이 있는 것을 많다. 이번 이번에 가지 않는 것이 같이 나는 것이 없다.	
DoenMed		
DoenFNS		
DoenAg		and the second
DoenAg DoenRep		
DoenAg		MosqBreed

ILG,)lve-São

997. play

, da ilva com Re-

lins nity vey and 'eira uêns da [rop 122

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MOSQUITO CONTROL ASSOCIATION

Vol. 16, No. 2

:7

	APPENDIX 1 Continued	· · · · · · ·
Code of initial variable	Description	New variable
ReprAgLi		
ReprNS		
ReprPneu		•
ReprAg ReprVaso		
ReprAgPoc		
ReprLata		1.4
ReprGar		
ReprRecAg		
ReprSuj		
ReprRalo		a
/iLarN	Do not know the mosquito larva	and the second
/iLarPl		
/iLarPn		
/iLarCx		
/iLarLat		
/iLarBald /iLarGar		
/iLarGar /iLarTelh		
/iLarQuin		وأمرقي الأمامي المراجع الرقاع
	Do not here the start the start of the start	
PicaNS PicaDia	Do not know when the mosquito is biting	
icaNoi		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
lliGar lliChu	Control means used to eliminate mosquitoes	Elim
liLat		
liTCx		
liPlTr		
liTTan		
liPnSec		
lliPlSec		
liAer	이는 사람들이 가지 않는 것이 같아. 이렇게 하는 것이 많이 많이 많이 많이 많이 했다.	
liNada liPnCob		
liPlAc		nggy i the spin a start
liPnFec		
liRepel	이 같이 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것을 많을 것 같은 것을 했다.	
liPnVen		
liGarRev		
liLimp		
liCalha		
liPnJf liEmGar		
liPnFor		
liTamSec		
liPlVen		
omRem	What are the best control methods?	Control
omBor	man are the best control medicus:	Congol
omVen		
omTela		the second second
omLimp		
omNS		
omEvAg		
omInd		
espCid	The citizen may be responsible for dengue control	RespCit
espGov	Governmental institutions may be responsible for dengue con-	RespGov
	trol	
espFNS		en e
espSecr		

JUNE 2000

2

COMMUNITY, AE. AEGYPTI, AND DENGUE PREVENTION

	APPENDIX 1 Continued	
Code of initial variable	Description	New yariable
RespNS RespNing	Do not know who is responsible for dengue control	
Mult MultNS	Is favorable to fine those with positive containers in their house	
TotalDepot	Total number of potential containers	TotalPot
Pot.A Pot.B Pot.C Pot.D Pot.E Pot.F Pot.G Pot.H Pot.I Pot.I Pot.J Pot.K	Potential containers: tires Potential containers: barrel, tub, tank Potential containers: plant pots Potential containers: car junk/construction material Potential containers: bottles/can/plasticware Potential containers: cistern/well Potential containers: water reservoir Potential containers: phytothelmata (bromeliad, tree trunk) Potential containers: domestic sewer Potential containers: swimming pool "Potential containers: gutter	Pot.A Pot.B Pot.C Pot.D Pot.E Pot.F Pot.G Pot.H Pot.H Pot.J Pot.K
TotalPos	Total number of positive containers	TotalPos
Pos.A Pos.B Pos.C	Positive containers: tire Positive containers: barrel, tub, tank Positive containers: plants pots	Pos.A Pos.B Pos.C
Pos.D Pos.E	Positive containers: car junk/construction material Positive containers: bottles/can/plasticware	Pos.D Pos.E Pos.G
Pos.G Pos.H Pos.I	Positive containers: water reservoir Positive containers: phytothelmata (bromeliad, tree trunk) Positive containers: domestic sewer	Pos.G Pos.H Pos.I

ISSN 8756-971X

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MOSQUITO CONTROL ASSOCIATION

Mosquito News



VOLUME 16

JUNE 2000

ŧ٠

NUMBER 2

CONTENTS

2	Restoration of Ayurakitia to Generic Rank in Tribe Aedini and a Revised Definition of the Genus J. F. Reinert	Page 57
	On Coquillettidia crassipes, a New Record for Macau, with a Key to Adults of the Subgenera and Species Groups of the Genus H. C. Ramos, H. Ribeiro, C. J. Mitchell, M. T. Novo, S. Baptista,	
	C. A. Sousa, P. G. Almeida, M. J. Pedro, E. R. Easton and M. L. Anselmo Cytotaxonomic Evidence for the Presence of <i>Anopheles nivipes</i> in India	.66
,	S. K. Subbarao, K. V. Kumar, N. Nanda, B. N. Nagpal, V. Dev and V. P. Sharma	71
	Phylogenetic Relationships Among Eighteen Neotropical Culicini Species JC. Navarro and J. Liria	75
	Redescription of the Adult and Larva and First Description of the Pupa of Anopheles (Kerteszia) laneanus M. A. M. Sallum, O. P. Forattini and R. C. Wilkerson	86
	Integrated Control of the Dengue Vector Aedes aegypti in Liu-Chiu Village, Ping-Tung County, Taiwan	
•	C. H. Wang, N. T. Chang, H. H. Wu and C. M. Ho	93
	Mosquito Surveillance in the Demilitarized Zone, Republic of Korea, During an Outbreak of Plasmodium vivax Malaria in 1996 and 1997 D. Strickman, M. E. Miller, HC. Kim and KW. Lee	100
	People's Knowledge and Practice About Dengue, Its Vectors, and Control Means in Brasilia (DF), Brazil: Its Relevance with Entomological Factors	
	N. Dégallier, P. D. R. Vilarinhos, M. S. L. de Carvalho, M. B. Knox and J. Caetano, Jr. Emergence Patterns of <i>Culex</i> Mosquitoes at an Experimental Constructed Treatment Wetland in Southern California	114
	Effects of Magnetic Fields on Mosquitoes P. D. Workman and W. E. Walton	124
	D. Strickman, B. Timberlake, J. Estrada-Franco, M. Weissman, P. W. Fenimore and R. J. Novak	131
	Colonization and Laboratory Biology of Aedes notoscriptus from Brisbane, Australia	
	T. M. Watson, K. L. Marshall and B. H. Kay	138
	Amplified Esterases B1 and A2-B2 in Field Populations of Culex pipiens from China	
	JE. Liu, CL. Qiao, LP. Chen and ZQ. Sun Field Efficacy of Commercial Antimosquito Products in Illinois	143
	T. Jensen, R. Lampman, M. C. Slamecka and R. J. Novak	148
	Laboratory Evaluations of Methylated Soy Oil and Monoterpenes as Mosquito Larvicides	140
	R. Lampman, U. Eckenbach, D. Seigler and R. Novak	153

PM74 1 0 JUL 2000 1. . . .